COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

COM(83) 484 final Brussels, 7 September 1983

TENTH REPORT BY THE COMMISSION TO THE COUNCIL

on the implementation of Council Regulation (EEC) No 543/69 of 25 March 1969 on the harmonization of certain social legislation relating to road transport

(1 January to 31 December 1980)

CONTENTS

Page Introduction 3 PART ONE Comparative analysis of information supplied by the Member States I. Organization of control 1. Administrative organization of inspection 2. Authorized inspecting officers and their powers 5 Methods of inspection (place and frequency) II. Infringements and penalties 10 Number of infringements of the Regulation 10 Infringements by nationals and foreigners respectively : 12 comparative figures Penalties imposed 13 III. Multilateral mutual assistance between Member States and notification of infringements 15 IV. Suggestions and comments by the Member States 16 Comments by the Commission on the information supplied by the Member States 18 Member States' contributions to the annual report 18 2. Number and powers of the inspectors 18 Inspection methods 18 19 Infringements by nationals and foreigners Penalties 20 Mutual assistance 21 PART TWO A. Conclusions drawn by the Commission from visits to Member States 22 I. Inspections 23 II. Infringements 25 III.Problems concerning penalties 26 IV. Problems concerning tachographs 29 Ban on certain types of payment (Article 12(a)) 31 B. Final conclusions by the Commission 32

INTRODUCTION

Article 17 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 543/69 lays down that "each year the Commission shall present to the Council a general report on the implementation of this Regulation by Member States" and that "... Member States shall communicate to the Commission the necessary information using a standard form of report...".

This report covers 1980 and, as in the past, gives an overall view of the implementation of Regulations (EEC) Nos 543/69 and 1463/70 in nine of the Member States (Greece was not yet a member of the European Community in 1980).

In order to simplify matters, an attempt has been made to limit the number of tables by grouping the information provided by the Member States wherever possible. However, greater emphasis has been placed on the views and comments of the Commission with regard to the situation and its development.

Nevertheless, the document follows the structure of the standard form of report on the basis of which the Member States are required to submit their contributions. However, the Commission plans to examine with the Member States whether changes can be made to the standard form of report to achieve greater comparability of national data.

PART ONE

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY THE MEMBER STATES

ORGANIZATION OF CONTROL

1) Administrative organization of inspection

The implementation of Regulations (EEC) Nos 543/69 and 1463/70 is controlled by means of checks at the roadside and at operators' premises.

a) at the roadside :

Under national highway codes, the police have the power to stop and inspect vehicles at the roadside and, within the broader framework of normal roadside checks, can also check that social legislation is being properly observed. In some cases, these checks are carried out in collaboration with national authorities with special responsibilities in the sector in question.

b) at operators' premises

This type of inspection is generally the responsibility of officials of the factory inspectorate who carry out general checks in the broader area of the application of national labour laws.

More specifically, up to 1979 France had limited inspections to firms carrying out transport operations on behalf of others; in 1980, these checks were extended on a limited trial basis to firms carrying out transport operations on their own behalf; as the results were conclusive, it was decided to extend checks to the whole of the country from 1 January 1981.

2) Authorized inspecting officers and their powers

The Commission received figures from only the following Member States:

BELGIUM	199 47	inspectors and assistant inspectors Transport Department personnel (traffic examiners and inspectors)							
DENMARK	300 241	police officers factory inspectors, around 30 of whom deal specifically with Regulation 543/69							
GERMANY	2.500 (*) 250+300(*)	police, BAG, factory inspectors public services of the Factory Inspectorate							
NETHERLANDS	173	Officials of the Ministry of Transport and Water Control							
UNITED KINGDOM	217	traffic examiners							
FRANCE	320	traffic examiners and deputy examiners							
	49	factory inspectors							

^(*) as part of wider powers.

More specifically, the use of four semi-automatic disc reading machines in France (known as SABINE) enabled 327 150 tachograph discs to be analysed in 1980, compared with 187 906 in 1979, i.e. an increase of 75 %. The powers of officials responsible for verifying the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 543/69 vary from one State to another. In some Member States, officials may give warnings, impose fines, draw up official reports or temporarily immobilize vehicles.

In other States, however, those responsible for carrying out checks do not have powers to impose fines, or to immobilize the vehicle.

3) Methods of inspection (place and frequency)

a) Roadside checks:

In some cases, roadside checks are carried out in the course of general traffic surveilllance.

These checks are intensified through collaboration with other specialized bodies, such as officials of the BAG and factory inspectors in the Federal Republic of Germany. Some "Länder" in the Federal Republic have drawn up census sheets for roadside and frontier checks: these sheets enable the data to be processed by computer.

In the United Kingdom, each traffic examiner carries out two checks per week on average. Checks are carried out on 10-15% of vehicles entering the country through the ports. The United Kingdom authorities have also set up a system of "silent checks" carried out by traffic examiners, which consist of observing the movement of vehicles and checking these movements subsequently at the operator's premises. In 1980, around 80 000 such checks were carried out.

b) Checks at operators' premises:

This type of check is carried out as part of a wider monitoring procedure going beyond the implementation of the Regulations to which this Report relates. The checks are normally carried out on a random sampling basis; in special or exceptional cases, inspections are decided on as a result of roadside checks, a complaint or a piece of information. The periods for which the checks are carried out "a posteriori" vary greatly according to the case. The Commission has no detailed information on the length of these periods. It must be concluded that the degree of intensity of this type of check varies greatly from one country to another.

c) Quantitative analysis of checks:

To assess the scope of checks carried out in the Member States, an attempt has been made to draw up a comparative table reflecting the situation on the basis of the information supplied.

	NUMBER OF CHECKS CA	ARRIED OUT IN 1980 (1)				
Member State	Roadside	Operators' premises	Comparison between 1979 and 1980				
BELGIUM	8.331 (2)	2.404	more checks in 1980				
DENMARK	12.327	421	more checks in 1980				
GERMANY	211.185 (3)	31.657	more checks in 1980				
FRANCE	639.846	41.645	more checks in 1980				
IRELAND	no information	no information					
ITALY	idem	idem	- 2.00				
LUXEMBOURG	idem	idem	-				
NETHERLANDS	200.000	485 in-depth checks	more checks in 1980				
UNITED KINGDOM	80.000 (4)	no information	fewer checks in 1980				

- (1) To judge the extent to which checks are comparable, cf. annexes 1, 2 and 3.
- (2) This figure includes 8 100 checks carried out by Transport Department officials and checks made by the gendarmerie, customs and other departments, figures for which have not been supplied.
- (3) The figure given is an estimate based on the number of fines imposed, warnings issued and infringements detected.
- (4) "Silent checks".

As for 1979, it is difficult to compare the figures for the number of checks carried out, mainly because in most cases it is not specified whether the figures relate to "inspection operations" or the "number of crews checked".

II) INFRINGEMENTS AND PENALTIES

1) Number of infringements of the Regulation

On this point, the standard form of report requires detailed figures on infringements detected in relation to goods transport, regular passenger transport and occasional passenger transport operations, committed on the territory of each Member State by nationals and foreigners (with an indication of their country of origin).

Each Member State supplied the information at its disposal on this point. To make the figures more comparable, they have been grouped, as far as possible, in the same form, in the tables in annexes 1, 2 and 3, leaving blanks where no information was supplied, or the information received was inadequate.

Contributions which, because of their presentation, cannot be included in the tables, but nevertheless have a certain value, are given separately.

The table on goods transport (annex 1) shows the lack of figures \concerning Regulation (EEC) No 543/69 in the Irish report and the lack of information on infringements committed by foreigners in Luxembourg and Denmark.

The contributions which are the most detailed and most in line with the standard form of report are those supplied by the Federal Republic of Germany, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom (annexes 5, 6 and 10). It should however be stressed that the United Kingdom recorded no infringement of Article 6 (450 km); the Netherlands made no mention of this Article in its report (nor, moreover, did Denmark).

4.5

Some Member States (Denmark, France, Italy) gave overall figures for each article, making no distinction between goods transport and passenger transport operations, etc. As regards France, the tables supplied do not show infringements of Article 8 (breaks). Most of the Member States did not give details on infringements of Article 7.

Although some aspects of the German and Dutch contributions were still not perfect, they could form a basis for a method of collecting and presenting data on the practical application of social legislation in the field of road transport in each Member State and, consequently, throughout the European Community.

As regards regular and occasional passenger transport operations (annexes 2 and 3), the differences of assessment between the Member States were even greater. Almost all of them did not give a full or satisfactory answer to the questions set out in the standard form of report.

By way of example, the main shortcomings are as follows :

- no distinction between occasional and regular services;
- no distinction as to nationality;

- unusable, vague and in some cases useless information, or information which does not specify the Article, nationality or type of transport.
- although in some cases the information provided was fairly complete, it was presented in a way which did not take account of the standard form of report and prevents the information from being arranged in a way which allows comparison with the other States.

To sum up this section very briefly, the shortcomings in the information supplied by the Member States can be grouped into three main categories:

- lack of precise figures,
- overall data with no breakdown by Article,
- data broken down by Article, but with no distinction between passenger and goods transport operations;

even contributions which were fairly detailed as regards the information supplied were often presented in such a way that they cannot easily be used.

2. Infringements by nationals and foreigners respectively : comparative figures

As has already been stated above, only some of the Member States made a distinction between infringements committed by nationals and those committed by foreigners.

The information supplied on this point is given in Annexes 4, 5.1, 6.1, 6.2, and 7 and gives rise to the following comments:

- 1) not all Member States supplied data;
- 2) some Member States made no distinction between nationals and foreigners;
- 3) the proportions of national and foreign vehicles varied according to the country and the time of the year.

The number of infringements involving vehicles registered in other countries represented between 0.8 and 3.8 % of the total number of recorded infringements.

3. Penalties imposed

As regards penalties, there was a significant difference between the number of infringements detected and the number of prosecutions; the number of penalties imposed was relatively small.

of 1585 infringements detected in <u>Belgium</u> in connection with goods transport operations, 13 (or 0.8%) involved foreigners, 478 (30%) gave rise to official reports and penalties were imposed in 26 cases (1.6%). In the case of regular passenger transport, 97 checks carried out at operators' premises revealed 18 infringements (19%) but no penalties were imposed; as regards occasional passenger transport operations, 14 infringements (32%) were detected from 43 checks at operators' premises; the number of penalties imposed was not supplied; there were around 1 000 roadside checks and they gave rise to 227 official reports (134 nationals and 93 foreigners). No penalties were imposed by the courts.

As a result of 151 infringements detected in <u>Denmark</u> (see tables, annexes 11.1 and 11.2), 109 persons were prosecuted (72%).

In the <u>federal Republic of Germany</u>, the BAG, which is the only body with powers to impose administrative fines for infringements committed on the road by foreign crew members and operators, issued in 1980 a total of 16 655 administrative orders imposing fines on foreign operators and drivers transporting goods or passengers by road. In addition the authorities issued 1 742 written notices, 474 warnings without fines and 16 726 warnings with cautionary fines. Annexes 5.2.1 to 5.8.2 contain tables of the procedures instituted, making a distinction between infringements committed in goods transport operations and in passenger transport operations by operators (those in charge of the firm) and by crew members where liable.

In the year covered by the report, the Factories Inspectorate imposed a total of 24 636 administrative fines, including 4 659 on operators and 19 977 on crew members based or resident in the Federal Republic of Germany.

In addition, the authorities issued 15 979 warnings with fines and 9 715 warnings without fines.

Of 363 432 infringements detected in <u>France</u> in 1980, 91 710 (25%) led to prosecutions; the courts imposed penalties in 26 481 cases (7.3% of infringements detected). These figures are given in Annexes 9.1, 9.2 and 9.3.

The amounts of fines for infringements committed after 23 July 1980 were raised as follows:

Previous amount	New amount
FF 160 to FF 600	FF 600 to FF 1 200

The <u>Irish</u> Government's report was restricted to the number of infringements detected (5 869) and to oral warnings (cf. Annex 4), while <u>Italy</u> provided some figures (cf. Annex 8). The relatively high number of infringements of Article 5 (age of drivers) committed by both Italians and foreigners driving in Italy is worthy of note.

In the <u>Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg</u>, a total of 2 719 infringements were recorded in respect of goods transport and 64 in respect of passenger transport.

Oral and written notices were issued and, in the most serious cases, official reports were sent to the judicial authorities with a view to prosecution.

As regards the scope of penalties applied in the <u>Netherlands</u>, it should be noted that of a total of 41 735 infringements detected, 11 314 official reports (27%) were drawn up and that of 11 101 official reports concerning Dutch nationals, 10 715 (*) (97%) led to further action, whereas of 213 official reports concerning foreign drivers only 42 (20%) were followed up and sent to the relevant authorities of the countries in question. The total amount of fines was HFL 2 339 555 (Annex 6.2).

Of 10 734 infringements in the <u>United Kingdom</u>, 5 472 (53%) resulted in fines totalling UKL 218 066 (Annex 11.3).

III. MULTILATERAL MUTUAL ASSISTANCE BETWEEN MEMBER STATES AND NOTIFICATION OF INFRINGEMENTS

In <u>Belgium</u>, the Transport Department took note of 593 official reports on Belgian crew members by German and French inspecting officers. Lists of official reports on crew members of vehicles registered in other Member States were sent to the relevant authorities for information purposes.

<u>Denmark</u> received notification of nine infringements committed by <u>Danish</u> nationals in the Netherlands and an unspecified number of fines paid by <u>Danish</u> drivers in the Federal Republic of Germany.

The Government of the <u>Federal Republic of Germany</u> held regular bilateral talks with representatives of the Austrian, Dutch, Danish and French Governments with a view to ensuring compliance with Regulations (EEC) Nos 543/69 and 1463/70 and the AETR.

France reported that it had sent its partners a list of their nationals who had committed infringements of Community Regulations 543/69 and 1463/70 on French territory.

On the other hand, the French Government stated that it had not received

^(*) 11 101 - 386 = 10 715 (cf. table Annex 6.2).

notification of any infringements committed by French drivers on the territory of the other Member States, with the exception of Belgium and the Federal Republic of Germany.

<u>Ireland</u> reported that it sent a list of foreign vehicles found to have committed infringements on its territory to the other Member States every three months and also that it received similar lists concerning Irish vehicles found to be in breach of the rules in the United Kingdom and France.

<u>Italy</u> is still waiting to finalize a system of recording the information in the form of statistics.

<u>Luxembourg</u> merely reported that it notified "several serious infringements" to the other Member States.

The <u>Dutch</u> authorities reported that they notified 61 infringements to the Federal Republic of Germany and received notification of 3 122 infringements from Germany and 107 from Belgium. In addition, action was taken against Dutch drivers in 184 cases of infringement notified by Belgium and 39 reported by France.

The <u>United Kingdom</u> reported infringements committed by foreign crews to the authorities of the Member States in question every three months and stated that it received occasional reports concerning UK crews in other Member States.

There are certain obvious contradictions in these reports, e.g. where a Member State reported that it sent the list of infringements committed by foreigners to all the Member States in question while at the same time the other Member States or at least some of them maintained that they received nothing.

IV. SUGGESTIONS AND COMMENTS BY THE MEMBER STATES

Belgium reported a change in the nature of infringements of Regulation (EEC)

No. 543/69 in 1980; more than half of them related to the limits on distance

and driving time, while those concerning the possession of an individual control

book were on the decline.

<u>Denmark</u> wanted to extend the scope of the standard form of report to cover the application of Regulation (EEC) No 1463/70 and the AETR and would like its format to be simplified so that the Member could send uniform information to the Commission. The Danish Factories Inspectorate is considering establishing a file on infringements committed by operators which could be used for the purposes of issuing international transport permits.

Ireland has set up a special observation committee within the Employment
Department which meets once a month for the purpose of examining the situation
and issuing guidelines for solving difficulties encountered. The Irish authorities
feel that greater efforts should be made, either through the press or through
individual contacts, to convince those subject to the social legislation that
it is both necessary and beneficial.

The <u>United Kingdom</u> considers that the application of Regulation (EEC) No 543/69 could be greatly facilitated by minor changes and requests the Commission to start a discussion of such changes.

Brooks in the

V. COMMENTS BY THE COMMISSION ON THE INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY THE MEMBER STATES

1. Member States contributions to the annual report

The Commission notes that the differences in the presentation of the information supplied by the Member States have scarcely changed.

The standard form of report was often not completed properly. The Commission is aware of the problems posed by the standard form of report and is ready to discuss with national governments changes which will adapt the report to present requirements while taking account of the national administrative procedures involved in sending contributions to the Commission.

2. Number and powers of the Inspectorate

The Commission notes that in most Member States the number of inspectors fell compared with 1979. Only Belgium and France slightly increased the number of inspectors, which resulted in a significant increase inthenumber of checks and penalties.

3. Inspection methods

There was a tendency towards greater uniformity of checks as compared with previous years, such checks being carried out both at the roadside (generally by the police and the gendarmerie) and at operators' premises (by officials from the Factories Inspectorate and the Ministry of Transport). However, it is difficult, if not impossible, to compare the situation with regard to the organization of checks in all the Member States.

It is interesting to note that some Member States took measures to improve the results of inspections through computerization. In the Federal Republic of Germany, some Länder planned to process the data resulting from checks by computer and France stepped up the use of equipment especially designed for thesemi-automatic reading of tachograph discs.

The introduction in the United Kingdom of the "silent check" system, which was extended in 1980 to around 80 000 checks, could also prove to be very interesting at Community level.

Apart from these three positive aspects, the main finding is that :

- the figures supplied concerning staff employed to carry out checks were, in some cases, rather vague;
- the figures concerning the number of checks revealed considerable differences between the national administrative systems.

4. Infringements by nationals and non-nationals

The Commission received no detailed figures on the numbers of foreign vehicles in the Member States. In general, the figures were low compared with the total number of vehicles on the road and varied greatly from one Member State to another. Different degrees of application and legal, judicial and linguistic problems made it difficult to take action on infringements committed by foreigners.

Moreover, the fact that some Member States did not supply complete information makes it impossible to collate meaningful figures. More accurate conclusions could be drawn if the relationship between the number of checks, the number of infringements detected and the penalties applied in respect of both nationals and non-nationals was known for all Member States.

The method of collecting information and presenting figures should therefore be standardized further to enable the Commission to make a more clear-cut assessment of the progress achieved.

5. Penalties

There is some difficulty in analyzing this point, firstly because the penalties were sometimes imposed some considerable time after the infringement was detected, and, secondly because the method used in each Member State and the fines imposed for the same infringement varied greatly from one country to another. Furthermore, the information supplied does not give a satisfactory overall view of the situation in the Community. One can therefore make only a very rough assessment.

Although some Member States supplied detailed information on the fines imposed, others gave no details at all. The information was so heterogeneous that it is difficult to make positive comparisons. It is clear, however, that there were still differences between the Member States with regard to penalties and the rigour with which they were applied.

The general impression is that in too many cases an infringement was simply recorded or a mere warning given, particularly where foreigners were involved and that the penalties imposed were not in keeping with the nature of the infringements committed or the public interest.

Clearly, the section "Checks and Penalties" is the one in which the differences in applying Regulations (EEC) Nos 543/69 and 1463/70 are the most obvious.

6. Mutual assistance

Although some Member States claimed that they notified infringements committed by foreign nationals, and that there was an exchange of information on the ways in which Regulation (EEC) No. 543/69 was applied, an effective system of mutual assistance between all Member States, as stipulated in the Regulation (Article 18(2)), was still a long way off. The need to intensify and improve these contacts therefore remains a common task for all Member States.

PART TWO

A. CONCLUSIONS DRAWN BY THE COMMISSION FROM VISITS TO MEMBER STATES MADE BY OFFICIALS FROM DG VII

The Commission is using the publication of the tenth report to summarize below a number of impressions and findings which emerged from visits to Member States made during 1981 and 1982.

The programme for these visits included:

- Discussions with the Government, employers' organizations and trade unions

The subjects for discussion were:

- a) Problems concerning inspections and penalties, especially the following:
 - staff assigned to inspections;
 - inspection methods and frequency of checks;
 - technical difficulties encountered during checks;
 - application of the Regulation to nationals and foreigners;
 - monitoring of AETR;
 - multilateral assistance between Member States (government level);
 - legal liability in the event of infringement.
- b) Improvement of the standard form of report (Article 17 of Regulation (EEC) No. 543/69) to be supplied by the Member States.
- c) Application of the ban on certain types of payment (Article 12 a of Regulation (EEC) No. 543/69).

Taking part in inspections

- a) At operators' premises.
- b) At the roadside:
 - on a motorway with a considerable amount of international traffic
 - on a road carrying national traffic.

These visits enabled the Commission to form a fairly detailed and relatively complete picture of the situation in the Member States.

The conclusions drawn may be summarized as follows:

I. CONTROL

A. Organization of inspection

- 1. The Commission cannot escape the ffeling that in some Member States more people should be emplyed to carry out checks both at the roadside and at operators' premises, but it concedes that there are budgetary obstacles to increasing the number of staff in the present economic situation.
- 2. Expressed as a percentage, the number of crews and vehicles checked, both at the roadside and at operators' premises, varies greatly from one country to another. Some degree of alignment upwards is called for; this is especially true for checks at operators' premises.
- 3. Only in France has a degree of semi-automation been achieved in carrying out checks at operators' premises through the use of a machine (Sabine). The Commission feels that automation in the other Member States is very desirable, indeed essential in the larger countries.
- 4. In Ireland, an adequate inspection system has now been introduced and infringements are now being penalized.
- 5. It has become apparent that checks have been carried out in Italy for some years. The fact that the Italian Government has not supplied adequate information to the Commission appears to have been the result of a lack of coordination between various government departments. Measures have been taken to improve this situation.

- 6. The Commission has the impression that the organization of inspections in Luxembourg is still inadequate and that there is a tendency to leave checks to the neighbouring countries. However, Luxembourg reports that it has taken measures to remedy these shortcomings.
- 7. In some Member States, the roadside checks concentrate on the daily driving period and disregard the length of periods of continuous driving. The Commission considers that such a practice is incompatible with the proper application of the Community Regulation.
- 8. In the Federal Republic of Germany, a film which gives an excellent picture of the organization and aims of checks, and the objectives of Regulation (EEC) No. 543/69 is making a significant contribution to the proper understanding and application of the Regulation.

B. Technical problems associated with checks

- Some Member States (Belgium and Luxembourg claim that they come up against a number of technical difficulties in carrying out inspections:
 - stopping vehicles is dangerous;
 - number of places where the checks can be carried out is very limited.

Luxembourg added the following points:

- its small area;
- shortage of policemen.
- 2. The Commission notes that in some cases language difficulties preclude effective checks. However, some Member States, such as the United Kingdom and the Federal Republic of Germany have overcome the problem by means of a multilingual book of questions and instructions. The Commission requests the other Member States to follow this example.

Furthermore, the Commission notes a regrettable tendency in some Member States to neglect checks on non-nationals, because of language difficulties, translation costs and the difficulties of imposing penalties. This shortcoming will have to be remedied if the Community Regulation is to be applied effectively.

II. INFRINGEMENTS

- 1. The Commission notes the usual infringements, such as :
 - exceeding the daily, weekly and two-weekly driving periods;
 - failing to observe the daily rest period;
 - failing to keep 7 discs;
 - leaving a disc in the equipment for a number of days.
- 2. In addition, the following infringements have been noted:

James Barrier

- In a case of transport operations carried out by own account operators, the Commission observed certain methods of arranging work and rest periods which, although not exactly correct from the point of view of the Community Regulation, may be considered very favourable from a social point of view. In the case of transport operations for third parties, however, the situation is much less ideal;
- The failure of some drivers to switch the selector to "rest", so that the equipment continues to record "work";
- The failure of some two-man crews to share continuous driving equally, thus enabling the Regulation to be observed;
- Infringements of driving time even in light vehicles carrying out transport operations over a short distance.
- 3. Employers in Italy claimed that the lack of security in that country was the reason for infringements of the daily driving period. The Commission feels that this question should be examined by the Italian Government in order to improve security for vehicles and their crews in that country.

III. PROBLEMS CONCERNING PENALTIES

A. Level of penalties

The Commission is aware of the fact that the level of penalties is determined by national laws, the administrative and judicial procedures of each country and ultimately the decision of the persons or bodies imposing fines.

The Commission feels that an attempt should nevertheless be made to establish a reasonable relationship between penalties on the one hand and the economic gain resulting from the infringement on the other.

B. Powers of the inspection authorities-

- 1. The Commission found that these powers vary greatly from one Member State to another. In some States, for example, the authorities have the power to:
 - refuse entry to crews who have contravened the rules;
 - immobilize a crew for 8 hours;
 - return a crew to its base ;
 - suspend or withdraw the transport operator's permit.
- 2. Some other Member States do not have such powers, or not all of them. The Luxembourg authorities state that they do not even have the power to seize a crew's discs in the event of an infringement.

C. Imposition of penalties on non-nationals

1. In the Commission's view, this is an important problem in the area of penalties, which are essential to the proper application of the Community Regulation.

- 2. In some Member States such as the Federal Republic of Germany, France the authorities have the power to require non-nationals to pay a deposit on the spot. Italy has a similar system, but is not able to force foreigners to pay.
- 3. The Commission feels that the establishment of a system of deposits is an effective means of ensuring that the Regulation is properly applied.

D. Problem of liability of drivers and operators

- 1. In some Member States, both drivers and operators are liable in the event of an infringement. In the Netherlands, only the employer is liable, which means that, in conjunction with the principle of operating under a flag, few, if any, penalties are imposed on non-nationals.
- The Commission noted that in Belgium, Luxembourg Ireland and in Italy also few, if any, penalties are imposed on nationals of another country.
- 3. A similar problem arises through the exclusive application of the principle of territoriality (e.g. in the Federal Republic of Germany), as a result of which only infringements committed on the territory of the Member State in question are penalized.

E. Technical problems relating to penalties

According to the Danish authorities, the increasing use of credit cards could make it more difficult to obtain payment of fines from non-nationals.

F. Cooperation between competent authorities

- 1. The Commission observed a lack of cooperation in several Member States between the authorities responsible for carrying out inspections and the judicial authorities.
- 2. The Commission notes that this cooperation still leaves a great deal to be desired. In this connection, the Italian Government has announced its intention to apply directly to the Commission in the absence of a response to its approaches to the other Member States.

IV. PROBLEMS CONCERNING TACHOGRAPHS

A. INSTALLATION

In most Member States, tachographs are installed in all vehicles covered by the Regulations. In three Member States the situation in this respect is still not satisfactory.

B. FRAUDS

The Commission detected the following frauds:

- inserting a human hair or rubber band to falsify the speed recording;
- concealing discs showing infringements;
- unnecessarily using several discs consecutively in a relatively short period in order to conceal excess driving hours.

C. IMPROVING THE TACHOGRAPH SYSTEM

- 1. The following means of countering such faults have been suggested to the Commission:
 - introducing a system whereby producers number all discs and operators register all the discs they purchase;
 - developing an electronic tachograph;
 - providing crews with a waybill estimating the timing for the trip.

2. The Commission is favourably disposed towards the first two recommendations. It plans to convene the Committee referred to in Article 22 of Regulation (EEC) No 1463/70 with a view to promoting the development of an electronic tachograph.

D. Secondary technical problems associated with the tachograph

The Commission has found that the use of discs which are not approved for a particular tachograph sometimes gives rise to problems.

V. BAN ON CERTAIN TYPES OF PAYMENT (ARTICLE 12A OF REGULATION (EEC) No 543/69)

The Commission notes that such payments are still being made in several States.

It is currently examining points concerning the satisfactory application of Article 12 a).

FINAL CONCLUSIONS BY THE COMMISSION

The following general conclusions may be drawn from the information received and impressions gained during visits to the Member States:

1. As regards control arrangements, all governments are making serious efforts to carry out their duties with regard to the proper application of Community law. These efforts are all the more laudable as the always require close coordination between various authorities at national, regional and local levels, which implies a great deal of cooperation and good will on the part of all the officials involved. One must also bear in mind the fact that all these administrative units are not only responsible for the Community rules in question but also have much wider tasks usually concerning a very broad range of provisions relating to public order.

Of course, there are differences with regard to the quantity and — it must be admitted — quality of inspection measures from one Member State to another and even from one part of a country to another. There are a number of reasons which are so obvious that they do not need to be listed or explained in detail. Traditions, political priorities, the view of the relationship between the State and the individual citizen and last but not least financial resources (to mention only a few basic factors) are not identical in all Member States of the Community.

^{*}These conclusions do not cover the situation in Greece, which has not yet been visited.

However, the need to live with these situations is not incompatible with the principle of compliance with Community Law in this field as well, a requirement which cannot be renounced. Efforts to achieve a harmonization of measures and results which are as equivalent as possible must therefore be continued.

2. Even more marked differences are apparent as regards penalties for infringements of the two Regulations. Here, too, differences between the national legal systems, differences of opinion as regards the distinction between criminal and civil infringements, and actual constitutional principles, such as the independence of the courts, prevent a harmonization of the actual penalties imposed in the Member States.

Even in this area, however, progress is possible in an attempt to achieve a gradual approximation of penalties imposed in the case of similar infringements by at least avoiding the most blatant differences between national practices.

This is a process which should be based not on binding legislation but on the voluntary cooperation of the national authorities in question, in accordance with common principles and guidelines set out (e.g. in the form of a recommendation) by the Community Institutions.

This process has already been set in motion by the Commission.

3. All those who could and should consider themselves as "addressees" of the rules in question therefore have the important task of improving the situation. However, the main responsibility for this task naturally lies with the national authorities.

Measures to improve the situation are applicable in all areas and at all levels of increased cooperation between the competent authorities. Particularly important in this respect is the permanent exchange of interesting and useful information. Another aspect deserving more attention than in the past is the use of modern techniques for checks and penalties; the opportunities and prospects which already exist or which will open up in the future in this field have not been fully exploited or even realized yet.

4. In these circumstances, the fact that the number of infringements of Regulations (EEC) 543/69 and 1463/70 has remained at a relatively high level for some years and that there is not the slightest sign of a fall (indeed, at the present time one has the impression that the opposite is true given the economic situation) is a disturbing one.

This situation raises the question of whether the social provisions adopted in the field of road transport are properly adapted to the economic and social realities of our time and whether, rather than through checks and penalties, a reduction in the number of infringements should be achieved through a better balance between, on the one hand, the required harmonization of competiton conditions, road safety and social progress and, on the other, the needs associated with the rational and economically efficient organization of road transport.

- 5. It is with this in view that the Commission has undertaken the revision of Regulations (EEC) Nos. 543/69 and 1463/70. This action does not signify resignation in the face of the illegal practices which some say could never be eliminated. What it is intended to do is:
 - draw the appropriate lessons from what is now more than 20 years' experience
 - concentrate on the fundamental provisions, giving them the necessary flexibility to take account of special situations
 - establish a stable system which does not continually give rise to heated discussion, which is geared to the aims laid down in the framework of the common transport policy and which is capable of promoting road safety and social progress.

ANNEXES

- 1. Goods transport (infringements detected)
- 2. Regular passenger transport (infringements detected)
- 3. Occasional passenger transport (infringements detected)
- 4. Ireland. Infringements detected, broken down by Article and nationality
- 5.1. Federal Republic of Germany. Infringements detected, broken down by Article (nationals and foreigners)
- 5.2.1 to 5.8.2 Federal Republic of Germany. Proceedings initiated (by way of example)
- 6.1 Netherlands. Infringements detected, broken down by Article and nationality
- 6.2. Netherlands. Amounts of penalties recorded by nationality
- 7. France. Infringements detected and prosecuted, broken down by nationality
- 8. Italy. Infringements detected
- 9.1 France. Checks at operators' premises. Overall figures
- 9.2 France. Roadside checks. Overall figures Regulation (EEC) No. 1463/70
- 9.3 France. Penalties imposed
- United Kingdom. Vehicles inspected and infringements detected
- 11.1 Denmark. Infringements and penalties (employers)
- 11.2 Denmark. Infringements and penalties (drivers)
- 11.3 United Kingdom. Penalties

			1		1		1		T		1						,	
		В		DK		D .	F		IRL		1		L		NL		UK	
	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.
Art. 6 450 km. Lim.	321		1		41	8			•		2730	168	807			101.		For.
Art.71 driving period -4h. (art. 6) Art.72 daily driv. period 8 h. (art. 6)			h		5750 12666	652 792		"					1123				h	
Art.7% weekly driv. period 48 h. (art. 6)	192		1,3		730	19	2229	n					97		1851			
Art.71 driving period (not art.6) Art.72 daily driv, period	267 16	1			2878	71	4471	0			10262	283			4665 24	100	310	
8 h. (not art. 6) Art. 74 week driving period 48 h. (not art. 6)					2572 82	133										165 0	1381	35
Art. 8 breaks	22			ľ	2841	385					h	h	473				102	10
Art.11.1 daily rest period	95	1	30	1	6284	491	728	99			5449	492	Ä		9320	171	89	
Art.12 weekly rest period	9]	.]		593	4)	7		28		39	į
Art.141 and annexes Indiv. control book Art.142 - Control book not in order Art.14 - others	37 113 151		31								85631	9260	0 52		129 5	2	2834 5099	114
Art.151 Regular services Regular serv.timetabl Art.152 Regular services operator	8		3		3	4)			24025	258	159 1	2
O thers	326	11	84		B1506	2672	3305	15	,						51			
TOTAL	1572	13	151		66676	5231	473034	5011			107464	10799	2778		40098	696	9994	380 - 1
" Nat. + For.	15	85	1	51	719	07	478.	045			148	3263			407	94	103	374
Official reports	4	78	109		42006(Av) 183109 3624			2196				٠	11056			3881		
Prosecutions		26	1	09	2443	36	183109	3624		•						02		472

		В	·	DK		D		F	, I	RL		I	-	L				JK
	Nat.	For.	Nat.	for.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	1	Nat.	For.	Nat.	for.	Nat.	<u> </u>	Nat.	
Art. 6 450 km Lim.			İ	1 .	12	1				· · ·	2.4					' ' ' '	Nat.	, 0, .
Art.73 driving period - 4h (art. 6)					169	3 -									h.			,
Art.72 daily driv. period 8 h. (art. 6)					144	12												
Art.74 weekly driv. period 48 h. (art. 6)				ļ	-	- ·		·			·				} 9			
Art.71 driving period (not art. 6)					203	3												
Art.72daily driv. period 8 h. (not art. 6)	ga V				107		j.	,										
Art.74 weekly driv. period 48 h. (not art. 6)				25.	16				. ,				·					
Art. 8 breaks			*	F."	104	3				}	ľ					7		
Art.112 daily rest period		İ		1	106	10			-		•					ĺ		
Art.12 weekly rest period					16	2			٠.	·			i.					
Art.141 and annexes			*	1		_				1					V I			
Indiv.control book Art.142 - control book not in order															79			
Art.14 - others			l		ł										ן ע			-
Art.151 regular services Regualr services timetable					69	4								,	2			
Art.152 regular services operator					96	12							<i>i</i> .					
others				<u>l</u>	-	-						, I		÷				

TOTAL

1042 49

" Nat. + For.

1091

Official reports

Prosecutions

131

Prosecutions

16

	<u> </u>		T		<u> </u>		T		1		1		1		i	1900	T	
		3 .'		DK		D	<u> </u>	F (*)	1	RL		I (**)		L .	N	IL _.	1	UK
·	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For,	Nat.	for.	Nat.	for.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For	Nat.	For.
Art. 6 450 km. Lim.	0		1		4										"""		I Wat.	
Art.71 driving period - 4h. (art. 6) Art.72 daily driving period					263	6							,					
8 h. (art. 6) Art.74 weekly driv.period 48 h. (art. 6)					90	23												
Art.71 driving period 4h. (not art. 6)	> 1				524	83									8	5 .	,	
Art.72 daily driving period 8 h. (not art. 6) Art.74 weekly driv. period 48 h. (not art. 6)					593 21	64			:									,
Art. 8 breaks	0	,	ŀ		244	58	1			1	l				į			,
Art.112 daily rest period	2		1		1164	30	1		Ì		1	}	J	}	294	£	ļ	(
Art.12 weekly rest period	0				92	4									24	4		
Art.141 and annexes Ind. control book Art.142 - control book not in order	1														7			
Art.14 - others	2]		1	1	1								630	o`		
Art.151 regular services regular services timetable	٠																	
Art.152 regular services operator].
others	128	93	ł	1	1472	206	l	1			l i	10		Ì	ł		1	
TOTAL	134	93			4471							10		5	1013	3		
" Nat. + For.	2	27			4	495								5		•		
Official reports	7	27						•				3						

(*) For France and Italy cf. tables in the following annexes.

IRELAND - Infringements detected:

Goods transport

Article	Regular servi	ces	Occasional	services
AI CICCC	Nationals	Foreigners (UK)	Nationals	Foreigners (UK)
14/1	18	-	2363	33
14/2			53	10
15/1	165	3		-
* (a)	37	-	1696	40
(b)	15	-	1159	90
(c)	-	-	103	11
TOTAL	235	3	5374	184

Regulation 1463/70

- (a) No tachograph
- (b) Tachograph installed but not used
- (c) Tachograph not calibrated

Passenger transport

Arțicle	Regular servi	ces	Occasiona	l services
	Nationals	Foreigners (UK)	Nationals	Foreigners (UK)
14/1 .	1	-	25	-
14/2	-	-		_
15/1	13	<u>-</u>		_
* (a)	3	-	19	-
(b)	1	-	- 11	
(c)		-	-	-
TOTAL	18	-	55	-

Regulation 1463/70

- (a) No tachograph
- (b) Tachograph installed but not used
- (c) Tachograph not calibrated

TOTAL: 5869

GERMANY

Infringements in 1980

	G00	DS		PASSENGE	RS	
ARTICLE	Note		Regula	r	Occasi.	onal
	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.	Nat.	For.
Reg. (EEC) No 543/69						
6	41	8	12		4	
7.1	5.750	652	169	3	263	,6
under 2.2	12.666	792	144	12	90	23
7.4	730	19	-	-	4 .	
£ 7.1	2.878	71	203	ġ	524	83
7.1 7.3	2.572	133	107	-	593	64
7.4 7.4	82	_	16		21	-
8	.2.841	385	104	3	244	58
11.1	6.284	491	-	-	-	-
11.2	-	-	106	10	1.164	30
12 15 . 1	593	4	16	2	92	4
15.5	3 22	8	69 96	4 12	_	_
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>	
Reg. (EEC) No 1463/70					A Company	
15	3.310	308	-	-	349	10
16	61	-		_		_
17	27.968	2.356	-	-	1.084	93.
18	145	-	-	. ••	39	103
	1					-

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrpersonalgesets gegen Auswärtige im Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr insgesamt

	3014	Liung		•		170-	arnunge arnungi	12			V	ASLINE ASLINE					ungeldb	eschel	4.			\$ t e	B •		
Land	1:a5- 2:A2- 2:02	Ve.let Emer. Mittel	lerk- zeit	tube-	Ingen Fahrt Strek ke	-el-	Verle: Kontr. Mittel	z:• Be Lenk- zeit	Rube- seit	ages Faire strek ke	ins./iN	Vorlet Kontr. Mittel	Leck- zeit	Buhe- seit	Fahrt- strek- ke	Haben Ans./Ed	Kontr.	Leak- zeit	Euhe- Seit	Fahrt- strek- ke	Ha3- nahmen	Kontr.	Lenk-	l Rube-	Zehrt- strek- ke
A	334			ļ		111	85	77	6	. ,	5071 61420	2012	791	258		7915 1059612		1475	555	128	75.55	4476	2283	829	131
apg.											1 20	17				300	2				3	,			
AL.				! !				·			2 40					2 300	2	·		٠	4	4		٠	
And																1 150	1				. 1	1			
B	164	137	33	10		6		2			1958 39150	1060	660	216		1378 325638	949	740	198	3	3506	2170	1435	135	
BG	7					,	•	·			94 1980	91	3			750 950	*	15	2		131	105	18	2	
СН	52	4	41	5	10	23	6	3	16'	,	599 7780	216	146	7		333 105591	8	8	73	4	197	51	₩	119	7
CB	5						-				34 680	29	3	Z		21 2570		6	1		60	43	,		
CY		·											·			1 20	1						•		
DDR		•				7	1				10 ³ 2000	90	16	•		36 5610	33	7			147	. 128	21		
DK	132	71	63	11	3	54	49	5			632 12630	795	175	98		1190 290569	709	477	208	2	2008	1304	924	271	5
E	15	10	5	1	2	6	6				139 2780		9			208 39590	1	20	92	9	750	317	3 4	13	11
Uber- trag	713	726	142	27	15	204	151	77	20	3	5424 128460		1805	573		7120 1835730	i . '	2994	1049	206	14461	8803	4968	1669	224

Annexe 5.2.1

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrpersonalgesetz gegen Auswärtige

im Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr insgesant

	Bele	tellung hrung	_			70:0	argungs	geld			Yer	AVLEAN .	sgeld			i	ußgeleb					5		/	
Land	nah- men	Kortr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Pule-	Tehrt strek- ke	neh- neh- nen	Verlet Kontra	Lenk- neit	Ruhe- seit	rgen Fahrt atrek ke	Ma3- nahuen Ans./IM	1	Lenk- seit	Ruhe- zeit		Mas- naheen Ans./IM	Verle Kentr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Buhe- seit	Fahrt- atrek- ke	And- neman	Ver Kontr. Kittel	Lenk-	Ruhe-	Fahrt- strek- ke
Uber- trag	713	725	14.	7	15	204	151	77	20	3	6424 128460 1208	ì	1805	573		7120 1835730 1689		2994	1049	206	14461	8903	4968	1669	22
2	300	114	179	55	2	13	95	8	7	7	24200	480	591	137		810135	434	1310	252	2	3327	1124	2105	451	
FL	3	1	1		1						17 340	12	3	,		6630	5	. 9	,	6	. PS	16	13	9	
GB.	15	17	. 1			,	1				164 3280	I. :	51	8		105 21674	39	25	B	1	200	212	76	15	
GR	35					,	. 9				475 9500		55	29		480 92937	1	82	44		999	795	157	73	
Ħ	1										21 43 0	16	3			1050					89		5		-
I	179					33	7	6			3A80 69500	1728	1267	465	·	3021 749650		1090	467	6	671 3	3599	2543	952	. 1
IR	1										5 100		2			17 3530		2			25	15	ą.	·	
IRL	3	3								٠	· 11	6	5			6010	•••	•			- 42	31	14		
IRQ	-					٠					1 20	1									Ì	1			
JOR						3					60	٤	1			350 350	Z			1	6	. 4	1		1
KT	<u>.</u> .															300	2				ź	2		i	
ber- trag	1253	361	321	82	18	377	254	58	7	4	11809 236200	1	3803	1294		12494 3528046	7194	5480	1827	222	25933	14631	9564	מפונ	244

Annexe 5.2.

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrpersonalgesets gegen Auswertige

im Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr insgesamt

	201-1	tellang hrang				lve-v	erzusge erzubge	geld			Ve:	vernung vernung	sgeld			1	uhgel db			· ·		\$ u =			
Land	-6sk -233 202	Werlet Mustr- Missel	Lesk-	atise Sube-	Febru- strek- ke	iai)- zai:- ron	Verlet Kortr- Kittel	ite de Lenk- zeit	Ruhe- zeit	ngen Fahrt- strek- ke	XeS- geineg Ags.√IM	Verlet Kontr Kittel		kuhe- seit	_	Mag- rahmen Ars./DH		Lenk- zejt			Na3- sahsen	Ver Kontr. Mittel	Lepk-	Beat: Ruhe- seit	Pabri
Uber- trag		361	323	82	18	577	284	58	7	4	11809 236200	6792	3803	1214		12494 3528046	7194	5480	1827	222	25933	14631	3664	3150	2
L	15	10	,			11		4	1	1	68 1360	33				85 34380	55	53	6	1	179	102	84	19	
Liber					,											1 150	1				1	1			
M						3.										2 400	1	1				1	1		
MA											20	1										1			
NC																1 200	1				1	1			
N	10	5	5	2	•	2	2				.138 2760	99	31			212 45006	141	76	16	7	% 2	247	112	ಹ	
NL	403	254	114	53	.6	55	53	•			72525	1659	1167	325		५०५ ११४१०	1640	1334	435	46	7115	3821	2919	811	51
P	3		3	2		1	1				750	8	,			21 4450	18	3			55	42	11	2	
PL	2	4				-,2	2				79 780	*	,			12	,				35	31	7	4	
R	5.			-							20 400	20	·			24 7570	22	٠,			19	Ş			
RSM		•	ļ :								2 40	1	1			1320		1	1		8	5	2	1	
Uber trag	4604	(le	110	470	21	14.0	346	·	20	5	15726 514540	8844	5333	1557		15873 4598842	9086	6956	2289	Z76	5575 9	18925	12804	6013	3

innexe 5.4.5

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verf. ren nach dem Fahrpersonalgesetz geg husba. Lige

1m Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr insgesamt

	l Sele	tellung hrung				Verv	arnunge arnungs	701d				VATEUDS				В	uSgel db	eschei	de			3 u s			
Land	1943-	. c.let	Verletzt: Besitzmungen Mail- Verletzte Best Controllenk Scho- Fishri man Kontrollenk Rittel meit moit streit men Mittel meit m						Rube- seit	ngen Fahrb strek ke	Nan-	Veriet	zte Be	stissu -ear2 seit	Fahrt- strek- ke	Hab- nabses Mil-sea	Verle Kontr. Kittel	tzte B Lenk- seit	Ruhe- zeit	Tabrt- strek- ke	, NaS- mahmen	Kontr.	l Lezz-	Ruba	Febri- atrek- ke
Uber- trag	1691	649	449	13/	24	449	346	66	25	. 5	15726 314540	8844	5333	1557		15875 4598842	9086	6956	2289	276	33739	18925	12804	4013	70
5	11	9	2		·	1	1				194 3880	164	22	8		105 20146	72	33	6	1	311	216	57	14	
SF		1	3	1		1	1	•			85 1700	68	16	1	·	: 48 8520	31	17	5	. 5	178	101	35	.,	
SU						Z	. 2				25 480	22	2			17 675	15	.1	2		43	40	3	2	
SYR						2	2				3 60	3				650	4/:		•	·		9			
TN											1 20	1									,	1			
TR	28			٠.		5	5				281 5620	250	24	7	·	379 63560	357	. 25	7	,	6 93	612	¥8	14	
ru	8		·			14	10				412 8240	315	62	35	·	229 45765	176	53	33		663	901	115	68	
		•												-											
						•								·								•			·
				-			-			-												١.			
																						·			
Summe	1742	659	454	140	24	474	367	66	78	5	16726 534540	9667	5459	1608		16655 4738158	9742	7084	2342	279	<i>जिला</i>	20435	13063	4116	308

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrpersonalgesetz gegen Auswärtige im Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr Unternehmer

	belel	tellung hrung				10:0	arnunge Arnungs	geld			Ver	ASLORUS	sgeld			I	ubgeldb					8			
Land	Xu3- Esh- Beb	Kontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Ruhe- zeit	rahrer Beif.	nah- neg	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	Lenk-	Ruhe- seit	Fahre: Beif.	faß- nahmen Anz./DH	Verle: Keatr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Rube- seit	ngen Fahrer Deif.	Had- rebuen Ans./DH	Verle Eontr. Nittel	Lenk- 2011	Bri-	Fabrer Beif.	NaB- Rabuen	Ver Kontr. Mittel	Lenk- seit	Pest's	Febrer Beif.
A	50					13	5	7		1			·			479 325962	9	377	88	48	. 542	55	. 384	88	49
В	35	30	5			2	1	1			20 20	1				135 83915	91	120	- 14		253	123	126	14	
СН	46	4	39	1	9	1	1			•						61 35459		55	. ,	17	108	7	95	3	26
DDR														·		10 4240	10		-		. 10	10			
DK	67	20	46	. 7	2	8	6	2			5 100	5				89496	<u> </u>	104	7		282	131	154	刄	2
E	3	2	2		2						3.5					17180	24	6	5	2	9	* * *	8	9	. 4
F	76	3	48	6	1	35	24	9	3		1 40	1				609 \$70390		553	83	1	772	116	610	¥	2
FL	5	1	1		1											7 5540	2	5			10	,	6		,
GB	1	1							1.							18 5640	*	2			19	7	ž		
GR	1					•										75 Ve no	8	8			*	8	8		•
1	13					3	2	1								757 157967	33	233	71	2	291	· 35	254	ול	2
IR																1 640		1			4		1		
Uber trag	295	84	143	14	15	63	39	20	3	1	7 160	7				1909 1233239	403	1465	290	7 2	ZZ]\$	533	1628	307	88

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrpersonalgesetz gegen Auswärtige

im Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr Unternehmer

	Belei	tellang. brung				Na-	arnunge arnunge	ee'd			Ver	AULDEUS AULDEUS	gsgeld			l	elgel di					8			
Land	Nod- tub- cen	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Suhe- zeit	ungen Fahrer Beif.	Ma3~ mah- mer	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	zte Be Lenk- zeit	Stimmu Buhe- zeit	Fahre: Beif.	Haß- calimen Ans./DM	Kontr.	Lenk- zeit	Ruhe- zeit	ngen Fahrer Beif.	Maß- nahmen Ans./DR	Verle Eontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Bube- zejt	Fahrer Beif.	MeA- mehmen	Ver Kontr. Mittel	Lenk- seit	Best Ruhe- seit	Emungon Fahrer Beif.
Über- trag	295	84	子 143	. 14	6	63	39	20	42° 3	S 1	7 160	7	4.		্র	1905 1233239	Loz	1465	290	<i>3</i> 72	2274	533	1628	307	88
IRL	2	2														1000	,	·			5	5			
ľ	11	8	1			5	1	2		1						20960		5	1	7	. 53	刄	28	. 1	7
M	7	3	į	1						,	8					1254;	15	25	4	3	43	18	z	5	,
NL	70	¥8	18	4	1	6	6				80	2	1			73° 455605		439	148	20	811	51	459	152	21
P	1			1		4	1					-		~		1700	3	1			6	•	2	1	
PL																2 70	Z				2	2			
R																1 720									
rsm			,													1 640					, 1				
8	. 3	2	1									·				15 4873	,	6			**	11	7		
SF	3		3	1					-				٠			7960	1	1	1		5	. 1	Ą	2	
su																i, 120	3	1			4	3	1	,	
Uber- trag	392	147	.171	21	16	75	47	22	- 3	2	11 240	9	2			2745 1732612	659	1963	444	%	3223	862	2158	468	114

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrpersonalgesetz gegen Auswärtige im Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr Unternehmer

·	Section	tellung hrung		·		V==-	arnunge arnunge	0.00			Ver	varnun.	sgeld			S	ußgeldb					8 % =			
Land	Ye i-	iverlet	Lenk- Reit	Ruhe- zeit	URREB	:03-	l forle:	zte Be	Buhe- zeit	Fahren Belf.	HaB- nahmen Ans./IM	Verle	zte Be	Ruhe- zeit	ngen Fabrer Beif.	Had- rabses Ass./IN	Verle Kontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Rube- sejt	Fabrer Beif.	Had- nahwer,	Ver Eoatr. Hittel	letzte Lenk- soit	Best Buhe- zeit	Fahrer Seif.
rR	392	147	171	21	16	75	47	22	,	2	11 240		2			2745 1732612	659	1963	444	96	3223	862	. 2158	468	111
ru	1															11 5480		٠,	1		12	7	Ą	1	
																8 4730	2	,	. ,		8	2	7	1	
								·												·					
					·									•											
							·												·					·	
. \																				•					
					·				,											``					
,																									
											.										·				
		·																·							
Summe	393	147	171	21	16	75	47	22	,	2	240		2			2764 1742822.	668	1974	**9	: 96	3243	871	2169	473	114

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrperschalgesetz gegen Auswärtige

im Jahre 1980

Güterkraftverkehr Unternehmer

	Bele!	ellung				Verv	ornunge	geld			Ver	warnung warnung	ageld			В	aßeldb	.				8		-	
Land	Mail- mah- man	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	te Be Lenk- reit	Stimo Rube- zeit	ungen Fahrer Beif.	Nal- nah- men	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	zte Be Lenk- seit	Ruhe- zeit	rabres Beif.	Maß- nahmen Asz./DM	Yerlet Kontr. Mittel	Lenk-	Sube-	Fabrei	Had- nahmen Ans./DM	1	Lenk- neit		Fanrer Beif.	Mail- nahsen	Kontr. Mittel	Lenk-	Beets Bube-	Febrer Be. f.
A	49					13	5	7		1						472 322982		373	86	47	, 53A	57	. 380	86	48
В	75	30	5			2	1	1			1 20	1				195 83915		130	14		233	123	125	14	
СН	46	Ą.	39	1	9	1	1									61 35459		. %	Z	17	108	7	95	3	25
DDR			·						, :							10 4240					10	10			
ЭK	67	20	48	7	Z	7	5	2			80	4	·			196 875%		104	27	·	224	123	54	外	2
E	3	2	2		2											35 10780	25	6	4	2	. 39	25	8	4	5.4
F	76	26	48	6		3 6	24	9	3		1 40	1				608 469670		952	83	1	721	118	609	92	. 2
FL	7	1	1													7 7540	2	5		2	. 10		6		3
GB	11	1														18 5640	i	. 2			19	17	z		
GR	1															15 4810		8			16	81	8		
1	13					3	2	1					•			274 196607	33	232	71	2	290	্য	233	71	2
IR	-															1 (640		1			1		1		
Uber- trag	294	84	143	14	15	62	38	20	3	1	140	6				1892 1225879	. 394	1459	287	71	2254	522	1622	304	57

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrpersonalgesetz gegen Ausvirtige

im Jahre 1980

Güterkraftverkehr Unternehmer

	Belc!	tellung hrung		,		Verv	arnunge arnunge	reld			Ver	warnung warnung	sgeld			i	ußgeldb					8 u a			
Land	riad- nah- nen	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Rube-	ungen Fahrer Beif.	Maß- nah- men	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	zto Be Lenk- zeit	Ruhe- zeit	Fabres Beif.	Maß- nahnen Ans./DM	Verlet Kontr. Hittel	Lenk- zeit	Ruhe- zeit	Pahrer Beaf.	yag- Tepasa Yag-∖IN		Lenk- seit		- 1	NaB- makeen	Ver Kontr. Mgtt.1	11000	Bobo	Fairer Felt.
Über- trag	294	BÅ	143	14	17	62	38	20	3	1	6 140	6				1872 12258?9		1459	287	71	2254	522	1622	304	8
IRL	2	2														3 1000	3				5	5			
L	11		1			5	1	2		1						34 19480	24	23	1	1	50	33	8	1	
N .	7	3	,	,												36 12545	15	ZS	Ą	3	45	18	27	. 5	
NL	70	48	18	,	1	6	6				80	2	2			731 455605	195	439	148	20	811	251	459	152	z
P	1		1	1		1	1									1700	3	1			6	¥	Z	11	
PL																2 70	2				2	2			
R																1 720		1			1		1		
RSM																1 640		. 1			1		1		
s	3	2	1													15 4673	9	6			18	11	7		
SF	3		3	1									•			2 1080	1		1		5	. 1	•	2	
su							-									180	3					3	1		
Uber trag	391	147	171	21	16	74	46	n	3	2	10 720	8	2			यक गरजार	649	1955	41	95	5200	890	2150	465	113

Ordungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrpersonalgesetz gegan Auswärtige im Jahre 1980

Güterkraftverkehr Unternehmer

	l Baras	tellung hrung				N	arnunge	14		··	Ver	varaung varaung	sreld				a36 : ap					8			
Land	Eah- sen	Kontr.	Lenk- zeit	Stigg Ruhe- zeit	Tahren Deif.	Ha0- Hah- Han	Verlet Kontra	zte Be Lenk- zeit	Ruhe- zeit	Fahren Beif.	Maß-	Verlet	zie Be	Ruhe- zeit	ngen Fahrer Beif.	Ma3- nabmen Ams./iM		Lenk-	Ruhe- seit	Fahrer Beif.	NaB- paheen	Ver Eontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Best Bube- seit	Sabrer Set 1.
Uber- trag	351	147	171	21	16	74	46	22	3	2	10 220	8	2			2725 1725712	649	1955	41	95	3200	850	2150	465	113
TR	1		<u> </u> 													11 5480		4	1		12	7	4	1	
¥U			<u> </u>													4730		7	4		. 8	2	7	4	
												·													•
													-												
		- ,												 						·					
					-									-							:				
			<u> </u>																			-			
Summe	392	147	171	21	16	74	46	22	3	2	10 220	8	2			274 1733923	658	1966	446	95	3220	859	2161	470	113

im Jahre 1960

Personenkraftverkehr Unternehmer

	2-1-1	tellung hrung		;		Manu	arnunge arnunge	- N / -			Ver Ver	varnung varnung Verlet	en mit	:		i	engeldb					8 u m			
Land	Ma:3- mab- mer	Kontr.	lenk- zeit	Stime- Nohe- zeit	rahrer Beif.	Na3- nah- nen	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	zte Be Lenk- seit	Ruhe- zeit	Yahre Beif.	Me3- nahmen Anz./DM	Kontr. Kitte	Lenk- zeit	Ruhe- seit	Egen Fabrer Beif.	nabmen nabmen Ans.∕DH	Verle Kontr. Mittel	Lenk-	Rube- zeit	Fabrer Seif.	Naß- naheen	Ver Kontr. Mittel	Lenk-	Bube- zeit	Beif.
A ·	1												•			7 2980	. 2	,	2	1	8	2		2	1
DK						,	1				20	1				.1906	- 6	·			8	8			
E																400	2.		1		2	1		1	
F																720	i	1			,		1		
1																1 1360		1			1		1		
L					~	-										: 148 0	1	,			3	1	2		
			-																				·		
																				·					
					,																				·
-				-	·																				
					·			·														•			
Summe	1					1	1				70	1				20 8840	10	8	3	1	23	12	8	3	1

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrperschalgesetz Beien

im Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr Fahrpersonal

		cliung					arnurg+		 			varnung Varnung				2.	ulgeldb	esche!	20			8 u. a	R e		
Land	Belei Mad- noh- men		Lonk- 2 et t	Puhe-	Frant strak	ind-	Verlet Kostr. Kjttel	rte Se	Pune-	Fanre strek	NE3-	OF AL	Lenk-	Bune-	Fahrt-		1	Lenk- zeit		· • ·	Ked- nehmen	Kontr.	l Lank-i	duba-	Fahr - atrek- ke
A	284					98	80	10	6	2	3071 61420	2012	791	268		3440 733650	2329	1698	467	80	6893	4621	1892	741	8.2
AFG											1 20	1				2 300	2				3	3			
AL											₹ 10	2				300	2			·	4	4			
And			İ													1 150	1				1	1			
В	129	107	28	10		•	3	1			1957 39130	1079	550	218		1183 241723	8 58	620	184	3	3273	2047	1529	412	,
BG	7					,					94 1880	91	3			27 5450	14	15	2		131	105	18	2	:
СН	6		2	4	1	22	5	3	14		389 7790	216	146	27	 	272 70232	23	198	71	49	a. 689	244	349	116	46
CS .	5										34 680	29	3	2		21 2570	14	6	. 1		60	43	9	3	
CY			į													1 250	1				1	1			
DDR	4	4				1	1				104 2080	90	14			25 1370	23	7			137	118	21	,	
DK	65	51	15	4	1	46	43	3			627 12530	390	179	58		988 201(73	683	573	181	2	1725	1173	570	243	3
E	12	8	3	1		6	6				139 2780	130	9			171 28410		14	7	7	328	251	క	8	: 7
Uber- trag	512	170	48	19	2	180	138	17	20	2	6418 12834)		1205	57?		6136 1285478		2531	913	139	13246	8451	131	1525	143

mexe 5.6.1

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrperschalgesetz gegen anderenge im Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr Fahrpersonal

	Pele	tellung hrung	•			Very	ernunge ernungs	celd			Ver	varbung varbung	sgeld		:	l	udgeldb					Sue	• •		
Land	Sed- noh- non	Verlet Montr. Mittel	Lerk- zeit	seit zeit	rakrt strek ke	792- 292-	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Ruhe- zeit	ngen Fahrt strek ke	Mas- nahmen Ans./DM	Yerle: Kontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Rube- zeit		l		Lenk- reit		Pabrt- atrek- ke	Ka3- nahmen	Kontr.	Lenk-	l Bube-	Fabrt- ntrek- ke
ber- trag	512	170	48	19	2	180	138	. 17	20	2	6418 128340	. 4040	1805	573		6136 1285478	4103	2331	913	139	13246	8451	4201	1525	14
P	224	88	131	49	1	94	72	16	4	1	1207 24160	477	591	157		10 8 0 339745		757		1	2605	1001	1495	359	!
PL.											17 340	12	3	2		75 3090	i -		,	4	¥	15	7	9	1
3B	17	16	1			1	1			•	16A 3280	105	51			87 1603A		zz		. 1	269			16	1
3R	刄	·				,	9	·		-	475 9500	391	55	29		165 88177		74	44		983	785	129	73	
Ħ	.1										21 420	18	3		4	7 1050	7			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	29	25	5		
I	166				•	70	5	5		:	3480 69600	1726	1287	465		2746 951683	1611	817	396	1	6422	7554	2109	861	1
IR	1) 5 100	3	2			16 2890	16	*			z	19	3	-	
IRL	1	1									11 220	6	5			5010	19	9	-		X	శ	14		
JOR											80	3	1			750	2			1	7	. 5	•		†
CT																30	ž				. 2	2			· -
6	4	z	3			6	3	2	1		68 1360	_	23	12		13420	30	28	5		. 126	68	55	18	
ber- trag	960	zn	183	68	3	320	248	10	25	3	11879 257400	6816	3826	1226		10630 230722	6818	4043	1542	150	23780	14159	8092	2861	156

nnexe 5.6.2

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahre ersonalgesetz gegen Auswertige im Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr Fahrpersonal

,	Bel+	tellung arung				Very	arnunge arnungs	geld				varnun; varnun;	sgeld			3	nAgeldb					S 11 2	5.	·	
Land	nah- zer	Kontr. Mittel	Le-k-	Buke-	Fabre	pap-	Verlet Kontr. Kittel	Lenk-	l Rube-	d Febru	Naß- nahmen Anz./DM	Nontr Mitte	zte 3	stimou	rahrt- strek- ke	Ma8- nabmes Ans./IM	Verle Kontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Pube-	Fahrt- strek- ke	Ka3-	Kontr.	Lenk-	Rabe-	Fabrt- strek- ke
Uber- trag	960	277	183	68	3	320	248	40	5	. 3	1187 237400		3825	1226		10630 2307227	6818	4043	1542	150	23780	14159	8092	2861	19
Liber							,									1 59	1				1	1			
H											1 20	1				400	1	1	·		3	2	1		
MC					·											1 200	1				1	1			
N	3	2	1	1		2	2				138 2760	99	31			.176 72461	126	53	12	4	319	229	85	21	Ļ
NL	333	221	96	49	5	90	47				3637 72740	1857	1465	323	-	2284 523165	1445	895	287	25	6304	3570	2460	659	31
P	. 2		Z	ę							28 950	25	•			17 2750	15	2			ta ta	38	9	. 4	
PL	2	4				2	2				19 380	16	;			10 380	7	4	4		33	29	7	4	
R	5	·					ž				20 400	20				25 4990	22	3			! 80	15	3		j
rsm			/								z 40	1	. 1			5 680	Ļ		1		7	5	1	1	
s	8	7	1	-		1	1			·	194 3880	164	n	8		90 15273	63	27	6	1	295	255	90	14	1
SF	1	1				. 1	1			,	85 1700	68	15	1	·	46 7440	30	16	4	2	133	100	32	5	2
Über trag	1314	512	283	119	8	376	301	ĻĻ,	5	. 3	15994 317380	9065	.5369	1566		13285 2895076	8 5333	504	1856	183	30969	18 111	10740	7566	194

im Jahre 1980

Güter- u. Personenkraftverkehr Fahrpersonal

· 1	Belei	ollerg/				Verv	rnunge	geld			Ver	vernung Vernung	ageld				ag&éJqp					Sua			
Land	282- 248	Vorlet: Kontr- Mittel	Leta- Leta- Lei:	stima suise- zeit	Fairt Strek ke	hal- nah- nen	Verlet Kentr. Mittel	zte Be Lenk- zeit	Rube- zeit	rgen Fahrt- atrek- ke	1	Verlet Kontra Mittel				Maß- nahmen ins./[M		Lenk- zeit			X43+ nahmen				Fahrt strek ke
Uber- trag	1314	512	285	119	8	376	301	4	5	3	15994 313880	9065	5369	1566		13285 2895376	8533	5044	1856	183	30969	18411	10740	3566	191
SYR						2	.2				60	, 3				650	4	·			9	9	• •	·	
su						2	2				24 460	22	2			13 495			2		77	37	2	2	
TN	-		-								1 20	,									1	1			
TR	Z 7		.3			5	5				281 5620	250	25	7	-	368 58080	750	20	6		681	605	44	13	
YU						14	10				412 8240	315	62	5		221 11035	174	46	29		655	499	108	64	
					:																				
																									Ŀ
	-		-			,				·	·						,							·	
									÷					,						·					
																				-					
Summe	1349	- 512	283	119	8	399	320	44	25	3	16715 334300	1	5457	1608		13891 2995336	9074	5110	1893	183	32354	19562	1089	3545	19

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren nach dem Fahrpersona geretz gegen aus 4.

Güterkraftverkehr Fahrpersonal

		tellung	/				87021184					warnung warnung		:		3	ongeldo:					ă u e			
Land	Hele! Haff-, nah- zen	11/11/23 44	Lenk-	hube- keit	Tabrt strek	2.4	Verlet Kostr. Mittel	274 50	Ruhe- zeit	Fahrt- strek ke	4.0-	IVAT LAL	2 . Be	Suhe- zeit	Egen Fahrt- strek- ke	MaG- rahmen Ans./DM	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	lenk- seit	Ruko-	Fahrt- strek- ke	Ka E- Balben	Kentr.	Lenk-	Zuhe-	Fabrt- atrek- ke
A	284					96	78	10	6	. 2						3402 727575	2293	1095	466	80	3782	2371	1105	472	82
AFG											′					2 300	2				2	2			
AL											,					300	2				2	2			
And											-					. 150	4				1	1			
В	129	107	28	1		1	3	1			1 20	1				1183 241723	858	620	181	3	1317	969	649	194	3
BG	7					,										77 5450	14	15	2		77	14	15	2	
СН	6		,		1	21	,	3	14							271 70132	22	198	71	47	250	25	203	89	48
cs	4															19 2270		6	1		25	15	6	1	
CY																1 250	. 1				1	1			
DDR	4	ł,				1	1									28 1370	2 3	7			55	28	7		
DK	65	51	15		1	46	43	3			69 1380	1	6	1		973 199073	674	373	181	2	1153	830	397	186	3
E	12	8	3	1		6	6									162 27160	138	14	7	7	180	152	17	8	7
Uber-		170	48	19	2	177	155	17	a	2	70 1400	63	6	1		6071 1275693	4840	2328	917	139	6829	4408	2399	952	143

Annexe 5.7.

im Jahre 1980

Güterkraftverkehr Fahrpersonal

	Bele	tellung hrung	-	•		V . T .	arnunge arnungs	reld.			Ver	varnung varnung	szeld			ł	ulgel db	esche!	de			* " -		• '•• •	
Land	Hai- neh- zen	Yerlet Zontra Mittel	ite Be Leak- leeft	Pahe- cels	Fabrt	29h-	Veriet Kontr. Mittel	Ite be Lenk- zeit	Ruhe- seit	Fahrt Strek ke	He3- sahsen Ans./LY	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	ite 3e Lenk- zeit	Zuhe- zeit	Fahrt- strek- ke	l .	Verle Rontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Rube- zeit	Fahrt- strek- ke	Keß- nehmen	Ver Kontr. Nittel	le:ste Leck- zeit	Rube- zeit	Fahrt- strek- ke
Uber- trag	511	170	48	19	2	177	135	17	20	. 2	70 1400	63	6	1		6071 12 7 5693	1010	2328	912	139	6829	4408	2399	952	14
Y	223	87	131	49	1	93	71	16		1	S 60	2				1076 339145	754	757	168	1	1394	524	904	221	
FL				,												15 3090	3	Ą	7	. 4	15	3	4	7	
GB	17	*	. 1		, 24 <u>1.</u>	,	25 1				•					87 16034	73	22	. 8	1	105	90	23	8	
GR	3 4				·	9	. 9									456 86927	376	74	¥		499	75	74	44	·
Ħ	1															7 1050	7	,			8	7			
1	166					70	5	5	·							2733 549003	1800	815	3%	4	2929	1825	820	7%	
IR	1															16 2890	16	1			IJ	*	1		
IRL	1	1								•						5010	19	9			&	20	9		
JOR																3 350	2			ŧ	3	. 2			
KT								4	·							2 300	2				2	Z		-	
L	4	2	3			6	3	Ş	1							. 44 12410	29	75	5		54	外	30	6	
Uber- trag	958	276	183	68	3	316	244	- 40	ಶ	3	72 1460	65	6	1		10555 22919 02	6731	4035	1540	150	11881	7316	4264	1634	19

nnexe 5.7.

Ordnurgswidtschaften-Verfillen zurbigen Fehrungen bis der abstigt im 1186 im Jahre 1980

Güterkraftverkehr Fahrpersonal

	1 =	in 110 g				h	ardunge ernynds	4			Yer Ver	VALTUURS	en al	;		I	ungēlab					Suz			
			Lerk-	Ruhe- neit	ur can	1	ler.	21. 50	Stimme Ruhe- zeit	ranri strek ke	Ma3- sabres ks./IX	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	zte Bo Leak- zuit	Pube- zeit	ngen Fahrt- sirek- ke	He8- nehmen Asz.∕ÇK	Verle Kontr. Mittel	Lenk-	Rune-	Fabrt- sirek- ke	Na3- nahnen	Ver Kontr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Besti Ruhe- zeit	Fahrt strek ke
Uber- trag	958	275	18	68	1	316	244	40	25	3	72 1460	i		3 1	-	10535 22 9 1902	6731	4075	1540	150	11881	7316	1261	1634	1
Libar																. 150	1				1	1		·	
н	* .															2 400	1_1	1		·	2	1	1	•	
N	3	2	1	1		2	2				80	i,	·			775 32311	125	53	12	į.	184	133	5 4	13	1
NL	332	220	95	49	5	49	46	4			159 3380		7	9		2271 522215	1441	892	287	2 5	2827	1830	1067	345	3
P	2		2	1												16 2500	1%	2			18	74	4	. 1	
PL	z	4			 	2	2				·				·	10 380	7	i,	ş		14	13	Ą	ţ	
R	5				-					-						23 4950	22	3			28	12	3	·	
RSM				<u> </u>												680	į		1		5		•	1	
s	A	- 7	1	-	<u> </u>	١,	1				\$ 80	1				86 14723	i	27	. 6	1	99	. 71	28	6	7
87	1	1				1	1					- 7				6690		15		2	43		15	4	2
STR			ļ - -		 	2	2									650	4				6	6			
Vber- trag	1	510	961	110		₹71	298	44	25	,	243 5000	166	В	4		13175 2877651		5032	1854	183	15108	9409	5440	2008	194

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren mach dem Fahrpersonalgesetz gegen Auswärtige im Jahre 1980

Güterkraftverkehr Fahrpersonal

	Select	rallung heung				l.a	arnunge arnunga	ee'd			V	varnun; varnun;	hear			!	uigelds					Sus			
Land	men	Verlet Kontr. Mittel	zte Se Lesk- zeit	2.2e- 201t	Fahrt- streh ke	16.5- 15.15- 201	Verlat Kontra Mittel	zte Ec Lenk- zeit	Rube- zeit	Fabrt Strek ke	Man- nehzen Anz./EM	Kontr. Mitte	nte Se Lenk- zeit	Ruhe- zeit	Fahrt- strek- ke	<u> </u>	Kostr. Mittel	Lenk- zeit	Eelt Bare-	ungen Fahrt- strek- ke	Ka3- nahnen	Ver Kostr. Mittel	letzte Lenk- zeit	Best 4 Ruhe- zeit	Fabrt- strek- ke
Über trag	1	510	283	119	8	373	298	44	25	. 3	249 5000		8	1 10		13175 2877651	1	5032	1854	163	15108	9409	5140	2008	194
SU						2	2									13 495			z	·	15	15		2	
TR	7		·			5	5				,					368 58080		20	6		400	355	20	6	
YU	8					14	10		3		·					220 - 40835		45	25		242	161		29	
		ļ															-			- 1					
	16											-													
				-																			·		
													-												
							4.													•					
																						,			
Summe	1346	510	283	119	8	394	315	44	5	,	249 5080	ļ	8	10		13776 2977061	8972	5097	1891	183	15765	9963	5505	2045	194

nnexe 5.7.

Ordnungswidrigkeiten-Verfahren tach dem Fahrpersonalgesetz gegen sussartige. im Jahre 1980

Personenkraftverkehr Fahrpersonal

	Sino Bel:	tellung	/			Verw	arnunge	n ohne	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····	Yer Ver	varnun(en mit			ł	ußgeldt					5 u z	• •		
Land	Fus- tel- sen	Kontr. Mittel	Long Long zeit	Ruhe- zeit	Fahrt strek ke	cah-	Verlet Kontr. Kittel	Lenk- seit	Ruhe- zeit	Fahrt- strek- ke	Mad- nahsen Anz./Di	Wittel	Lenk- zeit	aticz:	Fahrt- strek- ke	Mo3- nahoen Ans./LX	Verle Kontr. kittel	ienk- zejt	Subo- zeit	Fahrt- strek- ke	Had-	Ver Kontr. Mittel	letzte Lenk- zei:	Sesti Ruhe- zeit	Fahrt- strek- ke
A			1			2	2									38 6175	×	3	1		\$	38	3	1	
CH		 				1	1									1 100	1				2	2			
CS	1					,		-								300					3	Z			
DK											80	2	2			2000	1 40				19	17	2	•	
£																9 1250	9				9	9			
F	1	1				1	1	1								600	3		1		6	5		1	
GR				-	•											1250	9			·	9				
1											,					13 2580		2		ā	13	11	Z		
L											•					1010	1	3			4	1	3		
МС													:			200	1				9	1			
N							·									150	1				1	1			
NL	1	1				4	1				1 20		1			950	Ą	5			10	6	į		·
Uber- trag	3	2				9	5				5 100	2	3			104 16625	93	11	2		117	102	14	2	

Personenkraftverkehr Fahrgerschal

	315.6°	inia Selasy Selas	7		·		uraun je Venur 15	n ohna reld	,		7e- 7e- 7e-		en mis kazeld			i	afgeld.				<u> </u>	Sus	3 •		
Land	5-1 5-1),1 (4 f f . (1 f f f)	17	7.ae-	ingen Fatet Isores Ito	7 1 1 - 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Kontr.	Loni- Loni-	Ruhe-	ngen Fahrt strek ke	Moi- sauces Ass./EM	Kantr. Mittel	Lesk- zeit	20he- 20it	rahrt- atrek- ke	Nob- rahter Ans./Sh	Farle Eastr. Fittel	Lenk-	Rube- zeit	Fabri- atrek- ke	Made Balaca	Kostr.	Lenk-	auhe-	Fabrt- strek- ke
Uber trag			4			5	5				100	z	3	3		104 16675	93	17	2		117	102	14	2	
P		<u> </u>					 					<u> </u>				1 150	1				- 1	1			
8																550	4				4	4			
SF																5 750	i,	1			5	, 4	1		
¥Ü																200 200		1			1		. 1 1		
														-											
																							,		
		1																							
		i																							
		<u> </u>						÷ ,																	
	1																							-	
ວັນສາຄອ	;		2			5	5	i			5 100	2	,	,		115 18275	10 Z	13	2	,	128	111	16	2	

nnexe 5.8.2

NETHERLAND Infringements by country of origin

Art.	В	DK	D	UK	F	IRL	I	L	Non-member countries
. 7.1	32	7	17	_	· 12	-	30	. -	2
7.2/3	40	′ 15	57	1	16	1	28	6	7
7.4.	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
11.1	54	16	54	1	18	1	21	-	6
11.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
11.4	_	· - .	_	-	-		-	-	_
12	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
14.1	≾. 2	_	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	_
14.2	-	-	-	-	-	· -	_	-	-
14.4/16	68	10	93	10	4	8	54	2	9
15.1	-	· -	_	_	-		-	_	_
15.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Total	196	48	221	12	50	10	133	8	24

Annex 6.2

NETHERLANDS

Total number of official reports drawn up and amount of penalties for goods and passenger transport operations, broken down by nationality:

COUNTRY	Offical report	Infringements	Penalties	Including:
NETHERLANDS	11.101	41039	2.336.205	386 cases in which no act
BELGIUM	77	196	1.750	37 cases in which no acti 31 cases transferred
DENMARK	20	48	41 45	19 cases in which no acti taken 1 case transferred
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY	61	221	900	51 cases in which no acti taken 3 cases transferred
UNITED KINGDOM	4	12	500	3 cases in which no actio
FRANCE	23	50		17 cases in which no act taken 6 cases transferred
IRELAND	1 3	10 .		3 cases in which no action taken
ITALY	16	133		15 cases in which no acti taken 1 case transferred
LUXEMBOURG	1	2		1 case in which no action taken
NON-MEMBER COUNTRIES	8	24,	200	7 cases transferred
FOTAL	11.314	41.735	2.339.555	539 cases in which no act taken 42 cases transferred to t foreign authorities responsible

FRANCE Roadside check

- Nationality	Number of infringements detected	Number of infringement for which official report made out
 France	109.602	91.3 99
Federal Republic of Germany	466 1	346
Belgium	888	! ! 731
Denmark	20	18
United Kingdom	492	204
Netherlands	368	209
Luxembourg	55	28
Italy	1.505	1.188
Ireland	49	29
Non-member countrie:	1.138	871
TOTAL	114.613	95.023

ITALY

Infringements detected in 1980:

		Re	gulation	(EEC) No	543/69	3		
Infrin	gement	Goods tran	sport	Pa	ssenger	Alexander (TOTAL	
		nationals	forei- gners	national	forei- gners	regular	occasional	·
Art	5	3.292	596	5.118	46	410	1.263	10.725
Ar t	6	2.730	168	79	36	4	-	3.017
Ant	7 - 8	10.362	283	224	101	23	11	11.004
Art	11 - 12	5.449	492	201	31	6	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	6.179
Art	14 - 15	85.631	9.260	862	53	284	57	96.147
	٠,٠	107.464	10.799	6.484	267	727	1.331	127.072

FRANCE

A) Checks at operators' premises:

1) Overall indicators:

	,
Number of crews checked	41.045
Number of discs analyzed (1) = No of days of transport operations	492.546
Number of infringements detected (2)	363.432
Number of infringements on which action taken	91.710

- (1) Total number of discs analyzed manually by the Factory Inspectors (Transport) and the two analysis centers (SABINE)
- (2) Including selector switching errors

2) Breakdown of infringements of Regulation (EEC) No 543/69

Exceeding maximum driving period	Continuous	22.290
	Daily	44.710
1. fig. 1. s. s. s. s. s. s. s. s. s. s. s. s. s.	Weekly	4.935
	Over 14 days	2.696
Inadequate or no daily res	t period	72.899
	TOTAL	147.530

FRANCE

3. Breakdown of infringements of Regulation (EEC) No 1463/70

Failure to keep discs	4061
Use of unsuitable or non-approved discs	2155
Incomplete data on record sheets -centre of discs not filled in	5850
Selector switching error	203836
TOTAL	215902

B) Roadside checks:

Number of	crews checked			639 846
	discs analyzed = numboperations checked	+ 25 E	-	2.140 653
Number of	infringements detecte	:d		114 613
Number of	infringements on whic	h action	taken :	95 023

Penalties imposed by the courts in 1980 1

Fines

Amount (FF)	Number	%
Less than FF 40	4.280	16,1 %
FF 41 to FF 100	8.872	33,5 %
FF 101 to FF 160	4.969	18,7 %
FF 161 to FF 220	4.492	17 %
more than FF 220	3.898	14,7 %
	26.481	100 %

(1) Action taken on official reports drawn up by the Factory Inspectors (Transport) and Road Traffic Controllers. The action taken on official reports drawn up by other inspectors (police/gendarmeries) is not known.

UNITED KINGDOM

Vehicles inspected (goods and passenger), broken down by nationality:

UК	₿	, D	DK	F	I	IRL	L	NL	non- member countr	TOTAL
199.775	169	508	308	913	113	1365 .	11	1378	754	205.292

Infringements detected:

Articles	UK	В	D	DK	F	I	IRL	L	ML	non- membe count	TOTAL
Art 6 450 km	and the second	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Art 7-1	310	-	-	-	• 1 in	-	121 7 ,	-	-	-	310
Art 7-2/4	1381	1	-	•	7.	4	19	-	3	1	1416
Art 8	102	-	-	2	3	-	4	-	-	1	112
Art 11	% 89	1	1	vigiti. ■	11%	2	12	**	2	1	119
Art 12	39	18 -	-	-	-	-	-	<u>(</u> 44)	_ t.,	3	39
Art 141	2814	2	_	1	2	2	167	1	3	11	3003
Art.14.2	5099	1	1	1	9	1	72	-	2	27	5 2 13 ⁽ 2
Art.14.3	159	-	-	•		440	-		-		159
Art 15.1	1	-	•	-	1	-	12.44 	- -	-	*** ****	1
Art.15.2	45 .	-		Î	7 <u>1-</u>	-	-	1	-	. 2	2
TOTAL	9994	5	2	4	32	9.	274	1	10	43	10374

Denmark

Infringements and penalties imposed on employer in connection with Regulation (EEC) No 543/69 in 1980

Nature of infri- Penalty ngement	Article 7	Article	Article 14	Article 15	Articles 7 and 11 1	Total
Employers				3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Written warning	0	1	0	0	0	1
Fine of DKR 200	0	0 .	0	1	0	1
300	0	0	1	0	0	1
500	0	1	0	0	0	1
600	1	3	21		0	26
750	0.	0	1	0	0	1
800	0	1	0	0	Ö	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
1000	0	4	0	0	4	. 8
1200	0	1.	ø	0	2	3
1500	1 មួន ។ ខ្លួនទៅ	3	0	0	0	4
2000	0	2	, Ô	0	8	10
3000	0	0 -	0	0	1	, 1
TOTAL NUMBER OF OPERATORS	2	16	23	2	15	58

DENMARK Infringements and penalties imposed on drivers in connection with Regulation (EEC) No 543/69 in 1980

		\$. 5 5			
Nature of infri- ngement	Article	Article	Article 14	Article 15	Articles 7 and 11	Total
Drivers			(#4) 200	(A) 15 (A) 整 (A) 25 (A) 整 (表) 26 (A)	"如果的情况" 在可懂的人	
Written warning	0 & 	0	0	0	0	0
Fine of DKR 200	0	3	5	1	4	13
250	0	0	1	0	0	1
300	0		1	0	Z yllsyn Coele	12 ·
400	Ó	0		0	3	4
500	0	2 	0	0	7	9
600	1 8年 (東京)	3	0	0	6	10
1000	0 . 15.	2	0	0	0	∜2 ′
TOTAL NUMBER OF DRIVERS	1	14	8	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2	51
TOTAL NUMBER OF DRIVERS AND OPERATORS	3	30	31	3. ************************************	42	109

UNITED KINGDOM Penalties

Article	Oral warnings	Written warnings	Immobilization	Cases prosecuted	Total fines
Art. 6	-	-	· -		h :
Art. 7.1	13	209	-	74	
7.2/3	40	762	32	566	
Art. 8	- 1	11	7	90	33.528
Art. 11	3	4	23	77	1
Art. 12		19		21	
Art. 14.6	428	223	193	1374)
14.8	715	1025	80	3226	184.538
14.7	2	92	7.1 a = .5 .5	44	
	, ir-	the second			
TOTAL	1201	2345	335	5472	218.066