COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

SEC(90) 387 final

Brussels, 28 February 1990

REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE ACP-EEC COUNCIL OF MINISTERS

concerning the financial problems of the Stabex system for the years of application 1980, 1981, 1987 and 1988

(Communication from the Commission to the Council)

Communication to the Commission from

Vice-President MARIN

The ACP-EEC Council of Ministers of 2nd June 1989, at the request of the ACP side, entrusted to the Commission the preparation of a report presenting an analysis of the difficulties which confronted the Stabex system for the application years 1980, 1981, and 1987. The purpose of the report, in addition to a description of the situation, would be to provide an objective and factual contribution to the resolution, once and for all, of the persistent disagreement between the Community and the ACP side, arising from the latter's insistence that it still has outstanding claims on the Stabex system in relation to those exercises.

The ACP-EEC Committee of Ambassadors, meeting on 28th July 1989 to find solutions for the insufficiency of Stabex resources for the 1988 application year, asked that the report cover that year also.

The report which follows proposes, in implementation of this mandate, to set out the financial problems of the system.

Introduction

The ACP-EEC Council of Ministers of 2nd June 1989, at the request of the ACP side, entrusted to the Commission the preparation of a report presenting an analysis of the difficulties which confronted the Stabex system for the application years 1980, 1981, and 1987. The purpose of the report, in addition to a description of the situation, would be to provide an objective and factual contribution to the resolution, once and for all, of the persistent disagreement between the Community and the ACP side, arising from the latter's insistence that it still has outstanding claims on the Stabex system in relation to those exercises.

The ACP-EEC Committee of Ambassadors, meeting on 28th July 1989 to find solutions for the insufficiency of Stabex resources for the 1988 application year, asked that the report cover that year also.

The report which follows proposes, in implementation of this mandate, to set out the financial problems of the system.

1. Background details

1.1 Lomé i

The Stabex system, introduced for the first time with the First Lomé Convention, was implemented from the 1975 application year onwards. During the five exercises corresponding to the period of operation of that Convention, ie. the application years 1975-1979, the total resources of the system, initially fixed at 375 million ecus and subsequently increased to 380 million ecus. sufficient to cover all the transfer payments which arose (376.4 million ecus). Furthermore, the replenishment, by certain ACP States, of some 3.5 million ecus (in the form of repayments to the system or offsetting against subsequent transfer rights) gave rise to a surplus of 6 million ecus. It must however be noted that, for the 1978 application year, the eligible transfer requests reached a level of 164 million ecus, which was twice the normal annual instalment (76.7 million ecus) and more even than the instalment plus the surplus amounts carried over from the previous exercises (154.8 million ecus). These requests were however covered in their entirety by the advanced use of part of the instalment for the final application year of Lomé 1.

1.2 <u>Lomé II</u>

The situation changed radically at the beginning of Lome II. The eligible requests presented for the 1980 and 1981 application years - the two first years covered by that Convention - came to 714.2 million ecus (261.1 and 453.1 million respectively). As the total resources of the system for the duration of Lomé II were confined to 557 million ecus (initial resources of 550 million ecus, pius an additional 7 million ecus from the second application year), of which only 221.8 million were normally available for 1980 and 1981, Its inability to cope with the requests, despite the carry-over of the surplus from Lomé I and the advanced use of funds from subsequent annual instalments, led to shortfalls of 123.1 million ecus (47.2 % of transfer requests) for 1980, and 341 million ecus (75.3 % of requests) for 1981. In the case of the latter year, it was possible to reduce the shortfall to 244.5 million ecus, thus allowing 46 % of the initial transfer requests to be covered. This was possible due to the reduction of transfer requests by amounts corresponding to the replenishment by offsetting of transfers received under Lomé 1 (25.8 million ecus) and thanks to the voluntary effort of the Community, which added 30.7 million ecu to the resources of the system, derived from the repayment of special loans and risk capital from the Yaounde Conventions and Lome 1, and allocated 40 million ecus to support special projects (distributed in proportion to the amounts of the eligible requests). The figure for eligible transfer requests presented for the two application years 1980 and 1981 and remaining unfulfilled as a result of the two exercises was 367.6 million ecus.

As the resources for the remaining three years of Lomé II were more than sufficient to cover all the transfer requests, a surplus of 127.2 million ecus became available and was distributed to those ACP States which were not compensated completely for their export earning losses for 1980 and 1981. At the expiry of the Second Lomé Convention, it was possible to raise the level of cover for the two exercises in question to 66.3 %.

1.3 Lomé III

From the second application year of Lomé III (1986) onwards, the system, the resources of which had been raised to 925 million ecus, — an increase of 66 % compared with Lomé II — was faced with very high transfer requests. Thus, for that year, these requests reached 279.2 million ecus, which was more than during any of the previous years except 1981. It was possible, however, to reduce the amount of requests to 242.8 million ecus after the implementation of the agreement of ACP States to contribute to the replenishment of the resources of the system.

The Stabex resources for this exercise, which included, in addition to the annual instalment, the amounts replenished by the ACP States and, especially, a carry-over from the previous year, were however sufficient to cover the total amount of the requests, even without calling on part of the following year's instalment.

Subsequently, the requests soared to even higher levels: 803.4 and 761.4 million ecus, respectively, for 1987 and 1988. In other words, for these two exercises, they amounted to 1,564.8 million ecus, or 69.2 % more than the total Stabex resources for the whole of Lomé !!!. Thus, the excess of requests over resources available was, relatively, even worse than that for the years 1980 - $1981^{\left(1
ight)}$. To be sure, while the substantial additional funds made available from the resources of the three Lomé Conventions (in all, 245 million ecus) allowed the transfers financed for these two exercises to be raised to 690.8 million ecus - which is more than all the resources transferred, in one form or another, under Lomé 11(2) - they could not cover all the final transfer amounts. After replenishment by compensation (116.2 million ecus for the 1987 application year) and the reductions provided for in Lomé !!! In the case of insufficiency of resources in the system (237.9 million ecus, of which 130.2 for 1988), eligible transfers came to 1,210.7 million ecus, or 519.9 million more than the resources made available to Stabex.

1.4 Results: 1975-1988

The 400 or so transfer requests submitted to the system since the first application year(3) — during fourteen annual exercises — amounted to 3,270.9 million ecus, or almost double the funds provided by the Contracting Parties for the three Lomé Conventions $(1,723.3 \text{ million ecus})^{(4)}$. Due to the fact that only four years saw a real breakdown of the system, mention is rarely made of the other years where transfer requests exceeded the annual instalment (1978 and 1986) or the available annual resources (1978 and 1982), but could be fully covered due to the presence of sums carried over from previous years or by way of advanced use of resources from subsequent years.

^{(1) 28.2 %} more than the Lomé II resources.

⁽²⁾ Actual transfers - 568 million ecus, project finance - 40 million, amounts used to replenish previous transfers - 51.5 million, for a total of 659.5 million ecus.

⁽³⁾ Just for ACP Stabex, excluding the requests submitted under the OCT Stabex scheme.

⁽⁴⁾ Cf. Annex 1.

Fiexibility in the management of the resources allocated to the system for five years is, of course, one of the characteristics of Stabex⁽⁵⁾. This flexibility allowed the system, over the fourteen annual exercises, to cover eligible requests in their entirety for a total of ten years. The transfers made for those years came to 991 million ecus, of which 37.5 million ecus did not give rise to payments but were covered by replenishments. During the four years marked by insufficiency of resources, payments came to 1,073.8 million ecus, of which 798.1 came from the system's own resources, and 275.8 from additional resources. Adding to this amount the allocation for special projects and the offsetting against replenishment obligations (167.7 million), gives a total transfer allocation for those four years of 1,281.6 million ecus. The difference between this amount and the eligible transfer requests after reduction of the latter came to 760.3 million ecus.

2. The origins of the problems ascribed to Stabex.

2.1 After 1981 - a first assessment

On 19 May 1983, several months after the completion of the 1981 exercise, the ACP-EEC Council of Ministers held a special session dedicated to Stabex and, in particular, its financial aspects. In the context of the preparation of this session, the Commission undertook an analysis of the causes of the increased pressures on the system (Doc. ACP-EEC 2129/83).

These new pressures, evaluated in comparison with the situation which existed under Lomé I, were ascribed in particular to three factors:

- relaxation, between Lomé I and Lomé II, of the conditions for granting transfers,
- sharp falls in the prices of certain primary commodities covered by the system, and "hard landings" in the case of products for which the prices had increased spectacularly during the previous years,
- recession in the Community Member States, wich led to a certain contraction of demand.

The conclusions arrived at in 1983 were not, however, confined to these factors, which related essentially to the prevailing economic circumstances. Supply-related problems effecting Stabex products in many ACP States were also identified as elements likely to accentuate the pressures on the system.

⁽⁵⁾ Cf. Annex 2.

2.1.1 Relaxation of the conditions for granting transfers

A detailed examination of the transfer requests for the 1980 and 1981 application years allowed the identification of the new provisions introduced in Stabex under Lomé il which led to an increase in requests. These new provisions, the impact on the system of which was estimated at some 70 million ecus. were:

- the introduction of new products,
- the reduction of the fluctuation and dependence thresholds,
- the automatic increase of all transfers by 1 %,
- the possibility of "globalisation" which, in certain cases, allowed the constraints of the thresholds to be overcome.
- the possibility of extending Stabex cover to intra-ACP trade, and the extension of all destinations cover to certain new ACP States.

2.1.2 Fall of primary commodity prices

The fall in the prices of a whole range of products covered by Stabex was identified as by far the most important cause of the increase in the level of requests. Before returning to this matter later, it may just be noted that coffee and cocoa price reductions were mainly responsible for the increases in requests.

2.1.3 Effect of the recession on ACP exports.

The effect of the reduction of demand in the Community on ACP States' exports, something much more difficult to identify and measure, was limited, though by no means negligible.

2.1.4 Supply-related difficulties.

In reality, the reductions of ACP export earnings were related more often to problems originating in the countries themselves, either from the structural weakness of their economies, or their exposure to natural disasters. In relation in particular to the insufficiency of the supply capacity of the ACP States, the Commission, in 1983 already, drew attention to "the deterioration of the competitive position of the ACP States for certain primary products covered by the system, a deterioration which explains in large measure the poor export performance recorded by a large number of these countries" (cf. p. 11 of doc.in ref.).

This analysis of the factors at the origin of the deterioration of the supply capacity of the ACP States, which remains fundamental for an appreciation of the future of Stabex — and which was, along with the financial report on the application years 1980 — 1981, the basis of the deliberations of the ACP-EEC Council of Ministers of May 1983 — is clearly beyond the scope of this paper.

What follows will, therefore, be limited to the presentation of the situations which provoked the breakdown of the system during the years 1980, 1981, 1987 and 1988, as well as a number of technical matters which have had an impact on the system.

2.2 After fourteen years of Stabex - a new assessment

2.2.1 Causes of transfer requests

In Stabex, transfer amounts are calculated on the basis of export earnings. However, it is possible to calculate, in relation to reductions of earnings, those due respectively to reductions of quantities exported or to reductions of unit-values, that is to say, price reductions. The results of these calculations, for the fourteen application years, will be found in Annex 3.

The breakdown of transfer bases by reference to the factors that caused them indicates that, every time the prices of the principal products exported by the ACP States — in first rank, coffee and cocoa, but also all the oil products, as well as cotton and tea—suffered substantial reductions, these reductions were translated into transfer requests of sufficient magnitude to cause difficulties for the system. This is exactly what happened in 1980 — 1981 (for coffee and cocoa) and, to a far greater extent in 1987 — 1988 (for all the products referred to).

Thus, just for the application years 1980 - 1981, the reductions of coffee and cocoa prices were responsible for transfer requests of over 312 million ecus. The same phenomenon was responsible for 970 million ecus of requests for 1987 and 1988. In both cases, these amounts are greater than the transfer entitiements which could not be paid. The impact of the reductions of export unit-values of cotton, tea and the principal oil products (groundnut, copra and paim products), for their part, came to 223.8 million ecus just for the years 1987 -1988 (6).

⁽⁶⁾ In 1986, these three groups of products led to requests of a further 149.7 million ecus, an amount equal to all the requests for 1985.

Naturally, when, at the same moment, supply difficulties arise in one or more countries which are important exporters of products covered by the system, the pressures on Stabex reach extreme levels. This was the case for the groundnut sector in Senegal for 1980 - 1981 (transfer bases of 144.2 million ecus attributable to reductions of exports of groundnuts, oil and cake) and the forestry sector in Côte d'ivoire in 1987 (transfer basis of 76.8 million ecus attributable to the reduction of exports of wood).

Even when the statistical analysis indicates that the reductions of export earnings are attributable to reductions in quantities exported, in many cases these are merely a consequence of price reductions: directly, when the reduced quantities result from limitations arising from international agreements almed at stabilising primary commodity prices, as was the case with coffee in 1988; indirectly, when reduced prices weaken the export sectors of the ACP States leading to reductions, voluntary or not, in the level of production, marketing or just exports; indirectly also when high prices during a relatively long period lead ACP States to increase considerably their exports, resulting mechanically in losses of earnings when prices return to lower levels.

2.2.2 Markets and the Stabex transfer calculation mechanism.

This last point leads to an important conclusion in relation to the mechanism whereby developments in the markets are translated into export earning losses. Despite the dampening effect of the moving average mechanism (reference level) calculated over a period of four years (reference period), the earnings losses of exporting ACP States have, in many cases, been greatly increased by the fact that, during the years prior to the years of earnings losses, the prices, the quantities exported, or both, had experienced increases which were both extreme and temporary. Thus, transfer requests were often the reflection not just of perfectly legitimate "requests" for export revenue stability, but also, and far more difficult to justify, "requests" for the maintenance of advantages acquired during the course of exceptional price rises.

Such a situation arose in particular in the early 80's for coffee and, to a slightly lesser extent, cocoa. A new fall in coffee prices from the middle of 1986 onwards also came after a period of very high prices. However, the most exceptional case is probably that of oil products during the mid 1980's. The prices, expressed in ecus, of products such as paim oil and coconut oil were, during the course of four years, multiplied by four, then divided by eight, and doubled again, to return to their original level.

During the same period, many exporting countries in the Pacific region considerably increased their sales (especially in 1984) thus obtaining substantial financial gains. The export earning reductions of these countries — in reality, usually the return to their levels prior to the price climb — were naturally extremely marked over an extended period of years.

This example clearly illustrates the effect of the moving average mechanism which assures exporting States of substantial revenues when the reference period includes one or more years during which export earnings were particularly high, as was the case in 1984, which was marked by increases in prices (and, sometimes, export quantities) for all the principal products covered by the system, and also 1977 and 1985 for coffee and cocoa, 1986 again for coffee, and 1979 for oil products. Certainly, the moving average effect may in the opposite sense - weak reference levels underestimated losses of earnings - when the exceptional year(s) of the reference period are those characterised by very low earnings. However, so far this situation has been uncommon, at least for the major products of the system : depressed reference levels have generally been linked with substantial recoveries in prices (and earnings) and, in consequence, have not acted as a limiting factor on transfer requests.

The place of Stabex in the system for guaranteeing exporting countries revenues - an overview.

3

The reason for highlighting the developments in the markets of primary agricultural products at the source of the financial problems of Stabex has been to emphasise that such developments should be accepted as being of exceptional severity. Consequently, it is understandable that a system which is not, and never was, intended on its own to offer a solution to the problems of export earnings instability, could not satisfy all the demands made on it.

At this point it is worth recalling in what context Stabex was created and with what intention. When it was created, it was conceived of as the Community's response to the quest by producers and exporters of primary products for stable and predictable development conditions through export earnings stability. The system was, along with international commodity agreements, to be part of a complete package of measures, all, in the final analysis, with the same objective — the stabilisation of the revenues derived by primary commodity producers from their exports.

The primary commodity agreements were probably the most important part of this package of measures. They were required, through their influence on supply (by way of buffer stocks or the regulation of exports and, more rarely, production), to contribute to the stability of prices for the products covered - this being the condition for the stability of earnings. The role of Stabex was to be more modest - the system was required to supplement the agreements in specific cases: either for products for which there were no agreements, or in the case of a breakdown, usually temporary, of an agreement, or in cases where the losses of export earnings did not arise from price reductions. Clearly, Stabex could not be completely effective in a situation where the principal element of the package in which it participated failed to carry out its objectives satisfactorily. In effect, it is the very fallure of the two principal international agreements relating to products covered by the system - those for coffee and cocoa - to fulfil their role which is at the origin of the problems of Stabex. Firstly, when they were unable to avoid the soaring prices of the mid 70's, and, subsequently, when they were unable to prevent the collapse of those same prices, the consequence of which was the "hard landing" at the origin of the crisis of the years 1980-1981. The result of these failures was that Stabex was called on to provide solutions for situations which were never anticipated.

4 Financial resources and commitments of Stabex.

The other fundamental limitation of Stabex arises from the institutional framework in which it operates: Stabex is an integral part of the Lome Conventions, which signifies, inter alia, that its financial resources are limited in the context of the European Development Fund. The amount allocated to Stabex is a fixed sum intended to cover all the commitments of the system⁽⁷⁾.

it is worth recalling here the conditions under which this amount has been determined. Except in the First Lome Convention, for which the needs of the system were evaluated using statistical techniques, the Stabex allocations for the subsequent 5th and 6th EDFs, were based on the percentage that the allocation represented in the 4th EDF (Lome I). The Contracting Parties thus established as a rule something which was a mere coincidence and which risked not being sustained in the future.

⁽⁷⁾ It is to be recalled that, Initially, Stabex was conceived of as a revolving fund - the complete replenishment of transfers by ACP States would arise as soon as the conditions were fulfilled and thus increase the financial resources of the system.

in accepting a risk of inadequacy as between the resources of the system and its needs, the Contracting Parties, to a certain extent, undercapitalised Stabex for Lome II and, especially, Lome III. The difficulties subsequently faced by the system are, in the final analysis, manifestation of that risk.

Finally, one must not forget one other aspect of the problem of Stabex resources. It is entirely normal that the Contracting Parties — faced with a financial allocation which would come out of the negotiations as a global amount — would not wish excessively to favour the Stabex funds, something that could only be achieved to the detriment of other areas of ACP-EEC cooperation, but would rather seek a balanced distribution within the Fund.

Conclusion

The Contracting Parties to the Lome Conventions have taken into account, to the degree possible, the exceptional needs created by what we have seen to be the exceptional situations which have been described. In relation in particular to the 1987-1988 application years, the Community, acting on the provisions of Article 155.4 of the Third Lome Convention, made available to the ACP States under Stabex an extra 245 million ecus (110 million of which were from outside the 6th FED), thus increasing the resources of the system by 55%. It must be emphasised that the Community, through this action, made an exceptional political gesture which should not be seen as a precedent. It did so in order to show its willingness to improve cooperation with the ACP States by going as far as it could beyond the strict contractual obligations in the context of the Convention. The Commission considers that, having achieved this, the Community has respected both the spirit and the letter of ACP-EEC cooperation.

The Commission, to which the ACP-EEC Council of Ministers of 2nd June 1989 entrusted the preparation of this report, believes that no obligation on the part of the Community exists in respect of the amounts which it was not possible to pay in relation to the 1980, 1981, 1987 and 1988. Consequently, it is considered that nothing would be achieved by reopening the flie, which should now be considered to be definitively closed.

Stabex financial resources and their utilisation

Years of application 1975-1988

	amounts		% of		:	amounts	%	oť
	in ecus	total	(A)	(B)	:	in ecus	total	(B)
Resources					Utilisation (transfers allo	cated)		
- Summs allocated (1)	1,723,250,000	75.8		85.0	: - Payments	2,027,390,694	89.2	100
Supplementary resources	335,019,317	14.7			- in the form of grants	1,020,888,225	44.9	50.4
- supplementary resources add	led				- in the form of loans	1,006,502,469	44.3	49.6
to transferable funds (2)	295,019,317	13.0		14.6	1 - Grants for projects (3)	40,000,000	1.8	
 grants for projects (3) 	40,000,000	1.8			: - Compensations	205,239,996	9.0	
Replenishment	214,361,373	9.4	100		1	•		
- by repayment	9,121,377	0.4	4.3	0.4	1			
- by compensation	205,239,996	9.0	95.7		:			
	2,272,630,690	100			i !	2,272,630,690	100	

⁽A) Amount of replenishments.

⁽B) Amount of payments (in the form of transfers).

⁽¹⁾ Initial and supplementary allocations for Lome I and Lome II, annual instalments 1985-1988, 25% of the 1989 instalment.

⁽²⁾ Made available to the system for the years 1981, 1987 and 1988, Lome III Stabex interest funds.

⁽³⁾ For the year of application 1981.

	amounts	% of	;		amounts		% of	
	in ecus	total	;		in ecus	total	(A)	(B)
Resources			·	Utilisation	·			
			;					
- Initial allocation	375,000,000	97.8	1	- Transfers allocated	377,495,708	98.4	100	
- Supplementary allocation (1)	5,000,000	1.3	. 1	- Payments	376,356,192	98.1	99.7	100
- Amounts replenished	3,471,613	0.9	1	 in the form of grants 	254,656,204	66.4	67.5	67.7
- by repayment (2)	2,332,097		:	 in the form of loans 	121,699,988	31.7	32.2	32.3
- by compensation (3)	1,139,516		1	- Compensation	1,139,516	0.3	0.3	
			;	- Balance (4)	5,975,905	1.6		
			. }					
	383,471,613	100	;		383,471,613	100		

⁽A) Amounts of transfers allocated.

⁽B) Amounts of payments (in the form of transfers).

⁽¹⁾ From the 1977 application year, to cover the costs arising from the accession to the Convention of three States - Cape Verde, Papua-New Guinea and Sao Tome E Principe.

⁽²⁾ Fiji - 1,868,539 ecus, Cameroon - 463,558 ecus.

⁽³⁾ With the transfer allocated to Madagascar for the 1979 application year.

⁽⁴⁾ Carried forward to the Lome II Stabex resources.

	amounts in ecus	% of total			amounts in ecus	total	% of (A)	(B)
Resources			- i -	Utilisation				
- Lome I balance	5,975,905	0.9		- Transfers allocated	659,516,824	96.2		
Initial allocationSupplementary allocation (1)	550,000,000 7,000,000	80.3		- Payments - in the form of grants	568,026,276 241,426,681	82.9 35.2	86.1 36.6	100 42.5
- Supplementary resources (2)	70,753,710	10.3			326,599,595	47.7	49.5	57.5
- Repayment of special loans			;	- Grants for projects (4)	40,000,000	5.8	6.1	
and risk capital (3)	30,753,710	4.5	:	- Compensation	51,490,548	7.5	7.8	
- Grants for projects (4)	40,000,000	5.8	;	- Balance (7)	25,703,339	3.8		
 Amounts replenished 	51,490,548	7.5	:					
- Lome I transfers (5)	25,787,209	3.8	;					
- Lome II transfers (6)	25,703,339	3.8	; - ;			· 		
	685,220,163	100	1		685,220,163	100		

⁽A) Amounts of transfers allocated.

⁽B) Amounts of payments (in the form of transfers).

⁽¹⁾ From the 1981 application year, to cover the costs arising from the accession to the Convention of Zimbabwe.

⁽²⁾ Made available to the system for the year of application 1981.

⁽³⁾ Repayment by the ACP States of special loans and risk capital of Yaounde and Lome I Conventions.

⁽⁴⁾ Grants for projects to be implemented rapidly, calculated on the basis of the excess of transfers allocated over resources available.

⁽⁵⁾ By compensation with transfers allocated for year of application 1981 (Cameroon - 3,601,423 ecus, Côte d'Ivoire - 15,000,000 ecus, Fiji - 246,435 ecus, Ghana - 5,176,408 ecus, Madagascar - 1,762,943 ecus).

⁽⁶⁾ By compensation with the transfer allocated to Senegal by virtue of the distribution of the Lome II balance following the year of application 1984 exercise.

⁽⁷⁾ Carried forward to the Lome III Stabex resources.

	amounts in ecus	% of total	} !	amounts in ecus	total	% of (A)	(B)
Resources			Utilisation				
- Lome II balance - Allocation - Supplementary resources (1) - Balance and interests of the Lome I and II Conventions - Lome I balance/interest - Lome II interest - Lome III balance - Lome III balance and programmable reserves - national funds - regional funds - regional funds - EIB loan interest subsidy - Sysmin - Amounts replenished (2) - by repayment (3) - by compensation (4) - Stabex fund interest	25,703,339 925,000,000 245,000,000 110,000,000 17,159,688 54,434,155 38,406,157 135,000,000 25,000,000 15,000,000 40,000,000 159,399,212 6,789,280 152,609,932	67.3 17.8 8.0 1.2 4.0 2.8	- Payments - in the form of grants - in the form of loans	1,235,618,158 1,083,008,226 524,805,340 558,202,886 152,609,932 138,750,000	89.9 78.8 38.2 40.6 11.1	100 87.6 42.5 45.2 12.4	100 48.5 51.5
1.1.1986-15.7.1989	19,265,607	1.4					
	1,374,368,158	100		1,374,368,158	100		

⁽A) Amounts of transfers allocated.

⁽B) Amounts of payments (in the form of transfers).

⁽¹⁾ Made available to the system for the years of application 1987 and 1988.

⁽²⁾ Lome II transfers.

⁽³⁾ PMG - 5,229,547 ecus, Madagascar - 2,108,793 ecus (repayment in local currency).

⁽⁴⁾ With the transfers allocated for years of application 1986 (Côte d'Ivoire - 36,363,934 ecus) and 1987 (Cameroon - 17,999,683 ecus, Côte d'Ivoire - 17,805,107 ecus, Kenya - 23,195,412 ecus, Madagascar - 2,108,793 ecus, PNG - 32,388,671 ecus, Senegal - 22,748,332 ecus).

Stabex resources and transfer requests

I					1 1	. 1		<u> </u>			<u> </u>
1 Year	Annual	Annual	Total	Resources	Transfer	Reduced !		Insuffici	ency of	-	1
Iof applic.	Instalment	Resources	Resources	Availalie	Requests	Transfer :	(A)	(B)	(C)	(City	ì
I		Available	Available	end Lomé II	<u>;</u>	Requests :		as % of	(E)		1
I ·	(A)	(B) (1).	(0) (2)	(C*) (3)	(D)	(E) (4)					I
I Lomé I					!						-1 I
I	!				!	;					1
I 1975	75,000,000	75,000,000	90,000,000		72,137,562	72,137,562					1
I 1976	75,000,000	77,213,939	92,213,939		36,287,447	36,287,447					I
ነ 1977	76,666,667	111,876,950	127,210,283		32,441,746	32,441,746					1
J 1978	76.666,667	154,813,884	170,147,216		163,960,618	163,960,618	53.2	5.6			1
I 1979	76,666,6 66	67,519,933	67,519,933		62,683,544	61,544,028					I
Î Lomé II	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				:						-I
I	*				1						ī
I 1980	110,000,000	115,975,905	137,975,905	181,917,367	261,107,143	261,107,143	57.9	55.6	47.2	30.3	I
	111,750,000	89,750,000	182,853,710	266,092,264		427,320,422		79.0	57.2	37.7	ľ
I 1982	111,750,000	89,400,000	111,750,000		1 103,252,363	103,252,363		13.4			1
I 1983	111,750,000	97,897,637	120,247,637		50,468,149	50,468,149					Ι
I 1984	111,750,000	159,179,488	159,179,488		31,999,472	31,999,472					1
]								. =			-1
I Lomé III					į.	i					1
I	i . 185 000 000	210 707 770	05/ 057 770		1 1/0 /10 /70	140 410 470 i					ī
I 1985 I 1986	185,000,000	210,703,339	256,953,339		1 149,418,478	149,418,478					1
	185,000,000	257,029,581	303,279,581		1 279,188,368	242,824,434		/7 C	75 0		ı
I 1987	185,000,000	209,165,314	375,415,314		1 803,445,878	579,444,745		63.9	35.2		1
I 1988	185,000,000	144,100,000	315,350,000		761,381,257	631,216,802	70.7	77.2	50.0		1
1	·				·		·				ı

^{(1) (}A) plus carry-over from or minus advance use for previous year instalment, plus replenishment, plus Stabex funds interest (Lomé III)

^{(2) (}B) plus advance use of next year instalment, plus additional resources

⁽³⁾ only 1980-81 application years : (C) plus Lomé II balance

^{(4) (}D) after compensations with amounts replenished (application years 1979, 1981, 1986-87) and automatic reductions for insufficiency of resources (art. 155 Lomé III - application years 1987-88)

Annex 3

Stabex transfer bases 1975 - 1988 : Amounts attributable to falls in quantities exported and of export unit_values

The following Tables present, in relation to the transfer bases for the 1975 to 1988 application years (before offsetting of replenishment obligations and reductions in the case of an insufficiency of resources of the system) those attributable to reductions in quantities exported and to reduced export unit values respectively.

The examination of each transfer basis was carried out in two stages:

- (1) Assessment of quantities exported and unit values for the reference period (averages) and the application year, the unit values being calculated always in ecu from export earnings and quantities exported.
- (2) Analysis: If only either the quantities or the unit values suffered a loss, then the entire transfer basis is attributable to that factor. Otherwise, the calculation described below is applied.

Calculation method

- (a) Assessment of the loss of earnings attributable to reductions of quantities or unit values on the basis that the other parameter had stayed at the same level as during the reference period:
 - loss of earnings attributable to the reduction of quantites:

LE(Q) = RL - UVr.(Qr-Qa)

where RL - reference level

UVr - reference level unit value

Qr - reference level export quantities

Qa - application year export quantities

loss of earnings attributable to the reduction of unit values:

LE(UV) = RL - Qr.(UVr-UVa)

where UVa - application year unit value

- (b) Assessment of the relative importance of the reductions of quantities and unit values in the loss of earnings:
 - part of the loss of earnings attributable to the reduction of quantities:

t(Q) = LE(Q)/LE

where LE = LE(Q) + LE(UV)

part of the loss of earnings attributable to the reduction of unit values:

t(UV) = LE(UV)/LE

- (c) Assessment of transfer bases attributable to reductions of quantities and of unit values:
 - transfer basis attributable to a reduction of quantities:

TB(Q) = t(Q).TB

where TB - transfer basis (TB = Qr.UVr - Qa.UVa)

transfer basis attributable to a reduction of unit values :

TB(UV) = t(UV).TB

(d) in the case where the transfer basis corresponding to the loss of earnings is reduced, arising from consultations between the ACP State and the Commission, due to important changes in production and exports, the total amount of the reduction is taken away from the transfer basis attributable to the reduction of quantities:

TB'(Q) = TB(Q) - R

where R - amount of the reduction

I T	[T		Trans	fer bases year c	of appl	ica	tion	1975	
I Product/Group of products I	I I	Total	I I	Fall in quantit	ies	I 1 I	Fa	all in unit val u	es
I.	I Nb		I Nb	I A mount I	I %	I	Nb I	Amount I	
I		I		I	_I I	1.			
	I 4		I 4	I 13,547,999	I 100.	0 I	. Ī	I	
I 2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I 1						I	I	
I 3 Groundnut Products	I 3						1	I	
I 4 Cotton	I 6						1 I	241,538 I	2.4
I 5 Wood	I 5							I	
I 6 Copra Products	I 1			I	I	I	1 I	615,140 I	100.0
I 7 Palm Products	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	: 1	* *
I 8 Tea	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	I	
I 9 Oil Cake	I 1	I 1,191,079	I 1	I 1,191,079	I 100.	0 I	I	I	
I 10 Sisal	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	
I 11 Bananas	I 1	I 1,296,907	I 1	I 1,296,907	I 100.	0 I	I	I	
I 12 Beans	I	I	Į	I	I	1	I	I	
I 13 Cloves	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	. 1	
I 14 Hides and Skins	I 5	I 8,401,983	I 5	I 7,961,103	I 94.	8 I	1 I	440,880 I	5.2
I 15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	
I 16 Vanilla	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	
I 17 Shea Nuts	I	I	I	I	1	I	1	I	
I 18 Mohair	1	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	
I 19 Sesame Seed	I	I	1	. ·	I	1	I	I	
I 20 Essential Oils	I		I	I ·	I	I	I	I	
I 21 Pyrethrum	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	
I 22 Shrimps	I	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	
I 23 Gum Arabic	r	I	I	I	1	1	1	I	
I 24 Nutmeg and Mace	1	I	I	I	I	I	1	1	
I 25 Cotton Seed	I	I	1	I	I	1	I	I	
I 26 Iron Ore	1	I	1 - 4.0	I	I	1	I	1	•
I	Ι	I		I	_T	I	I	I	
I	I	I	1	I	I	I	1	I	
I Total	I 27	79,985,880	I 26	I 78,688,322	I 98.	4 I T	3 I	1,297,558 I	1.6

	I I !		Trans	sf	er bases year c	of applic	at.	ion	1976	
Product/Group of products	I I	Total	I I		Fall in quantit		I I	F	all in unit valu	ies
	I Nb		I Nb		Amount			lb I		*
		<u> </u>	- <u>I</u>	-I I			.I_ T	<u>T</u>]	
1 Coffee	I	I I	I T	I		_	I T	I	_	
2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	-		-	I.		I 100.0	-	I]	
3 Groundnut Products	I 1 I 2	•		I	=			1	1	•
4 Cotton	I 2			I				I	Y	•
5 Wood	I 2			I	• •	I 95.6		1 I	_	4
6 Copra Products	I 3			I	*			3 I		
7 Palm Products	I 2			I	•	I 45.0		1 I		
8 Tea	I 1	• •		I				· I		
9 Oil Cake	I 1			I			T	1 I	_	•
10 Sisal	I 2	7		I		_	_	1 I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11 Bananas	I 1			Ī				1 I	53,517	
12 Beans	T '	I	Ť	T			ì	Ī	33,317	
13 Cloves	. I 1	-	T 1	_		_	_	-	3	
14 Hides and Skins	Ť	T 7,137,310	Ť	Ī		1 .00.0	Ī	ī	1	•
15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	Ī	Ī	Ť	I		-	Ī	Ī	-	•
16 Vanilla	Ī	Ī	T	I		_	Ī	Ĩ		•
17 Shea Nuts	ī	Ī	Ť	·I		_	ī	Ī	_	
18 Mohair	Ť	Ī	Ť	T	era di Santa	T	ī	Ī	_	•
19 Sesame Seed	Ī	Ī	ī	ī		Ī	Ī	Ī		•
20 Essential Oils	Ī	Ī	Ť	Ī		Ī	Ī	ī	_	-
21 Pyrethrum	ī	Ī	Ī	I		Ī	Ī	Ī	1	•
22 Shrimps	Ī	Ī	Ī	I		I	ī	Ī]	-
23 Gum Arabic	Î 1	I 848,489	<u> </u>	ī	406,918	I 48.0	ī	1 I	441,571	52.
24 Nutmeg and Mace	Ī	I	I	ī		I	I	Ī]	[
25 Cotton Seed	I	Ī	I	Ī		I	Ī	Ī]	-
26 Iron Ore	- I		I 1	Ī	3,977,274	I 100.0	1	I]	
	I	I	_I	_I		Ι	Ι_	I		
		I	I	Ţ		I	I	I		[
Total	I 20		I 18	1	33,116,885	I 89.2	I	9 I	4,019,050	10.

	<u>I</u> I			Tran	sf	er bases year o	f applic	ation	1977	
roduct/Group of products	I I			-]	Fall in quantit		-	fall in unit value	es
					1	= '				%
	I	I		I			I	I I	I	
	-	-		_			_		_	
	_	_		~	_	,	-		-	
										0.1
			• •						-	
									-	
-	_			_	_					•
	I 2		2,679,190	I 2	1	2,679,190	I 100.0			
	1	I		I	I	•	I		-	
	I	I		I	Ι	•	-		I	
Sisal	I 1	1	8,176,614	I 1	Ι				I	
Bananas	I 2	1	447,025	I 1	I	347,712	I 77.8	I 1 I	99,313 I	22.2
	I	I		Ι	I		I	I I	. <u>I</u>	
Cloves	I	I		I .	I	•	I	I I	I	
Hides and Skins	نس I	1		I	I		I	I I	I	
Casew Nuts and Kernels	I	I		1	I	•	I .	I I	I	
Vanilla	1	I		Ι	1	•	I	1 1	I	
Shea Nuts	I	I		Ι	Ι		I	I I	I	
Mohair	I	I		I	Ι		I	1 1	I	
Sesame Seed	I	I		I .	Ι	•	I	I I	I	
Essential Oils	I	1		I	1		I	1 1	ı ı	
Pyrethrum	1	I		I	I		I	1 1	I	
Shrimps	1	I		I	I		Ι .	1 1	ı	
Gum Arabic	I	I		I	I		I	I I	I	
Nutmeg and Mace	I	I		I	I		I	1 1	I	
Cotton Seed	Ī	Ī		I	Ι		I	I I	Ī	
Iron Ore	2	I	6,974,480	I 2	I	6,974,480	I 100.0	1 1	ı	
<u></u>	_ I _	_1	<u> </u>	I	_I		I	II	I	
	I	ľ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I	I		I	I I	I I	
Total	I 14		33,729,731	T 13			T 99 7	I 2 I	109,408 I	0.3
	Coffee Cocoa/Cocoa Products Groundnut Products Cotton Wood Copra Products Palm Products Tea Oil Cake Sisal Bananas Beans Cloves Hides and Skins Casew Nuts and Kernels Vanilla Shea Nuts Mohair Sesame Seed Essential Oils Pyrethrum Shrimps Gum Arabic Nutmeg and Mace Cotton Seed Iron Ore	Coffee I Cocoa/Cocoa Products I Groundnut Products I Cotton I 2 Wood I 1 Copra Products I Palm Products I Palm Products I Oil Cake I Sisal I 1 Bananas I 2 Beans I Cloves I Hides and Skins I Casew Nuts and Kernels I Vanilla I Shea Nuts I Mohair I Sesame Seed I Essential Oils I Pyrethrum I Shrimps I Gum Arabic I Nutmeg and Mace I Cotton Seed I I 1 I	I Nb I	Total Tota		Toduct/Group of products Total	roduct/Group of products	roduct/Group of products	Total Fall in quantities Fall in quantities	roduct/Group of products

I I I	I I I		Transf	er bases year o	f applica	ation	1978	
I I Product/Group of products	I I	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	I I	Fall in quantit	ies :	[[F	all in unit valu	les
I I	I Nb I		I Nb]			I Nb I		[%
ī	I		I 1		I	ī		[
I 1 Coffee	I 1 1	946,290	I 1 3	946,290	I 100.0	ľI	[]	[-
I 2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I 1 1	780,625	I 1 1	780,625	I 100.0	[I	. 1	[
I 3 Groundnut Products	I 6 3	72,071,792	I -6 1	70,438,554	I 97.7	III	1,633,238	2.3
I 4 Cotton	I 5 1	14,098,383	I 5 1	12,354,111	I 87.6	1 1 X	1,744,272	12.4
I 5 Wood	I	r	1 1	[-	I	1 1	[.	Ι.
I 6 Copra Products	I 1	[I 3	Ī	I	[1 I	[[
I 7 Palm Products	1 11	3,728,394	I 1]	3,728,394	I 100.0	I. I		E
I 8 Tea	I 1 1			The state of the s			[]	Į.
I 9 Oil Cake	I 1 1	•		•			·	1
I 10 Sisal	I 1 1						1,348,699	24.6
I 11 Bananas	I 2 1							
I 12 Beans	I		I I	[I	ıı		Į.
I 13 Cloves	I I	(1	[1	I 1		i
I 14 Hides and Skins	I		1	I	1	I I	[I
I 15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	$\hat{\mathbf{I}}$:		1	I 1	1	I I		I
I 16 Vanilla			1	I	I	I I	[I
I 17 Shea Nuts	Î I		1	[1	I I	[Ī
I 18 Mohair	Î.	-		<u>.</u> [Ī	I I	Ī	I
I 19 Sesame Seed		<u>.</u> [[Ī			
I 20 Essential Oils	T	-	<u> </u>	- [7]	[- T
I 21 Pyrethrum	Î 1 1	•	I 1 1	I 387,755	I 63.7	~ . <u> </u>	-	I 36.3
I 22 Shrimps	ī	T 000,002	1	- 30,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		_	[1
I 23 Gum Arabic			τ	Ī	-			ī
I 24 Nutmeg and Mace	- Time	i	Ť .	• [_	I]	- [• T
I 25 Cotton Seed				Ī		 T]	•	- T
I 26 Iron Ore	1 3			-	-		-	T 51 A
1		I	I	I	I	 I I		I
I I Total	I I 23	-		I I 135,078,972	_	I 9 I	=	I I 17.6

		<u> </u>						
T	Ţ		Trans.	fer bases year o	f applica	ition	1979	
I .	1		I		 I			
Product/Group of products	I T	Total	T	Fall in quantit	ies 1	L F	fall in unit val	ues
<u> </u>	I Nb		I Nb			Nb I		I %
1	_ <u>I</u>	· 				[]		I
I 1 Coffee .	I T	- ,	_		_	[I		I
I 2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I	_		-	-	[]	.	I I
I 3 Groundnut Products	I 4						-	_
I 4 Cotton	I 4						.,,	I 5.6 I
I 5 Wood	1 . 4 I						-	1
I 6 Copra Products	T	_		Ţ	T ;	1 1 1 T	=	1 1
I 7 Palm Products	T	I	_	I T	I I		-	I +
I 8 Tea	I 1	-	_	_			-	т .
I 9 Oil Cake	I 1	• •					=	I I
I 10 Sisal	I				I 100.0 1		-	1
I 11 Bananas	I 1	_	_	_	I 100.0 I	-	-	1 I
I 12 Beans	T	•			I 100.0 1		_	I I
I 13 Cloves	1	 -	I 1	_		. 1 T	=	ı I
I 14 Hides and Skins	T	I			I I	. 1 1 1	-	_
I 15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	T	I		<u>.</u>	1 1 1		=	I
I 16 Vanilla	•	_		_	T 100 0 3	[-	T T
I 17 Shea Nuts	I 2						-	I
I 18 Mohair	I	I	_		-		=	I
	I	-	_	-			-	I
I 19 Sesame Seed	I 1	•		•				1
I 20 Essential Oils	I		_	-	I]		=	1
I 21 Pyrethrum	I	-		-	I J		-	7
I 22 Shrimps	I	<u>I</u>	I	I	1 1	I I	=	Ţ
I 23 Gum Arabic	Į	I .	1	1	I I	_	•	1
I 24 Nutmeg and Mace	I.	Ī	1	<u>.</u>	T)		-	T
I 25 Cotton Seed	I	1	1	- .	I I	[]	•	i
I 26 Iron Ore	I 1	I 4,365,532	I 1 :	I 2,197,848 I	I 50.3 I	[1	2,167,684	I 49.7 I
I	I	I	I	I	I]	[]		I
I Total	I 15		I 16	1 58,409,485	I 93.2 1	2 1	4,274,059	I 6.8
I	_I	I	I	I	.I1	ī1	[I

	I I		Transf	er bases year o	f applica	ation	1980	·
Product/Group of products	I I		I l	Fall in quant it	-	í L i	Fall in unit valu	ıes
	I Nb I		I Nb I		I % 1	IND I		[%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-11 I I		I I				` '	[
1 Coffee	I 6 I	103,396,721	I 5 I	70,315,488	I 68.0	I 3)	33,081,233	I 32.6
2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I 1 I	2,055,245				I 1 3		
3 Groundnut Products	I 6 I	104,778,980		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			• •	
4 Cotton	I 1 I	4,268,231						I
5 Wood	I		I I				τ :	I.
6 Copra Products	I 5 I	3,139,511	I 4 I	2,770,038	I 88.2	I 4 3	I 369,473	I 11.
7 Palm Products	I 2 I	1,866,660		• •				
8 Tea	I 1 I	2,236,690			I .	I 1 3	•	
9 Oil Cake	I 2 I	19,334,741		18,869,362	I 97.6	[1]		
10 Sisal	I I		1 I	•	I :	I 1		[
11 Bananas	I 5 I	15,962,360	I 5 I	14,866,158	I 93.1	I 1]	1,096,202	I 6.
12 Beans	I I		I I		I :			I
13 Cloves	ı		I I		1 :	l i	<u>L</u>	Ĺ
14 Hides and Skins	I 1 I	415,854	I 1 I	415,854	I 100.0	I]	I	I.
15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	I		I I	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	I :		I :	Ţ
16 Vanilla	I 1 I	2,557,569	I 1 I	2,557,569	I 100.0	I 1	ī	Ī
17 Shea Nuts	II	_• • • • • •	1 1		I			I
18 Mohair	I 1 I	242,279	<u> </u>		I	I 1 1	I 242,279	I 100.
19 Sesame Seed	I		I I		I :	1 1		I
20 Essential Oils	I 1 I	852,402	I 1 I	852.402	I 100.0	1	I .	I
21 Pyrethrum	I I	•	I I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Ī	I
22 Shrimps	I I		I I		J	1	Ī	I
23 Gum Arabic	I		I I		1		I :	Ī
24 Nutmeg and Mace	I I		I I		I .	1	I	I
25 Cotton Seed	I I		I I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 .	I :	I :	I
26 Iron Ore	II		1 I		I	I]	I	I
	_II		II	· .	I	T	Ι	Ι
	II		I I		I	I .	I	I
Total	I 33 I		I 29 I	204,344,882	I 78.3	I 17	I 56,762,261	I 21.
	T T		T 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	I	I

Stabex transfers years of application 1975-1988 : causes of transfers

I J I	I I I			T	rans	sf (er bases year o	of	applic	ati	on	1981	
I Product/Group of products	I		Total	I		J	Fall in quantit	ti		l I	F	all in unit valu	ies
I	I	I	Amount	-I- I	Nb	I	Amount	1	%	I IN	b I	Amount I	%
I	_I	_I		_I_		Ι.		_I		I	I		·
1	I	Ι		Ι		Ι		Ι	•	Ι	I	•	•
I 1 Coffee	I 9		210,567,028				38,831,400				9 I	• • •	
I 2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I 6	_	126,579,165		3	Ι	20,097,110				7 I	106,482,055 I	84.1
<pre>1 3 Groundnut Products</pre>	I 3	_	70,592,740		3	I	70,592,740				Ι	-	
I 4 Cotton	I 2		23,673,640	Ι	2		23,673,640	I	100.0	1	Ĺ	_	•
I 5 Wood	I	I		Ι		Ι		Ι		I	Ι	·	•
I 6 Copra Products	I 8		12,306,055		7	Ι	5,096,583				7 I		
I 7 Palm Products	I 3	I	4,045,921		3	Ι	2,527,863	I	62.5	[]	2 I	• •	
I 8 Tea	I 1	I	653,391	I		Ι		Ι	,	I	1 I	653,391 I	100.0
I 9 Oil Cake	I 1	I	1,139,129	Ι	1	I	1,139,129	Ι	100.0	I	Ι	I	
I 10 Sisal	I	I		I		I		I		I	1	I	
I 11 Bananas	I 1	Ι	2,041,137	I	1	I	1,898,060	I	93.0	Ι.	1 I	143,077 I	7.0
I 12 Beans	I	I		I		I		1	:	I	1	I	
I 13 Cloves	1	I		I		Ι		1		I	ī	- I	
I 14 Hides and Skins	I	1		I		I		Ι		I	1	1	•
I 15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	I	I		I		Ι		1		I	I	I	
I 16 Vanilla	I	I		I		I		I		I	1	I	
I 17 Shea Nuts	I 1	1	1,247,938	I	1	I	1,247,938	I	100.0	I	1	I	
I 18 Mohair	I 1	I	354,533	Ι		I		I		Ι.	1 I	354,533 I	100.0
I 19 Sesame Seed	I	1	•	I		I	•	Ι		I	I		
I 20 Essential Oils	I 1	1	487,471	I	1	1	443,119	I	90.9	r .	1 I	44,352 1	9.1
I 21 Pyrethrum	I	1		1		I	•	I		I	1		
I 22 Shrimps	I	I		Ι		I		Ι		Ι	I	Ī	
1 23 Gum Arabic	I	Ī		I		I		I		I	I	Ī	
I 24 Nutmeg and Mace	I 1	Ī	197,531	Ī	. 1	Ī	197,531	Ī	100.0	I	Ī	·	•
I 25 Cotton Seed	Ī	ī		I	· -	Ī	,	Ī		- I	Ī	·	: ·
I 26 Iron Ore	Ī	Ī		Ī		ī		ī		ī	Ī	·	•
Ī	Ī	T		Ī		T		Ť		- T	7	1	
I		I		Ī		Ī		 I		т— Т			
I Total	I 38	-	453,885,679			_	165,745,113	_		-	_	-	
1	_I	- _T		- ₁ -		-7.		_±.		٠	1	·1	·

Stabez transfers years of application 1975-1988 : causes of transfers

7					and the state of t				
I		I		Trans	fer bases year o	of applica	ation	1982	
I *		<u> </u>					····		 ,
I Product/Group	of products	I I		I I	Fall in quantit	ties	i []	Fall in unit val	ues
I I		I I Nb		I Nb			I Nb		I Z
I			~ 		I			I Г	I
I 1 Coffee		I I 2	^	-	I I 17 979 490	-	-	_	_
Cocoa/Cocoa		1 Z			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
I 3 Groundnut Pr		I 3	_						
I 4 Cotton		I 4	• •					I 1,720,430	I 22.0
I 5 Wood		I 1	•			I 100.0		I	Ī
6 Copra Produc		I 10	•		-			_	_
7 Palm Product		I 2			•				
8 Tea					I	_		I	I
9 Oil Cake		I 1	_	_		I 86.8	I 1	- I 47,523	I 13:2
10 Sisal			•		I			Ι	I
[11 Bananas		_ I 1	_	Ī 1	I 223.173	I 100.0	1	I	I
12 Beans		I			I			I	I
13 Cloves		I	Ī	1	I	1	1 :	ï	1
14 Hides and Sk	ins	1	I	1	I	I	1	I	r
[15 Casew Nuts a	nd Kernels	I 1	I 215,789	I 1	129,968	I 60.2	I 1 :	I 85,821	I 39.
[16 Vanilla		I			I .	1	1	Ī	1
17 Shea Nuts		I 1	I 1,046,734	I 1	1,046,734	I 100.0	I :	I	I
[18 Mohair		I 1	I 694,147		I 334,795	I 48.2	I 1	I 359,352	I 51.
I 19 Sesame Seed		I			I	1	1	I	1
I 20 Essential Oi	ls	I	I	1	I	I	I .	I	I
I 21 Pyrethrum		I	I	I	I	I	I :	I	1
7 22 Shrimps		I	I	I	I	I	I :	I	I
I 23 Gum Arabic		1	I	I	I	1	Ι.	I	1
24 Nutmeg and 1	lace	I 1	I 278,590	1 1	I 1,488	I 0.5	I 1	I 277,102	I 99.
25 Cotton Seed		1	I	I	I	I	I :	I	1
I 26 Iron Ore			I	_	I	I	I	I	I
·		I	.I		I	_I	I	I	_I
<u>[</u>	The second of the second	Ι	•		I	-	_	I	1
I Total		I 35	• •	I 30	I 66,304,756			I 36,169,559	I 35.3
I		I	I	_I	I	_I	I	I	_I

I I	in unit values Amount I %
I I Nb I Amount I Nb I Amount I % I Nb I	I
11111111111111111	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I T
	-
·	2,054,335 I 14.5
I 3 Groundnut Products	I
I 5 Wood I 2 I 301,912 I 2 I 301,912 I 100.0 I I	I
I 6 Copra Products I 4 I 2,267,776 I 4 I 2,235,897 I 98.6 I 1 I	31,879 I 1.4
I 7 Palm Products I 1 I 437,356 I 1 I 437,356 I 100.0 I I	1.4°
I 8 Tea I I I I I I I	I
I 9 Oil Cake I I I I I I	Ī
I 10 Sisal I 2 I 4,934,060 I 2 I 4,934,060 I 100.0 I I	I
I 11 Bananas I 1 I 11,034 I 1 I 11,034 I 100.0 I I	Ĭ
I 12 Beans I I I I I I I	Ţ
I 13 Cloves I I I I I I I	7
I 14 Hides and Skins I 1 I 497,157 I I I I I I	497,157 I 100.0
I 15 Casew Nuts and Kernels I 1 I 1,943,394 I 1 I 1,843,664 I 94.9 I 1 I	99,730 I 5.1
I 16 Vanilla I I I I I I I	77,730 I J.1
I 17 Shea Nuts I I I I I I I	I
I 18 Mohair I I I I I I I	Ï
I 19 Sesame Seed I 1 I 3,150,900 I 1 I 3,150,900 I 100.0 I I	Ï
I 20 Essential Oils I I I I I I I	Ī
I 21 Pyrethrum I I I I I I I	Ī
I 22 Shrimps	Ĭ
I 23 Gum Arabic I I I I I I I	I
I 24 Nutmeg and Mace I I I I I I I	I
I 25 Cotton Seed I I I I I I I	Î
I 26 Iron Ore I I I I I I I	Ī
	Ť
	I
I Total I 22 I 50,468,149 I 21 I 47,785,048 I 94.7 I 5 I	2,683,101 I 5.3

	I I	I Transfer bases year of application 1984 I													
Product/Group of products	I I	-	Total	I I		Fal	ll in quantit	ies	I	Fá	all in unit val	lue	es .		
	I	I	Amount	I-	Vb		Amount	I %	-I I N	bΙ	Amount	I	~ %		
	_I	_I_		_I	·	I		I	_I	I_		_I_			
	I	I		Ι		I		1	I	I		1			
1 Coffee		I	13,176,843		2	I	13,176,843			I		I			
2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products		I	537,027		1		388,303			1 I	148,724		27.7		
3 Groundnut Products	I	I		1		1		I	I	I		1			
4 Cotton	I 1	I	586,670	I	1	I	586,670	I 100.0	I	I		1			
5 Wood	I	I		I		I		I	I	I		I			
6 Copra Products	I	I		I		I		I	Ι	I		I			
7 Palm Products	I	I		I		I		I	I	I		1			
8 Tea	1	I		I		I		I	I	I		I			
9 Oil Cake	I 1	I	510,647	I	1	I	510,647	I 100.0	I	I		I			
10 Sisal	I 1	I	4,134,388	I	1 :	I	2,900,291	I 70.2	I	1 I	1,234,097	I	29.8		
11 Bananas	I 3	I	746,600	I	3	I	746,600	I 100.0	I	1		I			
12 Beans	I - 1	I	4,996,671	1	1	I	4,945,650	I 99.0	I	1 I	51,021	I	1.0		
13 Cloves	I 1	1	851,095	I		I		I	I	1 I	851,095	Ι	100.0		
14 Hides and Skins	I	1		I		I		1	I	I		I			
15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	I 1	I	2,744,648	I	1	I	2,744,648	I 100.0	I	I		I			
16 Vanilla	I 1	I	3,553,153		1	I	3,553,153	I 100.0	I	I		I			
17 Shea Nuts	I	I		I		I	• •	I	I	I		I			
18 Mohair	I	I		I		I		I	I	I		I			
19 Sesame Seed	I	I		I		I		I	I	I		Ī			
20 Essential Oils	Ī	Ī		I		I	• •	I	Ī	Ī		Ī			
21 Pyrethrum	Ī	Ī		Ī		Ī		I	Ī	Ī		Ī			
22 Shrimps	Ī	Ī		Ī		I		I	Ī	I		Ī			
23 Gum Arabic	Ī	Ī		Ī		I	• .	ī	Ī	Ī		Ī			
24 Nutmeg and Nace		Ī	161,730	_	1	T	161,730		-	Ī		Ī			
25 Cotton Seed	ī	ī	,,,,,,	Ī		ī	•	I	Ī	Ī		Ī			
26 Iron Ore	Ī	Ī		Ī		Ī		- I	ī	ī		Ī			
	Ī	ī		Ī		I			_ _I	I		Ī			
		I		I		Ī		I	I	I		I			
Total	I 14		31,999,472	1	13	I	29,714,535	I 92.9	I	4 I		I	7.1		
	T	T	—		_	T		1	I	Ţ		T			

	I I I		Transi	er bases year o	of	applica	atio	n 19	85	
Product/Group of products	I I		I I	Fall in quantit	iε	2s]	-	Fal	l in unit val	ues
•	I Nb I		I Nb 1		I I	%]	Nb	I I	Amount	I % I
	.1 I			[-¹- T			- <u>'</u>	-	.1 I
1 Coffee	I 1 I	•		=	_	-	-	Ī		Ī
2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I 2 I	•						Ī		I
3 Groundnut Products	I 3 I							Ī		I
4 Cotton	I 3 I							I	1,114,311	- I 77.5
5 Wood	I 2 I							I		I
6 Copra Products	I 2 I	•						I		I
	I 2 I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•				Ι		Ι.
8 Tea	I 1 1	•						I	1,582,594	I 23.9
9 Oil Cake	I 2 I							I		I
10 Sisal	I 1 1							I		I
11 Bananas	1 1	•		[1	3		I		I
12 Beans	I 2 1	12,841,851	I 2	12,841,851	1	100.0	[I		I
13 Cloves	I 1 1	•			I]		1	2,058,262	I 100.0
14 Hides and Skins	I]			[·	1]	[1		I
15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	I 2 1	6,554,410	I 2	5,763,665	1	87.9	[1	1	790,745	I 12.1
16 Vanilla	I 1 1	109,985	I 1 3	109,985	I	100.0	[I		I
17 Shea Nuts	I I	[I :		I	3	Ξ	I		I
18 Mohair	1 1	[I :	C	I]	[1		1
19 Sesame Seed	1 1	Ī	I :		1]	[1		I
20 Essential Oils	I 3	Ī	1	I ,	1	1	<u> </u>	I		I
21 Pyrethrum	I 1	[I :	[I]	[I		I
22 Shrimps	1	[' '	I .	I	I	,	Ī.	1		1
23 Gum Arabic	I 1		1	I .	1]	ľ	1		1
24 Nutmeg and Mace	I . 1		1	I	I	J	Į.	1		I
25 Cotton Seed	I 1		I :	Ī	1]	Ī.	I		I
26 Iron Ore	I I	[•	I .	I r	I]	<u>Е</u>	I T		I T
[I]	Γ	I	L .	- <u>+</u> - I		L [- <u>'</u> I		I
Total	I 25 I						_	I	5,545,912	

		I I I		Tran	sf	er bases year o	f ap	plic	atio	n 19	986		
Pı	roduct/Group of products	I I	Total	I I	1	Fall in quantit	ies		I I	Fa]	ll in unit val	ues	3
		I		I Nt					I I Nb		Amount	I	*
			<u> </u>	_I	_Ţ.		.I		I I	_I		. <u>I</u> _	
1	Coffee	I I	I I	I :	I		I I		T T	I		I	
	Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I 2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	ı I	371,379	_	5.6	•	I	1,079,795	T T	74.4
	Groundnut Products	I 6	• • •		SĪ	46,354,140		3.8		Ī	26,328,387		36.2
	Cotton	I 5			I	3,904,340				I	32,733,221		89.3
	Wood	I 2	•		2 I	45,077,166				Ī	0691009EE1	I	U/.J
	Copra Products	I 10			7 I	4,364,922		7.8		_	51,892,020	_	92.2
	Palm Products	I 3	• •		2 I	3,380,807		0.6		Ī	28,630,282		89.4
	Tea	I 4			ΙI	2,192,516		7.8			10,154,508		82.2
	Oil Cake	I 2			2 I	6,568,447				Ī	346,610		5.0
	Sisal	ī	I	ī	I	2,333,111	I		I	Ī	,	ī	
	Bananas	Ī.	Ī	Ī	Ī		Ī		I	Ī		Ī	
12	Beans	I 1	I 6,344,738	I 1	I	6,344,738	I 10	0.0	I	I		I	
	Cloves	I 1	•		I	445,364				I	2,531,792	I	85.0
	Hides and Skins	I 1			I	736,814				I	, ,	I	
	Casew Nuts and Kernels	I 1	•		I	1,065,009				I		I	
	Vanilla	I	I	I	I		I		I	I		Ι	
17	Shea Nuts	I 2	I 3,342,833	1 2	2 I	2,760,406	I 8	2.6	I 1	I	582,427	I	17.4
18	Mohair	I	I	I	I		I		I	I	•	I	
19	Sesame Seed	I 2	I 955,047	1 2	2 I	764,861	I 8	0.1	I 1	I	190,186	I	19.9
20	Essential Oils	I	I	I	I		I		I	I	•	I	
21	Pyrethrum	I	I	1	I		I		I	I.		I	
22	Shrimps	I 1	I 388,231	I 1	I	388,231	I 10	0.0	I	I		I	
23	Gum Arabic	1	I	I	I		I		I .	I		1	
24	Nutmeg and Mace	1	I	Ι	I		I		I	I		I	
25	Cotton Seed	I	I	1	I		I		I	I		I	
26	Iron Ore	I	I	1	I		I ,		I	I		1	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_I	.T	_I	_I.	·	I		I	_I_		I_	
		I	1	I	I		I		I	I		1	
	Total	I 43	I 279,188,368	I 31	I	124,719,140	I 4	4.7	I 28	I	154,469,228	Ι	55.3

I I I		I I I_				Tr	ans	f€	er bases year o	£	applic	at	ion	1987			ī I I
I I F I	Product/Group of products	I I T-		:		I I T-		F	all in quantit	ie		I I	F	all in unit val	ue	2S	I
I		-	Nb	I		I I	Nb	I I	Amount	I I		I I	Nb 3		I	*	I
ī		ī		 I		I		Ī		Ī		Ι.			Ī		I
I 1	Coffee	1	8	1	442,547,452	1	6	Ι	121,699,689	I	27.5	I	8 1	320,847,763	Ι	72.5	I
I 2	Cocoa/Cocoa Products	Ι	6		91,189,987		3		1,516,378		1.7		6]	• •		98.3	_
		Ţ	4	I	34,036,423		4		8,106,679		23.8		3]			76.2	
		I	3	Ι	39,891,283		2	Ι	10,129,250				3]			74.6	
I 5	Wood	1	2	I	76,901,315		2		76,901,315			Ι]		1		Ι
Ι 6	Copra Products	I	8	1	41,120,127		7	Ι	12,230,999				8 3	28,889,128	Ι	70.3	Ι
		I	3	I	32,375,460		2		4,230,899				3]			86.9	I
I 8	3 Tea	I	3	Ι	26,718,455		1	I	5,094,649		19.1	I	3 3	21,623,806	I	80.9	I
I 9	Oil Cake	I		I		I		Ι	•	I		I]		I		I
I 10) Sisal	I		I		I		I		Ι		I	3	[I		I
I 11	Bananas	Ι		Ι		Ι		Ι		Ι		I]		I		Ι
		Ι		Ι		I		I		I		I	3	[I		I
	_	Ι	2	I	6,574,612	1	1	I	5,065,774	Ι	77.1	Ι	2 1	1,508,838	Ι	22.9	I
I 14	Hides and Skins	I	1	Ι	604,392			I	•	Ι		Ι	1]				
I 15	Casew Nuts and Kernels	Ι		Ι		I		I		Ι		I]		I		I
		I	1	I	2,650,241	I	1	r	1,703,979	Ι	64.3	I	1]	946,262	Ι	35.7	I
		I		I	3,892,090		1	I	2,313,865		59.5		1]	•		40.5	
I 18	B Mohair	I	. 1	I	4,944,041			I	-,,	Ι		I	1 3				
I 19	Sesame Seed	Ï		Ι	•	Ι		Ι		Ι		I	1		I		Ī
I 20	Essential Oils	Ι		I		I		I		I		I]	[Ι		Ι
	Pyrethrum	Ī		Ī		I		Ī		Ι		I]	[I		Ī
	2 Shrimps	I		Ī		I		I		Ī		I	1	[I		Ī
	Gum Arabic	Ī		I		I		Ι		I		I]	Ī	1		Ι
	Nutmeg and Mace	I		I		I		Ι		I		I		[Ι		I
	Cotton Seed	I		I		I		I		Ι		I]	[I		Ī
	S Iron Ore	I		I		I		I		I		I]	[1		Ι
I		I		_I		I		I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ι		I	1	[<u></u>	_I		I
I		I		I		I		I		ī		1	:	[I		I
I			43		803,445,878	I	30	I	248,993,476	Ĭ	31.0	I T	40	554,452,402	I	69.0	I
·		_+_		_+.		.*-		_+_		_*-		-*-		`			~+

	I I		Trans	fer bases year (of applic	ation	1988	
Product/Group of products	I I		I I	Fall in quanti		I I	Fall in unit val	ues
	I Nb I		I Nb	I Amount		I Nb		I %
	Î I	•		I	~		.~	i ———
1 Coffee	I 7]	362,823,242	I 2	I 45,495,770	I 12.5	I 7	I 317,327,472	I 87.5
2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I 8 1			*				
3 Groundnut Products	I 3 1	, -		•				
4 Cotton	I 5 1						· · ·	
5 Wood	I 4 1			• •			• •	
6 Copra Products	I 71			•				
7 Palm Products	I 3 1			•				
8 Tea	I 3 1					I 2		
9 Oil Cake	I 1 1	•		= = =				
10 Sisal	I I			Ī	I	I	I	I
11 Bananas	I 1 1	386,851	I 1	I 386,851	I 100.0	I	1	I
12 Beans	I 1			I			I	1
13 Cloves	I 1 1	2,137,136	I 1	I 903,014	I 42.3	I 1	I 1,234,122	I 57.7
14 Hides and Skins	I 1 1	The state of the s				I 1		
15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	I 1	•		I	_			I
16 Vanilla	I 1	[I	I	I	I	I	I
17 Shea Nuts	1		I	I	I	I	I	I
18 Mohair	I 1 1	2,525,531	I 1	I 585,637	I 23.2	I 1	I 1,939,894	I 76.8
19 Sesame Seed	I 1			I .	1	I		I
20 Essential Oils	I 1		I	I	I :	I	I	I
21 Pyrethrum	I 1 1	563,735	I 1	I 563,735	I 100.0	I	I	I
22 Shrimps	I 1			I	I	I	I	I
23 Gum Arabic	I 3	[I	1	I	I	1	I
24 Nutmeg and Mace	1 1	[1	I .	1	I	I	I
25 Cotton Seed	I 1 1	75,622	I	I	I	I 1	I 75,622	I 100.0
26 Iron Ore	I I	-	I T	I T	I T	I T	I	I T
Total		761,381,257		I I 101,673,200	I 13.4	_	-	I I 86.

	I I	Tran	sfer b	pases years of a	pplicatio	on 191	75-1979	
Product/Group of products	I I		I I	Fall in quantit		I I I	Fall in unit val	ues
	I Nb		I Nb 1 I I			IND 1		I % I
		-	-	_		-		I
1 Coffee	I 5	• •		•			[I
2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I 3	,,					[I
3 Groundnut Products	I 19	, ,		•				
4 Cotton	I 19						•	
5 Wood	I 8	,						
6 Copra Products	I 4			• •			• •	
7 Palm Products	I 5	• •					•	
8 Tea	I 3			•				I
9 Oil Cake	I 4			• •				
10 Sisal	I 4			•				
11 Bananas	I 7			•			•	
12 Beans	_	- .			I			I
13 Cloves	I 1	• •		•				I
14 Hides and Skins	I 5	, ,		• •			•	
15 Casew Nuts and Kernels		-						1
16 Vanilla	I 2	• •		•			I	1
17 Shea Nuts	_	-	_			-	I	I
18 Mohair			-	-			I	1
19 Sesame Seed	I 1	I 2,632,923	I 1 3	2,632,923	I 100.0	I]	[I
20 Essential Oils		I		- *	I :		[I
21 Pyrethrum	I 1	- ·		•		I 1 1	221,047	I 36.
22 Shrimps	-	*	•	•	-	•	!	I .
23 Gum Arabic	I 1				I 48.0		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I 52.
24 Nutmeg and Mace	. —	-		_	1	1 :	[I
25 Cotton Seed	1	*		-	-	I]	[.	I
26 Iron Ore	1 7	I 61,789,536	I 6	I 35,748,997	I 57.9	I 4 1	26,040,539	I 42.
	_I	I	I	I	I	I	<u> </u>	Ι
	7	-		- •			I	I
Total	I 99	I 377,495,708	I 94	I 338,913,987	I 89.8	I 25 I	I 38,581,721	I 10.3

I I I		I I :		Trar	sf	er	ba	ases years of a	apı	plicatio	ion 1980-1981					
I	Product/Group of products	I I		Total	I I		i	all in quanti	ti:	es :	[[[Fa	all in unit val	ues	Ι Ι - τ	
Ĭ		I N T	b I		I	Nb	1 T	Amount	I I		(Nb	I		I %	I I	
Ť.		i —	_ r		-^- T		-^- I		 T		`——	Ī		<u>-</u> Т	-↑ T	
Ť		I 1	_		_	9	-	109,146,888				-		-	_	
Ť			7 I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4		21,110,813				ī				
Ţ			9 T			9		157,515,946				ī				
I		_	3 I			3		27,941,871				ī	,200,0,,	I	ſ	
I		Ī	I		ī		ī		Ī		I	Ī		Ī	ī	
I		- I 1			Ī	11	I	7,866,621				I	7,578,945	I 49.1	_	
I			5 I	• •				4,020,734				I	1,891,847			
I	8 Tea	I	2 I	•			Ι	• •	Ι			I	2,890,081			
Ι	9 Oil Cake	I	3 I	•		3	I	20,008,491	I	97.7		Ι	465,379			
1	10 Sisal	I	I		I		I		I		Ι	I		I	I	
I	11 Bananas	I	6 I	18,003,497	I	6	1	16,764,218	Ι	93.1	[2	Ι	1,239,279	I 6.9	Ι	
I	12 Beans	I	Ι		I		1	•	I		I	I		1	1	
Ι	13 Cloves	I	Ţ		Ι		I		1		Ι	i		1	1	
I	14 Hides and Skins	I	1 I	415,854	Ι	1	Ι	415,854	1	100.0	I	Ι		I	I	
I	15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	Ι	Ι	•	I		I	·	1		[1		I	1	
I	16 Vanilla	1	1 I	2,557,569	I	1	Ι	2,557,569	I	100.0	I	1		I	1	
I	17 Shea Nuts	I	1 I			1	I	1,247,938				1		I]	
1	18 Mohair	1	2 I				I		I		I 2	1	596,812	I 100.0	3	
I	19 Sesame Seed	I	I		I		1		1		I	1	•	I	1	
I	20 Essential Oils	I	2 I	1,339,873	I	2	1	1,295,521	1	96.7	I 1	I	44,352	I 3.3	1	
I	21 Pyrethrum	I	1		I		I		I		Ī	I		I	I	
1	22 Shrimps	I	I		I		1		1		I	I		I	I	
I	23 Gum Arabic	I	I.		1		1		ĺ		I	I		I	1	
I	24 Nutmeg and Mace	1	1 I	197,531	1.	1	I	197,531	1	100.0	I	1		I	1	
I	25 Cotton Seed	I	I		I		I		I		I	I		I	1	
I	26 Iron Ore	I	I		I		I		I		I	1		I	1	
I_		Ι	I		_I_		_I		_1		I	_1_		I	_1	
I		I	I		I		1		I		I	1		Ι	I	
I		I 7		* * *	I T	56	I	370,089,995	I		I 46 T	I r	344,902,827	I 48.2	I	
1.		.+	—¹		_1		_*.		-÷		٠				_	

] T			Tran	sfe	J.	bas	ses years of a	iPl	plicati	on	19	82-1984		
Product/Group of products	I			_	1 I		Fa	all in quantit	i		l I		Fall in unit val	ue	:S
		Νb			II		I	Amount	I		I N I		I Amount	I I	%
			I		ī_		ī	*************************************	ī.		i—		Ī	ī	
1 Coffee	I	5	I	37,542,858	Ι	5	Ι	35,246,657	Ι	93.9	Ι	1	I 2,296,201	I	6.1
2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	Ι.	12	Ι.	64,786,861	I 1	! 1	I	38,201,871			I 1	1			41.0
3 Groundnut Products	I	5 .	I	18,949,707	I	5	ĭ	17,221,271	I	90.9	1	2	I 1,728,436	Ι	9.1
4 Cotton	I	7	Ι	16,587,397		7	1	16,587,397	Ι	100.0	1		I	I	
5 Wood	I	3	Ι	390,631	I	3	I	390,631	1	100.0	I		I	Ι	
6 Copra Products	I ·	15	I	16,909,953	1	11	Ι	6,656,610	1	39.4	I 1	1	I 10,253,343	I	60.6
7 Palm Products	I	3	1	2,412,826	I	3	1	2,355,250				1			2.4
8 Tea	I		Ι		I		Ι		Ι		I		I	1	
9 Oil Cake	I	2	Ι	871,683	Ι	2	I	824,160	1	94.5	I	1	I 47,523	1	5.5
10 Sisal	I	3	Ι	9,068,448	1	3	I	7,834,351	1	86.4	I	1	I 1,234,097	I	13.6
11 Bananas	I	5	Ι	980,807	I	5	I	980,807	I	100.0	I		I	I	
12 Beans	I	1	I	4,996,671	I	1	I	4,945,650	Ι	99.0	I	1	I 51,021	Ι	1.0
13 Cloves	Ι	1	Ţ	851,095	ľ		I		Ĩ		I	1	I 851,095	I	100.0
14 Hides and Skins	1	1	Ι	497,157			Ι		1		I	1			
15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	1	3	Ι	4,903,831	I	3	I	4,718,280	I	96.2	I	2			3.8
16 Vanilla	I	1	I	3,553,153		1	I	3,553,153					I	I	
17 Shea Nuts	I	1	I	1,046,734		1	I	1,046,734					I	I	
18 Mohair	I.	1	Ι.	694,147		1	1					1	I 359,352	I	51.8
19 Sesame Seed	I	1	Ι	3,150,900	I	1	I	3,150,900	I	100.0	I		I	I	
20 Essential Oils	I		I		I		1		I		1		I	1	
21 Pyrethrum	I		I		I		Ι		I		I		I	I	
22 Shrimps	I	1	I	710,289	I	1	I.	710,289	1	100.0	I		I	1	
23 Gum Arabic	I		I		1		1		I		I		I	1	
24 Nutmeg and Mace	I	2	I.	440,320	1	2	I	163,218	1	37.1	I	1	I 277,102	I	62.9
25 Cotton Seed	I		I.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		Ι		I		ľ		I	I	
26 Iron Ore	Ī		I		I		I		I		I		I	I	
	_ <u>i</u> _		I		I.		. <u> </u>		Ι.		<u>_</u>		<u>I</u>	.I.	
Total	I I		I	189,345,468	I		I	144,922,024	I		I		I 44,423,444	I	03.4

! [I I T		Tran	sfe	er i	ba	ses years of a	iPI	olicati	on	19	80 1984		
I I Pi T	roduct/Group of products	I I		Total	I I		F	all in quantıt	16	<u>:</u> s	i i T_		Fall in unit va	lue	es
Γ. -		I Nb			I N			Amount	I			Nb		I	%
· Г		. I	-¹ T		_I T		1 _ I		_I. T		1_ T		1	_I_ I	
: T 1	Coffee	I 20	_	351,506,607	-		_	144,393,545	_		_			_	
	The state of the s	I 18		192,827,823				58,956,257							
	Groundnut Products	I 14		194,321,327				174,737,217					· · ·		
		I 10		44,529,268				44,529,268					I 17,564,110	I	10.1
		I 3		390,631				390,631					I	I	
_		I 27		28,545,435				13,761,973						_	
	-	I 8		8,325,407				6,375,984							
	Tea	I 2		2,890,081			I	0,0,0,,0,	Ī			2	•		
	Oil Cake	I 5		21,345,553		5	Ī	20,832,651				2			2.4
		I 3		9,068,448		3		7,834,351					-		
[11		I 11		18,984,304				17,745,025				2			6.5
	Beans	I 1	Ι	4,996,671				4,945,650				1			
[13	Cloves	I -1	1	851,095			I		Ī		Ĭ	1	=		
14	Hides and Skins	I 2	1	913,011		1	Ι	415,854	I	45.5	1	1			
15	Casew Nuts and Kernels	I 3	I	4,903,831	1	3	I	4,718,280	I	96.2	I	2	I 185,551	I	3.8
16	Vanilla	I 2	I	6,110,722	I	2	Ι	6,110,722	Ι	100.0	1		I	I	
[17	Shea Nuts	I 2	I	2,294,672	I	2	Ι	2,294,672	1	100.0	I		I	I	
18	Mohair	I 3	I	1,290,959		1	Ι	334,795	Ι	25.9	1	3	I 956,164	1	74.1
I 19	Sesame Seed	I 1	I	3,150,900	1	1	Ι	3,150,900	1	100.0	1		I	I	
[20	Essential Oils	I 2	I	1,339,873	I	2	Ι	1,295,521	I	96.7	Ι	1	I 44,352	I	3.3
[21	Pyrethrum	1	I		1		Ι		I		1		I	I	
[22	Shrimps	I 1	I	710,289	1	1	I	710,289	1	100.0	1		I	I	
	Gum Arabic	I	Ι		1		I		Ι		1		I	1	
	Nutmeg and Mace	I 3	I	637,851	I	3	I	360,749	I	56.6	I	1	1 277,102	I	43.4
	Cotton Seed	I	I	•	I		Ι		1		I		I	I	
26	Iron Ore	I	I		I		Ι		Ι		I		1	1	
		_I	_I		_I		I_		_I		Ι_		I	_I.	
[[Total	I I142	I	899,934,758	I		I	513,894,334	I	5 7 1	I	R1	I 386,040,424	I	
L	Inrat	1142 T		077,734,738	112		T	010,074,004	_	37.1		. ·	I 366,040,424	_	44.7

I I I	I	Trans	sfer	bases years of a	pplicati	on 198	35-1986]
I I Product/Group of products I	I I		I I I	Fall in quantit		I I I	Fall in unit val	.ues]
I ·	I Nb		I Nb			IND 1 I i	I Amount	I % I
T -		*					T	I 1
I 1 Coffee	I 1	-	_	-	_		I I	I
I 2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I 4						-	
I 3 Groundnut Products	I 9	•					, ,	
I 4 Cotton	I 8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•	
I 5 Wood	I 4			* -			I	I
I 6 Copra Products	I 12			•		I 10	-	_
I 7 Palm Products	I 5						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
I 8 Tea	I 5	•						
I 9 Oil Cake	I 4							
I 10 Sisal	I 1	• •					I .	I
I 11 Bananas	Ι			•			Ι	I 3
I 12 Beans	I 3	I 19,186,589	I 3	I 19,186,589	I 100.0	I :	I	I 3
I 13 Cloves	I 2						4,590,054	I 91.2
I 14 Hides and Skins	I 1	•		I 736,814	I 100.0	I	I	I 3
I 15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	I 3	the state of the s					790,745	I 10.4 3
I 16 Vanilla	I 1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			I 100.0		I	I 3
I 17 Shea Nuts	I 2			I 2,760,406	I 82.6	I 1 2	582,427	I 17.4
I 18 Mohair	I	I		I	1	1	I	1
I 19 Sesame Seed	I 2	I 955,047	I 2	I 764,861	I 80.1	I 1 1	190,186	I 19.9
I 20 Essential Oils	1	I	I	I	1	I :	I	1
I 21 Pyrethrum	I	I	Ι.	I	1	I :	I	I 3
I 22 Shrimps	I 1	I 388,231	I 1	I 388,231	I 100.0	I :	I	I 3
I 23 Gum Arabic	1	I	1	I	1	I .]	I	I]
I 24 Nutmeg and Mace	1	I	I	I	1	I :	I	1 1
I 25 Cotton Seed	I	I	I	I	I	I :	I	I 1
I 26 Iron Ore	I	I	I	I	1	I :	I '	I 1
I	_I	I	I	I	I	I :	I	_I]
I		I	I	I	I	I	I	1
I Total	I 68	I 428,606,846	I 54			I 32	160,015,140	I 37.3
1	_I	1	1	<u></u>	.1	<u> </u>	L	.+

I I I	Product/Group of products		I Transfer bases years of application 1987-1988 I													
I I P			I I Total				Fall in quantities					Fall in unit values				
I T		I	 h 1	Amount	- I - T	Nb	т	 Amount	 I	 %	I ·	Nb	 т	Amount	 I	·
Ť		T N.	ر ر [I	ΝD	Ī	Muonte	I		Ī		ī	ranodite	Ī	*
ī		ī	^		 I		-~- I		Ī		Ī		I		 I	
I 1		Ī 1			-	8	-	167,195,459	I	20.8	I	15		638,175,235		79.2
	•	I 1					I	9,889,562						332,442,044		97.1
	Groundnut Products		7 3	• •		6	Ι	9,378,126						31,263,776		76.9
	Cotton	I	B 3			5	Ι	25,716,744						60,273,064		70.1
I 5	Wood	I	6]	•		6	I	83,922,968			I	2	I	5,763,395		6.4
I 6	Copra Products	I 1	5]			14	I	24,430,235	I	35.7	I	13	I	43,973,709	I	64.3
I 7	Palm Products	I	6]					11,613,960	I	20.2	I	5	I	45,861,820	Ι	79.8
I 8	Tea	I	6]	48,593,473	I	2	I	6,180,055	I	12.7	1	5	1	42,413,418	I	87.3
I 9	Oil Cake	I	1]	378,530	I	1	Ι	40,327	I	10.7	I	1	I	338,203	Ι	89.3
I 10	Sisal	I]	[I		I		I		I		I		I	
I 11	Bananas	I	1]	386,851	I	1	Ι	386,851	I	100.0	1		I		I	
I 12	Beans	I	1	[I		I		Ι		I		I		Ι	
I 13	Cloves	I	3 3	8,711,748	Ι	2	I	5,968,788	I	68.5	I	3	I	2,742,960	1	31.5
I 14	Hides and Skins	1	2	2,205,176	I	1	Ι	776,385	I	35.2	I	2	I	1,428,791	I	64.8
I 15	Casew Nuts and Kernels	I	1		I		1		I		I		I		I	
I 16	Vanilla	I	1 3	2,650,241	Ι	1	I	1,703,979	Ι	64.3	1	1	I	946,262	Ι	35.7
I 17	' Shea Nuts	I	1]	3,892,090	I	1	I	2,313,865	I	59.5	1	1	1	1,578,225	Ι	40.5
I 18	Mohair	I	2	7,469,572	I	1	I	585,637	I	7.8	1	2	Ι	6,883,935	1	92.2
	Sesame Seed	I]	Ī	I		I	•	1		1		I		I	
I 20	Essential Oils	I]	r	Ι		I		1		1		I		I	
	Pyrethrum	I	1]	563,735	1	1	I	563,735	1	100.0	I		I		I	
	Shrimps	I		[I		I		I		1		I		1	
	Gum Arabic	I			Į		I		1		1		1		I	
	Nutmeg and Mace	I		Ī	I		1		I		1		I		I	
	Cotton Seed	I	1 :	75,622	I		I		I		I	1	I	75,622	I	100.0
I 26 I	Iron Ore	I I		[[I I		I		I		I		I I		I I	
I I	Total	I I 9	-	I I 1,564,827,135	I		I		I		I		I I 1	,214,160,459	I	77.6

I I	I ·		Tra	ns:	fer	ba	ases years of a	apı	plicati	01	19	85-1988			
I I Product/Group of products I					I I Fall in quantities I						_				
I I	IN		I Amount	I	Nb	I T	Amount	I I	%	I	Nb :	I A mount I	I I	%	
T			·			I		Ī		Ī		I	-^- T		
I 1 Coffee	I 1		-	_		_	188,315,167	_		_				77.2	
I 2 Cocoa/Cocoa Products	I 1						21,202,445							94.0	
I 3 Groundnut Products	I 1	-					116,894,722							33.0	
I 4 Cotton	I 1						29,943,853							75.9	
I 5 Wood	I						133,967,123							4.1	
I 6 Copra Products	I						29,560,795							76.4	
7 Palm Products	I 1						18,648,085							80.0	
I 8 Tea	I 1	1					13,407,233			I	10			80.2	
9 Oil Cake	I	5				1	20,326,601	I	96.7	I	2			3.3	
[10 Sisal	I	1				1	3,472,194					I	I		
I 11 Bananas	1	1				1	386,851	I	100.0	I		I	1		
I 12 Beans	I	3	I 19,186,589	I	3	1	19,186,589	I	100.0	Ι		I	Ι		
I 13 Cloves	I	5				1	6,414,152				5	I 7,333,014	1	53.3	
I 14 Hides and Skins	I	3	I 2,941,990	1	2	1	1,513,199				2	I 1,428,791	I	48.6	
I 15 Casew Nuts and Kernels	Ι	3	7,619,419	I	. 3	I	6,828,674	1	89.6	I	1	I 790,745	1	10.4	
I 16 Vanilla	I	2	1 2,760,226	I	2	1	1,813,964	1	65.7	I	1	I 946,262	1	34.3	
[17 Shea Nuts	Ι.	3	7,234,923	Ι	3	1	5,074,271	I	70.1	I	2	I 2,160,652	1	29.9	
18 Mohair	ľ	2	1 7,469,572	I	1	I	585,637	I	7.8	1	2	I 6,883,935	I	92.2	
I 19 Sesame Seed	I	2	955,047	ľ	2	I	764,861	I	80.1	1	1	I 190,186	I	19.9	
[20 Essential Oils	1		I	I		1		1		I		I	I		
[21 Pyrethrum	. I .	1	I 563,735	I	1	1	563,735	I	100.0	I		I	1		
[22 Shrimps	I	1	I 388,231	I	1	1	388,231	I	100.0	I		I	I		
I 23 Gum Arabic	1		I	Ι		I		I		I		I	I		
I 24 Nutmeg and Mace	I		I	I		·I		I		I		I	I		
I 25 Cotton Seed	I	1	75,622	ľ		1		I		Ι	1	I 75,622	I	100.0	
I 26 Iron Ore	I		I	1		1		1		I		I	I		
I	_I_		I	_I		_I_		_I		I_		I	_1_		
	I		I	I		1		I		I		I	1		
I Total	I1:	58	I 1,993,433,981	I	115	1	619,258,382	I	31.1	1	110	I 1,374,175,599	1	68.9	
(_I_		I	_r		_I_		_I		I		I	_I_		

I I I		I I Transfer bases years of application 1975-1988 I												
I Product/Group of products				I I	Fall in quantit		I I I	Fall in unit values						
I I T		I I Nb I		I Nb I			1 I Nb] I		. %					
I -			·						I					
	1 Coffee		I 1,192,491,298	-	=		_	-						
		I 39			•		-	•						
		I 49												
		I 45	•		•			• •						
	_	I 21	•		•									
		I 58			•									
	_	I 24	•											
		I 16												
		I 14	-											
		I 8			•									
I 1		I 19	•		•			•						
I 1	2 Beans	I 4	· ·		-									
I 1	3 Cloves	I 7	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-						
I 1	4 Hides and Skins	I 10	I 12,256,984	1 8 I	9,890,156	1 80.7	I 4	1 2,366,828	I 19.3					
I 1	5 Casew Nuts and Kernels	I 6	•		11,546,954	I 92.2	I 3 1							
I 1	6 Vanilla	I 6	•		-									
I 1	7 Shea Nuts	I 5					I 2							
I 1	8 Mohair	I 5	I 8,760,531	I 2	920,432	I 10.5	I 5	7,840,099	I 89.5					
I 1	9 Sesame Seed	I 4	I 6,738,870	I 4 3	6,548,684	I 97.2	I 1 3	I 190,186	I 2.8					
I 2	O Essential Oils	I 2	I 1,339,873	I 2	I 1,295,521	I 96.7	I 1 :	I 44,352	I 3.3					
I 2	1 Pyrethrum	I 2	I 1,172,537	1 2	951,490	I 81.1	I 1 3	221,047	I 18.9					
I 2	2 Shrimps	I 2	1,098,520	1 2	1,098,520	I 100.0	1	I	I					
I 2	3 Gum Arabic	I 1	I 848,489	I 1 .	T 406,918	I 48.0	I 1.	441,571	1 52.0					
I 2	4 Nutmeg and Mace	I 3	I 637,851	I 3	I 360,749	I 56.6	I 1 :	I 277,102	I 43.4					
I 2	5 Cotton Seed	I 1	I 75,622	Ι.		Ι.	I 1 3	75,622	I 100.0					
I 2	6 Iron Ore	I 7	I 61,789,536	I 6	I 35,748,997	I 57.9	I 4 3	1 26,040,539	I 42.1					
I		I	.I	I	[<u> </u>	r		I	I					
I	en e	I	I	1	I .	I	I .	I	I					
I	Total	I399	I 3,270,864,447				I216 :	I 1,79 8,797, 744	I 55.0					