# The economic implications of demographic change in the European Community: 1975-1995 

Part 2: Technical annex



[^0]The economic implications of demographic change in the Community

1975-1995
ii) Annexes to the main report.
Pres
Annexes to Chapter 2

1. The conceptual dofinition and analysis of activity mbes. ..... 1
2. The effects of the nressure of demand and estimates of trend levels in 1968 and 1975. ..... 6
3. Traditional and saturation levels of activity rates. ..... 19
4. The maturity of the processes of change in activity rates and likely future chances. ..... 37
5. A note on activity rates in the are group 15-19. ..... 55
6. Activity rates for males aged 60-64 in Germany 1975-85. ..... 62
7. The sensitivity of forecasts to traditional and saturation levels and the rate of develomment of processes. ..... 64
8. Alternative projections for female activity rates in Belcium. ..... 66
Annex to Chapter 4.
9. Migration in the Community - some econometrio relationships. ..... 68
10. The public finance implications of demographic change. ..... 75

## a. The conceptual definition of activity rates

 of persons in employment or seeking work, that is the number of economically active. This is not however an unambiguous definition. The desire, indeed the necessity, of statistical offices to have an objective definition requires that precise interpretations be put on the terms 'in employment' and 'seeking work'. A number of questions will illustrate the difficulties.(i) is a person who works in a shop for all or part of a single day of the week (but on a regular basis), but who is principally economically inactive (eg in full-time education, or retired), to be classed as 'in employment' or 'economically inactive'?
(ii) is anyone who claims to have sought work in a particular reference period to be regarded as economically active, regardless of whether or not the job application was made through official channels or involved more than reading newspaper advertisements? (iii) does a person have to seek work actively to be economically active? thought co be tne result of statistical differences which can be resolved by reference to an objective definition of 'in employment or seeking work'. In case (i) above, the answer would generally be 'in employment' for the purposes of defining activity rates only if the classification of economic activity is not into mutually exciusive rategories. And yet the person, although primarily inactive, is supplying labour. In case (ii), ore of ihe distinctions is between the total number registered as unemployed and the total number acitally sceking work. Res.siered urviny loyment in most countries is an administrative count rela..ed to the sneial security system
in operation; the 'lesser' degrees of unemploynent for example, first-job seekers and casual workers) are often excluded, either explicitly or implicitly, because these groups have very limited entitlement to benefits. But it is widely recognised that these 'fringe groups' vary as a proportion of the population when the pressure of demand for labour varies, and in total are identified as the 'unregistered unemployed'. Labour force surveya have been set up to supplement the relatively infrequent (and expensive) Censuses of Population in order to be able to include these groups as economically active because they are actively seeking work. Estimates of economic activity are based on responses by a sample of households to questions such as 'did you work or actively seek work in the period (week/month) ending...?'

How inclusive the estimates will be depends on the interpretation placed on the word 'actively'. Analyses of activity rates in the UK and the US have concluded that estimates of activity rates derived from responses to similar 'objective'questions do vary with the pressure of demand for labour. The explanation is that individual replies by those currently out of work will be conditioned by their experience of jub search, the duration-to-date of their current spell of unemployment and the labour force status of the head of household. In particular, where job search has become relatively infrequent because there are few vacancies to be considered, individuals may not have actively searched in the reference period.

But it would be extremely difficult to assess the value of responses to a question such as 'if you thought a job might be available, would you search for it?'; it would indeed be a statistician's nightmare. Conceptually, however, it is only a definition of activity rates of this nature, which sought to eliminate the effect of the current pressure of demand on responses, which could provide an indication of the 'trend' or 'potential' levels of activity rates.
5. By implication, any actual set of observed activity rates must be seen in the context of their conjunctural situation. This means that observed trends in activity rates between two dates cannot necessarily be assumed to reflect trends in potential activity rates.

## b. Alternative methods of analysis

6. As an alternative to simple trend extrapolation, more recent work on forecasts of activity rates has been concerned to identify the role of the pressure of demand in determining observed levels of activity rates. Explicityy or implicitly; the analyses have been based on the following association:

$$
a r_{i t}=f(t)+\alpha I_{t}
$$

where $a r_{i t}$ is the activity rate for demographic group $i$ at time $t$, $f(t)$ a function of $t$ (generally a simple linear trend) and $I_{t}$ an indicator of labour market pressure. Because of a paucity of data, the analyses either presume an association for each demographic group or consider only the crude (aggregate) activity rate.
7. Recent movements in activity rates as monitored through the Community's survey, particularly for females, have not accorded with the models as defined by conventional wisdom. Historically, it has been argued that demographic factors play a small part in determining medium-term changes in activity rates and that in any case they can be proxied by a linear trend. It is then the economic factors which dominate. Mincer proposed many years ago that activity rates depended on the pressure of demand through two forces, acting in opposite ways; when the pressure of demand declined, those out of work became discouraged by unsuccessful job search and leave the labour force whilst those previously inactive would be stimulated to enter the labour force when household income fell and, in particular, when the head of household was out of work. On balance, the empirical evidence showed that activity rates moved procyclically so that the "discouragement" effect was strongest. But recent changes, showing sharp rises in female activity rates despite the large increases in unemployment, are only consistent with a dominance of the "added worker" effect; such a switch in the dominant
factor only makes sense if there is a threshold level of unemployment above which the "discouragement" effect becomes smaller and the "added worker" effect becomes larger, that is, the two responses are non-linear.
8. An alternative explanation could be provided by cohort analysis of activity rates. The analysis does not however shed any light directly on the causes of the changes but merely points out that cohort rates have risen rapidly (i.e. the observed changes are not composition effects) and that it would be unreasonable to project the "trends". However, there is a presumption that declining fertility rates and the resultant lower child dependency rates would eventually have an effect on female labour force participation.
9. In an ongoing study for the Commission, Tarling and Zighera are analysing the cohort pattern of change for activity rates which can be derived from the Community surveys. The aim is to produce a model which permits a separation of the effects of major demographic and social changes on activity rates as well as separating out the cyclical element of activity rate change.
10. The two other areas where trends in activity rates are difficult to analyse are in those age groups where economic inactivity is a consequence of education participation or retirement. Those age groups affected by retirement have trends which result from government decisions about the coverage of, eligibility to and statutory age for pension entitlements. But the effects of retirement are felt in age groups below the statutory age of pension entitlement as a reault of the extension of private insurance or occupational schemes. Very little modification of orthodox methods of forecasting appear feasible; it is only poasible to take explicit account of proposed and/or expected changes.
11. Where education is an important alternative to economic activity, some more detailed analysis is necessary. It is not evident that, for all countries, the categories of economic aotivity and full-time education are mutually exhaustive; particularly for married women, but not only for this group, there are other inactive groups. Education, like retirement, is affected direotly by government deciaions. Raising the minimum age for leaving
compulsory education and decisions about the supply of places in further education have to be included explicitly; simple trend prediction is obviously unsatisfactory. However, although in most countries forecasts take these factors into account, it is apparent that the assumption is one of excess demand for educational places so that the most appropriate way to predict labour force participation amongst the age group 15-24 is to deduct the supply of educational places from the total population in the relevant age group. Certainly in the longer term and probably in the medium term, this assumption appears less plausible than it used to be. Returns to further education (either in terms of wider opportunities or of significant wage differentials) are now smaller than they have been and the postwar increases in real incomes have enabled a majority of households to take a decision about whether or not to encourage their children to continue in full-time education. Thus the presumption that there remains potential growth in demand for educational places in excess of the demographic change can realistically be questioned.

## c. "Saturation" levels of activity rates

12. For each age/sex/marital status group, there are limits to the level of activity rate which can be achieved. In younger age groups, the limit is determined primarily by the extent to which further full-time education is available or is demanded. Long term planning for the number of places available is based on government expenditure plans, these being dependent on resource availability, and influenced by industrial demand for qualified entrants to the labour force and perhaps also the social demends for the educational services. In the medium term, the number of places is flexible, varying with the course mix, utilisation of teaching staff and buildings, and subject also to the stringency of the application of entry requirements. Differences between educational systems in the different countries mean that the choice between employment and education does not affect agespecific activity rates in all countries in the same way. But, these differences apart, likely future levels of activity rates can be determined in relation to the number of places demanded; the number of places supplied will only affect aotivity rates if there is excess demand with supply exogenously constrained or at least not likely to respond to excess demand.

Table 1 compares data on crude female activity rates from OECD labour force statistics and from the Comunity Surveys. Year by year comparison of the changes suggests that, whilst the levels differ according to countiy definition (particularly for Germany, Belgium and Denmark), the two sources give a very similar picture of changes for all countries except for Germany.

Changes in activity rates for women have differed between countries but one general feature can be observed : whatever the trend during the $1960^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, the recent changes in female activity rates are all above the apparent: trend increase. This occurred despite the higher average levels of unemployment in the $1970^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, and in particular the high levels of 1974-5.

Variations in the pressure of demand are shown for each country in graph 1 using two indicators, the deviation of industrial production from its log trend and the level of unemployment. Tie graph shows the coincidence of booms in 1963-64 and the dramatic reductions in production beginning in 1974 and deepening in 1975.

The activity rates for women, measured either on OECD definitions or taken from the Comunity's survey, do not show much systematic relation with these cyclical indicators. Some regressions on OECD data are shown in table 2. Although some of the coefficients on the pressure of demand, particularly when using uncmployment as an indicator, turn out to be significanc, the effect is never very etrong and would withour exception give a highly inaccurate prediction for 1975.

|  |  | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Э | Gernany | 49.2 | 49.3 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 48.5 | 47.5 | 47.7 | 48.0 | 48.1 | 48.1 | 48.2 | 48.7 | 48.7 | 48.7 |  |
| $\xrightarrow{6}$ | France |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |  | 46.1 | 46.6 | 47.2 | 48.0 | 48.5 | 49.0 | 49.6 |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{0}_{\substack{0 \\ 0 \\ 0}}^{0}$ | Italy | 35.4 | 33.5 | 32.1 | 31.1 | 29.6 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.4 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 28.4 | 29.0 | 29.5 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 4 \\ H \end{array}$ | Belgiun | 37.6 | 37.5 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.6 | 39.0 | 39.4 | 40.3 | 40.3 | 40.9 | 41.4 | 42.5 | 43.6 |  |  |
|  | United Kingdon | 49.6 | 49.6 | 50.3 | 51.0 | 51.9 | 51.4 | 51.6 | 52.0 | 52.1 | 50.7 | 51.4 | 53.3 | 54.6 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | Ireland |  |  |  |  | 35.2 | 35.0 | 34.9 | 34.7 | 34.3 | 34.0 | 33.5 | 33.2 | 33.0 |  |  |
| E | Denmark |  |  |  | 49.3 |  | 55.1 |  | 57.2 | 58.0 | 59.3 | 61.0 | 61.9 | 63.2 |  |  |
|  | Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40.3 | 40.6 | 41.0 | 41.5 |  | 47.0 |  | 47.4 |  |
|  | France |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46.6 | 47.0 | 47.1 | 47.0 |  | 48.1 |  | 50.8 |  |
|  | Italy |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29.9 | 29.6 | 29.3 | 29.4 |  | 27.0 |  | 28.6 |  |
|  | Belgium |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.6 | .34.4 | 34.6 | 35.8 |  | 37.2 |  | 40.2 |  |
|  | Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51.3 |  | 55.5 |  |
|  | Ireland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32.4 |  |
|  | Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56.1 |  |
|  | Netherlands |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.3 |  |  |  |  | 24.6 |  | 26.4 |  |


5.

It is worth noting that those countries with ncgative time trends for crude activity rates all have laige and declining agricultural sectors; in Germany, over $10 \%$ of women employed were in agriculture in 1973, in Italy in 1973 the share is $20 \%$, and it was over $20 \%$ in Ireland in 1971. Activity rates for Germany and Italy were recalculated excluding agricultural activity; the regressions were then rerun for these two countries. The results show some improvement with a more significant coefficient for both Germany and Italy.
6.

Only for Germany and the UK do the results show consistent results for both indicators (that is, with a negative sign on unemployment and a positive sign on deviations of industrial production about its trend); in the UK, unemployment appears to be the better indicator whereas in Germany industrial production performs better. The coefficient on unemployment is negative and significant for Italy but the coefficient on industrial production has the 'wrong' sign. Industrial production is a significant factor for Irela d and has a coefficient of the 'correct' sign for Belgium; for both countries, the coefficient on unemployment has the 'wrong' sign but is not significant. The only country for which the results are consistently 'perverse' (in the sense that the 'added worker' effect dominates) is France, but neither coefficient is significant.

Thble 2 : Regressions foz pressure of demand effect on female crude activity rates (t-ratios in parenthesis)

## A. Total Crude Acfivity Rates

Using unemployment as an indicator :
$\frac{\text { production deviations of industrial }}{\text { from its log trend }}$


| Germany $62-74$ | 49.1 | -0.58 <br> $(0.4)$ | -0.057 <br> $(1.2)$ | 0.219 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llrrr}\text { France } 68-74 \quad 41.5 & 0.88 \quad 0.555 & 0.997\end{array}$
43.0
(0.9) (33.1)
0.997

Italy 62-74 $\quad 40.4 \quad-10.45 \quad-0.412 \quad 0.814$ $(2.7) \quad(5.6)$
$48.1 \quad-13.69 \quad-0.491 \quad 0.730$ (1.4) (5.1)
$29.2 \quad 6.60 \quad 0.495 \quad 0.954$
$10.08 \quad 0.451 \quad 0.953$
(1.1) (14.i)
$37.2 \quad 11.94 \quad 0.294 \quad 0.710$
$\begin{array}{lrr}(6.0) & (11.0) & 0.927\end{array}$
(1.2) (4.8)
$33.2 \quad 3.89 \quad-0.289 \quad 0.992$
(0.1) (5.5) 0.974
(3.6) (26.0)

Demmark 65-74
43.5
$\begin{array}{lll}22.52 & 1.385 & 0.964\end{array}$
(0.4) (8.3)
B. Crude activity rates, excluding agricultural activity :

| Germany $62-74$ | 39.5 | -1.09 <br> $(0.9)$ | 0.302 <br> $(7.1)$ | 0.856 | 32.2 | 7.12 <br> $(2.1)$ | 0.289 <br> $(9.0)$ | 0.893 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Italy | $62-74$ | 27.0 | -7.28 <br> $(3.5)$ | 0.055 <br> $(1.4)$ | 0.571 | 30.0 | -7.28 <br> $(1.2)$ | 0.009 <br> $(0.1)$ | 0.154 |

(1) Coefficient $\times 10^{3}$
7. Lable 3. In all countries except Germany and Italy, the aggregate demand for female labour increased relative to the demand for male labour. For these countries for which we have sectoral information, this is clearly shown to be the familiar consequence of the relative expansion in public and private services (included in 'Other'). In Belgium and the UK, these increases in employment were not offset by declines in agricultural employment whereas they were only just adequate in Germany to hold female employment constant in aggregate and insufficient by over $\downarrow$ million jobs in Italy. Particularly in the UK, the changing composition of demand was highly favourable to female employment.
8. reflected in relative unemployment rates, shown in table 4. Only in the UK does the female rate of unemployment decline relative to the male rate, although it remains relatively stable in Prance, Ireland and (perhaps) Denmark, In the other countries, there was a relative increase in the female rate of unemplnyment at some time during the late 1960's/early 1970's. But it is highly unlikely that these relative increases could be attributed to Mincer's 'added worker' hypothesis; if that were to be the explanation, relative increases would (given the employment changes of the last few years) have been expected between 1973 and 1975 and these are noticeably absent, except for Belgium. A more rational explanation would be one which attributed the changes to changes in social security systems and, in particular, improved eligibility to unemployment benefits. This, on the limited evidence collected here, seems the more plausible explanation of the observed recent changes in activity rates, with the exceptions of the UK, Irish and Danish changes.

|  | Total |  | $\text { Agriculture }{ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | $\text { Industry }{ }^{(1)}$ |  | $\text { other }{ }^{(1)}$ | Married wome in employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. | F. | M. | F. | M. | F. | M. | F. |  |
| Germany |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers 1973 | 16468 | 9734 | 912 | 1042 | 9388 | 3280 | 6168 | 5412 | 5859 |
| Change 64-73 (thous) | -44 | -51 | -481 | -567 | -123 | -46 | +560 | +562 | +1085 |
| (\% p.a.) | 0 | -0.1 | -4.7 | -4.8 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.3 |
| $\text { Prance }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers 1973 | 13251 | 7687 | - | - | . | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - |
| Change 68-73 (thous) | + 449 | +740 | - | - | - | - | - | . | - |
| (\% p.a.) | 0.7 | 2.0 | - | -• | -• | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -• | $\cdots$ |
| Italy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers 1973 | 13292 | 5018 | 2176 | 1016 | 6451 | 1600 | 4665 | 2402 | 2859 |
| Change 64-73 (thous) | -554 | -422 | -1135 | -609 | +193 | -99 | +388 | +286 | - |
| (\% p,a.) | -0.5 | -0.9 | -4.7 | -5.2 | 0.3 | -0.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | - |
| Eelgium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers 1973 | 2473 | 1274 | 114 | 30 | 1225 | 329 | 1134 | 915 | - |
| Change 64-73 (thous) | -7 | +145 | -65 | -40 | -138 | -11 | +196 | +196 | - |
| (\% p.a.) | 0 | 1.3 | -5.0 | -9.4 | -1.2 | -0.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | - |
| $\underline{\text { UK }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers 1973 | 15376 | 9265 | 573 | 149 | 7930 | 2557 | 6873 | 6559 | - |
| Change 64-73 (thous) | -733 | +846 | -276 | -17 | -640 | -272 | +183 | +1135 | - |
| (\% p.a.) | -0.5 | 1.1 | -4.4 | -1.2 | -0.9 | -1.1 | 0.3 | 2.1 | - |
| Ireland ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers 1973 | 762 | 279 | - | - | - | -• | - | - | -• |
| Change 66-73 (thous) |  |  | - | - | - | . | - | - | - |
| (\% p.a.) | -0.3 | -0.1 | $\cdots$ | -• | -• | $\cdots$ | * | $\cdots$ | - |
| Denmark ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers 1973 | 1404 | 981 | - | -• | -• | -• | . | - | 647 |
| Change 65-73 (thous) |  | +223 | - | - | -• | - | - | . | +282 |
| (\% p.a.) | -0.1 | 3.2 | - | -• | -• | . | . . | -• | 7.2 |

Source : OECD Labour Force Statistics, 1963-74.
(1) $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Agriculture: } & \text { ISIC } \\ \text { Industry: } & \text { ISIC } 2-5 \\ \text { Others: } & \text { ISIC } 6-0\end{array}$
${ }^{(2)} 1968-73$
(3) $1966-73$
(4) 1965-73
Germany 'France Italy 'Netherlands Belgium' UK 'Ireland' Eenmark

MALES
OECD 63

CLFS 75

| 0.76 | $\ldots$ | 2.39 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.62 | $\ldots$ | 3.53 | $\ldots$ |
| 0.67 | $\ldots$ | 3.81 | $\ldots$ |
| 1.40 | 1.66 | 3.23 | $\ldots$ |
| 0.54 | 1.36 | 2.81 | $\ldots$ |
| 0.81 | 1.88 | 3.31 | $\ldots$ |
| 0.88 | 1.70 | 2.97 | $\ldots$ |
| 1.92 | 1.88 | 2.48 | $\ldots$ |
| 3.75 | 3.38 | 2.79 | $\ldots$ |
| 2.82 | 2.64 | 2.74 | 3.35 |

PEMALES

| JECD 63 | 0.57 | $\ldots$ | 2.68 | $\ldots$ | 1.42 | 1.49 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 0.42 | $\ldots$ | 3.73 | $\ldots$ | 1.48 | 0.81 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 66 | 0.46 | $\ldots$ | 4.04 | $\ldots$ | 1.54 | 0.69 | 2.77 | 0.79 |
| 68 | 0.93 | 2.81 | 4.14 | $\ldots$ | 2.92 | 0.98 | 3.11 | $\ldots$ |
| 70 | 0.58 | 2.25 | 3.98 | $\ldots$ | 2.28 | 0.90 | 3.12 | 0.65 |
| 72 | 1.09 | 2.96 | 4.48 | $\ldots$ | 2.59 | 1.43 | 3.47 | 0.82 |
| 73 | 1.26 | 2.67 | 4.73 | $\ldots$ | 3.12 | 0.98 | 3.46 | 1.11 |
| 74 | 2.61 | 2.91 | 3.83 | $\ldots$ | 3.62 | 0.85 | 3.44 | 3.65 |
| 75 | 4.60 | 5.02 | 4.62 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.66 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

ATIO F/M
ECD 63

| 0.75 | $\ldots$ | 1.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.68 | $\ldots$ | 1.06 |
| 0.69 | $\ldots$ | 1.06 |
| 0.66 | 1.69 | 1.28 |
| 1.08 | 1.65 | 1.42 |
| 1.35 | 1.57 | 1.35 |
| 1.43 | 1.57 | 1.59 |
| 1.36 | 1.54 | 1.54 |
| 1.23 | 1.49 | 1.66 |
| 1.12 | 1.63 | 1.81 |


| $\ldots$ | 0.80 | 0.67 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ | 0.85 | 0.60 | $\ldots$ | 0.59 |
| $\ldots$ | 0.83 | 0.52 | 0.52 | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ | 1.02 | 0.36 | 0.51 | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ | 1.45 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.87 |
| $\ldots$ | 1.32 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.80 |
| $\ldots$ | 1.77 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 1.61 |
| $\ldots$ | 2.12 | 0.30 | 0.53 | 1.05 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.38 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 0.86 | 2.49 | 1.25 | 0.89 | 0.93 |

9. Two sources (not yet investigated) may provide further cvidence on this question : 'First European Social Budget (1970-1975)', EEC, Brussels, Nov. 1974, and 'Reports on the Development of the Social Situation in the Community', EEC, Brussels. The data shown in table 4 suggests thai there has been an increased propensity to register as unemployed among women in some countries; in Germany, the increase was between 1968 and 1972, in Italy between 1966 and 1970, and evidently throughout the late 1960's/early 1970's in Belgium. This increased propensity is not necessarily an increase in the proportion of 'Survey' or 'Census' unemployed who register; it is equally possible that women have been drawn into labour force participation, with less regard to the pressure of demand than previously, by improved social security provisions.

The evidence for systematic effects of the pressure of demand, particularly when analysed in the conventional manner assuming simple linear time trends, is therefore rather weak. Not only do we have possible expansions of social security provision but also we have declining fertility rates as a factor to explain (non-linear) increases in activity rates independent of the pressure of demand. To carry out the analysis correctly, it would be necessary to examine age specific astivity rates as well as the crude rates and also to set up tests which incorporated non-linear 'trend' changes. But there is evidently a case for making some adjustment to estimates for 1968 and 1975 to bring them onto a trend basis. The evidence is however derived from data published by the OECD, differing from the Community Survey data to be adjusted both because of inter country differences in definition and because the OECD data is derived from registered labour force statistics. But the discussion of table 1 suggests that, for most countries, there is not too much difference in terms of changes so that the regression results of table 2 can be used to establish whether or not a correction should be made.
11. Using the results of table 2 , we shall make adjustments to the crude activity races in 1968 and 1975 as follows :
(a) for Germany, Belgium and Ireland; adjust for the deviation of IP from trend.
(b) for Italy and UK; adjust for the deviation of unemployment from the sample period average.
(c) for France, Denmark and Luxemburg; no adjustment is made.
(d) for Netherlands; adjust for the deviation of IP from trend, using the coefficient estimated for Belgium.

Table : Adjustments ${ }^{(1)}$ to crude activity rates for females, 1968 and 1975 (percentage points)

1968
1975

## Using Industrial Production indicator:

| Germany | $+0.3(0.28)$ | $+1.0(0.86)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Belgium | $+0.2(0.20)$ | $+0.9(0.57)$ |
| Ireland | -0.1() | +0.6() |
| Netherlands | $+0.1(0.07)$ | $+1.0(0.43)$ |

Using unemployment indicator:

| Italy | $+0.2(0.19)$ | 0 | $(0)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK | $+0.1(0.08)$ | $+2.5(0.68)$ |  |

(1)

Estimates of the total adjustment, consistent with time series evidence for age-specific rates, are shown in parentheses.
(2)

Because of the known marked cyclical variation in the registration propoztion among female unemployed during the $1960^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$, which is capiured in OECD data on crude activity rates, the adjustment in 1975 is much iower than required by the equation and more in line with corrections made for other countriec.

The adjustments to trend rates in both 1968 and 1975 have been made for each sex and age group. The aggregate effect on female activity ratis is constrained to be of the same order of magnitude, and not more than, the effect shown in para 11 above, but the effect for each age grcup is assessed with regard to the trend change $1968-73$ and the apparent cyclicality of the age-specific activity rate. For males, activity rates in educational age-groups ( $<25$ ) and retirement age groups ( $\geqslant 55$ ) were assumed to be potentially cyclical : for 1968 , it was assumed that the activity rates were on trend and, for 1975 , an adjustment was made to bring the rates approximately into line with the trend 1968-73. Activity rates for other age groups were smoothed as follows; if no trend was apparent, the average rate observed was used as the trend rate for 1968 and 1975 , but if a trend was present, this was allowed for as a displacement about the period average. The activity rates obtained from this analysis are shown in table 5.
13. For those countries where time series evidence is not available for the 1970's (UK, Denmark and Ireland), estimates for 1968 are obtained by using the changes in the crude activity rate as an aggregate constraint and the changes in the age-specific activity rates observed in the late $1966^{\prime} s$ as an indication of the age pattern of activity rate changes 1968-75. Adjustments to trend rates are made to these estimated rates for 1968.

[^1]Table 5 : Estimated trend activity rates by age group in EEC countries, 1968 and 1975

## A. MALES

| Age <br> group | Year | Germany | France | Italy | Nether- <br> lands | Be1gium | Luxemburg | United Kingdora | Denmark | Irelan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 1968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 1968 | 84.4 | 84.5 | 79.1 | 83.0 | 73.3 | 79.0 | 93.0 |  |  |
|  | 1975 | 77.9 | 82.6 | 66.8 | 73.9 | 73.1 | 80.9 | 89.6 | 76.5 | 91.6 |
| 25-29 | 1968 | 92.4 | 97.0 | 95.3 | 96.2 | 95.6 | 95.7 |  | 91.1 |  |
|  | 1975 | 89.0 | 95.6 | 89.5 | 93.9 | 95.6 | 95.7 | 96.7 | 88.3 | 97.4 |
| 30-34 | 1968 | 98.0 | 98.6 | 98.0 | 98.8 | 98.1 | 98.8 | 97.8 | 95.0 | 98.3 |
|  | 1975 | 98.0 | 98.6 | 98.0 | 97.4 | 98.1 | 98.8 | 97.8 | 95.0 | 98.3 |
| 35-39 | 1968 | 98.7 | 98.8 | 98.0 | 98.9 | 97.7 | 98.9 | 98.1 | 95.7 | 97.7 |
|  | 1975 | 98.7 | 98.8 | 98.0 | 97.8 | 97.7 | 98.9 | 98.1 | 95.7 | 97.7 |
| 40-44 | 1968 | 98.3 | 97.7 | 97.0 | 98.4 | 96.1 | 97.8 | 97.6 | 95.0 | 97.1 |
|  | 1975 | 98.3 | 97.7 | 97.0 . | 97.0 | 96.1 | 98.8 | 97.6 | 95.0 | 97.1 |
| 45-49 | 1968 | 96.8 | 26.6 | 95.0 | 98.5 | 94.0 . | 97.4 | 97.6 | 95.9 | 95.1 |
|  | 1975 | 96.8 | 96.6 | 95:0 | 93.5 | 94.0 | 98.0 | 97.6 | 95.9 | 95.1 |
| 50-54 | 1968 | 94.2 | 93.5 | 90.0 | 95.6 | 90.3 | 92.8 | 96.3 | 94.6 | 94.6 |
|  | 1975 | 94.2 | 93.5 | 90.0 | 91.0 | 90.3 | 92.8 | 96.3 | 91.8 | 94.6 |
| . 55-59 | 1968 | 91.3 | 83.9 | 82.0 | 92.4 | 88.1 | 83.7 | 94.5 | 91.3 | 91.0 |
|  | 1975 | 87.0 | 82.6 | 80.0 | 80.9 | 82.2 | 81.2 | 93.9 | 87.1 | 90.3 |
| 60-64 | 1968 | 80.2 | 65.7 | 50.0 | 83.5 | 73.6 | 54.5 | 87.0 | 85.3 | 81.0 |
|  | 1975 | 63.4 | 57.3 | 43.0 | 66.4 | 59.3 | 52.1 | 84.3 | 77.6 | 81.0 |
| 65-69 | 1968 | 33.5 | 28.7 | 27.0 | 35.6 | 18.0 | 19.1 | 40.0 | 55.0 | 58.0 |
|  | 1975 | 17.2 | 20.5 | 18.0 | 17.2 | 12.4 | 19.5 | 31.4 | 42.1 | 50.3 |
| 70+ | 1968 | 13.0 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 4.3 | 11.1 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 |
|  | 1975 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 6.3 | 19.1 |

Table 5: continued
B. FEMALES


## Traditionai and Saturation levels of activity rates

The purpose of this note is to look at historical and current evidence on activity rates to provide a guide to the choice of parameters by which we can judge the stage of development in activity rate change for the different countries. For the analysis . we require hoth traditional (pre-war) levels of activity rates by sex and age, and assessments of future equilibrium levels.

There is much of interest to be found in a study of activity rates since the 19 th century. But it could also be argued that not much of current interest would come out of such a study. Patterns of change over periods as long as a century simply cannot be modelled, and it is doubtful if the evolution of activity rates can even be described satisfactorily. There are data, collected mainly in the corrse of Censuses of Population, which contain estimates of crude activity rates and industrial or occupational shares of employment. The sources of these data contain any number of strictures about intertemporal and international comparability, and yet there are many major differences between countries which can still be seen today, when (hopefully) statistical sources are more comparable.

Table 1 presents historical evidence on crude activity rates by sex in agriculture and total economic activity. For most EEC countries, it has been possible to obtain estimates for some time in the latter half of the 19 th century, for the turn of the century, for the $1930^{\prime}$ s and of course Eor the $1960^{\prime}$ s. Apart from the differences in statistical definitions, the major problem affecting 19 th and early 20 th century estimates is the number of toundary changes occurring as a result of wars and other cessations and the recent unification or independence of some of the countries.

Table 1 : Historical Activity Rates (1)

|  | MALES |  |  | FFMAIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GERMANY ${ }^{(2)}$ | 1882 | 1907 | 1933 | 1961 | 1882 | 1907 | 1933 | 1961 |
| In agriculture | 40.2 | 25.2 | 19.5 | 18.1 | 16.8 | 22.8 | 17.9 | 18.2 |
| Other sectors | 54.2 | 63.6 | 67.0 | 174.8 | 11.5 | 14.9 | 26.1 | ${ }_{1} 133.8$ |
| Total | 94.4 | 88.8 | 86.5 | 182.9 | 28.3 | 37.7 | 44.0 | 142.0 |
| FRANCE | 1856 | 1901 | 1931 | 1962 | 1856 | 1901 | 1931 | 1962. |
| In agriculture | 40.1 | 39.9 | 29.7 | 16.1 | 16.3 | 18.3 | 19.1 | 7.1 |
| Other sectors | 35.6 | 52.2 | 60.6 | 63.4 | 17.0 | 29.5 | 28.2 | 29.1 |
| Total | 75.7 | 92.1 | 90.3 | 79.5 | 33.3 | 48.2 | 47.3 | 36.2 |
| ITALY | 1871 | 1901 | 1936 | 1961 | 1871 | 1901 | 1936 | 1961 |
| In agriculture | 62.6 | 61.7 | 44.5 | 22.5 | 33.6 | 29.5 | 15.8 | 7.6 |
| Other sectors | 39.7 | 44.2 | 46.4 | 56.8 | 21.7 | 19.9 | 18.3 | 17.2. |
| Total | 102.3 | 105.9 | 90.9 | 79.3 | 55.3 | 49.4 | 34.1 | . 24.8 |
| BELGIUM | 1846 | 1900 | 1930 | 1961 | 1846 | 1900 | 1930 | 1961 |
| In agriculture | 46.9 | 27.3 | 16.4 | 6.3 | 23.1 | 10.8 | 4.5 | 1.1 |
| Other sectors | 40.7 | 72.7 | 73.3 | 67.9 | 26.6 | 29.5 | 26.6 | 24.0 |
| Total | 87.6 | 100.0 | 89.7 | 74.2 | 49.7 | 40.3 | 31.1 | 25.0 |
| NETHERLANDS | 1849 | 1899 | 1930 | 1960 | 1849 | 1899 | 1930 | 1960 |
| In agriculture | 39.8 | 31.5 | 20.2 | 10.2 | 15.0 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 1.0 |
| Other sectors | 49.4 | 60.1 | 69.2 | 71.3 | 19.9 | 20.6 | 23.4 | 21.6 |
| Total | 89.2 | 91.6 | 89.4 | 81.5 | 34.9 | 25.3 | 27.3 | 22.6 |
| DENMARK | - | 1901 | 1930 | 1960 | " | 1901 | 1930 | 1960 |
| In agriculture | $\cdots$ | 49.2 | 35.2 | 19.6 | - | 17.8 | 9.2 | 2.0 |
| Other sectors | - - | 50.9 | 53.5 | 65.9 | * | 25.2 | 27.2 | 34.8 |
| Total | -• | 100.1 | 88.7 | 85.5 | -• | 43.0 | 36.4 | 36.8 |
| IRELAND ${ }^{(3)}$ | 1861 | 1911 | 1936 | 1961 | 1861 | 1911 | 1936 | 1961 |
| In agriculture | 57.4 | 46.7 | 149.1 | 35.9 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 110.2 | 4.3 |
| Other sectors | 45.2 | 39.9 | 40.3 | 48.9 | 35.3 | 27.0 | 123.5 | 25.1 |
| Total | 102.6 | 86.6 | 189.4 | 84.8 | 40.3 | 30.8 | 133.7 | 25.4 |
| U.K. (G.B.) | 1851 | 1901 | 1931 | 1961 | 1851 | 1901 | 1931 | 1961 |
| In agriculture | 24.7 | 11.7 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Cther sectors | 63.3 | 85.5 | 85.1 | 82.7 | 37.2 | 35.6 | 34.5 | 37.1 |
| Total | 88.0 | 97.2 | 92.5 | 86.9 | 40.5 | 36.3 | 34.9 | 37.6 |

## Notes to Table 1

The primary source for this table is : B.R. Mitchell, 'Eurcpean Historical Statistics 1750-1970 ${ }^{\circ}$, Macmillan, 1975.
(1) Activity rates are all calculated by dividing active population by total population aged 15 and over : thus, around the turn of the century, male activity rates in many countries are greater than $100 \%$ because of the numbers aged less than 15 in employment.
(2) 1882, 1907, 1933 : Germany. 1961 : West Germany (inc1. W. Berlin).

| For comparison : | Germany 1939 | East West Germany 1946 | W. Germany 1946 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In agriculture : |  | 15.8 | 17.9 |
| males | 17.9 | 14.4 | 13.9 |

(3) 1861, 1511 : Ireland. 1936, 1961, The Republic of Ireland.

| For comparison : | Ireland 1911 | North \& South 1926 | South 1926 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In agriculture : | 46.7 | 46.4 | 52.4 |
| males | 3.8 | 9.2 | 11.8 |

The ranking of countries by activity rate in agriculture did not change wuch for either men or women over the period from 1900 to 1960; the only exception was Ireland, the country with least industrialisation. But there were marked differences between the rankings for women compared with men, both around the turn of the century and in the 1960's. Germany had an unusually high activity rate among women whilst in Ireland, and to a lesser extent Denmark and Netherlands, the activity rate was comparatively low.

For men, the pattern of change for crude activity rates is fairly similar across countries. During the 19 th sentury, there were increases as countries industrialised but with compulsory education and earlier retirement with insurance the total activity rates have been falling during this century. The total activity rate for women has fallen in most countries as a result of the decline in agricultural participation; in no country has the increase in the 20 th century been more than 5 percertage points. But even in nonagricultural sectors there has been very little increase in all countries except Germany and Dermark, with a substantial fall in Belgium.

The impression that we gain from table 1 is that there is a similar rundown in agricultural participation during this century in most countries, that the effect of this rundown on female activity rates was similar across countrics, but that there has been very little systematic pattern of change in female activity rates outside agriculture. The rank correlation for total activity rates for females in 1900 and 1960 was only 0.05 ; when agriculture was exciuded, it was still only 0.39 .

The age composition of economic activity is shown in table 2; it was possible only to make a comparison between the 1930's and 1960's. Since the 1930's, nearly all of the reductions in total male activity rates can be accounted ror by the increased educational participation of those under 20 (including those under 15) and the reduction in economic activity among those aged 65 and over. Among women, however, the pattern of change is somewhat different. Although there is a reduction in economic activit;
of those aged 65 and over in all countries, there are offsetting tendencies changing activity rates for the young. Increased participation in education is tending to reduce economic activity in most countries (as for men) but in some countries, but by no means all, there is an increasing trend towards economic activity evidenced by the rates for those aged 20-64.

In attempting to assess traditional and future levels of activity rates, a number of factors must be taken into account. These factors are discussed separately below, both with reference to the historical perspective, the current position and future trends :
(i) education : the impact of changes in education participation has received much comment in the post-war period. But, in the 1930's, it is likely that other factors dominated the picture. Among males, activity rates of those aged $15-19$ were in the range $70-90 \%$; Ireland, with a rate of 71\%, was however exceptionally low. But the case of Ireland indicates that differences in educational systems may only be a part of the explanation; the extent of industrialisation, and the associated urban concentration of population, are just as important. Activity rates of females aged 15-19 are further affected by the attitudes towards women at work, being generally much lower than for males. ${ }^{(1)}$ Again, the rate in Ireland was relatively low, but it was even lower in Italy; the rates were high in developed countries that is, in Denmark (agricultural producer) and in the UK (manufacturing). There is sonie consistency in the figures - the rank correlation of activity rate for men and women aged $15-19$ in the 1900 's was 0.4 - but this only implies some common factors, not necessarily the extent of education participation.
(1) Althoigh age at marriage might also be a factor, it is hardly relevant for this age group where the highest share of married women in the age group observed in the 1930's was only $6 \%$.

Table 2 : Activity rates by bread age group, $1930^{\circ}$ s and $1960^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$
A. MALES

|  |  | 15-19 | 20-64 | $65+$ |  | 15-19 | 20-64 | $65+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Germany | 1933 | 86.1 | 92.7 | 29.7 | 1961 | 81.3 |  | 22.3 |
| France | 1931 | 82.3 | 94.5 | 59.4 | 1962 |  |  |  |
| Italy | 1931 | 88.2 | 96.3 | 72.6 | 1961 | 68.9 |  | 3.6 |
| Belgium | 1930 | 80.4 | 95.3 | 45.3 | 1961 | 50.2 |  | . 8 |
| Netherlands | 1930 | 78.2 | 95.0 | 42.6 | 1960 | 63.1 |  | 19.9 |
| Denmark | 1940 | 85.1 | 95.9 | 3.5 | 1960 |  |  |  |
| Ireland | 1936 | 71.1 | 95.4 | 67.3 | 1961 |  |  |  |
| U.K. (G.B.) | 1931 | 88.3 | 96.7 | 47.9 | 1961 |  |  |  |

## B. FEMALES

| Germany | 1933 | 63.7 | 44.1 | 13.1 | 1961 | 78.2 | 8.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| France | 1931 | 58.2 | 49.2 | 23.5 | 1962 |  |  |
| Italy | 1931 | 44.0 | 23.2 | 8.9 | 1961 | 39.3 | 5.1 |
| Belgium | 1930 | 56.0 | 30.4 | 11.0 | 1961 | 40.6 | 3.7 |
| Netherlands | 1930 | 53.7 | 24.5 | 7.5 | 1960 | 59.3 | 2.6 |
| Denmark | 1940 | 86.3 | 44.1 | 7.9 | 1960 |  |  |
| Ireland | 1936 | 49.4 | 32.7 | 21.9 | 1961 |  |  |
| U.K. (G.B.) | 1931 | 75.0 | 31.9 | 8.2 | 1961 |  |  |

Estimates of activity rates in 1960 and 1975 for the age group 15-19 show, if anything, a much greater dispersion even among males. For males and females, we shall take the 1930 's estimates as being traditional levels. It must be borne in mind that this is somewhat unsatisfactory since subsequent changes comprise negative changes as educational participation increases and positive changes as industrialisation increases. But, for males, the first factor dominates for all countries except Ireland; that is, the industrialisation effect was nearly complete. For females, there is the third element of increased opportunities for, and social acknowledgement of, women at work. Although the industrialisation process may be more-or-less complete in most countries, the presence of two strong counteracting influences means that the pattern of change in these activity rates will not be logistic in shape. Given that education participation is the first process to get under way, initial changes are downwards (as shown for 1930-60) but there will be an upward push later. ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$

Future equilibrium levels are chosen assuming that compulsory education until 16 will be established in all countries and that the extent of further education depends on the current political pressures on the ease of entry; for example, entry qualification standards are likely to be more strongly enforced in UK and Germany than in France or Italy. Furthermore, increases in educational participation are likely to be greater for females than for males.
(ii) rural/urban migration :

To some extent, this factor is relevant in all countries. Urban (or suburban) concentration of population tends to be associated with higher
(1)
for example:
(2)
(1) beginning of increased educational participation.
(2) beginning of improvement in job opportunities for women.
female activity rates because of the greater ease of securing service jobs; rural concentration leads to lower activity (as in Italy and Ireland) as. jobs in agriculture disappear. Generally high population density can also raise activity rates as it is easier for manufacturing industry to relocate its new plant, particularly if it is willing to employ women.

These factors are unlikely to have a dramatic impact in most countries. Ireland and Italy are exceptions. Rapid (eventual) industrialisation on a wider spatial scale, for example resulting from effective regional policies (1), could have a substantial impact in the long run. The urban concentration of jobs in these two countries, unless it is expected to be reduced, is likely to keep female participation rates in these countries relatively low. Rural-urban migration may take place but it is likely that the urban social infrastructure will reach capacity and effectively reduce the inflow before the migration has had much impact.
(iii) Activity rates over age 65 :

In the discussion of table 1 , it was suggested that the extent of activity in agriculture was a major factor explaining activity beyond age 65. In the $1930^{\prime} s$, the rank correlation between the two was strong for females (0.74) but less so for males ( 0.40 ). The alternative factor which is suggested as relevant is the extent of self-employment. This is plausible in the sense that this would be much more important for males and could expiain the low rank correlation above for males.

Both agricultural activity and self-employment are declining. The reason for these factors being important is that they lead to continced activity beyond normal retirement age because of a personal commitment;

As in Ireland.
people own their agricultural holdings, shops and businesses, have frequently built it up in their lifetime, and wish at least to remain actively involved. But agriculture holdings have increased in size as small holdings have been absorbed (a process partly slowed up by the death rate among the owners of smallholdings) and small shops and businesses absorbed.

The consequences of industrialisation will be very similar in most countries so that the reasons for continued participation will diminish in all countries and, as they have done since 1930 , show some considerable convergence. Small differences, reflecting the relative importance of agriculture, may persist but in general activity rates should become very similar in all countries.

Traditionally, however, it is difficult to assert what activity levels were appropriate. Not only was the extent and structure of agriculture quite different, and the extent of entrepreneurial ownership different (that is, different stages of industrialisation) but also there were very limited schemes for provision for retirement. However, because of the higher mortality rates for the age group, retiremst age is regarded as a minor factor and traditional levels are set according to past levels of agricultural activity; in addition, the earlier the industrialisation began the larger is the effect. of small businesses assumed to be.

## (iv) Retirement ages :

As implied above, no effect has been assumed for retirement age on activity rates for those aged over $65+$, neither traditionally nor in the future. But there has been a considerable amount of earlier retirement in the 1960's and 1970's.

In the UK, the establishment of the National Insurance Scheme in 1948 created a class of employees (known as jate-age entrants) who opted to join the scheme for 10 years in order to obtain a state pension; this group lead to a siort tern increase in activity rates among older people between

1948 and 1958 but had nearly all disappeared by 1963. Since then, the increase in certain public sector occupations, for instance the police force and firemen, has increased the amount of early retirement (these occupational retirement ages are at around $50-55$ years old) but, offsetting this, has been reduced early retirement from the Armed Forces as these have been reduced in total numbers. The major trend in the UK has been towards a large increase in the number of occupational pension schemes run by private companies, many of which have options for retirement at the age of 60 .

The statistics for recent years show that the UK is significantly behind most countries in the reduction in the retirement age. In many other European countries, retirement ages for eligibility for state benefits have already been reduced to somewhere between the age of 60 and 65 and, although occupational pension schemes are rare, private insurance is proving to be a realistic alternative.

I have assumed that a reasonable future level for the age at which people qualify for state retirement benefits is 60 years old for males, and uniform across country. In addition, a significant impact of early retirement is allowed for in the age group 55-59. Whilst it is clearly possible, and perhaps in some countries quite likely, that retirement ages will fall even more, the implied dependency rates would lead to a public sector burden of higher pension payments in total, and lower per capita periods of contribution, which may well appear to be not feasible.

For whatever reason, female retirement ages are generally lower than for males (perhaps, as suggested, due to the age difference in marriages). However, there are two reasons for thinking that this may be eliminated, or at least reduced. (1) Firstly, the average age difference in marriages has been reducing in most countries; it still exists but is now generally
(1)

This ignores the short-run pressure of excese labour supply.
significartly less than 5 years ${ }^{(1)}$. Second there is quite a strong indication that at least a part of the increase in female participation is the result of a return to work after child-rearing, say over age 45 . With this desired return to work, there is not that much scope for reduced retirement age and expecting a very strong effect on activity rates.
(v) Marriage and fertility rates :

In economies with relatively high activity rates among single women below the age of 35 , marriage frequently is associated with some departure from the labour force; that is, the activity rates of married women without children are lower than for single women of the same age (again less than 35 years old). Thus higher marriage ratios, particularly among younger age groups, would (cet.par.) be associated with lower total female activity rates for these age groups. Similarly fertility and family size is also associated with lower activity rates.

Table 3 shows the activity rates in 1930's for females aged 20-64, together with various vital statistics. Marriage ratios (the proportion of each age croup married) do not differ markedly between the countries, exccpt for Ireland where they are low, reflecting the much later average age at marriage. These do not contribute much to the explanation, although the late age of marriage in Ireland is perhaps an explanation of the apparently high activity rate. The crude birth rate and final descent fertility (included to give an indication of family size and dependency) were relatively high for Italy and the Netherlands, both countries in which activity rates were low; but there are clear contradictions in other countries.

The one factor not shown in the table is the activity rate in agriculture. There is a rank correlation coefficient between the $20-64$ year olds activity rate and aracicultual activity rates of 0.51 , suggesting that this may be a major part of the explanation of differences. On this basis, I would conclude

[^2]Table 3 : Female activity ratas, marriage ratios and fertility rates in the 1930's

|  | Activity rate age group 20-54 | Marriage ratio age group 30-39 20-64 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fertility re } \\ & \text { Crude birth } \\ & \text { rate (1) } \\ & 1930-34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | es <br> Final <br> fertil <br> 1920 | escent <br> ty (2) <br> 1930 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Germany | 44.1 | $72 \quad 64$ | 16.3 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| France | 49.2 | 79 (70) | 17.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Italy | 23.2 | $75 \quad 63$ | 24.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Belgium | 30.4 | $81 \quad 70$ | 17.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| Netherlands | 24.5 | 78 66 | 21.7 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Denmark | 44.1 | $79 \quad 69$ | 18.0 | - |  |
| Ireland | 32.7 | $58 \quad 49$ | 19.5 | - |  |
| U.K. (G.B.) | 31.9 | $74 \quad 62$ | $15.3{ }^{(3)}$ | $2.0^{(3)}$ | $2.3^{(3)}$ |
| Source : 'European Historical Statistics, 1750-1970', B.R. Mitchell, Macmillan, 1975. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source : 'Natalité et Politique Démographique', G. Calot, A. Girard and H. Leridon, Institut National d'Etudes Démographiques, Presses Universitaires de France, 1976. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) England | and Wales. |  |  |  |  |

Lhat neither marriage sates nor fertility rates had much impact on activity rates in this period. Traditional levels of activity rates among women are therefore assumed to be governed by industrialisation, with the exception of Ireland, Italy and the Netherlands.

For the future, agricultural activity is assumed to play very little part in the determination of activity rates. The dominant factors are assumed to be marriage ratios and fertility rates. In 'equilibrium', the population projections will incorporate the following assumptions for final descent fertility :

1975 Future steady state assumption

| Germany | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| France | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Italy | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| Belgium | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Netherlands | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Denmark | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Ireland | 3.6 | $2.9(1)$ |
| UK | 1.8 | 1.95 |
| Luxemburg | 1.5 | 1.7 |

These final descent fertility rates are all considerably lower than those observed (or constructed) for the $1920^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ and $1930^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$. On that basis, we would expect higher participation rates. But the effect of changing marriage and fertility rates differs between countries There is differential provision of creche and nursery facilities, and differential opportunities for parttime employment. In addition, for these and other reasons, there are different rates oi leaving the labour force at marriage or confinement in the different countries.

- In setting future levels of activity rates for women, it will be assumed that marriage ratios will converge in the future. In particularly, it is assumed tha: industrialisation in Ireiand, by freeing the male from the need to wait for his inheritance of an agriculture? holding. and reducing amigration in recent years, has been associated with a falling age at marriage.

The effect of different fcrility rates is to be included but its impact by age group within the age range $20-45$ sill difier across country. It is assumed that the pattern of activity rates across the main marriage and fertile age groups refleci the relative effects of different drop-out rates and child-care facilities, and that these differences will continue to exist. Beyond the age of 45 , where necessary dependency ratios are low, it is assumed that the desire to return to work will (cet.par.) be the same across countries.

## (vi) Other factors :

Differences in social attitudes also contribute to different levels of activity rates across country, even after allowing for other factors previously mentioned. In the case of Italy and Ireland, the Catholic religion places emphasis on the family and it appears that the main cause of low activity rates is the rate at which women leave the labour force at marriage (if they ever enter in the first place). In France, the effect of the religious ethic is by no means so apparent. It is assumed that social attitudes, in so far as they have their origins in the religious ethic, will tend to converge.

Two other factors of some inportance are the nature of the social security systems and the extent of part-time working. These two are partly related; in particular, the UK system currently favours part-time employment. In the future, employee's options to opt out of the National Insurance scheme is to be removed, but the generous threshold levels for contribution liability and the maintenance of an earnings-related contribution system are likely to lead to continued incentives towards part-time employment. This is an important difference compared to other EEC countries, where the social security systems are more nearly flat-rate systems and unemployment benefits are substantial. The differential incentives to join the labour force on a full-time basis are generally assumed to vanish, except in relation to the UK and Ireland,

The 1975 Commity Survey contained the following information on parttime employment, showing the percentage of thse women who worked in the reference week whose main occupation was part-time :

| Germany | France | Italy | Belg. | Neth. | Lux. | UK. | Denmark | Ireland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22.8 | 14.0 | 9.9 | 18.6 | 11.6 | 15.4 | 40.9 | 40.3 | 9.9 |

It is assumed that (cet.par.) the UK and Denmark will have higher activity rates, measured in terms of persons, than the other countries, for whom differences are not regarded as significant, particularly as regards the age of return to work.

The only remaining group for which no discussion has been offered is the prime age group for males. Historically, activity rates in this age group have been remarkably stable, with the sole exception of the Netherlands in recent years. The explanation of the change in the Netherlands appears to be recent legislation permitting substantial benefits in cases of declared 'unfitness for work'. If this is the case, then this is a once and for all effect, but one which might be expected to occur in other countries. The residual. (inactive) category has historically comprised the institutionalised population, those in prison, mental homes and the long-term sick and disabled. Because of the experience in the Netherlands, some lowering of activity rates in these age groups (particularly the older ones) is considered likely at some time in the future, although the reductions are only likely to be very small.

Table 4 contains estimates of traditional and saturation levels for cach sex and age group. For males, the main differences arise because of differences in education systems and differences in the effect of agriculture and small businesses. For females, the major factor discriminating between countries is the fertility rate and the pattern of return to work; traditional levels, however, are also influenced by agricultural activity and social attitudes.

As noted at the beginning of this working paper, much of the value of the historical data on activity raterests one international comparability of national census definitions. Some of the specific notes on data sources suggest that definitions have been quite wide in some countries, particularly as regards family work in agriculture. It is however not only the statistical definitions themselves which can give rise to differences. The stage of economic development, particularly the rural/urban concentration of population and the extent of agricultural small-holding in subsistence agriculture, will necessarily give rise to differences between countries in the nature of responses to similar questions. Because of these factors, the estimates in table 4 for traditional levels (and to a lesser extent for saturation levels) show a greater degree of international uniformity than actual activity rates might suggest.

The extent to which these assumed levels are an important determinant of the actual projections is investigated separately in Annex 7 , where it is shown that in general more precision in these estimates would improve the projections but that the parameter estimates are orucial when processes are either very young or very old.

Table 4 : Traditional and Saturation levels of activity ratea
A. MALES

|  |  | Germany | France | Italy | Nether- <br> lands | Belgium | Luxembourg | United Kingdom | Denraark | Irela |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | Trad | 86.0 | 82.0 | 88.0 | 78.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 88.0 | 85.0 | 75.0 |
| 20-24 Trad Sat |  | 90.0 | 88.0 | 94.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 92.0 | 89.0 | 90.0 |
|  |  | 75.0 | 70.0 | 60.0 | 70.0 | 72.5 | 80.0 | 85.0 | 70.0 | 85.0 |
| 25-29 | Trad | 98.0 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 93.0 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 95.0 | 98.0 |
|  | Sat | 85.0 | 90.0 | 85.0 | 90.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 85.0 | 95.0 |
| 30-34 | Trad | 98.0 | 98.6 | 98.0 | 98.8 | 98.1 | 98.8 | 97.8 | 95.0 | 98.3 |
|  | Sat | 98.0 | 98.6 | 98.0 | 96.8 | 98.1 | 98.8 | 97.8 | 95.0 | 98.3 |
| 35-39 | Trad | 98.7 | 98.8 | 98.0 | 98.9 | 97.7 | 98.9 | 98.1 | 95.7 | 97.7 |
|  | Sat | 98.7 | 98.8 | 98.0 | 96.9 | 97.7 | 98.9 | 98.1 | 95.7 | 97.7 |
| 40-44 | Trad | 98.3 | 97.7 | 97.0 | 98.4 | 96.1 | 98.8 | 97.6 | 95.0 | 97.1 |
|  | Sat. | 98.3 | 97.7 | 97.0 | 96.4 | 96.1 | 98.8 | 97.6 | 95.0 | 97.1 |
| 45-49 | Trad | 96.8 | 96.6 | 95.0 | 98.5 | 94.0 | 98.0 | 97.6 | 95.9 | 95.1 |
|  | Sat | 96.8 | 96.6 | 95.0 | 90.0 | 94.0 | 98.0 | 97.6 | 95.9 | 95.1 |
| 50-54 | Trad | 94.2 | 93.5 | 90.0 | 95.6 | 90.3 | 92.8 | 96.3 | 94.6 | 94.6 |
|  | Sat | 94.2 | 93.5 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.3 | 92.8 | 96.3 | 90.0 | 94.6 |
| 55-59 | Trad | 94.0 | 94.0 | 93.0 | 95.0 | 90.0 | 92.0 | 95.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 |
|  | Sat | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 |
| 60-64 | Trad | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
|  | Sat | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| 65+ | Trad | 60.0 | 60.0 | 80.0 | 60.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 60.0 | 70.0 | 75.0 |
|  | Sat | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |

Table 4 : Traditional and Saturation levels of activity rates
B. FEMALES


## A NNEX 4

## The maturity of the processes of change in activity rates,

 and likely future changesThe parameter which describes the maturity of the process for logistic specification is given

$$
s=\ln \frac{a_{t}-\alpha}{\beta-a_{t}}
$$

where $S$ is an indicator of the maturity of the process, $\beta$ is the saturation level, $\alpha$ the traditional level and $a_{t}$ is the activity rate at time $t$. Using the typical process observed for female activity rates, with a saturation level of $70 \%$ and a traditional level of $20 \%$, we show the correspondence between the stage of development reached (s) and the actual activity rate (a):

| -10 | 20.00 | -4 | 20.90 | 0.3 | 51.12 | 5 | 69.67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -8 | 20.02 | -3 | 22.37 | 1 | 56.55 | 6 | 69.88 |
| -7 | 20.05 | -2 | 25.96 | 2 | 64.04 | 7 | 69.95 |
| -6 | 20.12 | -1 | 33.45 | 3 | 67.63 | 8 | 69.98 |
| -5 | 20.33 | -0.5 | 38.88 | 4 | 69.10 | 10 | 70.00 |
|  |  | 0 | 45.00 |  |  |  |  |

The range of $s$ is technicelly $+\alpha$ to $-\infty$ but in practical terms it is much smaller; (i) to two decimal places, it is $\pm 10$ whilst (ii), to one decimal place, it is $\pm 7$, and (iii), if we look in terms of significant changes in activity rates (say of 0.1 points per year), then the range is $\pm 5$. The observed rates of development cluster around $0.005,0.1,0.2$ and have a maximum of 0.28 per year so that the periods of time spanned by the processes, ueing the narrowest definition with a range of $\pm 5$, will be as shown below:

| Rate of development (p.a.) | Period of process (years) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | 200 |
| 0.10 | 100 |
| 0.20 | 50 |
| 0.28 | 36 |

Combining the traditional and ataration levels ( $\alpha, \beta$ respectively) speoified in Annex 3 with the"trend" levels of aotivity rates in 1968 and 1975 in Annex 2,
we can obtain estimates of the maturity of the process in 1968 and 1975. For prime age males ages (30-54), activity rates have historically been fairly stable. These age groups are therefore not considered here. Estimates of the parameter for 1975 in the other age/sex groups for each country are shown in table 1.

The age groups are classed together in table 1 acoording the basic principle which determined their dominant process and saturation levels; these were

| Hales: | $15-29$ | Education participation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $55+$ | Retirement |
| Females: | $15-19$ | Education participation |
|  | $20-24$ | Education participation/marriage |
|  | $25-39$ | Fertility and child care |
|  | $40-59$ | Return to work |
|  | $60+$ | Retirement/agricultural activity |

Table 1: Parameters describing the maturity of processes in 1975 for

## each country (1)

MALES
D $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{I} \quad \underline{\mathrm{NL}} \quad \underline{B} \quad \underline{L U X} \quad \underline{U K} \quad \underline{D} \quad$ IRL age group

15-19

| $20-24$ | 1.43 | -0.85 | 1.39 | 1.42 | 3.34 | 3.06 | -0.65 | 0.65 | $-\infty$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $25-29$ | 0.81 | -0.85 | 0.64 | 0.27 | 1.39 | 1.19 | -0.27 | 0.71 | -1.39 |

(2)

| $55-59$ | 0 | 1.48 | $+\infty$ | 2.75 | 1.27 | 2.20 | -2.54 | -0.19 | -1.34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60-64$ | -0.23 | 0.18 | 1.29 | -0.43 | -0.11 | 0.34 | -2.25 | -1.10 | -1.52 |
| $65+$ | 2.94 | 2.34 | 3.21 | 3.24 | $+\infty$ | 2.26 | 1.39 | 1.18 | 0.62 |

FTMALES
15-19

| $20-24$ | 1.29 | 1.01 | -0.42 | 0.97 | 1.28 | 1.30 | 0.90 | 1.64 | 4.23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $25-29$ | 0.58 | 2.24 | -0.26 | -1.15 | 2.48 | -0.18 | -0.35 | 2.82 | 0.22 |
| $30-34$ | 0.55 | 2.09 | -0.08 | -1.19 | 1.14 | -0.99 | 0.23 | 2.22 | -0.84 |
| $35-39$ | 0.42 | 1.41 | -0.47 | -1.84 | 0.35 | -1.18 | 1.92 | 1.91 | -1.63 |
| $40-44$ | -0.19 | 0.39 | -2.20 | -1.87 | -0.72 | -1.82 | 1.45 | 1.40 | -2.36 |
| $45-49$ | -0.36 | 0.05 | -2.36 | -2.24 | -1.15 | -3.12 | 3.26 | 1.09 | -2.68 |
| $50-54$ | -0.87 | -0.47 | $-\infty$ | -2.98 | -1.92 | -3.13 | 1.34 | 0.08 | -2.66 |
| $55-59$ | -0.99 | -0.39 | $-\infty$ | $-\infty$ | -3.06 | $-\infty$ | 1.47 | 0.64 | -3.39 |

60-64 (3)
$65 \quad \begin{array}{llllllllll} & 1.60 & 1.29 & 3.26 & 3.30 & 2.28 & -1.07 & 1.20 & 1.32 & 1.00\end{array}$
Notes (1) The parameter is positive if the process is more than half completed; its sign is independent of whether the process will increase or decrease activity rates - means the process has not started; + denotes that it is
complete
(2) The recent statutory conditions on unfitness to work in the Netherlands means that, technically epeaking, there are values for this country in the age range 30-54. They are however omitted as it is expected to be a relatively shortprocess (see note '4')
(3) The conflict of increased return-to-work, earlier retirement and reduced agricultural activity make this age group unmanageable at present.
(4) The parameters for the Netherlande, for a process which began only 4 years ago are given here for age groups $30-54$, the same process is assumed to affect age groups $55+$ and hence the estimates shown in the table are in brackets

30-34 0.85
35-39 0.20
40-44 0.85
45-50 0.36
50-54 1.53

Because traditional and saturation levels generally vary less between countries than do observed activity rates (1), the parameter $s$ may refleot activity rate differentials between countries; but, in so far as there are persistent reasons for differences, those differentials are smaller than actual differentials. The broad pattern which emerges for educational participation it is that can be expected to increase most rapidly in France, UK and Ireland but very little in Belgium and Luxembourg. Male retirement rates are already high in the original 6 member countries, all are much lower for the 3 new member oountries; some convergence may be expected.

[^3]the four countries identified as late starters in age group 25-39, Haly, Netherlands, Luxembourg and Ireland. For 3 out of the 4 countries, the crucial factor is undoubted ${ }^{l} y_{\text {the }}$ rate of departure from the labour force at marriage and the fact that subsequent return to work is minimal.

Retirement and agricultural activity are both acting to reduce the female activity rate for those aged over 65 . In most countries, the procesis is well advanced, with the surprising exception of laxembourg (1).

By comparing parameters for 1968 and 2975, we can deduce the implied slope factor of each process, that is, given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\lambda+\mu t \\
& s(1975)-s(1968)=7 \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimates of $\mu$, the parameter which describes the rate at whioh the process is taking place, are shown in table 2.
(1) This may indicate too low a traditional level chosen for this country: see annex (3) table 4
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Table 2 : Annual rates of change in the parameters, 1968-75 (2)
 age group

15-19

| $20-24$ | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.04 | 0 | + | 0.20 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $25-29$ | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0 |


| $55-59$ | 0.20 | 0.08 | + | $(0.62)$ | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60-64$ | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.09 | $(0.24)$ | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.17 |
| $65+$ | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.18 | $0.28+$ | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.05 |

FUALES
15-19

| $20-24$ | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.29 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $25-29$ | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0.09 |
| $30-34$ | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.09 |
| $35-39$ | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.13 |
| $40-44$ | 0.11 | 0.08 |  | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.32 |
| $45-49$ | 0.09 | 0.07 | - | 0.13 | 0.10 |  | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.16 |
| $50-54$ | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.19 |
| $55-59$ | 0.03 | - | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.13 | - |

60-64
$65+\quad 0.15 \cdots 0.09 \quad 0.19 \cdots 0.15 \quad 0.02 \cdots \quad 0.02 \cdots 0.070 .09$

Notes (1) a " + " indicates a change from the traditional level, which cannot be quantified since the 1968 estimate of $s$ is - $\infty$ A " $n$ indicates a "perverse" change, in that acutal activity rates ohanged in the oppodite direction that implied by the process.

There is a remarkable degree of uniformity in these changes. For males, the uniformity is within country rather than across age groups, with rates of change being relatively slow in France and practically absent in Ireland. Rates of change are fastest in Netherlands, Germany and Denmark. But the pattern of ohange for females is quite different. The uniformity is marked by age group, although there persists some uniformity by country. The counterbalancing effects of increased education and more flexible employment policy towards women show up in the very small trends for age group 20-2.4. The increased participation among those aged 25-29 is taking place rapidly in all countries except Italy and Ireland (where fertility rates, although lower than previously, have remained high). Among those aged 40-59, rates of change are quite slow in most countries (being totally absent in Italy) but the expansion of part-time employment in the UK and Denmark has led to rapid change as has the success of regional policy in Ireland. For those aged over 65, the change is quite slow.

These changes in the processes are not the same as changes in activity rates. For example, a higher rate of change in table 2 for a particular country does not mean that activity rates in that country are necessarily changing faster than in other countries, either in absolute or relative terms.

For any rate of change in the process, the implied change in activity rates will depend on the stage of maturity of the process; if the process is more than half complete $(S>0)$, then the rate of change in activity rates for a constant change in S will be slowing down. Thus expectations of future changes in activity rates have to be judged by reference to the level and change in the parameter (s) describing the maturity of the process.

We now turn our attention to expected future changes in the parameter $S$. At the beginning of this section, it was indicated that in a pure process the parameter $S=\lambda+\mu t$ and that $\mu$ would remain constant throughout the process. But we have already seen in table 2 that there is some mixture of processes currently underway. In a mixed process, we would have (as an approximation):

$$
s=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\mu_{1} t+\mu_{2}(t+11)
$$

where subscripts 1 and 2 refer to two processes, with process 2 beginning 7 periods after process 1.

Hence

$$
S=\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\mu_{2} \pi\right)+\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right) t
$$

But this means that we have ignored the impurities of the process which depend on the relative magnitudes of $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2^{*}}$. Effectively, the actual trend in $S$ is a weighted average of $\mu$, and $\mu_{2}$, with the weights changing through time. Thus, in discussing future changes in $S$, we should allow for changes in the trend of $S$ due to the changing importance of the different processes.

In table 3, we show the assumptions about future movements in the parameter $S$; these are shown as changes of five year periods and, unless indicated otherwise, apply for the whole period 1975-95. In the educational age groups, past trends in $S$ are expected to continue for the next 5 years for males and the next 10 years for women but, after that period (1980 for males, 1985 for females), another process, that of increasing capacity constraints, will reduce the average trend in $S$.

Table 3 : Expected future changes in the parameter $S$ (change over 5 year period)

| Age Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Group | F | I | NL | $\underline{B}$ | LUX | UK | $\underline{D}$ | IRL |

## Males

| $15-29$ | $1975-80$ | 1.10 | 0.50 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 0.10 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 1.00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1980-95$ | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 1.00 |  |
| $55-65+1975-95$ | 1.10 | 0.45 | 0.65 | $1 ; 00$ | 1.25 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  |

## Females

15-19 1975-85
1985-95

| $20-24$ | $1975-85$ | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.25 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $1985-95$ | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| $25-39$ | $1975-95$ | 0.80 | 1.40 | 1.00 | 1.30 | 1.45 | 1.05 | 0.95 | 1.30 | 1.00 |


| $40-59$ | $1975-85$ | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.10 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $1985-95$ | 0.80 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.10 |
| $65+$ | $1975-95$ | 0.75 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.50 |

In retirement age groups, the patterm of change in $S$ is expected to continue; for males, the average trend is quite rapid because of the impact of early retirement measures but, for females, where the trend depends more on the extent of agricultural activity, the trends are much slower.

Among females, three age groups have been distinguished; in the group aged 20-24, where reduced fertility has a positive effect and increased education a negative one, the next ten years is expected to show a somewhat higher trend value as reduced fertility takes effect and this trend is expected to increase further after 1985 when the demand pressure on the trend induced by increased education is reduced. Age groups 25-39 have three factors operating on the trend; reduced fertility, smaller famil size and earlier return to work. This trend is therefore expected to continue throughout the period. For the age group 40 $\div 59$, earlier return to work is likely to become a gignificant factor in determining the trend change in the parameter. However, changes in social attitudes are reflected more slowly in demand for female labour.than the response in terms of supply of that labour. Hence the trend is expected to increase in the second decade.

Ireland and Italy present particular difficulties in this analysis since in those countries some processes have apparently yet become significant. In Italy, it is necessary to suggest a time when the process of

$$
\% \ldots
$$
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increased participation will get underway; it is assumed that this occurs during the next five years but the effect on activity rates (because the trend ohange in $S$ is small) should not be dramatic in the initial stages. In Ireland, education as a result of regional development is expected to become significant in the next 5 years.

The following tables contain estimates of the maturity of the processes for each sex/age group for each country in 1980, 1985, 1990 and 1995; there is a separate table of estimates for each year which can be compared to the estimates for 1975 shown in table 1.

Age group $\underline{D} \quad \underline{I} \quad \underline{N L} \quad \underline{B} \quad \underline{L U X} \quad \underline{U K} \quad$ D

Males

15-19

| $20-24$ | 2.53 | -0.35 | 2.64 | 2.67 | 3.44 | 3.06 | 0.35 | 1.55 | $(-3.00)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $25-29$ | 1.91 | -0.35 | 1.89 | 1.52 | 1.49 | 1.19 | 0.73 | 1.61 | -0.39 |
| $55-59$ | 1.10 | 1.93 | $+\infty$ | 3.75 | 2.52 | 2.70 | -1.99 | 0.56 | -0.39 |
| $60-64$ | 0.87 | 0.63 | 1.94 | 0.57 | 1.14 | 0.84 | -1.70 | -0.35 | -0.77 |
| $65+$ | 4.04 | 2.79 | 3.86 | 4.24 | $+\infty$ | 2.76 | 1.94 | 1.93 | 1.37 |

## Females

15-19

| $20-24$ | 1.54 | 1.41 | -0.17 | 1.32 | 1.68 | 1.55 | 1.15 | 2.14 | 4.48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $25-29$ | 1.38 | 3.64 | 0.74 | 0.15 | 3.93 | 0.87 | 0.60 | 4.62 | 1.22 |
| $30-34$ | 1.35 | 3.49 | 0.92 | 0.11 | 2.59 | 0.06 | 1.18 | 4.02 | 0.16 |
| $35-39$ | 1.22 | 2.82 | 0.53 | -0.54 | 1.80 | -0.13 | 2.87 | 3.71 | -0.63 |
| $40-44$ | 0.21 | 0.69 | -1.70 | -1.37 | -0.22 | -1.57 | 2.70 | 2.40 | -1.26 |
| $45-49$ | 0.04 | 0.35 | -1.86 | -1.74 | -0.65 | -2.87 | 4.51 | 2.09 | -1.58 |
| $50-54$ | -0.47 | -0.17 | -3.50 | -2.48 | -1.42 | -2.88 | 2.59 | 1.08 | -1.56 |
| $55-59$ | -0.59 | -0.09 | -3.50 | -3.50 | -2.56 | -3.75 | 2.72 | 1.64 | -2.29 |
| $65+$ | 2.35 | 1.79 | 4.26 | 4.05 | 2.38 | -0.97 | 1.30 | 1.67 | 1.50 |

For each of the sex and age groups analysed, we can construct a table showing the period of the process implied by the rate of development 1968-75, the time elapsed since the process began to generate aignificant changes and the date by which $90 \%$ of the process would be completed (i.e. when $s=4.0$ ).

| Sex/age group | Implied | Stage in |  | Proportion | Dace by | Years fr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Period of process | Period elapsed | Proportion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { completed } \\ & \text { by } 1995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { which } \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1975. |
|  | (years) | (years) | (\%) | (\%) | complete <br> (1) (2) |  |

GERMA:TY

| Males | $20-24$ | 36 | 29 | 64 | 90 | 1995 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-29$ | 62 | 26 | 58 | 84 | 2001 | 26 |
| $55-59$ | 50 | 23 | 50 | 94 | 1993 | 18 |  |
| $60-64$ | 50 | 22 | 48 | 92 | 1994 | 19 |  |
|  | $65+$ | 38 | 36 | 79 | 100 | 1985 | 10 |
| Females | $25-29$ | 59 | 35 | 56 | 88 | 1996 | 21 |
|  | $30-34$ | 59 | 35 | 56 | 88 | 1996 | 21 |
| $35-39$ | 71 | 34 | 54 | 86 | 1998 | 23 |  |
| $40-44$ | 91 | 60 | 48 | 72 | 2006 | 31 |  |
| $45-49$ | 111 | 58 | 46 | 70 | 2007 | 32 |  |
| $50-54$ | 111 | 52 | 41 | 65 | 2011 | 36 |  |
| $55-59$ | 333 | 50 | 40 | 64 | 2011 | 36 |  |
| $65+$ | 67 | 44 | 66 | 96 | 1991 | 16 |  |

FRANCE

| Males | 20-24 | 125 | 52 | 42 | 54 | 2067 | 92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25-29 | 77 | 32 | 42 | 54 | 2067 |  |
|  | 55-59 | 125 | 81 | 65 |  |  | 92 |
|  | 60-64 | 125 | 65 |  | 83 | 2003 | 28 |
|  | 65+ | 83 | 61 | 52 | 70 | 2017 | 42 |
|  |  |  | 61 | 73 | 91 | 1993 | 18 |
| Females | 25-29 | 32 | 23 | 72 | 100 | 1981 | 6 |
|  | 30-34 | 32 | 23 | 71 | 100 | 1982 | 6 |
|  | 35-39 | 48 | 31 | 64 | 100 |  | 7 |
|  | 40-44 | 125 | 67 | 54 |  | 1984 | 9 |
|  | 45-49 | 143 | 72 |  | 72 | 2010 | 35 |
|  | 50-54 | 333 | 151 | 45 | 68 | 2013 | 38 |
|  | 55-59 |  |  | 45 | 63 | 2017 | 42 |
|  | 65+ | 111 |  | 46 | 64 | 2017 | 42 |
|  |  |  | 70 | 63 | 83 | 2002 | 27 |

(1) because the rate of development is assumed to vary for some groups, these columus are not directiy comparable with the first three which use the estimated rate of development for $1968-75$.
(2) When the process is $90 \%$ complete, the activity rates change by less ticn 1 point in 4 years even for the widest range $(20-70 \%)$ and the fastest rate of develofmrnt ( 0.3 per year).

| Sex/age group | Implied | Stage in 1975 |  | Proportion completed by 1995 (1) | Date by which 90: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Years } \\ & \text { from } \\ & 1975 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Period of process | Period elapsed | Proportion |  |  |  |
|  | (years) | (years) | (\%) | (\%) | complete (1) (2) |  |

ITALY

| Males | 20-24 | 44 | 28 | 64 | 100 | 1986 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25-29 | 36 | 20 | 56 | 87 | 1992 | 17 |
|  | 55-59 | - | - | 100 | 100 |  | 0 |
|  | 60-64 | 111 | 70 | 63 | 89 | 1996 | 21 |
|  | 65+ | 56 | 46 | 82 | 100 | 1981 | 6 |
| Females | 25-29 | 111 | 53 | 47 | 87 | 1996 | 21 |
|  | 30-34 | 200 | 98 | 49 | 89 | 1995 | 20 |
|  | 35-39 | - | $\bullet$ | 45 | . 85 | 1997 | 22 |
|  | 40-44 | - | .. | 28 | 58 | 2011 | 36 |
|  | 45-49 | - | -. | 26 | 56 | 2012 | 37 |
|  | 50-54 | - | - | 0 | 40 | 2020 | 45 |
|  | 55-59 | $\cdots$ | . | 0 | 40 | 2020 | 45 |
|  | 65+ | 53 | 43 | 83 | 100 | 1979 | 45 |

## NETHERLANDS

| Males | 20-24 | 34 | 22 | 64. | 95 | 1991 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25-29 | 46 | 24 | 46 | 83 | 2001 | 16 |
|  | 55-59 | 16 | 12 | 78 | 100 |  | 6 |
|  | 60-64 | 42 | 19 | 46 |  | 1981 | 6 |
|  | $65+$ | 36 | 29 |  | 86 | 1997 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  | 82 | 100 | 1979 | 4 |
| Females | 25-29 | 37 | 14 | 38 | 90 | 1995 |  |
|  | 30-34 | 42 | 16 | 38 | 90 | 1995 | 20 |
|  | 35-39 | 36 | 11 | 32 |  | 1995 | 20 |
|  | 40-44 | 67 | 21 | 32 | 84 | 1997 | 22 |
|  | 45-49 | 77 | 1 | 31 | 61 | 2009 | 34 |
|  | 50-54 | 125 |  | 28 | 58 | 2011 | 36 |
|  | 55-59 |  | 25 | 20. | 50 | 2015 | 40 |
|  | $65+$ | - | * | 0 | 40 | 2020 |  |
|  |  | 67 | 55 | 83 | 100 | 1980 |  |

BELGIUM

Males |  | $20-24$ | 250 | 208 | 83 | 86 | 2036 | 61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-29$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 64 | 66 | 2231 | 56 |
|  | $55-59$ | 26 | 16 | 63 | 100 | 1986 | 11 |
| $60-64$ | 71 | 35 | 49 | 100 | 1991 | 16 |  |
| $65+$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 100 | 100 | $\ldots$ | 0 |  |

| - Sex/age | grcup | Impied Period of prósess (years) | Stag: in 19 <br> Period elapsed (years) | 5. -53- <br> Proportion <br> (\%) | Proportion completed by 1995 (1) <br> (\%) | Date iny whic: 90\% complete (1) (2) | Years frum 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BELGIUM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females | 25-29 | 25 | 19 | 75 | 100 |  |  |
|  | 30-34 | 37 | 23 | 61 | 100 |  |  |
|  | 35-39 | 53 | 28 | 54 | 100 | 1985 | 10 |
|  | 40-44 | 111 | 48 | 5 | 100 | 1988 | 13 |
|  | 45-49 |  | 48 | 43 | 82 | 1999 | 24 |
|  | 50-54 | 100 | 38 | 38 | 78 | 2001 | 26 |
|  | 50-54 | 250 | 77 | 31 | 70 | 2005 |  |
|  | 55-59 | 53 | 10 | 19 | 59 |  |  |
|  | 65+ | 500 | 364 | 73 | 77 | 2011 | 36 |

## LUXEMBOUKG

| Males | 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-29$ | -• | - | 81 | 83 | 2029 | 54 |
|  |  | $\cdots$ | - | 62 | 64 | 2123 | 148 |
|  | 55-59 | 50 | 36 | 72 | 92 |  |  |
|  | 60-64 | 500 | 267 | 53 | 92 | 1993 | 18 |
|  | 65+ | 200 | 145 | 73 | 75 | 2010 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | 73 | 93 | 1992 | 17 |
| Females | 25-29 | 37 | 18 | 49 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-34 | 48 | 19 | 40 | 90 | 1995 | 20 |
|  | 35-39 | 67 | 25 |  | 82 | 1999 | 24 |
|  | 40-44 | 125 | 40 | 38 | 80 | 2000 | 25 |
|  | 45-49 |  | 40 | 32 | 47 | 2048 | 73 |
|  | 50-54 |  | - | 19 | 34 | 2061 | 86 |
|  | 55-59 |  | - | 19 | 34 | 2061 | 86 |
|  | $65+$ |  | -• | 0 | 25 | 2070 | 95 |
|  |  | . | - | 39 | 43 | 2278 | 303 |

$\frac{\text { K. }}{\text { Iles }}$



## DENMARK

| Males | $20-24$ | 50 | 28 | 56 | 79 | 2007 | 32 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $25-29$ | 59 | 34 | 57 | 80 | 2007 | 32 |
|  | $55-59$ | 42 | 20 | 48 | 78 | 2003 | 28 |
| $60-64$ | 59 | 23 | 39 | 69 | 2009 | 34 |  |
|  | $65+$ | 143 | 88 | 62 | 92 | 1994 | 19 |
| Females | $25-29$ | 23 | 18 | 78 | 100 | 1978 | 3 |
|  | $30-34$ | 29 | 21 | 72 | 100 | 1980 | 5 |
|  | $35-39$ | 32 | 22 | 69 | 100 | 1981 | 6 |
|  | $40-44$ | 40 | 26 | 64 | 100 | 1988 | 13 |
| $45-49$ | 44 | 26 | 61 | 100 | 1990 | 15 |  |
|  | $50-54$ | 59 | 30 | 51 | 91 | 1995 | 20 |
|  | $55-59$ | 77 | 43 | 56 | 96 | 1992 | 17 |
| $65+$ | 143 | 90 | 63 | 77 | 2013 | 38 |  |

## IRELAND



Persons of the age group 15-19 are generally either in the labour force or in education. However, there is a significant male minority in some countries who are not classified to either and, among females, there is a greater inactive population as a result of young girls remaining at home with their parents or as a result of leaving the active population at marriage. Table 1 shows the proportions of the population not classified or in the labour force or in education in 1968, 1973 and 1975 in each country.

The two activities, the labour force and education, appear to account for nearly all of the male population in each country, the lowest coverage being $971 / 2 \%$ in Germany. The estimates for males of the residual proportion seem reasonably stable as between 1973 and 1975. For females, the coverage is somewhat lower, being around $96 \%$ in most countries. However, the coverage in Italy is markedly lower with only $83 \mathrm{I} / 2 \%$ covered in 1975. This coverage has increased over the period of the Community surveys and, although in part may be due to refinements in the survey, is almost certainly not just a statistical artifact. In most countries, the coverage increased between 1973 and 1975 and it is possible that it may do so further in the futuré

It is worth considering Italy in more detail. Table 2 provides

Table 1 : Proportion of the population aged 15-19 not classified or in the labour force or in education in 1968, 1973 and 1975

| Males | $\underline{D}$ | $\underline{F}$ | $\underline{I}$ | NL | $\underline{B}$ | $\underline{\text { LUX }}$ | $\underline{\text { UK }}$ | IRL | IK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | $(-1.8)^{(1)}$ | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.9 |  |  |
| 1975 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | $1.4^{(2)}$ |

Females
$1968 \quad(-3.6)^{(1)} \quad 5.8 \quad 26.7 \quad 7.4 \quad 5.8$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}1973 & 4.6 & 3.8 & 19.5 & 4.6 & 5.0 & 4.5 & 6.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}1975 & 4.7 & 4.1 & 16.6 & 3.9 & 3.8 & 2.5 & 4.5 & 3.6 & 2.3^{(2)}\end{array}$
(1) Results of the Mikrozensus : the labour force plus students sums to more than the population base
(2) These have been adjusted because of an apparent underrecording of students aged 15

Table 2 : Proportion of Italian females aged 15-19 not classified or in the in the labour or education, by l-year age group

| $\frac{15}{22.6}$ | $\frac{16}{21.9}$ | $\frac{17}{26.1}$ | $\frac{18}{29.0}$ | $\frac{19}{33.2}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21.6 | 23.6 | 24.7 | 27.2 | 28.7 |
| 20.5 | 20.9 | 25.3 | 26.8 | 28.6 |
| 18.6 | 19.0 | 23.8 | 25.9 | 29.0 |
| 14.2 | 16.9 | 19.4 | 23.7 | 23.3 |
| 9.8 | 13.6 | 16.8 | 20.0 | 23.8 |

Table 3 : Changes 1968-75 in labour force, education and "not olassified" for Italian females of each age 15-19

Change
$15 \quad 16 \quad 17 \quad 18 \quad 19$
Labour force aotivity rate $\begin{array}{llllll}-13.6 & -14.9 & -13.2 & -12.8 & -8.1\end{array}$
Education participation $+26.4+23.2+22.5+21.8+17.6$
Not olassified

$$
-12.8-8.3-9.3-9.0-9.5
$$

the same information as shown in table 1 for Italian females, but classified by l-year age group. The improvement in coverage over the period has been marked for all ages, but greatest in recent years for those aged 15. But it can be seen from Table 3 that the explanation of the increased coverage is a dramatic increase in participation rates in education, ranging from $26 \%$ among 15 years old to $18 \%$ for 19 year olds, over the period 1968-1975. Both labour force activity rates and persons"not classified" have decreased. Thus the increased coverage is not the result of increased labour force activity in rural or urban areas. As for persons "not classified" (see table 2), the big declines in activity rates occurred between 1970 and 1975, marking the period of major educational expansion ${ }^{i n}$ the first 5 years of $\therefore$ this decade.

Table 4 shows a similar analysis of changes to that shown in table 3, but relates to the three other countries for which the necessary data are available (1). The estimates for France show the impact of raising the minimum age for leaving compulsory education to 16 , in so far as the educational system continued to offer 2 years of further schooling, activity rates in age groups 17 and 18 were also affected. In the Netherlands, the increases in education have been as large as in Italy, with absomption of females "not classified" into one or other activity. In Belgium, the increases
(1) Germany is excluded as the Mikrozensus double-counts labour force activity
and eduoation particpation.
have been much morgodest, except in the age group 19 where the promease has been relatively rapid for both males and females when comparea to changes in other countries.

Table 4: Changes 1968-75 in labour force, education and 'not classified' in France, Netherlands and Belgiun.

|  | Males |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 16 | $1 \%$ | 18 | 19 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| FRNCO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chang in } \\ & \text { LPAR } \end{aligned}$ | -11.2 | -32.6 | $-1.4 .3$ | -14.2 | $-7.8$ | $-5.0$ | -21.2 | -12.9 | $-10.1$ | -3. |
| Ea. Part. | +11.9 | +43.4 | +14.0 | +14.4 | $+6.6$ | $+6.7$ | $+24.0$ | +12.6 | +11.5 | $+5.7$ |
| Not Class. | -0.7 | $-10.8$ | $+0.3$ | -0.2 | + 1.2 | -1.7 | -2.8 |  |  | er -1.8 |
| WEYHERLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lipar | -4.8 | -16.3 | -20.9 | -21.9 | -20.3 | -7.7 | -20.2 | -19.4 | $-15 \cdot 5$ |  |
| Ed. Part. | + 4.8 | $+16.2$ | +20.2 | +21.8 | +20.6 | +12.2 |  | -19.4 +23.7 |  |  |
| Not Class. | 0 | + 0.1 | +0.7 | +21.8 +0.1 | +2.6 -0.3 | $+12.2$ |  | $+23.7$ | $+17.4$ | + 8.4 |
| BLLGIUM |  | 10.1 | $+0.7$ | +0.1 | -0.3 | -4.5 | -3.1 | - 4.3 | --1.9 | - 3.9 |
| LuAR | - 2.7 | -7.5 | - 3.9 | - 2.5 | $+6.1$ | -28 |  |  |  |  |
| Ed. Part. | + 2.7 | $+6.9$ | +4.1 |  |  |  | - 5.0 | $-4.3$ | -. 5.1 | -7.9 |
| Not Class. | 0 | +0.6 |  | +2.7 -0.2 | +12.3 (1) | +3.4 | $+5.4$ | $+5.8$ | $+6.3$ | +13.5 |
|  |  | + 0.6 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -18.4 | -0.6 | -0.4 | $-1.5$ | -1.2 | -5.6 |

(1) This estimate is clearly doubtful : it oould well arise from a failure to treat military
service consistently.

Table 5 shows the levels of activity rates, education participation rates and shares "not classified" in each of the 9 countries in 1975. For males and females, the differences between educational systems in the various countries are apparent in this table, not only in terms of the compulsory age (wheter it be 14,15 or 16) but also in terms of the length of advanced school courses. Education participation rates beyond age 17 are highest in Belgium, Italy and the Netherlands, reflecting the greater ease with which people can remain in further education, despite entry standards. The more vigorous enforcement of entry qualification standards in Germany and the UK greatly reduce education participation at age 19, and the lack of facilities in Ireland has a similar effect.

In general, the labour force activity rate reflects these variations in the extent of education by age but there are some important exceptions. At ages where many people leave education, the share "not classified" tends to be higher, reflecting unemployment among first job seekers. Among females, the share "not classified" rises progressively with age and almost certainly reflects the marriage ratio for each age group. It is only in Italy that there is a significant proportion of females not active in the labour force or in education.

Table 5: Labour force activity, participation in education and persons not classified by l-year age group, 9 countries, 1975 , as \% of population

|  | Males |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Gigmary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LF'AR | 4.2 | 34.2 | 55.1 | 66.3 | 74.4 | 3.7 | 25.3 | 48.5 | 67.0 | 66.9 |
| Ed. Part. | 92.3 | 63.2 | 42.8 | 31.5 | 23.1 | 93.9 | 70.6 | 47.3 | 28.3 | 24.3 |
| Not Class. | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 8.8 |
| France |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LFAR | 2.4 | 8.1 | 34.7 | 49.6 | 64.6 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 23.9 | 39.8 | 56.4 |
| Ed. Part. | 97.0 | 90.8 | 63.4 | 48.4 | 32.5 | 99.5 | 94.5 | 71.4 | 54.0 | 35.7 |
| Not Class. | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 7.9 |
| ITALY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LFAR | 8.1 | 19.4 | 29.2 | 37.9 | 46.5 | 6.5 | 15.6 | 22.4 | 28.5 | 36.6 |
| Ed. Part. | 90.9 | 79.0 | 68.7 | 59.8 | 49.9 | 83.6 | 70.8 | 60.7 | 51.5 | 39.6 |
| Not Class. | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 9.9 | 13.6 | 16.9 | 20.0 | 23.8 |
| NETHERLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LFAR | 0.3 | 5.9 | 17.7 | 34.7 | 50.8 | 0.1 | 8.0 | 24.2 | 42.6 | 60.2 |
| Ed. Part. | 99.4 | 93.7 | 81.4 | 64.4 | 48.1 | 99.2 | 90.0 | 72.6 | 51.4 | 31.8 |
| Not Class. | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Belciund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LFAR | 6.6 | 12.7 | 24.3 | 36.6 | 45.7 | 4.3 | 10.4 | 20.7 | 30.9 | 43.6 |
| Ed. Part. | 93.2 | 86.4 | 75.2 | 62.8 | 53.3 | 94.1 | 87.2 | 76.2 | 63.5 | 49.8 |
| Not Class. | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 6.6 |
| LUXEM BOURG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lfar | 0.4 | 34.6 | 46.3 | 53.4 | 63.6 | 0.4 | 29.3 | 51.5 | 62.1 | 73.4 |
| Ed. Part. | 99.6 | 64.6 | 53.2 | 46.6 | 35.5 | 99.6 | 69.9 | 47.2 | 32.9 | 21.4 |
| Not Class. | 0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 5.2 |
| UNITED KINGD. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LFAR | 0 | 4.7 | 54.3 | 72.6 | 81.5 | 0 | 3.0 | 48.7 | 65.1 | 71.0 |
| Ed. Part. | 100:0 | 93.6 | 43.4 | 24.7 | 16.6 | 100.0 | 94.9 | 47.4 | 27.3 | 18.8 |
| Not Class. | 0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 0 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 7.6 | 10.2 |
| IRSLAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LFAR | 10.2 | 26.7 | 50.3 | 64.6 | 78.9 | $9 \cdot 4$ | 24.3 | 38.9 | 56.4 | 72.6 |
| Ed. Part. Not Class. | 89.2 | 72.3 | 48.9 | 34.4 | 20.4 | 89.4 | 74.2 | 58.8 | 38.2 | 19.2 |
|  | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 5.4 | 8.2 |
| DENAARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LFAR |  |  | 24.3 |  | 72.3 | 0.2 | 2.8 |  | 50.0 | 62.2 |
| Ed. Part. | (96.9) | 92.2 | 74.3 | 42.1 | 27.2 | (99.2) | 95.6 | 85.2 | 46.1 | 32.7 |
| Not Class. | (2,8) | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | (0.6) | 1.6 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 5.1 |

To obtain forecasts of future levels of activity rates. for the age group 15-19, it is necessary to specify activity rates in each one-year age group. It is assumed that the compulsory age of education will become 16 in all countries, that male education participation rates for ages 17-19 will not increase much further, and that some future increases are still possible for females. Table 6 provides estimates of "saturation" levels of activity rates by l-year age groups and for the 15-19 age as a whole, and compares this aggregate with the activity rate in 1975.

The activity rates are chosen so as to reflect the apparent transition from the education system of each country; female rates are put lower than males by the age at which marriage ratios begin to become significant. The comparison for the aggregate 15-19 age group of actual 1975 levels with the "saturation" levels indicates (in general) the effect of raising the compulsory age of education to 16 in each country; a $5 \%$ activity rate is allowed to cope with the timing of the census in relation to school leaving regulations.

Table 6: "Saturation" levels of activity levels, l-year age groups and age group 15-19

|  | Germany | France | Italy | Netherl. | Belgium | Luxemb. | UK | Irel. | Denn. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MALSS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
| 17 | 50 | 35 | 30 | 20 | 25 | 45 | 50 | 50 | 25 |
| 18 | 60 | 50 | 35 | 30 | 35 | 50 | 70 | 60 | 55 |
| 19 | 70 | 60 | 45 | 50 | 45 | 60 | 80 | 75 | 70 |
| 15-19 (1) | 35 | 29 | 22 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { Actual } \\(1975)}}$ | 45.4 | 31.2 | 27.6 |  |  | 39.5 | 40.4 | $44.1$ | $32.1$ |
| FGALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 45 | 50 | 45 | 25 |
| 18 | 60 | 45 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 65 | 55 | 50 |
| 19 | 65 | 55 | 40 | 45 | 40 | 55 | 70 |  |  |
| 15-19 (1) | 34 | 25 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 31 | 36 |  | 28 |
| ${ }_{(1975)}^{\text {nctual }}$ | 40.3 | 24.2 | 21.4 | 26.0 | 21.2 | 44.0 | 35.4 | 38.5 | 25.6 |

(1) obtained uaing 1975 population weights.

## Activity rates for males aged 60-64 in Germany, 1975-85

At constant 1975 activity rates for single year ages, the pattern of change in activity rates would be U-shaped:

| 1975 | 1976 | $\underline{1977}$ | $\frac{1978}{}$ | 60.5 | 59.6 | 59.5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 62.4 | 60.5 | 63.2 | 659 | 1980 | 63.1 |  |  |

This occurs because the numbers of men born between 1911 and 1915 were so much lower than in other years that the survivor population in 1975 for these age groups is as little as $50 \%$ of the 'expected' level. As ran be seen, the population age composition can accelerate the fall in activity rates between 1975 and $1977 / 8$ by 3 percentage points and then slow it down by 6 points between 1978 and 1980. A further accelerated reduction of 3 points occurs between 1980 and 1985, by which time the population age composition has returned to 'normal'.

If we assume a continued reduction in activity rates in this age group, but no sharp discontinuous reduction in the effective age of retirement, then an activity rate of about $55 \%$ would be expected in 1980 ; with a reduction in the effective age of retirement to 60 by 1980 , an activity rate of $49 \%$ would be more likely. By 1985, the activity rate is expected to be below $40 \%$.

Estimates for 1980 are therefore likely to be above trend because of the age composition shift by about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ points and to fall more rapidly than trend between 1980 and 1985. The effect of deferring a lowering of the effective age of retirement until after 1980 is likely to be to raise the 1980 activity rate by a further 6 points above trend.

By the time pattern of the population . . projections for the age group as a whole, I suspect that the age composition shift giving a positive bias to activity rates for the age group might not occur until after 1980 (this

can be checked using rates | 60 | 81.4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 61 | 73.0 |
| 62 | 67.4 |
| 63 | 57.8 |
| 64 | 36.2 | and comparing the

result with my estimated population which gave 65.9). Thus a revised estimate for Germany would be between $52 \frac{1}{2} \%$ and $55 \%$. Note that this should not be much lower than $76 / 77$ estimates because the age composition effects in the first two years after 1975 give a downard bias to the estimates which is subsequently reversed by 1980. A likely time pattern would be

| (Relative to 1975) | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age composition effect | - | -2.0 | -3.0 | -3.0 | 0 | +2,5 | (assuming my population estimeces) |

Change in single year activity rates $\quad-\quad-2.0 \quad-4.0 \quad-6.0 \quad-8.0 \quad-10.0 \quad$ (1inear approx)
Change since 1975

Activity rate
$\begin{array}{llllll}62.4 & 58.4 & 55.4 & 53.4 & 54.4 & 54.9\end{array}$

The sensitivity of forecasts to traditionel and saturation levels and the rate of develcpmert of processes

The most important area for sensitivity testing is for forecasts of female activity rates, particularly in the age groups 20-59. Typically, traditional levels are around $20 \%$ and saturation levels are about $70 \%$, with process development rates (over 5 -year periods) of either 0.5 or 1.0 . The table illustrates the sensitivity of projections to assumed traditional saturation levels. By varying both of these levels by $\pm 5$ points, we can construct matrices of forecasts. The central forecast is the middle element of the matrix; the maximum estimate occurs by stretching the range of the process (raising the saturation level and lowering the traditional level) whilst the mininum estimate obtains if the range is shortened at both ends. When actual activity rates are near the traditional level, the estimates are relatively insensitive to the assumed saturation level but quite sensitive to the traditional level assumed. When the actual activity rates are near the traditional or saturation level, then the difference in the change can be quite large, particularly at lower levels, because small changes in the traditional or saturation level leads to a large change in the inferred current stage of development of the process. But, when actual rates are in a range of between 40 and $60 \%$ of the development completed, the effect of a change in either level is really rather small as a 'forecasting' error.

| :tivity <br> ite 1975 | Trad. <br> level | 1980 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1985 \\ \text { Saturation level \% } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Range of changes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 65 | 70 | 75 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 1975-80 | 1975-85 |
| 26.0 | 15 | 30.9 | 31.1 | 31.2 | 36.7 | 37.3 | 37.7 | 0.6-5.2 | 1.6-11.7 |
|  | 20 | 29.1 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 33.3 | 33.5 | $33.7\}$ |  |  |
|  | 25 | 26.6 | 26.6 | 26.6 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 27.6 |  |  |
| 33.4 | 15 | 39.5 | 39.9 | 40.3 | 45.6 | 46.8 | 47.8 | 3.8-6.9 | 8.4-14.4 |
|  | 20 | 38.5 | 38.8 | 39.1 | 44.1 | 44.9 | $45.7\}$ |  |  |
|  | 25 | 37.2 | 37.4 | 37.5 | 41.8 | 42.3 | 42.7 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | i |  |  |  |  |
| 45.0 | 15 | 50.6 | 51.5 | 52.3 | 55.2 | 57.1 | 58.9 ) | 4.9-6.2 | 9.2-13.9 |
|  | 20 | 50.3 | 51.1 | 51.8 | 54.8 | 56.6 | 58.2 $\}$ |  |  |
|  | 25 | 49.9 | 50.6 | 51.2 | 54.2 | 55.8 | 57.2 |  |  |
| 56.6 | 15 | 59.5 | 61.0 | 62.3 | 61.5 | 64.2 | 66.6 ) | 2.8-5.7 | 4.8-10.0 |
|  | 20 | 59.5 | 60.9 | 62.1 | 61.5 | 64.1 | $66.4\}$ |  |  |
| $\cdot{ }^{\circ}$ | 25 | 59.4 | 60.8 | 62.0 | 61.4 | 63.9 | 66.2 ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | $0.4-3.8$ | 0.6-6.4 |
| 64.0 | 15 | 64.4 | 66.2 | 67.8 | 64.6 | 67.6 | 70.4 |  |  |
|  | 20 | 64.4 | 66.2 | 67.8 | 64.6 | 67.6 | $70.4\}$ |  |  |
|  | 25 | 64.4 | 66.2 | 67.7 | 64.6 | 67.6 | 70.3) |  |  |

Note: Activity rate 1975 correspondes to parameter $s=-2.0,-1.0,0,1.0,2.0$ with the central assumptions for traditional and saturation levels of 20 and $70 \%$ respectively.
The process development rate assumed is 0.5 in five years; thus the change by 1985 is 1.0 , and could be considered as showing the effect of a faster rate of development over a five year period.


#### Abstract

- In Chapter 2 , adjustments were made for the effects of the pressure of demand on female activity rates. These altered the estimates of the stage of the process reached in 1968 and 1975, and hence the estimated rate of process development (for the period 1968-75), and, by changing the parameter $s$, altered the future rate of change in actual activity rates implied by the development of the process. The statistical basis for these adjustments was weakest in the case of Belgium, both pressure of demand variables being significant but implying opposite effects. It was felt necessary therefore to produce alternative estimates for Beigium based on actual activity rates, that is, making no cyclical adjustment. The tables give these alternative projections for females : since no :djustment was made for males, these projections are unaffected.

The third table gives a comparison. It is demonstrated that the only age groups for which there is a significant difference (that is, in excess of one percentage point) are those age groups $50-54$ and 55-59 where there is a fast rate of development of a process which is only in its infancy in 1975, so that the estimated stage reached in 1975 (parameter value for $s$ in 1975) is of some importance for these groups.


Unadjusted activity rites (Parameter s)

|  | $\frac{1968}{26.5}$ | $\frac{1975}{21.2}$ | 1968 | 1975 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| $20-24$ | 59.7 | 62.4 | 0.87 | 1.28 |
| $25-29$ | 44.1 | 61.8 | -0.39 | 2.30 |
| $30-34$ | 35.7 | 51.0 | -0.82 | 1.06 |
| $35-39$ | 34.4 | 45.5 | -1.00 | 0.35 |
| $40-44$ | 33.2 | 38.1 | -1.36 | -0.72 |
| $45-49$ | 31.0 | 35.3 | -1.87 | -1.21 |
| $50-54$ | 27.1 | 28.2 | -2.34 | -2.11 |
| $55-59$ | 20.5 | 21.3 | -4.37 | -3.39 |
| $60-64$ | 8.9 | 7.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $65+$ | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.28 | 2.94 |

Change per Assumed 5-year change
annum for projections (as in W.P. 8)
$\frac{1968-75}{\ldots} \quad$ 1975-85 1985-95
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{lcc}0.06 & 0.40 & 0.50 \\ 0.38 \\ 0.27 \\ 0.19\end{array}\right\} \quad 1.40 \begin{array}{l}1.40 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.14 \\ 0\end{array}\right\}$

Projections : alternative estimates


Excess of main projections over these alternative estimates (percentage points)

|  | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-19$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $20-24$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $25-29$ | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $30-34$ | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| $35-39$ | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $40-44$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $45-49$ | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| $50-54$ | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| $55-59$ | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| $60-64$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $65+$ | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |

> (..) indicates no change in projection

## Migration in the Community. Some econometric relationships.

Econometric analysis of migration flows and employment of migrants arerare. The few available studies tackle only partial analysis of the problem and are limited essentially to economic variables and simple estimation methods (OLS). These attempts do not therefore do justice to the omplexity of the problem to be investigated.

For the United Kingdom a study exists to explain the migration between the U.K. and Ireland, between which two countries there is an agreement on free movement. This study showed for the period 1951-1971 that the relative tension on the labour market (measured in relation to the unemployment rate) and the relative wage and salary level of both countries were strong and statistically significant explanatory variables ${ }^{l}$.

For Belgium it could be shown that net immigration was dependent on the level of internal demand for labour ${ }^{2}$.

[^4]More extensive and more detailed analyses exist for the Federal Republic of Germany, especially by Drettakis ${ }^{1}$ and Franz ${ }^{2}$.

Drettakis investigated for the period 1962-1971 anongst other things the net migration of foreign workers and the annual changes in the levels of foreign wage and salary earners. As explanatory variables he used (alternative) indicators of the tension on the internal labour market (vacancies and number of unemployed) and the macro-economic situation (industrial production and real GNP) in each case with a trend variable. These simple exercises are sufficient to approximate well the actual development. As examples Table 1 shows the $\bar{R}^{2}$ for different estimators of the change in the stock of foreign wage and salary earners. All coefficients are significant at the $5 \%$ probability level. The differences can not be discussed here individually. As a whole the relationships are very close. Of course many complicated relationships can be hidden in the (not separately identified) trend components.

1 E.G. Drettakis, Analyse der jährlichen Wanderungsströme in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, 1962/1971, in : Institut für Arbeitsmarkt- und Berufaforschung der Bundesanstalt für Arbeit, Ausländische Arbeitnehmer und Arbeitsmarkt, Beiträge zur Arbeitsmarkt- und Berufsforschung, No. 7, Nürnberg 1976, pp. 23 ff.

2
W. Franz, International Factor Mobility and the Labor Market: A macroeconomic Analysis of the German Labor Market, Institut fir Volkswirtschaftslehre und Statistik der Universität Mannheim, Discussion Paper No. 79/1976, unpublished.

## Table 1

The relationship between annual changes in the stock of foreign workers and alternative economic domestic variables and a trend variable in Gerrany 1962-1971 ( $\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{2}$ )

| Dependent variable | Trend and |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | vacancies | unemployed | industrial production |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| in stock | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.93 |
| of which: Italians <br> Greeks <br> Spaniards <br> Turks <br> Portuguese <br> Yugoslave | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \\ & 0.93 \\ & 0.92 \\ & 0.89 \\ & 0.65 \\ & 0.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.71 \\ & 0.88 \\ & 0.90 \\ & 0.90 \\ & 0.84 \\ & 0.63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.51 \\ & 0.92 \\ & 0.83 \\ & 0.89 \\ & 0.66 \\ & 0.74 \end{aligned}$ |
| Males total | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.94 |
| Females total | 0.73 | 0.84 | 0.84 |

[^5]The results for the net migration of foreign workers are similar, as are those for the gross immigration of workers ${ }^{1}$, for which the estimated partial elasticities with relation to unemployment in Germany are as follows:

|  | Males | Females |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Total | -0.74 | -0.82 |
| Italians | -0.48 | -0.62 |
| Creeks | -1.17 | -1.45 |
| Spaniards | -1.18 | -1.11 |
| Turks | -0.77 | -1.12 |
| Portuguese | $-1.04^{*}$ | -1.02 |
| Yugoslavs | -0.74 | -0.89 |

* not significant at the $5 \%$ level.

The totality of the results (only some of which are recorded here) support the hypothesis of migration processes induced by domestic economic factors. This "pull"-hypothesis is further supported in that the inclusion of various variables relating to the source countries brought no improvement in the results. This is not surprising given the migration policy followed during this period (see main text)

[^6]The research done by Franz covers the period from 1960 to $1975^{1}$, and includes therefore the first two years of restrictions on the immigration of foreign workers. The work is also of a different nature. Equations for the growth in the number of foreign workers, on the one hand with blic status, on the other without, are (separately) estimated, in the context of a complete labour market model for the Federal Republic.

With free entry (as in the migration relationship between Ireland and the U.K.) the real wage difference and the situation on the labour market in both countries should be the decisive influencing factors. By far the largest share of foreign workers from EBC member states in Germany are Italian. It has been shown that the development of this migration statistically can be well explained using real wage differentials and vacancies per worker in Cermany 2)3). There is an average lag of about one year, which in the downswing consists essentially of payment limits on unemployment benefits 4 and in the upswing probably of information delays.

[^7]With respect to foreign workers from non-WEC countries it was assumed that the policy of the responsible public authorities is guided on the one hand by the level of domestic unemployment and on the other hand by the percentage of (non-EEC) foreign workers to the total. As long as unemployment remains below a critical value (not-rejected hypothesis: 400,000) net immigration is permitted (and administratively encouraged) in line with the demand for labour, as long as a critical threshold of foreign population (e.g. $12 \%$ ) is not reached), when net immigration is stopped. Above the critical value for unemployment, stricter restrictions are introduced, which prohibit as far as possible gross immigration, or even encourage migrant workers to leave. These are plausible hypotheses on the policy towards foreign immigration which was actually followed officially though not explicitly before 1976. However one of the cases, namely the reaching of a critical threshold of foreigners, has not yet been operative. However, if growth had continued normally after 1973, an acute problem would soon have become evident. If the recession had not taken place, a more restrictive policy towards foreigners would probably have been introduced (see main paper).

The case of less-than-critical unemployment existed in the periods 1960, I to 1966, III and 1969, I to 1973, III . During these periods the closest relationship existed between the growth of the non-EAFC foreigner ratio in the workforce and the macro-economic performence measured by the CNP.

1 Roman numbers relate to the quarters.

During the periods 1966, IV to 1968, IV and 1973, IV to the end of the study period 1975, IV, the critical threshold of unemployment was exceeded and the number of non-EEC foreign workers was sharply reduced ${ }^{l}$. This reduction was correlated very closely with unemployment (the difference between the actual and the assumed critical threshold).

An estimate for the whole period showed a dependency of the change in the stock of workers from third countries not only on the level of unemployment but also on its time path: even below the critical threshold there were reactions to changes in the level of employment - the faster the greater. On statistical criteria the (best) equation for the whole period is however less satisfactory than the estimates for the sub-periods mentioned above.

This study also underlined the importance of the influence of the labour market situation on migration and the employment of foreign workers in the past. However it also points explicitly to the existence of other economic and socio-demographic aspects ${ }^{2}$, which modify or reject the simple demand-pull explanations. They must be taken into account when consideration is given to future migration possibilities given alternative assumptions about the developnent of the labour market in the countries, which until now have been importers of labour. In addition the many qualitative divergences between national and foreign workers, which remain hidden in the macro-economic analyses, should be considered. Finally, the policy on the immigration of dependents of foreign workers and other integration measures, eapecially in respect to the second generation of foreigners, play an important role.

[^8]
## ANNEX 10

THF PUBLIC FLNANCE IMPLICATIONS OF IFAMOGRAPHIC CHANGE

The crucial demographio relationship in the field of public finance is the ratio between, on the one hand, the number of children and old people, who are the prinoipal beneficiaries of income (and tax-relief) arising from the redistributive activities of government and who receive a substantial proportion of the resources devoted to education and health services, and, on the other hand, the working population, whose income provides the basis of taxation, the source of most government revenue. The prospeot in the next twentymfive years is that the proportion of ohildren in the population of the Community will fall from almost a quarter to a fifth, and the proportion of people over 65 years is expected to rise by only 1 per cent. The global view of population trends therefore gives little cause for alarm.

The influence of demographic change on public expenditure is felt in four main areas, cash transfers by way of pensions and child allowances and direct expenditure on education and health. The effect of a sustained reduction in fertility will eventually be felt in each of these areas, but at different time horizons. The reduction in the numbers of young children reaching school age has already affeoted the recruitment of teachers and school building programes. The fall in the birth rate has already affeoted the training of midwives and the construotion of maternity units, but a significant increase in health costs per head due to the ageing of the population will not occur. for many years. The relationship between people of pensionable age and the aotive population will also not deteriorate until the last decade of the century.

In the years since 1960 demographio change has not been the dominant factor in the rapid growth of sooial expenditure, now a major element of public expenditure. The efforts of member countries to improve and extend income support, health and education schemes have had an influence whioh has far outweighed the effect of the growth in the dependent population. It is probable, however, that the big growth period may now be nearing its

$$
\ldots / \ldots
$$

[^9]close with the aohievement of many of the aims of the post-war social reformers, and that over the next quarter century demographic faotors may have relatively more weight than in the reoent past, except possibly in the field of health care.

Changes in the methods of caring for the sick are likely to be more important for health expenditure than either a reduction in births or an inorease in old persons. Even in education, improvements in facilities, reductions in class size and increases in the school-leaving age may well offset some of the effeot of the reduction in the child population. Nevertheless in the long run the demographio factors oannot be ignored if the postulated deoline in fertility becomes a permanent fact.

The results of the analysis for the Community as a whole cover up considerable differences which exist between the member states. These differences are a refleotion of the various demographio struotures described in the first Chapter of this report. The situation in Germany with respect to demographio effeots on public finance is not remotely refleoted in the Irish situation for instance. Although in most member states a reduction in fertility aince the midnineteen sixtees has been noted, nevertheless the population structures are generally different and therefore the influence of demographio ohange on public finance is also different. This should be borne in mind when statistios for the Community as a whole are presented.

## A. Pensions

The ratio of the 65 years and older age group to the total population in the BEC will deoline during: from 1980 to 1985 : but will start rising again from 1985 onwards. Between 1975 and 1995, this àge group will inorease from approximately $13,3 \%$ of the total population to $14 \%$ an inorease of slightly over 3 million. The rise from 1985 to the end of the period amounts to $31 / 2$ millions.

This overall development hides very different trends in the member states. Germany and the United Kingdom with around $14 \%$ of their population aged 65 and over in 1975 will finish the period with between $14,5 \%$ and $15 \%$. At the other extreme Ireland with the youngest population struoture in 1975 will see the proportion of its population of over 65 fall to only alightly over $9 \%$ of total population in 1995.

This increase is of very small magnitude when compared with the postwar inorease up to 1975. However the projeotions of activity rates desoribed in Chapter 2 (3) also assumed that the retirement age will fall gradually over the period to 60 years. If one simplifies by assuming that the whole inorease in the non-active $60-64$ years old age group over the period represents the increase in the number of pension reoipients (i.e。 the absolute number of those in this age group not receiving a pension does not ohange after 1975), then a considerable additional pansions burden will appear between now and 1995.
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## Table 1

a) Number of persons over 65 years of age in the E.O. (millions)

| Year | Males | Females | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13.5 | 20.8 | 34.3 |
| 1980 | 14.2 | 22.3 | 36.6 |
| 1985 | 13.2 | 21.5 | 34.7 |
| 1990 | 13.8 | 22.6 | 36.5 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | 23.1 | 37.6 |

b) Proportion of total population over 65 years of age (millions)

| Year | Males | Females | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 10.74 | 15.69 | 13.28 |
| 1975 | 11.22 | 16.73 | 14.05 |
| 1980 | 10.28 | 15.98 | 13.20 |
| 1985 | 10.67 | 16.69 | 13.75 |
| 1990 | 11.05 | 16.88 | 14.02 |

Table 2
Non-aotive persons in $60-64$ age group in the E. Ce ( ${ }^{\prime} 000$ )

| Year | Males | Females | Total | Activity rate \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 2209 | 6082 | 8,291 | 40,15 |
| 1980 | 1896 | 4431 | 6,327 | 37,00 |
| 1985 | 3335 | 6369 | 9,704 | 32,06 |
| 1990 | 3737 | 5942 | 9,679 | 30,02 |
| 1995 | 4004 | 5806 | 9,810. | 28,20 |

Table shows a large fall in the number of non-active persons in this age group between 1975 and 1980 (a largely demographic phenomenon) but a very rapid increase thereafter (a result of falling aotivity rates). The total inorease of non-active (i.e. pension recipients) in this age group between 1975 and 1995 will therefore be of the order of $11 / 2$ million, with over 1 million of which. accounted for by changes in the activity rate of the group (see statistical annex).

The real increase in the total number of pension recipients aged 60 years and over between 1975 and 1995 may well be in the order of $31 / 2$ million persons. In the comparable twenty year period from 1955 to 1975 the number of persons of 65 years and over alone grew by over 11 millions. During the same period the level of real benefit rose considerablyo In comparison the increase in the number of pensionees up to 1995 appears small, though there are considerable variations between oountries.

The increase in the percentage of persons over 65 years of age in the total population is nowhere very rapid over the period up to 1995. In the case of Ireland, this percentage dealines. If however one looks briefly at the following 20 year period up to 2015, it is evident that the population will atart to age rapidly. This is especially the case in Germany, where the projected reduotion in fertility is greatest. Attention should be drawn to this ageing the population beyond the study period in its relationship to the pensions burden.

The increase in the number of pension reoipients over the period 1975 to 1995 is even less dramatic when viewed in relation to the developments in the active population sketched in the main report. During this period, the proportion of the population between 15 and 65 years of age will rise from $63,3 \%$ to $65,8 \%$. However, the rise in the proportion of the population in the active labour force will rise from $41,1 \%$ to $45,4 \%$ during the sane period, although this increase would of course be less if expressed in terms of hours worked.

Table 3
Percentage of the population over 65 years of age in the E. G. Member States

| Land | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | 2005 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cermany | 14,30 | 15,40 | 14,15 | 14,52 | 14,90 | 17,1 | 18,4 |
| France | 13,32 | 13,70 | 12,12 | 12,73 | 13,23 | 13,8 | 14,9 |
| Italy | 12,03 | 13,18 | 12,56 | 13,52 | 14,15 | 15,2 | 15,6 |
| Nether | 10,73 | 11,41 | 11,68 | 12,32 | 12,62 | 13,3 | 16,1 |
| lands | 10,73 | 14,03 | 12,60 | 13,05 | 13,38 | 13,7 | 14,0 |
| Belgium | 13,91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luxemm | 12,99 | 13,56 | 12,78 | 12,92 | 13,88 | 15,3 | 16,7 |
| bourg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United | 13,97 | 14,64 | 14,45 | 14,82 | 14,55 | 13,9 | 15,1 |
| Kingdiom | 13,98 | 10,76 | 10,31 | 9,87 | 9,23 | 8,1 | 8,1 |
| Ireland | 10,86 | 14,19 | 14,44 | 14,69 | 14,36 | 13,7 | 16,1 |

Given a development of the economy over the long-term in line with potential growth, the additional pension burden in terms of number of pensions to be paid does not seem to be a serious problem. Although the number will grow, this growth will be both less than in the past and will be accompanied by a substantial inorease in the labour force. On the other hand, the problem rapidly become more serious after 1995.

## B. Education

The influence of demographio change on education expenditure is extremely important in the short as well as the long-term. The two major problem areas are of course capital investment programmes and teacher supply.

In 1955, there were 52.7 million children less than 15 years of age in the nine Member States, or $23,6 \%$ of the total population. By 2972, this absolute number had climbed to a maximum of 61,3 million or $24,2 \%$ The ourrent projections show that by 1995 ohildren under 15 years of age will make up only $20,2 \%$ of the total population, that is 54 millions.

$$
\cdots / / \ldots
$$

It can be reasonably assumed that $100 \%$ of the children between 5 and 15 years of age will attend school during the period under consideration. For ohildren of less than five years, it is to be assumed that there will be a greater demand for premshool education, to some extent at least beoause more women will be in employment. For those over 16 years of age, it may be assumed that there will be some increase in the rate of partioipation in full-time education (see Chapter 2).

## 1. Children of premsohool age

The number of ahildren in the age group $0-4$ years reaohed a maximum in the late nineteen-sixties, at which period facilities such as day nurseries

Table 4
Ohildren aged $0-4$ yeara in the Member States

| Land | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Germany | 4130 | 3492,8 | 3038,0 | 3334,0 | 3586,0 | 3438,6 |
| France | 4720 | 4223,9 | 3688,6 | 4082,6 | 4090,8 | 4076,7 |
| Italy | 4371 | 4335,6 | 4041,4 | 3888,0 | 4024,1 | 4167,3 |
| Netherlands | 1186 | 1055,9 | 916,4 | 985,0 | 1029,1 | 1032,9 |
| Belgium | 664 | 645,9 | 612,5 | 693,7 | 709,5 | 674,8 |
| Luxembourg | 18 | 20,8 | 21,7 | 22,2 | 21,4 | 19,9 |
| United Kingdora | 4618 | 3953,7 | 3475,8 | 3786,6 | 4063,3 | 4109,2 |
| Ireland | 312 | 338,5 | 351,7 | 387,1 | 418,7 | 427,4 |
| Denmark | 381 | 362,1 | 356,1 | 361,3 | 369,7 | 368,8 |
| Community | 20392 | 18429,1 | 16502,1 | 17540,5 | 18312,6 | 18315,6 |

and nursexy schools were rapidly expanded in some Member States, but seldom sufficiently to meet the demand. The reduction in the number of children in this age group in the ooming years will probably allow a larger percentage of these children to obtain these faoilities, without leading to surplus capacity (though of course such surplus capacity may occur in certain areas)。 In any case, the numbers will rise again after 1985 to reach almost the same level in 1995 as attained in 1975. If a higher partioipation rate in state faoilitios by the 0-4 years old group is allowed in the early nineteen-eighties, then at the end of the period either faoilities must be expanded or the partioipation rate must fall again.

## 2. Ohildran from $5-15$ years of age

In all countries, except Ireland, there will be a decline in the number of children of compulsory sohool age to a minimum around 1990 after which there will be some inorease up to the end of the period. By 1990, there will be almost exaotly $25 \%$ less children in compulsory school age as in 1975. The primary schools will reach a minimum in pupil numbers in the first half of the nineteeneighties, the secondary schools in the latter half of the eighties or early nineties. On the very aggregate level countries are oonfronted with the choice between reduoing the number of teachers or improving the staff-pupil ratio.

## Table 5



The choice of the action to be taken depends on the extent to whioh economio growth provides additional resources for education. If the present polioy of resource constraint in education is maintained, it is fairly clear that the surplus of teachers already evident in some countries will be increased. Some member states have drawn up medium-term plans for reducing the number of places available in teaoher training oolleges and this will undoubtedly spread to other countries. In the United Kingdom for instanoe the Department of Education and Science has announced a reduction in initial teacher training places from 115000 in 1972 to 35000 in the early 1980's (1).
.../....

[^10]The problem of teacher supply is made worse by the effect of decreasing fertility on the rate of teachers leaving the profession to have children.

Even on the population forecasts contained in this report, the number of ohildren of compulsory school age will begin to increase again (th ough briefly) towands the end of the period; for primary school age groups in the mid-nineteen-eighties. Although the numbers reached at the end of the sixties or early seventies may not be reached again, a oyclioal variation in the shortmerm fertility index could provoke peaks and troughs in the number of school children, whioh would be difficult and costly to cope with as far as teacher training and investment in school buildings are conoerned. To reduce training facilities too muoh to meet forecast school population based on the apparently new low level fertility might in the long-term prove costly Neverm theless considering the generation of children already borm, it is olear that some reduotion in faoilities is necessary if staffing ratios are not going to be inoreased significantly.

For school building, the same difficulties emerge, with the addition that whereas teachers can be transferred from one area to another, school buildings can not. It may well be that while buildings are being converted to other uses in one area, new schools will need to be construoted in others, despite falling numbers of school ohildren.

## 3. Students

Whereas the perticipation rate in education in the under -16 year old group is close to $100 \%$, it is a major variable in assessing the future demand for places in higher education, together with the demographic variable.

The number of persons in the 15-24 year old age group will increase through 1980 to peak between 1985 and 1990. Already by 1990 the numbers will. heve fallen significantly and by 1995 there will be $17 \%$ less people in this age group in the Community than in 1985. Indeed, in Germany there will be over $35 \%$ less in 1995. Only in Ireland will there be continuous growth throughout the period (see table 6).

The scope for an increase in participation rates differs somewhat from country to country depending on the existing situation and the financial constraints. There has been a very rapid increase in the perticipation rates in third level education in most member states in the last ten years and there is doubt whether this rate can rise substantially in the future. In Germany for instance there was an increase of $50 \%$ in numbers in third level education between 1970 and 1975 alone. On the other hand in the United Kingdom, where numbers in higher education are lower, announced policy would suggest that participation rates for university education at lenst will not rise in any way sufficiently to compensate for the demographic decline. Perhaps various forms of further education, providing
retraining for those already in jobs, may add to the demand for the future, but the total demand for third-level education will nevertheless probably fall after the mid-nineteen-eighties in most member states.

Several countries have reacted to the problem of rapidly increasing student numbers between 1975 and 1985 in a way which already takes account of the reduction in numbers after that date. This has been done by decreasing staff-student ratios and inoreasing the intensity of use of existing buildings and equipment. It is conceivable therefore that the readjustment after 1985 may be achieved without major problems of redundancies of staff and buildings.

Table 6
Population between 15 and 24 years of age, 1975-1995.

|  | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Germany | 8729 | 9458 | 9846 | 8196 | 6351 |
|  | 14.08 | 15.47 | 16.24 | 13.61 | 10.66 |
| France | 8509 | 8372 | 8410 | 8366 | 7824 |
|  | 16.16 | 15.65 | 15.41 | 15.04 | 13.82 |
| Italy | 7947 | 8556 | 9064 | 8824 | 8281 |
|  | 14.29 | 15.04 | 15.69 | 15.06 | 13.94 |
| Netherlands | 2287 | 2379 | 2414 | 2241 | 1954 |
|  | 16.81 | 17.08 | 16.90 | 15.31 | 13.06 |
| Belgium | 1541 | 1574 | 1523 | 1393 | 1267 |
|  | 15.73 | 16.07 | 15.46 | 14.03 | 12.72 |
| Luxembourg | 55 | 53 | 51 | 45 | 42 |
|  | 15.29 | 14.92 | 14.32 | 12.82 | 12.02 |
| U.K. | 8023 | 8661 | 9084 | 8524 | 7454 |
|  | 14.32 | 15.43 | 16.09 | 14.95 | 12.94 |
| Ireland | 539 | 608 | 645 | 659 | 684 |
|  | 17.06 | 18.34 | 18.23 | 17.37 | 16.87 |
| Denmark | 747 | 755 | 779 | 751 | 711 |
|  | 14.78 | 14.71 | 14.97 | 14.24 | 13.35 |
| E.E.G. | 38376 | 40416 | 41816 | 38999 | 34568 |
|  | 14.86 | 15.53 | 15.92 | 14.69 | 12.91 |

## C. Health

Where is firm evidence from several research studies (a) that the relationship between cost of health care and age gives a Umshaped curve. If the cost of child-birth and pre- and post-natal care is attributed to the child rather than to its mother, the male and female agemspeoific health cost curves are similar. They fall sharply over the firat few years of life and thereafter rise at a gradually increasing rate into old age. Publio expenditure on health has risen very rapidly as a percentage of GDP in most countries in the Community in recent years, and although this increase may moderate in the years to come because of the difficulties of financing further growth in health costs, the underlying tendency imposed by the chan... ges in the structure of population until the end of the century will be to reinforce the upward trend in expenditure. The application of an age-speoific weighting system based on the studies mentioned above indioates that the aging of the population alone will raise the average real burden of heal th costs in the Community by almost 9 per oent by 1995, with partioularly high increases in Ireland, Italy and the Netherlandse

| Germany | 3.5 | Netherlands | 20.9 | United Kingdom | 6.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France | 10.5 | Belgium | 2.9 | Ireland | 22.9 |
| Italy | 13.7 | Luxembourg | 3.6 | Denmark | 10.1 |
|  |  | Commanity | 8.9 |  |  |

An effect which cannot at present be measured numerically is the inorease in costs whioh is likely to arise if there is a growth in the proportion of very old people in the population over 65 years of age. A substantial proportion of the very old require residential care, which is at present often oarried out in general or mental hospitals, rather than at home or in speoialised institutions. On present assumptions about survival, the numbers aged 85 years or more will increase from 2 million in 1975 to $31 / 2$ million in 1995 and from 6 per cent to 9 per cent of the population over 65 years, and a substantial inorease in the demand for residential care can therefore be foreseen.

$$
\ldots / \ldots
$$

(a) "Health Gare costs when the population ohanges" - Denton and Spencer ...
Canadian Journal of Eoonomica, Vol. VII no 1 , February 1975
"Fluotuations demographiques et depenses de securite sociale" - Jw. Chesnais-
Population, vol. $32.2,1977$.

The application of a fixed weighting system cannot refleot the cost differences between countries due to national attitudes towards care of the aged, the supply of residential places and the activity rates of women. The increase in female activity rates foreseen in Chapter 2 is likely to give rise to a greater demand for residential care for old people than at present, and the rise in expenditure might be reduced if specialised institutions were to be provided which could supply the same level of aare for the aged as a hospital, but at a substantially smaller cost.


[^0]:    , This report has been prepared by a group of independent experts set up by the Commission in the context of its studies on Medium-Term Economic Assessments.
    The opinions expressed in this report must not be considered to be or interpreted as the view of the Commission and its services.

[^1]:    14. As the results for Belgium were conflicting with gipnificant coefficients for opposite effects, alternative projections are provided for this country on the basis of unadjusted activity rates as well as those on the basis of these adjusted values.
[^2]:    (1) To estin:re the effect by 1990, or: would need age differences for marriages among those born around 1230, compared say to those born in 1910 and more recent cohorts.

[^3]:    Among femalas aged 25-39, Denmark has activity rates relativelynear to "saturation" levels but Italy, Netherlends, Luxembourg and Ireland have substantial potential increases in activity rates in this group. The return to work pattern is markedly different as between UK and Denmark compared with the other 7 countries. This undoubtedly relates to the substantially more important degree of (main ocoupation) part-time working in the two countries. Noticeably left behind are

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. Walsh, "Expectations, information and human migration: specifying an econometric model of Irish migration to Britain". Journal of Regional Soience, no. 1, 1974 pp. 107-120.

    2
    2ème congrès des économistes belges de langue française, Nov. 1976, Economie ouverte face aux mutations internationales, Charleroi 1977

[^5]:    Source : E.G. Drettakis, op. cit. p. 34

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the other hand no significant relationships were found with gross emigration. ${ }^{2}$ E.G. Drettakis, op. cit. page 36.

[^7]:    1 Quarterly information.
    2
    Because of the problems of Italian employment statistics, the situation on the labour market of the source country was not considered.

    3
    The parameters have the expected signs and are statistically significant at the 5 \% level. The fit is good (with seasonal variables ( $\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{2}=0.951$, Ww $=1.967$ ).

    4
    Unemployment pay in Cermany is for many Italians higher than the potential wage level in Italy.

[^8]:    1 See the main text.

    2
    Idem.

[^9]:    * A separate group of experts of the Directorate Ceneral for Social Affairs at the EEC Comission is investigating this problem and will report on it in greater detail at a later date.

[^10]:    (1)"Population and the sooial sexvioes" - Report by the Central Polioy Review Staff, London 1977, page 24

