Brussels, 12.12.2003

# COMMISSION STAFF WORKING PAPER 

## "DRAFT JOINT INCLUSION REPORT"

## Statistical Annex

\{COM(2003)773 final\}

## COMMISSION STAFF WORKING PAPER

## Statistical Annex to the Draft Joint Inclusion Report

The Common Indicators of Poverty and Social Exclusion: background, definitions, methodological notes ..... 3
The common indicators of poverty and social exclusion: statistical tables ..... 10
Table 1: At-risk-of-poverty rate by broad age group and gender ${ }^{1}$, selected years (\%) ..... 10
Table 2: At-risk-of-poverty rate for individuals aged 16 years and over by age group and gender ${ }^{1}$, 2001 (\%) ..... 11
Table 3: Persistent risk of poverty by broad age group and gender ${ }^{1}$, 2001 (\%). ..... 11
Table 4: Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap by age group and gender ${ }^{1}$, 2001 (\%) ..... 11
Table 5: At-risk-of-poverty threshold (illustrative values in PPS $^{1}$ ), 2001 ..... 12
Table 6: Income quintile ratio (S80/S20), selected years (\%) ..... 12
Table 7: Gini coefficient, selected years (\%) ..... 12
Table 8: Dispersion around the at-risk-of-poverty threshold ${ }^{1}$, 2001 (\%). ..... 12
Table 9: At-risk-of-poverty rate by household type, ${ }^{1} 2001$ (\%) ..... 13
Table 10: At-risk-of-poverty rate by accommodation tenure status, 2001 (\%) ..... 14
Table 11: At-risk-of-poverty rate anchored at one moment in time (1998), 1998-2001 ..... 14
Table 12: At-risk-of-poverty rate after and before social cash transfers, by broad age group and gender ${ }^{1}$, 2001 (\%). ..... 15
Table 13: At-risk-of-poverty rate by gender ${ }^{1}$ and most frequent activity status, 2001 (\%). ..... 15
Table 13bis: Distribution of the adult population (aged 16 years and over) at risk of poverty by gender ${ }^{1}$ and most frequent activity status, 2001 (\%) ..... 16
Table 14: In- work poverty risk by main characteristics of the employed population. ..... 17
Table 15: Dispersion of regional employment rates ${ }^{1}$, selected years (\%) ..... 18
Table 16: People living in jobless households: children and working-age women and men, selected years (\%) ..... 18
Table 17: Long-term unemployment rate by gender, selected years (\%) ..... 19
Table 18: Long-term unemployment share by gender, selected years (\%) ..... 20
Table 19: Very long-term unemployment rate by gender, selected years (\%) ..... 21
Table 20: Early school leavers not in education or training, by gender, selected years (\%) ..... 22
Table 21: Persons with low educational attainment by age and gender, 2003 (\%). ..... 23
Table 22: Life expectancy at birth by gender, 1990 and 2000 (\%) ..... 23
Table 23: Self defined health status by income quintile ${ }^{1}$, 2000 (\%) ..... 23
Context information: population ..... 24
Context information: social protection expenditure ..... 25

# The Common Indicators of Poverty and Social Exclusion: background, DEFINITIONS, METHODOLOGICAL NOTES 

## Background

In December 2001, the Laeken European Council endorsed a first set of 18 indicators of social exclusion and poverty, organised in a two-level structure of primary indicators consisting of 10 lead indicators covering the broad fields that have been considered the most important elements in leading to social exclusion - and 8 secondary indicators - intended to support the lead indicators and describe other dimensions of the problem.

After that date, the Indicators Sub-Group has continued working with a view to refining and consolidating the original list of indicators. The list of common indicators as approved by the Social Protection Committee in July 2003, together with their definition, is included in the table below. Those indicators that have been re-defined can be identified thanks to the $*$ sign that has been added in the first column. Similarly, new indicators can be identified thanks to the mention "new" that has been added in this column.

## Breakdowns of the common indicators by age and gender

As far as possible, children must be given a special focus within the common indicators of social exclusion and poverty. In particular, it is recognised that it is especially important not to base the examination of child poverty and social exclusion on one single at-risk-of-poverty indicator. It is therefore recommended to apply a standard breakdown by broad age groups to all the Laeken indicators, wherever relevant and meaningful. In deciding the degree of disaggregation by age, considerations of statistical robustness must also be taken into account.

Similarly, a gender breakdown must be applied to all the indicators, always wherever relevant and meaningful. It should be noted that, in the case of income-based indicators, the gender breakdown is based on the assumption of equal sharing of resources within households. Furthermore, in most instances a gender breakdown is only meaningful when applied to the adult population, as there cannot be any normative interpretation of gender differences in, for example, the poverty risk rate for children.

The columns in the table below indicate when the age and gender breakdowns have been recommended when analysing the situation of poverty and social exclusion.

Definitions: the primary indicators

|  | Indicator | Definition | Age breakdown | Gender breakdown | Data source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a | At-risk-of poverty rate | Share of persons with an equivalised disposable income below $60 \%$ the national equivalised median income. <br> Equivalised median income is defined as the household's total disposable income divided by its "equivalent size", to take account of the size and composition of the household, and is attributed to each household member. | Yes. <br> Age groups: 0-15; 16 and over; 16-24; 25-49; 5064; 65+. | Yes (applying to people aged 16 years and over). | ECHP |
| 1b | Incidence of poverty risk by most frequent activity status | Share of individuals in each activity status group who are at risk of poverty. <br> The most frequent activity status is defined as the status that individuals declare to have occupied for more than half the number of months in the calendar year. The status categories are: employment (broken down by wage and salary employment and selfemployment); unemployment; retirement; other inactivity. | Yes | Yes | ECHP |
| 1c | Incidence of poverty risk by household type | Share of individuals in each household type who are at risk of poverty. <br> Households with no dependent children: <br> - Single person, under 30 years old <br> - Single person, 30-64 years <br> - Single person, 65 years and over <br> - Single women <br> - Single men <br> - Two adults, at least one person 65 years and over <br> - Two adults, both under 65 years <br> - Other households <br> Households with dependent children: <br> - Single parent, 1 or more dependent children <br> - Two adults, one dependent child <br> - Two adults, two dependent children <br> - Two adults, three or more dependent children <br> - Three or more adults with dependent children <br> Dependent children are individuals aged $0-$ 15 years and $16-24$ years if inactive and living with at least one parent. | Already specified in the typology of households. | Already specified in the typology of households. | ECHP |
| 1d | Incidence (and distribution) of poverty risk by accommodati on tenure status | Share of individuals in each accommodation tenure status who are at risk of poverty (distribution: share of the population at risk of poverty by accommodation tenure status). Accommodation tenure categories: <br> - Owner-occupied or rent free <br> - Rented | Yes | Yes (applying to people aged 16 years and over). | ECHP |


|  | Indicator | Definition | Age breakdown | Gender breakdown | Data source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1e | At-risk-ofpoverty rate threshold (illustrative values) | The value of the at-risk-of-poverty threshold ( $60 \%$ median national equivalised income) in PPS, Euro and national currency for two illustrative household types: <br> - Single person household <br> - Household with 2 adults, two children | No | No | ECHP |
| 2. | Income quintile ratio (S80/S20) | Ratio of total income received by the $20 \%$ of the country's population with the highest income (top quintile) to that received by the $20 \%$ of the country's population with the lowest income (lowest quintile). Income must be understood as equivalised disposable income. | Yes | Yes (applying to people aged 16 years and over). | ECHP |
| 3. | Persistent at-risk-of poverty rate | Share of persons with an equivalised disposable income below the at-risk-ofpoverty threshold in the current year and in at least two of the preceding three years. | Yes | Yes (applying to people aged 16 years and over). | ECHP |
| 4. | Relative median poverty risk gap | Difference between the median equivalised income of persons below the at-risk-of poverty threshold and the threshold itself, expressed as a percentage of the at-risk-of poverty threshold. | Yes | Yes <br> (applying to people aged 16 years and over). | ECHP |
| 5. | Regional cohesion | Coefficient of variation of employment rates at NUTS (Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics) level 2. | No | Yes | EU-LFS |
| 6. | Long term unemployme nt rate | Total long-term unemployed population ( $\geq 12$ months; ILO definition) as a proportion of total active population aged 15 to 64 years. | Yes | Yes | EU-LFS |
| $7 \mathrm{a}^{*}$ | Population living in jobless households: children | Proportion of children (aged 0-17 years) living in jobless households, expressed as a share of all children. | No | No | EU-LFS |
| 7b* | Population living in jobless households: working-age adults | Proportion of all people aged 18-59 years who live in a jobless household as a proportion of all people in the same age group. Students aged 18-24 years who live in households composed solely of students are not counted in either numerator nor denominator. | No | Yes | EU-LFS |
| 8. | Early school leavers not in education or training | Share of persons aged 18 to 24 who have only lower secondary education (their highest level of education or training attained is ISCED 970,1 or 2 ) and have not received education or training in the four weeks preceding the survey. ISCED 97 is the 1997 International Standard Classification of Education. | No | Yes | EU-LFS |


|  | Indicator | Definition | Age <br> breakdown | Gender <br> breakdown | Data <br> source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9. | Life <br> expectancy <br> at birth | Number of years a person may be expected <br> to live, starting at age 0. | Yo | Eurostat <br> Demogr <br> aphy <br> Statistic <br> $s^{1}$ |  |
| 10. | Self-defined <br> health status <br> by income <br> level. | Proportion of the population aged 16 years <br> and over in the bottom and top quintile of the <br> equivalised income distribution who classify <br> themselves as in a bad or very bad state of <br> health. | Yes | Yes | ECHP |

## Definitions: the Secondary Indicators

|  | Indicator | Definition | Age <br> breakdown | Gender <br> breakdown | Data <br> source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11. | Dispersion <br> around the <br> at-risk-of- <br> poverty <br> threshold | Share of persons with an equivalised <br> disposable income below 40\%, $50 \%$ and <br> $70 \%$ of the national equivalised median <br> income. | Yes | Yes <br> applying <br> to people <br> aged 16 <br> years and <br> over). | ECHP |
| 12. | At-risk-of- <br> poverty rate <br> anchored at a <br> moment in <br> time | In year $t$, share of persons with an <br> equivalised disposable income below the at- <br> risk-of-poverty threshold in year $t-3$, <br> uprated by inflation over the three years. | Yes | Yes | ECHP |
| 13. | At-risk-of- <br> poverty rate <br> before social <br> cash <br> transfers | Relative at-risk-of-poverty rate where <br> equivalised income is calculated as follows: <br> - excluding all social cash transfers <br> -including retirement and survivors <br> pensions and excluding all other social <br> cash transfers. <br> -including all social cash transfers (= <br> indicator 1) <br> The same at-risk-of-poverty threshold is <br> used for the three statistics, and is set at <br> 60\% of the national median equivalised <br> disposable income (after social cash <br> transfers). | Yes | Yes | ECHP |
| 14. | Gini <br> coefficient | Summary measure of the cumulative share <br> of equivalised income accounted for by the <br> cumulative percentages of the number of <br> individuals. <br> Its value ranges from $0 \%$ (complete <br> equality) to 100\% (complete inequality). | Yes | Yes | ECHP |

1 The source of data for this indicator is the periodic census (currently 1991, given that 2001 results are not yet final), which is then adjusted for available information on subsequent births, deaths and migration. Data are collected for males and females: figures for the total population are estimated as a weighted arithmetic mean. The EU-15 estimate is calculated as a population-weighted average of the individual national values.

|  | Indicator | Definition | Age breakdown | Gender breakdown | Data source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15. | Persistence of at-risk-ofpoverty (50\% of median equivalised income) | Share of persons with an equivalised disposable income below $50 \%$ of the national median equivalised income in the current year and in at least two of the preceding three years. | Yes | Yes | ECHP |
| $16 .$ new | Incidence of in-work poverty risk | Individuals who are classified as employed (either in wage and salary employment or self-employment) according to the definition of most frequent activity status (indicator 1b) and who are at risk of poverty. <br> This indicator needs to be analysed according to personal, job and household characteristics. | Yes | Yes | ECHP |
| 17. | Long-term unemployme nt share | Total long-term unemployed population ( $\geq 12$ months; ILO definition) as a proportion of the total unemployed population. | Yes | Yes | EU-LFS |
| 18. | Very long term unemployme nt rate | Total very long-term unemployed population ( $\geq 24$ months; ILO definition) as a proportion of total active population aged 15 to 64 years. | Yes | Yes | EU-LFS |
| 19. | Persons with low educational attainment | Share of the adult population (aged 25 years and over) whose highest level of education or training is ISCED 0,1 or 2 . | Yes. <br> Age groups: <br> 25-34; 35- <br> 44; 45-54; <br> 55-64; 65 <br> years and over. | Yes | EU-LFS |

## European income data: methodology and limitations

The income-based indicators that are presented in this report are calculated on the basis of data from the European Community Household Panel (ECHP). The use of the ECHP as the common source for such indicators was proposed by the Social Protection Committee and formally adopted at the Laeken European Council in 2001.

The ECHP is the only common source of comparable data on income and living conditions currently available for EU Member States. It was developed in association with Member States and publication of results incorporates a comprehensive validation process by both the National Statistical Institues and Eurostat. Given the sensitivity of the topics covered by this survey, some care is needed when interpreting results.

## Characteristics of the survey

The ECHP is a survey based on a standardised questionnaire. It involves annual interviewing of a representative panel of households and individuals, covering a wide range of topics: income, health, education, housing, demographics and employment characteristics. The
longitudinal structure of the ECHP makes it possible to follow up and interview the same households and individuals over eight consecutive years.

The first wave of the ECHP was conducted in 1994 in the then twelve EU Member States, on a total sample of some 60,500 households. Austria joined the project in 1995 and Finland in 1996. Even though Sweden is not taking part in the ECHP, comparable micro-data from the Swedish Survey on Living Conditions are included in the ECHP user's database from 1997 onwards. In the United Kingdom, Luxembourg and Germany, the ECHP survey was stopped in 1997 and data from an existing national panel survey (i.e. BHPS, PSELL and SOEP respectively) were harmonised to the ECHP format to provide data for all subsequent waves.

Since the release of December 2002, ECHP UDBs differ from previous versions in some aspects: in addition to the updating of income data by some countries, two methodological aspects have been substantially revised:

- an improved weighting procedure is applied in order to avoid extreme weights;
- a new method to adjust for 'within-household non-response' is used.

The impact of these two substantial modifications in the production of the ECHP UDB is twofold. Firstly, the micro-data contain now less extreme weights and better income information. Second, the current changes in methodology are accompanied by sometimes large changes in the estimates of some important indicators based on the ECHP. This newly adopted methodology can be regarded as a major revision and an improvement in the accuracy of ECHP estimates.

## Income measurement

Data on income from the ECHP relate to the year immediately preceding the survey (e.g. 2000 for wave 8 conducted in 2001), whereas the household composition and the sociodemographic characteristics of household members are those registered at the moment of the survey.

Household's total disposable income is taken to be total net monetary income received by the household and its members, including all income from work (wages and salaries and selfemployment earnings), private income from investment and property, plus all social cash transfers received including old-age pensions, net of any taxes and social contributions paid (with France and Finland representing a partial exception to this latter rule).

In order to reflect differences in household size and composition, the income figures are given per equivalent adult. This means that the total household income is divided by its equivalent size using the so-called modified OECD equivalence scale. This scale gives a weight of 1.0 to the first adult, 0.5 to any other household member aged 14 and over and 0.3 to each child below age 14. The resulting figure is attributed to each member of the household, whether adult or children. The equivalent size of a household that consists of 2 adults and 2 children below the age of 14 is therefore: $1.0+0.5+(2 * 0.3)=2.1$.

## Limitations

Given the sensitivity of the topics covered by the ECHP, care is needed when interpreting results. The limited sample size and the fact that data on disposable income are based on information provided by respondents, rather than from administrative registers or other
sources, raises some concerns of data quality. This is particularly the case for those at the two extremes of the income distribution.

ECHP income data do not capture several major determinants of living standards, namely: receipts in kind, transfers paid to other households, loan interest payments and imputed rent for owner-occupied accommodation. The last component in particular can have a significant impact for certain countries or certain groups of the population within countries (i.e., the elderly).

Furthermore, the ECHP, like most other households surveys, does not cover persons living in collective households, homeless persons or other difficult-to-reach groups.

## THE COMMON INDICATORS OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION: STATISTICAL TABLES

NB: the full set of indicators and their breakdowns are available for download from the Eurostat New Cronos website, Theme 3, Domain ILC.

Table 1: At-risk-of-poverty rate by broad age group and gender ${ }^{1}$, selected years (\%)

|  |  | All age groups | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0-15 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 16 years and over |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { All age } \\ & \text { groups } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0-15 \\ \text { years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 16 years and over |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | Men | Women |  |  | Total |  |  | Men | Women |
| B | 1995 |  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 17 | L | 1995 | 12 | 16 | 11 | 10 | 12 |
|  | 1997 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 15 |  | 1997 | 11 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 11 |
|  | 1999 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 14 |  | 1999 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
|  | 2001 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 15 |  | 2001 | 12 | 18 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| DK | 1995 | 10 | 5 | 11 | 10 | 13 | NL | 1995 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 12 |
|  | 1997 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 12 |  | 1997 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 1999 | 11 | 6 | 12 | 10 | 15 |  | 1999 | 11 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
|  | 2001 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 14 |  | 2001 | 11 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| D | 1995 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 12 | 16 | A | 1995 | 13 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 15 |
|  | 1997 | 12 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 13 |  | 1997 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 14 |
|  | 1999 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 11 |  | 1999 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 14 |
|  | 2001 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 12 |  | 2001 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 15 |
| EL | 1995 | 22 | 18 | 22 | 21 | 23 | P | 1995 | 23 | 26 | 22 | 20 | 24 |
|  | 1997 | 21 | 18 | 22 | 21 | 23 |  | 1997 | 22 | 25 | 21 | 19 | 23 |
|  | 1999 | 21 | 17 | 21 | 20 | 22 |  | 1999 | 21 | 26 | 19 | 17 | 21 |
|  | 2001 | 20 | 18 | 21 | 19 | 22 |  | 2001 | 20 | 27 | 18 | 18 | 19 |
| E | 1995 | 19 | 24 | 18 | 18 | 18 | FIN | 1995 | : | : | : | : | : |
|  | 1997 | 20 | 26 | 19 | 19 | 19 |  | 1997 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 1999 | 19 | 25 | 18 | 17 | 18 |  | 1999 | 11 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 13 |
|  | 2001 | 19 | 26 | 18 | 16 | 19 |  | 2001 | 11 | 6 | 13 | 10 | 15 |
| F | 1995 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 16 | S | 1995 | : | : | : | : | : |
|  | 1997 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 15 |  | 1997 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 1999 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 15 |  | 1999 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
|  | 2001 | 15 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 16 |  | 2001 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| IRL | 1995 | 19 | 26 | 16 | 14 | 18 | UK | 1995 | 20 | 28 | 18 | 16 | 20 |
|  | 1997 | 19 | 25 | 17 | 16 | 19 |  | 1997 | 18 | 27 | 15 | 13 | 18 |
|  | 1999 | 19 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 20 |  | 1999 | 19 | 29 | 17 | 15 | 19 |
|  | 2001 | 21 | 26 | 20 | 18 | 22 |  | 2001 | 17 | 24 | 15 | 13 | 18 |
| I | 1995 | 20 | 24 | 20 | 18 | 21 | EU15 ${ }^{2}$ | 1995 | 17 | 21 | 17 | 15 | 18 |
|  | 1997 | 19 | 23 | 19 | 18 | 20 |  | 1997 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 16 |
|  | 1999 | 18 | 22 | 17 | 16 | 18 |  | 1999 | 15 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 16 |
|  | 2001 | 19 | 25 | 18 | 17 | 19 |  | 2001 | 15 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 16 |

Notes:

1) Gender breakdown is based on assumption of equal sharing of resources within household.
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values.

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 2: At-risk-of-poverty rate for individuals aged 16 years and over by age group and gender ${ }^{1}$, 2001 (\%)


Notes:

1) Gender breakdown is based on assumption of equal sharing of resources within household.
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values.

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.
Table 3: Persistent risk of poverty by broad age group and gender ${ }^{1}$, 2001 (\%)

|  |  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK | EU15 ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 7 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 6 | : | 10 | 9 |
| 0-15 years |  | 6 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 18 | 13 | 9 | 7 | 22 | 1 | : | 16 | 12 |
| 16 years and over | Total | 8 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 7 | : | 9 | 9 |
|  | Men | 7 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 5 | : | 7 | 8 |
|  | Women | 9 | 8 | 7 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 9 | : | 10 | 9 |

Notes:

1) Gender breakdown is based on assumption of equal sharing of resources within household.
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values.

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.
Table 4: Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap by age group and gender ${ }^{1}$, 2001 (\%)

|  |  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK | EU15 ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 15 | 19 | 19 | 28 | 24 | 19 | 24 | 28 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 22 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0-15 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | 16 | 23* | 13 | 25 | 29 | 18 | 25 | 33 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 13 | 13 | 24 | 21 |
| 16 years and over | Total | 15 | 19 | 20 | 28 | 24 | 19 | 24 | 26 | 18 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 18 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
|  | Men | 15 | 19 | 22 | 27 | 24 | 19 | 24 | 27 | 19 | 24 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 25 | 22 | 22 |
|  | Womer | 15 | 20 | 19 | 30 | 23 | 20 | 24 | 26 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 22 | 18 | 19 | 23 | 21 |

* Small sample size.

Notes:

1) Gender breakdown is based on assumption of equal sharing of resources within household.
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values.

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 5: At-risk-of-poverty threshold (illustrative values in PPS ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ), 2001

|  |  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK | EU15 ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single person | PPS ${ }^{1}$ | 9286 | 10197 | 9492 | 5443 | 6527 | 8765 | 7934 | 7044 | 14376 | 8309 | 9468 | 4967 | 7680 | 7679 | 8984 | 8253 |
| Two adults with two children | PPS ${ }^{1}$ | 19501 | 21413 | 19933 | 11431 | 13706 | 18407 | 16662 | 14793 | 30190 | 17449 | 19883 | 10431 | 16128 | 16126 | 18866 | 17332 |

## Notes:

1) PPS: Purchasing Power Standards
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values.

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 6: Income quintile ratio ( $\mathbf{S 8 0} / \mathbf{S 2 0}$ ), selected years (\%)

|  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1995^{1}$ | 4.5 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4 | 7.4 | 3 | 3.1 | 5.2 |
| 1997 | 4 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 4.4 | 5 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 3 | 3.1 | 4.7 |
| 1999 | 4.2 | 3 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 5.2 |
| 2001 | 4 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 4 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 4.9 |

Notes:

1) Finland: 1996, Sweden: 1997; EU15 average excludes Finland, Sweden.
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values.

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 7: Gini coefficient, selected years (\%)

|  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK | EU15 ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1995{ }^{1}$ | 29 | 22 | 29 | 35 | 34 | 29 | 33 | 33 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 37 | 22 | 22 | 32 | 31 |
| 1997 | 27 | 20 | 25 | 35 | 35 | 29 | 33 | 31 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 36 | 22 | 22 | 30 | 29 |
| 1999 | 29 | 22 | 25 | 34 | 33 | 29 | 32 | 30 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 36 | 24 | 23 | 32 | 29 |
| 2001 | 28 | 22 | 25 | 33 | 33 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 26 | 24 | 37 | 24 | 24 | 31 | 28 |

Notes:

1) Finland: 1996, Sweden: 1997; EU15 average excludes Finland, Sweden.
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values.

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 8: Dispersion around the at-risk-of-poverty threshold ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$, 2001 (\%)

|  | B | DK | D | EL | $\mathbf{E}$ | F | IRL | I | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{N L}$ | A | P | FIN | S | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \%$ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| $50 \%$ | 6 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 11 |
| $60 \%$ | 13 | 11 | 11 | 20 | 19 | 15 | 21 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 20 | 11 | 10 | 17 |
| $70 \%$ | 21 | 19 | 19 | 28 | 27 | 23 | 29 | 27 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 28 | 20 | 18 | 26 |

Notes:

1) At-risk-of-poverty rate using thresholds set at different percentages of median equivalised income
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values.

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 9: At-risk-of-poverty rate by household type, ${ }^{1} 2001$ (\%)


* Small sample size

Notes:

1) In this typology, children are defined as dependent children, that is, people aged 0 to 15 years or 16 to 24 years if inactive and living with at least one parent.
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values.

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 10: At-risk-of-poverty rate by accommodation tenure status, 2001 (\%)

|  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK | EU15 $^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owner- <br> occupied and | 10 | 6 | 7 | 21 | 18 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 8 | 6 | 12 | 12 |
| rent-free | 28 | 24 | 16 | 15 | 23 | 25 | 44 | 30 | 24 | 20 | 12 | 25 | 23 | 18 | 32 | 24 |
| Rented | 28 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Notes:

1) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.
Table 10bis: Distribution of the population at risk of poverty by accommodation tenure status, 2001 (\%)

|  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK | EU15 $^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owner- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| occupied and <br> rent-free | 60 | 45 | 37 | 93 | 90 | 53 | 66 | 73 | 50 | 37 | 72 | 72 | 56 | 41 | 55 | 58 |
| $\quad$ Rented | 40 | 55 | 63 | 7 | 10 | 47 | 34 | 27 | 50 | 63 | 28 | 28 | 44 | 59 | 45 | 42 |

## Notes:

1) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 11: At-risk-of-poverty rate anchored at one moment in time (1998), 1998-2001

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| $\mathbf{D K}$ | 14 | 13 | 11 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | 12 | 11 | 10 |  |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{E L}$ | 21 | 20 | 18 | 17 |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 18 | 16 | 12 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | 15 | 15 | 15 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{I R L}$ | 19 | 16 | 15 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | 18 | 17 | 15 | 15 |
| $\mathbf{L}$ | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{N L}$ | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 13 | 12 | 10 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | 21 | 19 | 17 | 16 |
| FIN | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | 10 | 9 | 10 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{U K}$ | 19 | 20 | 16 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{E U 1 5}$ | 15 | 15 | 13 | 12 |

## Notes:

1) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 12: At-risk-of-poverty rate after and before social cash transfers, by broad age group and gender ${ }^{1}$, 2001 (\%)

|  | After transfers |  |  |  |  | Before transfers excluding pensions |  |  |  |  | Before transfers including pensions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All age groups | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0-15 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 16 years and over |  |  | All age groups | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0-15 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 16 years and over |  |  | All age groups | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0-15 \\ \text { years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 16 years and over |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Men | Women |  |  | Total | Men | Women |  |  | Total | Men | Women |
| B | 13 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 23 | 26 | 22 | 20 | 25 | 38 | 26 | 41 | 36 | 45 |
| DK | 11 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 21 | 18 | 22 | 19 | 24 | 31 | 18 | 34 | 30 | 37 |
| D | 11 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 21 | 31 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 39 | 31 | 40 | 35 | 45 |
| EL | 20 | 18 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 24 | 39 | 24 | 42 | 38 | 44 |
| E | 19 | 26 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 30 | 22 | 21 | 24 | 37 | 33 | 38 | 35 | 40 |
| F | 15 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 24 | 32 | 22 | 21 | 23 | 40 | 32 | 42 | 40 | 45 |
| IRL | 21 | 26 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 30 | 36 | 28 | 26 | 31 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 34 | 38 |
| I | 19 | 25 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 27 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 42 | 30 | 44 | 41 | 46 |
| L | 12 | 18 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 23 | 36 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 40 | 38 | 41 | 38 | 44 |
| NL | 11 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 21 | 27 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 36 | 28 | 38 | 34 | 41 |
| A | 12 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 15 | 22 | 31 | 20 | 17 | 23 | 38 | 35 | 39 | 34 | 43 |
| P | 20 | 27 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 24 | 31 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 37 | 39 | 36 | 34 | 38 |
| FIN | 11 | 6 | 13 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 21 | 30 | 18 | 34 | 30 | 37 |
| S | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 27 | 39 | 24 | 21 | 27 | 43 | 40 | 44 | 40 | 48 |
| UK | 17 | 24 | 15 | 13 | 18 | 29 | 39 | 26 | 22 | 30 | 40 | 40 | 41 | 36 | 45 |
| EU15 ${ }^{2}$ | 15 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 24 | 31 | 22 | 20 | 24 | 39 | 32 | 41 | 37 | 44 |

Notes:

1) Gender breakdown is based on assumption of equal sharing of resources within household.
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 13: At-risk-of-poverty rate by gender ${ }^{1}$ and most frequent activity status, 2001 (\%)

|  |  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL ${ }^{2}$ | A | P | FIN | $S^{2}$ | UK | EU15 ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employed | Total | 4 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
|  | Men | 4 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
|  | Women | 4 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Of which: dependent employees | Total | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | : | 3 | 7 | 4 | : | 5 | 6 |
|  | Men | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 8 | : | 3 | 9 | 3 | : | 4 | 6 |
|  | Women | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 8 | : | 3 | 4 | 5 | : | 6 | 5 |
| Of which: <br> Self- <br> employed | Total | 10 | 6 | 5 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 16 | 18 | 2 | : | 24 | 28 | 17 | : | 14 | 16 |
|  | Men | 11 | 7 | 4 | 25 | 21 | 24 | 17 | 19 | 3 | : | 25 | 24 | 15 | : | 14 | 16 |
|  | Women | 8 | 5* | 6 | 26 | 20 | 26 | 12 | 13 | 0* | : | 23 | 32 | 20 | : | 15 | 16 |
| Non employed | Total | 22 | 27 | 16 | 28 | 24 | 22 | 35 | 24 | 13 | 13 | 19 | 27 | 21 | 18* | 28 | 22 |
|  | Men | 21 | 27 | 17 | 29 | 24 | 20 | 36 | 23 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 28 | 17 | 18* | 25 | 21 |
|  | Women | 22 | 27 | 16 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 35 | 25 | 13 | 13 | 21 | 27 | 23 | 18* | 29 | 23 |
| Of which : <br> Unemployed | Total | 32 | 13 | 34 | 39 | 37 | 30 | 54 | 51 | 48* | 23 | 23 | 38 | 21 | 26* | 49 | 38 |
|  | Men | 40 | 17* | 41 | 46 | 45 | 34 | 61 | 54 | 54* | 18 | 22* | 49 | 26 | 36* | 59 | 44 |
|  | Women | 27 | 10 | 26 | 32 | 30 | 26 | 34* | 46 | : | 24 | 23* | 30 | 17 | 15* | 34 | 30 |
| Of which: <br> Retired | Total | 21 | 26 | 13 | 32 | 18 | 17 | 39 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 16 | 25 | 20 | 9 | 24 | 17 |
|  | Men | 22 | 23 | 12 | 29 | 22 | 16 | 35 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 13 | 25 | 11 | 5 | 20 | 16 |
|  | Women | 20 | 27 | 14 | 35 | 10 | 18 | 52 | 13 | 8 | 0* | 19 | 26 | 26 | 11 | 27 | 17 |
| Of which: <br> Other inactive | Total | 21 | 32 | 18 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 33 | 28 | 16 | 12 | 22 | 28 | 22 | : | 30 | 25 |
|  | Men | 13 | 37 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 29 | 24 | 23 | 14 | 20 | 29 | 25 | : | 27 | 23 |
|  | Women | 24 | 30 | 18 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 34 | 29 | 14 | 11 | 22 | 27 | 20 | : | 30 | 25 |

Notes:

1) Gender breakdown is based on assumption of equal sharing of resources within household.
2) For the Netherlands and Sweden, the data are compiled on the basis of the current activity status, since there is no calendar of activities in the national questionnaire. The variable "number of months worked", therefore, cannot be filled in.
3) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 13bis: Distribution of the adult population (aged 16 years and over) at risk of poverty by gender ${ }^{1}$ and most frequent activity status, 2001 (\%)

|  |  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL ${ }^{2}$ | A | P | FIN | $\mathbf{S}^{2}$ | UK | EU15 ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employed | Total | 15 | 20 | 24 | 27 | 24 | 29 | 19 | 25 | 41 | 46 | 27 | 40 | 25 | 39 | 24 | 26 |
|  | Men | 8 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 19 | 25 | 29 | 16 | 24 | 12 | 22 | 12 | 16 |
|  | Women | 7 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 18 | 12 | 16 | 13 | 17 | 12 | 11 |
| Of which: dependent employees | Total | 11 | 17 | 21 | 6 | 13 | 20 | 13 | 13 | 41 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 16 |
|  | Men | 5 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 24 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
|  | Women | 6 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 7 |
| Of which: <br> Self- <br> employed | Total | 5 | 2 | 3 | 21 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 23 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 8 |
|  | Men | 3 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
|  | Women | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Non employed | Total | 84 | 80 | 75 | 73 | 76 | 71 | 81 | 76 | 59 | 54 | 73 | 60 | 75 | 61 | 76 | 74 |
|  | Men | 32 | 31 | 30 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 28 | 26 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 26 |
|  | Women | 54 | 50 | 46 | 47 | 49 | 45 | 52 | 50 | 38 | 36 | 53 | 37 | 50 | 35 | 51 | 47 |
| Of which : <br> Unemployed | Total | 11 | 2 | 14 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 11 |
|  | Men | 6 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
|  | Women | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Of which: <br> Retired | Total | 37 | 50 | 36 | 34 | 12 | 29 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 1 | 28 | 24 | 41 | 21 | 33 | 27 |
|  | Men | 20 | 18 | 14 | 18 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 12 |
|  | Women | 18 | 33 | 22 | 16 | 2 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 32 | 15 | 21 | 15 |
| Of which: <br> Other <br> inactive | Total | 36 | 28 | 25 | 32 | 52 | 34 | 56 | 42 | 40 | 43 | 42 | 30 | 25 | 29 | 37 | 36 |
|  | Men | 6 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 8 |
|  | Women | 30 | 16 | 19 | 28 | 42 | 25 | 45 | 35 | 29 | 27 | 36 | 20 | 14 | 17 | 28 | 27 |

Notes:

1) Gender breakdown is based on assumption of equal sharing of resources within household.
2) For the Netherlands and Sweden, the data are compiled on the basis of the current activity status, since there is no calendar of activities in the national questionnaire. The variable "number of months worked", therefore, cannot be filled in.
3) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 14: In- work poverty risk by main characteristics of the employed population

|  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL ${ }^{1}$ | A | P | FIN | $\mathbf{S}^{1}$ | UK | EU15 ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 4 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Dependent employees | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | : | 3 | 7 | 4 | : | 5 | 6 |
| Self-employed workers | 10 | 6 | 5 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 16 | 18 | 2 | : | 24 | 28 | 17 | : | 14 | 16 |
|  | Personal characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 4 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
| Women | 4 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| By age: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 to 24 years | 8 | 18 | 10 | 13 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 9 | 16 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 15 | : | 11 | 10 |
| 25 to 54 years | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| 55 years and over | 5 | 1 | 5 | 21 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 21 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 9 |
| By level of education ${ }^{2}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 6 | 4 | 9 | 23 | 16 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 8* | 10 | 12 |
| Medium | 5 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | : | 5 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| High | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Household characteristics ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Living alone, no children | 9 | 15 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 19 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 8 |
| - Living alone, 1 or more children | 11 | 7 | 31 | 3* | 34 | 15 | 9* | 17 | 22 | 22 | 11 | 25 | 5 | 10 | 28 | 22 |
| - Living with other adults not at work, no children | 8 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 9 |
| - Living with other adults not at work, 1 or more children | 14 | 8 | 9 | 20 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 32 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 35 | 8 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| - Living with other adults, some or a | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| $\underline{\text { - Living with other adults, some or a }}$ | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 5 |

By number of months worked ${ }^{4}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than full year | 8 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 19 | 12 | $:$ | 4 | 13 | 11 | $:$ | 13 | 12 |
| $\quad$ Full year | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 8 | $:$ | 3 | 7 | 3 | $:$ | 5 | 5 |
| By number of weekly hours worked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad<=30$ hours | 7 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 11 | 6 | 12 | 9 | $:$ | $:$ | 3 | 20 | 18 | $:$ | 11 | 10 |
| $>30$ hours | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 8 | $:$ | 3 | 6 | 3 | $:$ | 3 | 5 |
| By type of contract $^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Permanent contract | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 8 | $:$ | 3 | 6 | 3 | $:$ | 4 | 4 |
| $\quad$ Temporary contract | 7 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 7 | $:$ | 3 | 12 | 8 | $:$ | 8 | 10 |

Notes:

1) For the Netherlands and Sweden, the data are compiled on the basis of the current activity status, since there is no calendar of activities in the national questionnaire. The variable "number of months worked", therefore, cannot be filled in.
2) Low $=$ ISCED 0-2; Medium=ISCED 3; High= ISCED 4 and more.
3) Work for the other members of the household is defined in the same way as for the observation unit: that is, are considered workers only those who declare to have worked for more than half the total number of months for which they have been able to proved infirmation on their activity status in the calendar year - i.e., normally, for at least 7 out of 12 months. Children are defined as dependent children, that is, individuals aged 0-15 and 16-24 if still inactive and living with at least one parent.
4) "Full year" corresponds to work over the total number of months for which information on the activity status has been provided. "Less than full year" corresponds to work for more than half, but less than all, the number of months for which information on activity status is provided.
5) "Temporary contract" includes "fixed-term or short-term contracts", "casual work with no contract" and "some other working arragement".
Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version November 2003.

Table 15: Dispersion of regional employment rates ${ }^{1}$, selected years (\%)

|  | $\mathbf{B}$ | DK $^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E L}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | IRL $^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{N L}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | P | FIN | S | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 7.8 | $:$ | 5.6 | 7.3 | 10.5 | 7 | $:$ | 17.4 | $:$ | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 7.4 | 4.5 | 7.4 |
| 1998 | 7.6 | $:$ | 5.4 | 5.6 | 11.7 | 7.2 | $:$ | 16.6 | $:$ | 3 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 7.5 |
| 1999 | 8.0 | $:$ | 5.5 | 5.2 | 10.7 | 7.1 | $:$ | 17.1 | $:$ | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 7.1 |
| 2000 | 7.9 | $:$ | 5.7 | 5.1 | 10.5 | 6.9 | $:$ | 17.5 | $:$ | 2.2 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 8.0 | 4.9 | 7.0 |
| 2001 | 8 | $:$ | 6.1 | 4.6 | 9.9 | 6.4 | $:$ | 17.1 | $:$ | 2.3 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 8.2 | 4.2 | 6.8 |
| 2002 | 8.0 | $:$ | 5.9 | 4.2 | 9.2 | 6.2 | $:$ | 16.6 | $:$ | 2.2 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 6.6 |

Notes:

1) Coefficient of variation of employment rates across regions at NUTS2 level.
2) Not applicable for Denmark, Ireland and Luxembourg as NUTS2 corresponds to the national level.
3) EU average is calculated as the coefficient of variation across all NUTS2 regions in the EU, including Denmark, Ireland and Luxembourg.
Source: Eurostat, EU Labour Force Survey - annual averages. Spring data for 1996 and 1998.

Table 16: People living in jobless households: children and working-age women and men, selected years (\%)

|  |  | Children (0-17 <br> years) | Working-age adults (18-59years) |  |  |  |  | Children <br> (0-17 <br> years) | Working-age adults (18-59 years) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | W | M |  |  | Total |  | W | M |
| $\overline{\mathbf{B}^{2}}$ | 1995 |  | 12.3 | 14.1 | 16.2 | 12.1 | L | 1995 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 5 |
|  | 1999 | 11.3 | 13 | 14.8 | 11.2 |  | 1999 | 4 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 5.1 |
|  | 2002 | 13.8 | 14.2 | 16.6 | 11.9 |  | 2002 | 2.8 | 6.3 | 7 | 5.6 |
|  | 2003 | : | : | : | : |  | 2003 | : | : | : | : |
| $\text { DK }^{1}$ | 1995 | : | : | : | : | NL | 1995 | 9.7 | 11 | 12.5 | 9.5 |
|  | 1999 | : | : | : | : |  | 1999 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 9.4 | 6.3 |
|  | 2002 | : | : | : | : |  | 2002 | 6 | 6.7 | 8.1 | 5.3 |
|  | 2003 | : | : | : | : |  | 2003 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 9.5 | 6.9 |
| D | 1995 | 8.3 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 9.5 | A | 1995 | 3.7 | 7 | 8.4 | 5.6 |
|  | 1999 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 11.4 | 9.5 |  | 1999 | 4.2 | 8.2 | 9.8 | 6.5 |
|  | 2002 | 9.3 | 10 | 10.7 | 9.4 |  | 2002 | 4.4 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 6.3 |
|  | 2003 | . | : | : | : |  | 2003 | : |  | : | : |
| $\mathbf{E L}^{2}$ | 1995 | 6 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 7.5 | $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ | 1995 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 5 |
|  | 1999 | 5.3 | 9.9 | 12.4 | 7.2 |  | 1999 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4 |
|  | 2002 | 5.1 | 9.3 | 11.7 | 6.8 |  | 2002 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 3.7 |
|  | 2003 | 4.5 | 9 | 11.4 | 6.4 |  | 2003 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 6 | 4.6 |
| E | 1995 | 11.5 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 11.9 | FIN ${ }^{1}$ | 1995 | : | : | : | : |
|  | 1999 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 9.3 | 7.6 |  | 1999 | : | : | : | : |
|  | 2002 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 6.5 |  | 2002 | : | : | : | : |
|  | 2003 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 6.5 |  | 2003 | : | : | : | : |
| $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1995 | 9.2 | 11 | 12.1 | 9.9 | $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ | 1995 | : | : | : | : |
|  | 1999 | 9.9 | 11.3 | 12.5 | 10.1 |  | 1999 | : | : | : | : |
|  | 2002 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 9.1 |  | 2002 | : | : | : | : |
|  | 2003 | 9.2 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 9.3 |  | 2003 | : | : | : | : |
| IRL | 1995 | 17 | 13.5 | 14.6 | 12.5 | UK | 1995 | 20.4 | 13.7 | 15.7 | 11.8 |
|  | 1999 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 11 | 8.5 |  | 1999 | 18.4 | 11.7 | 13.9 | 9.5 |
|  | 2002 | 10.8 | 8.5 | 9.8 | 7.3 |  | 2002 | 17.4 | 11.2 | 13.3 | 9.1 |
|  | 2003 | . | : | : | : |  | 2003 | 17 | 10.9 | 12.9 | 8.9 |
| I | 1995 | 8.4 | 12.5 | 14.6 | 10.4 | EU15 ${ }^{3}$ | 1995 | 11 | 11.6 | 13 | 10.2 |
|  | 1999 | 8.3 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 9.8 |  | 1999 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 11.9 | 9 |
|  | 2002 | 7.2 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 8.6 |  | 2002 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 11 | 8.4 |
|  | 2003 | 7 | 9.7 | 11.3 | 8.2 |  | 2003 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 8.4 |

Notes:

1) No household-based data are available from the Labour Force Surveys in Denmark, Finland and Sweden
2) Break in the series: changes in the sample design or definition: $\mathrm{P}(1999), \mathrm{B}(1999)$, $\mathrm{GR}(2003), \mathrm{F}(2003)$
3) Excluding DK, FIN and S. For the other missing countries, the closest available year is used to estimate EU data.
Source: Eurostat, LFS, Spring data. 2003: provisional data

Table 17: Long-term unemployment rate by gender, selected years (\%)

|  |  | Total | Women | Men |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | 1990 | 4.3 | 6.9 | 2.5 |
|  | 1995 | 5.8 | 7.7 | 4.5 |
|  | 1998 | 5.5 | 7 | 4.5 |
|  | 2001 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3 |
|  | 2002 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 3.1 |
| DK | 1990 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.8 |
|  | 1995 | 2 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
|  | 1998 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
|  | 2001 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.7 |
|  | 2002 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| D | 1990 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 3.2 |
|  | 1998 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.2 |
|  | 2001 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.7 |
|  | 2002 | 4 | 4.1 | 3.9 |
| EL | 1990 | 3 | 5.9 | 1.4 |
|  | 1995 | 4.7 | 8.1 | 2.5 |
|  | 1998 | 5.8 | 9.9 | 3.1 |
|  | 2001 | 5.4 | 8.6 | 3.1 |
|  | 2002 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 1990 | 7.9 | 15.2 | 4 |
|  | 1995 | 10.5 | 16.8 | 6.6 |
|  | 1998 | 7.6 | 12.4 | 4.8 |
|  | 2001 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 2.3 |
|  | 2002 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 2.3 |
| F | 1990 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 2.4 |
|  | 1995 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 3.6 |
|  | 1998 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 3.9 |
|  | 2001 | 3 | 3.7 | 2.4 |
|  | 2002 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| IRL | 1990 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 9.2 |
|  | 1995 | 8 | 7.3 | 8.5 |
|  | 1998 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 4.6 |
|  | 2001 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
|  | 2002 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.7 |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | 1990 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | 7.3 | 10.5 | 5.4 |
|  | 1998 | 7 | 9.5 | 5.4 |
|  | 2001 | 5.8 | 8 | 4.5 |
|  | 2002 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 4.1 |


| L |  | Total | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
|  | 1995 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.6 |
|  | 1998 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
|  | 2001 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
|  | 2002 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.6 |
| NL | 1990 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.2 |
|  | 1995 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.9 |
|  | 1998 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
|  | 2001 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
|  | 2002 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| A | 1990 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
|  | 1998 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1 |
|  | 2001 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
|  | 2002 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ | 1990 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2 |
|  | 1995 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
|  | 1998 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.9 |
|  | 2001 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
|  | 2002 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.4 |
| $\overline{\text { FIN }}$ | 1990 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1998 | 4.1 | 4 | 4.3 |
|  | 2001 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
|  | 2002 | 2.3 | 2 | 2.5 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ | 1990 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 |
|  | 1995 | 2.3 | 1 | 3.6 |
|  | 1998 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
|  | 2001 | 1 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
|  | 2002 | 1 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| UK | 1990 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.8 |
|  | 1995 | 3.6 | 2 | 4.8 |
|  | 1998 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.5 |
|  | 2001 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 |
|  | 2002 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| EU15 | 1990 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 4.2 |
|  | 1998 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 3.7 |
|  | 2001 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 2.7 |
|  | 2002 | 3 | 3.6 | 2.6 |

Source: : Eurostat, Annual average estimates based on LFS.

Table 18: Long-term unemployment share by gender, selected years (\%)

|  |  | Total | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 1990 | 64.7 | 66 | 62.3 |
|  | 1995 | 60.1 | 61 | 58.9 |
|  | 1998 | 59.6 | 60.8 | 58.2 |
|  | 2001 | 48.4 | 47.1 | 49.6 |
|  | 2002 | 48.6 | 49.9 | 47.3 |
| DK | 1990 | 30.1 | 33.6 | 26.7 |
|  | 1995 | 29.3 | 27.7 | 31.3 |
|  | 1998 | 26.6 | 29.3 | 23.4 |
|  | 2001 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 18.6 |
|  | 2002 | 19 | 20.7 | 17.3 |
| D | 1990 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | 48.2 | 51.1 | 45.3 |
|  | 1998 | 51.3 | 54.2 | 48.8 |
|  | 2001 | 49.1 | 51.7 | 47.1 |
|  | 2002 | 46.7 | 49.3 | 44.8 |
| EL | 1990 | 47.4 | 54.2 | 36.8 |
|  | 1995 | 50.7 | 57.6 | 40.9 |
|  | 1998 | 53.2 | 59.4 | 43.6 |
|  | 2001 | 51.6 | 55.7 | 45.5 |
|  | 2002 | 51.2 | 55 | 45.3 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$ | 1990 | 60.5 | 76.8 | 41.2 |
|  | 1995 | 55.9 | 66.4 | 44.5 |
|  | 1998 | 50.2 | 56.8 | 42.6 |
|  | 2001 | 36.7 | 41 | 31 |
|  | 2002 | 34.2 | 38.2 | 28.8 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{F}}$ | 1990 | 39.3 | 41.6 | 36.4 |
|  | 1995 | 39.4 | 40.6 | 38 |
|  | 1998 | 40.6 | 41.1 | 40 |
|  | 2001 | 35.3 | 35.9 | 34.5 |
|  | 2002 | 31.3 | 33.4 | 29.1 |
| IRL | 1990 | 67.6 | 60.6 | 71.6 |
|  | 1995 | 65.3 | 58.7 | 69.4 |
|  | 1998 | 52.1 | 38.8 | 60.4 |
|  | 2001 | 32 | 19.9 | 40.1 |
|  | 2002 | 29.8 | 18.5 | 36.9 |
| I | 1990 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | 63.4 | 65 | 61.7 |
|  | 1998 | 59.4 | 59.3 | 59.6 |
|  | 2001 | 61.6 | 62 | 61.2 |
|  | 2002 | 59.1 | 59.4 | 58.8 |


| L |  | Total | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 33.1 | 27 | 39.4 |
|  | 1995 | 24.6 | 22.1 | 27.6 |
|  | 1998 | 31.6 | 27 | 37.8 |
|  | 2001 | 27.8 | 24.1 | 31.5 |
|  | 2002 | 27.4 | 26.7 | 28.3 |
| NL | 1990 | 45.8 | 40.3 | 52.5 |
|  | 1995 | 47.1 | 41 | 53.6 |
|  | 1998 | 40 | 36.6 | 44.4 |
|  | 2001 | 25.8 | 26.5 | 25.2 |
|  | 2002 | 25.7 | 26.8 | 24.6 |
| A | 1990 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | 26.8 | 29.9 | 24 |
|  | 1998 | 29.8 | 33.4 | 27 |
|  | 2001 | 23.6 | 25.8 | 22 |
|  | 2002 | 18.7 | 23.6 | 15.3 |
| P | 1990 | 47.7 | 39.9 | 59.6 |
|  | 1995 | 45.2 | 41.1 | 50.6 |
|  | 1998 | 43.8 | 42.6 | 45.2 |
|  | 2001 | 37.7 | 37.9 | 37.3 |
|  | 2002 | 34.4 | 35.4 | 33.2 |
| FIN | 1990 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1998 | 36.4 | 33 | 39.6 |
|  | 2001 | 27.2 | 23.6 | 30.8 |
|  | 2002 | 24.7 | 21.6 | 27.7 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ | 1990 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 9.3 |
|  | 1995 | 25.9 | 12.7 | 36.6 |
|  | 1998 | 31.4 | 22.3 | 38.4 |
|  | 2001 | 20.9 | 18.4 | 22.8 |
|  | 2002 | 19.9 | 16.9 | 22.2 |
| UK | 1990 | 32.4 | 22.1 | 39.4 |
|  | 1995 | 41.9 | 30.2 | 48.1 |
|  | 1998 | 30.3 | 21.7 | 35.7 |
|  | 2001 | 25.3 | 17.3 | 30.3 |
|  | 2002 | 21.9 | 16.1 | 25.6 |
| EU15 | 1990 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | 49 | 50.8 | 47 |
|  | 1998 | 47 | 48.4 | 45.6 |
|  | 2001 | 42.5 | 43.6 | 41.4 |
|  | 2002 | 39.3 | 41.1 | 37.7 |

Source: : Eurostat, Annual average estimates based on LFS.

Table 19: Very long-term unemployment rate by gender, selected years (\%)

|  |  | Total | Men | Women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | 1995 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 5.1 |
|  | 1998 | 4 | 3.3 | 4.9 |
|  | 2001 | 2.2 | 2 | 2.5 |
|  | 2002 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.8 |
| DK | 1995 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1 |
|  | 1998 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
|  | 2001 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  | 2002 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | 1995 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.9 |
|  | 1998 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
|  | 2001 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
|  | 2002 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| $\mathbf{E L}$ | 1995 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 4.7 |
|  | 1998 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 6.5 |
|  | 2001 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 5.1 |
|  | 2002 | 3 | 1.7 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 1995 | 7 | 4.3 | 11.5 |
|  | 1998 | 5.1 | 3 | 8.6 |
|  | 2001 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 3.9 |
|  | 2002 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 3.7 |
| F | 1995 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 |
|  | 1998 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
|  | 2001 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
|  | 2002 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| IRL | 1995 | 5.2 | 6 | 3.8 |
|  | 1998 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 1.7 |
|  | 2001 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.4 |
|  | 2002 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.3 |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | 1995 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 7 |
|  | 1998 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 6.5 |
|  | 2001 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 5.9 |
|  | 2002 | 3.8 | 3 | 5.2 |


| L |  | Total | Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Women } \\ \hline 0.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1998 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|  | 2001 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  | 2002 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| NL | 1995 | 2.1 | 2 | 2.1 |
|  | 1998 | 1 | 1 | 1.1 |
|  | 2001 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|  | 2002 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| A | 1995 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
|  | 1998 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
|  | 2001 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
|  | 2002 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ | 1995 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
|  | 1998 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
|  | 2001 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
|  | 2002 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| $\overline{\text { FIN }}$ | 1995 | : | : | : |
|  | 1998 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
|  | 2001 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
|  | 2002 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| S | 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK | 1995 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
|  | 1998 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.6 |
|  | 2001 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.4 |
|  | 2002 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| EU15 | 1995 | 3 | 2.6 | 3.7 |
|  | 1998 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.4 |
|  | 2001 | 2 | 1.7 | 2.4 |
|  | 2002 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 |

Source: : Eurostat, Annual average estimates based on LF $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$.

Table 20: Early school leavers not in education or training, by gender, selected years (\%)

|  |  | Total | Women | Men |  |  | Total | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}^{1}$ | 1995 | 15.1 | 13.5 | 16.6 | $L^{1}$ | 1995 | 33.4 | 33.9 | 32.9 |
|  | 1999 | 15.2 | 12.7 | 17.7 |  | 1999 | 19.1 | 19.4 | 18.9 |
|  | 2002 | 12.4 | 9.9 | 14.9 |  | 2002 | 17.0 | 19.6 | 14.4 |
|  | 2003 | : | : | : |  | 2003 | : | : | : |
| $\overline{\mathrm{DK}^{1}}$ | 1995 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 5.2 | NL | 1995 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1999 | 11.5 | 9.1 | 14.2 |  | 1999 | 16.2 | 14.9 | 17.5 |
|  | 2002 | 15.4 | 17.0 | 13.8 |  | 2002 | 15.0 | 14.3 | 15.7 |
|  | 2003 | 10.0 | 9.6 | 10.3 |  | 2003 | : | : | : |
| $\overline{\text { D }}$ | 1995 | : | : | : | A | 1995 | 13.6 | 17.3 | 9.9 |
|  | 1999 | 14.9 | 15.6 | 14.2 |  | 1999 | 10.7 | 11.9 | 9.6 |
|  | 2002 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 |  | 2002 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 8.8 |
|  | 2003 | : | : | : |  | 2003 | : | : | : |
| $\overline{\mathbf{E L}^{1}}$ | 1995 | 22.4 | 18.8 | 26.6 | $\overline{\mathbf{P}^{1}}$ | 1995 | 41.4 | 35.5 | 47.1 |
|  | 1999 | 17.8 | 14.8 | 21.2 |  | 1999 | 44.8 | 38.8 | 50.7 |
|  | 2002 | 16.1 | 12.3 | 20.1 |  | 2002 | 45.5 | 38.1 | 52.9 |
|  | 2003 | 15.3 | 11.0 | 19.6 |  | 2003 | 41.1 | 33.8 | 48.3 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$ | 1995 | 33.8 | 29.2 | 38.4 | FIN | 1995 | : | : | : |
|  | 1999 | 29.5 | 23.6 | 35.4 |  | 1999 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 12.0 |
|  | 2002 | 29.0 | 22.3 | 35.4 |  | 2002 | 9.9 | 7.3 | 12.6 |
|  | 2003 | 29.8 | 23.4 | 36.1 |  | 2003 | : | : | : |
| $\overline{F^{1}}$ | 1995 | 15.4 | 14.2 | 16.7 | $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ | 1995 | : | : | . |
|  | 1999 | 14.7 | 13.4 | 16.0 |  | 1999 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.7 |
|  | 2002 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 14.9 |  | 2002 | 10.4 | 9.3 | 11.4 |
|  | 2003 | 13.8 | 12.1 | 15.5 |  | 2003 | : | : | : |
| $\overline{\text { IRL }^{1}}$ | 1995 | 21.4 | 17.1 | 25.7 | $\mathbf{U K}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1995 | : | : | : |
|  | 1999 | 14.7 | 10.8 | 18.5 |  | 1999 | : | : | : |
|  | 2002 | 14.7 | 10.8 | 18.5 |  | 2002 | : | : | : |
|  | 2003 | 12.1 | 9.2 | 14.9 |  | 2003 | : | : | : |
| I | 1995 | 32.4 | 29.1 | 35.8 | EU15 ${ }^{3}$ | 1995 | : | : | : |
|  | 1999 | 27.2 | 24.2 | 30.3 |  | 1999 | 20.6 | 18.3 | 23.0 |
|  | 2002 | 24.3 | 20.7 | 27.9 |  | 2002 | 18.8 | 16.2 | 21.4 |
|  | 2003 | 24.6 | 21.3 | 27.9 |  | 2003 | 18.5 | 16.0 | 21.0 |

Notes:

1) Break in the series: changes in the sample design or definition: $\mathrm{B}(1999)$, $\operatorname{IRL}(1999), \mathrm{L}(1999), \mathrm{P}(1999,2002)$, S(2002), DK(2003), GR(2003), F(2003)
2) Agreement has not yet been reached with the UK on the definition of upper secondary attainment. Comparable data are therefore not currently available for this country.
3) Excluding UK. For the other missing countries, the closest available year is used to estimate EU data Source: Eurostat, LFS, Spring data. 2003: provisional data

Table 21: Persons with low educational attainment by age and gender, 2003 (\%)

| Age |  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK | EU15 ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25-34$ <br> years | M | 26.7 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 27.5 | 43.8 | 21.5 | 24.2 | 41.1 | 32.3 | 24.3 | 11.7 | 68.4 | 15.7 | 8.9 |  | 28.4 |
|  | W | 20.9 | 12.6 | 16.7 | 21.3 | 36.3 | 19.5 | 18.1 | 35.6 | 30.8 | 21.0 | 18.7 | 58.3 | 8.9 | 8.0 |  | 25.6 |
|  | T | 23.9 | 13.5 | 15.1 | 24.5 | 40.1 | 20.5 | 21.2 | 38.4 | 31.6 | 22.7 | 15.2 | 63.4 | 12.4 | 8.5 | : | 27.0 |
| 35-44 <br> years | M | 35.4 | 18.0 | 12.0 | 36.2 | 53.0 | 30.1 | 36.5 | 50.3 | 31.7 | 26.8 | 12.5 | 81.4 | 17.9 | 15.1 |  | 32.3 |
|  | W | 34.0 | 15.6 | 17.0 | 37.7 | 51.4 | 33.1 | 29.4 | 47.1 | 39.6 | 29.4 | 22.7 | 75.8 | 13.2 | 10.6 |  | 33.6 |
|  | T | 34.7 | 16.8 | 14.4 | 37.0 | 52.2 | 31.6 | 32.9 | 48.7 | 35.6 | 28.1 | 17.5 | 78.5 | 15.6 | 12.9 | : | 33.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} 45-54 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | M | 44.7 | 14.9 | 11.6 | 49.6 | 63.7 | 37.3 | 50.6 | 54.8 | 35.8 | 31.1 | 18.3 | 83.9 | 30.5 | 24.5 |  | 37.6 |
|  | W | 47.4 | 18.1 | 20.5 | 56.0 | 70.7 | 45.6 | 46.1 | 59.8 | 47.9 | 43.3 | 33.4 | 84.1 | 25.9 | 17.6 | : | 44.5 |
|  | T | 46.1 | 16.5 | 16.1 | 52.9 | 67.2 | 41.5 | 48.3 | 57.3 | 41.7 | 37.2 | 25.8 | 84.0 | 28.2 | 21.1 | : | 41.1 |
| 55-64 <br> years | M | 55.5 | 21.1 | 14.5 | 64.3 | 76.4 | 48.0 | 63.4 | 68.9 | 37.8 | 35.5 | 24.3 | 89.0 | 46.7 | 34.8 |  | 45.6 |
|  | W | 63.1 | 31.4 | 32.0 | 75.1 | 85.7 | 58.5 | 61.1 | 77.9 | 60.8 | 56.5 | 42.5 | 92.0 | 47.6 | 31.6 |  | 58.0 |
|  | T | 59.4 | 26.2 | 23.2 | 69.8 | 81.2 | 53.3 | 62.2 | 73.6 | 49.2 | 45.9 | 33.6 | 90.6 | 47.2 | 33.2 | : | 51.9 |
| 65 years and over | M | 69.5 | 48.2 | 18.8 | 79.3 | 87.3 | 70.1 | 77.8 | 83.5 | 51.9 | 48.8 | 35.9 | 94.2 | 67.5 | 48.1 |  | 61.3 |
|  | W | 79.0 | 66.1 | 53.7 | 89.1 | 94.7 | 80.4 | 77.7 | 90.2 | 81.0 | 71.2 | 62.2 | 96.2 | 75.2 | 47.6 | : | 76.8 |
|  | T | 75.1 | 58.5 | 39.4 | 84.7 | 91.6 | 76.2 | 77.7 | 87.5 | 68.8 | 61.7 | 51.9 | 95.4 | 72.2 | 47.8 | . | 70.4 |

Notes:

1) Excluding UK. 2002 data for B, D, L, NL, A, S and FIN. EU15 data based on 2002 data for these countries Source: Eurostat, LFS, Spring data. Provisional data

Table 22: Life expectancy at birth by gender, 1990 and 2000 (\%)

|  |  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK | EU15 ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | Total ${ }^{1}$ | 76 | 74.8 | 75.1 | 77 | 76.7 | 76.8 | 74.8 | 76.8 | 75.3 | 77.3 | 75.6 | 73.8 | 74.8 | 77.5 | 75.6 | 76 |
|  | M | 72.7 | 72 | 72 | 74.6 | 73.3 | 72.8 | 72.1 | 73.6 | 72.3 | 73.8 | 72.4 | 70.4 | 70.9 | 74.8 | 72.9 | 72.8 |
|  | W | 79.4 | 77.7 | 78.4 | 79.5 | 80.3 | 80.9 | 77.6 | 80.1 | 78.5 | 80.9 | 78.9 | 77.4 | 78.9 | 80.4 | 78.5 | 79.4 |
| 2000 | Total ${ }^{1}$ | 77.6 | 76.8 | 78.1 | 78 | 79.2 | 78.9 | 76.8 | 79.3 | 78 | 77.9 | 78.2 | 76.7 | 77.5 | 79.6 | 77.9 | 78.4 |
|  | M | 74.6 | 74.5 | 75 | 75.5 | 75.7 | 75.2 | 74.3 | 76.3 | 74.8 | 75.5 | 75.4 | 73.2 | 74.2 | 77.4 | 75.5 | 75.3 |
|  | W | 80.8 | 79.3 | 81 | 80.6 | 82.5 | 82.7 | 79.2 | 82.4 | 81.1 | 80.5 | 81.2 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 80.2 | 81.4 |

Notes:

1) Estimations by Eurostat.

Source: Eurostat, Demography statistics.

Table 23: Self defined health status by income quintile ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}, 2000(\%)$

|  | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK | EU15 ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1 (low) | 9 | 11 | 24 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 5 | 14 | $:$ | 9 | 13 | 31 | 12 | 9 | 15 | 16 |
| Q5 (high) | 2 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 7 | $:$ | 3 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 7 |

Notes:

1) Proportion of the population aged 16 years and over in the bottom (Q1) and top (Q5) quintile of the income distribution who classify themselves as in a bad or very bad state of health.
2) The EU-15 average is calculated as a population-weighted average of the available national values.

Source: Eurostat, ECHP UDB version December 2002.

# Context information: population 

|  | EU-15 | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population (1000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1.1960 | 314826 | 9129 | 4565 | 72543 | 8300 | 30327 | 45465 | 2836 | 50026 | 313 | 11417 | 7030 | 8826 | 4413 | 7471 | 52164 |
| 1.1.1980 | 354572 | 9855 | 5122 | 78180 | 9588 | 37242 | 53731 | 3393 | 56388 | 363 | 14091 | 7546 | 9714 | 4771 | 8303 | 56285 |
| 1.1.2000 | 375974 | 10239 | 5330 | 82163 | 10543 | 39442 | 58744 | 3777 | 57680 | 436 | 15864 | 8103 | 9998 | 5171 | 8861 | 59623 |
| 1.1.2001, revised estimate | 377988 | 10263 | 5349 | 82260 | 10565 | 40122 | 59040 | 3826 | 57844 | 441 | 15987 | 8121 | 10243 | 5181 | 8883 | 59863 |
| 1.1.2002, first esimate 2010, baseline scenario, | 379449 | 10292 | 5367 | 82360 | 10596 | 40428 | 59344 | 3874 | 58018 | 447 | 16101 | 8140 | 10303 | 5195 | 8910 | 60075 |
| revision 1999 <br> 2015, baseline scenario, | 383397 | 10352 | 5476 | 83435 | 10768 | 39857 | 61369 | 4141 | 57277 | 471 | 16690 | 8149 | 10309 | 5267 | 8951 | 60885 |
| revision 1999 2020, baselıne scenarıo, | 385186 | 10419 | 5514 | 83477 | 10817 | 39824 | 62192 | 4295 | 56761 | 485 | 16993 | 8163 | 10437 | 5295 | 9017 | 61495 |
| revision 1999 2050, baseline scenario, | 385984 | 10483 | 5554 | 83295 | 10806 | 39528 | 62840 | 4427 | 55985 | 500 | 17270 | 8170 | 10526 | 5314 | 9115 | 62173 |
| revision 1999 | 364485 | 10104 | 5555 | 76006 | 10231 | 35145 | 62153 | 4757 | 48072 | 559 | 17679 | 7612 | 10669 | 4951 | 9197 | 61793 |

Population growth rates (per 1000 population), 2000

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total increase | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 5.0 | 11.4 | 2.8 | 12.8 | 7.5 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
| Natural increase | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | -0.9 | -0.2 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 6.1 | -0.3 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | -0.3 | 1.2 |
| Net migration | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 2.3 |

The increase in total population is made up of the natural increase (live births less deaths) and net migration. Net migration is estimated on the basis of the difference between population change and natural increase (corrected net migration).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population structure (percentage of total), 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $0-19$ | 23.0 | 23.6 | 23.7 | 21.3 | 21.8 | 21.7 | 25.6 | 30.8 | 19.8 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 22.8 | 23.5 | 24.7 | 24.2 | 25.3 |
| $20-59$ | 55.4 | 54.5 | 56.6 | 55.7 | 55.1 | 56.7 | 53.9 | 54.1 | 56.3 | 56.5 | 57.5 | 56.8 | 55.9 | 55.5 | 53.6 | 54.3 |
| $60-79$ | 18.0 | 18.4 | 15.8 | 19.4 | 19.6 | 17.9 | 16.9 | 12.6 | 20.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 16.9 | 17.8 | 16.5 | 17.2 | 16.5 |
| 80 and over | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 4.0 |

Source: Eurostat - Demographic statistics.


DK: 2000, S: 1990 and 2000. DK, IRL (2001), FIN, S: data from national sources. Source: Eurostat - Censuses of Population (1981/82). European Union Labour Force

| Population living in private households by household type, 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1 adult without dependent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| children | 12 | 12 | 17 | 16 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 12 | 5 | 17 | 20 | 13 |
| ... aged under 30 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| ... aged 30-64 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 6 |
| ... aged 65 or more | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| ... Male | 5 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 6 |
| ... Female | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 7 |
| 2 adults without dependent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| children | 24 | 25 | 28 | 29 | 22 | 17 | 25 | 14 | 18 | 19 | 29 | 23 | 16 | 26 | 25 | 27 |
| ... both younger 65 | 14 | 14 | 19 | 18 | 9 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 16 | 15 | 17 |
| $\ldots$ at least one aged 65 or | 10 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| 3 or more adults without dependent children | 14 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 21 | 23 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 12 | 10 | 15 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 11 |
| 1 adult with dependent | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| 2 adults with dependent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| children | 35 | 40 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 42 | 39 | 36 | 44 | 35 | 33 | 38 | 41 | 45 | 32 |
| ... 1 child | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 13 | 12 | 9 |
| ... 2 children | 17 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 15 |
| ... 3 or more children | 8 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 13 | 9 |
| 3 or more adults with dependent children | 11 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 8 | 24 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 14 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 8 |

Note: Dependent children include all children younger than 15 years plus all those persons aged 15-24 who are economically inactive (mainly in education) and who are living with at least one of their parents.
Source: Eurostat - European Labour Force Survey 2000. DK, IRL, FIN, S: European Community Household Panel, UDB September 2001.
Total fertility rate

| 1960 | 2.59 | 2.56 | 2.57 | 2.37 | 2.28 | 2.86 | 2.73 | 3.76 | 2.41 | 2.28 | 3.12 | 2.69 | 3.1 | 2.72 | 2.2 | 2.72 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 1.82 | 1.68 | 1.55 | 1.56 | 2.21 | 2.20 | 1.95 | 3.23 | 1.64 | 1.49 | 1.60 | 1.65 | 2.18 | 1.63 | 1.68 | 1.90 |
| 1990 | 1.57 | 1.62 | 1.67 | 1.45 | 1.39 | 1.36 | 1.78 | 2.11 | 1.33 | 1.61 | 1.62 | 1.45 | 1.57 | 1.78 | 2.13 | 1.83 |
| 2000 | 1.53 | 1.65 | 1.76 | 1.34 | 1.30 | 1.22 | 1.89 | 1.89 | 1.25 | 1.78 | 1.72 | 1.32 | 1.54 | 1.73 | 1.54 | 1.64 |

The total fertility rate is the average number of children that would be born alive to a woman during her lifetime if current fertility rates were to continue.

## Context information: social protection expenditure

|  | EU-15 | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L | NL | A | P | FIN | S | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditure on social protection as a percentage of GDP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 26.4 | 27.1 | 29.7 | 26.1 | 21.6 | 21.2 | 28.4 | 19.6 | 25.2 | 22.5 | 32.6 | 27.0 | 17.2 | 29.8 | 34.3 | 25.7 |
| 1996 | 28.4 | 28.6 | 31.4 | 29.9 | 22.9 | 21.9 | 31.0 | 17.8 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 30.1 | 29.5 | 21.2 | 31.6 | 34.7 | 28.1 |
| 1999 | 27.5 | 27.4 | 29.8 | 29.6 | 25.5 | 20.2 | 30.2 | 14.8 | 25.3 | 21.8 | 28.0 | 28.8 | 22.6 | 26.7 | 32.9 | 26.5 |
| 2000 | 27.3 | 26.7 | 28.8 | 29.5 | 26.4 | 20.1 | 29.7 | 14.1 | 25.2 | 21.0 | 27.4 | 28.7 | 22.7 | 25.2 | 32.3 | 26.8 |
| Expenditure on social protection in PPS per head of population, 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6155 | 6458 | 7754 | 7025 | 4032 | 3713 | 6748 | 4748 | 5943 | 9235 | 7004 | 7396 | 3675 | 5925 | 7367 | 6048 |
| Expenditure on social protection per head of population at constant prices (Index $1995=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1996 | 102 | 102 | 100 | 104 | 105 | 102 | 101 | 101 | 102 | 104 | 100 | 101 | 99 | 102 | 99 | 103 |
| 1997 | 103 | 103 | 99 | 103 | 111 | 102 | 102 | 107 | 108 | 107 | 101 | 102 | 105 | 101 | 99 | 105 |
| 1998 | 104 | 105 | 100 | 105 | 120 | 104 | 104 | 110 | 108 | 109 | 101 | 104 | 115 | 100 | 101 | 106 |
| 1999 | 107 | 107 | 102 | 108 | 132 | 107 | 106 | 117 | 111 | 116 | 102 | 109 | 123 | 100 | 104 | 108 |
| 2000 | 109 | 107 | 102 | 108 | 143 | 110 | 107 | 121 | 113 | 118 | 105 | 111 | 127 | 100 | 105 | 114 |
| Social benefits by group of functions (as a percentage of total social benefits) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Old age and survivors benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 44.6 | 41.8 | 35.8 | 42.9 | 52.9 | 41.4 | 42.8 | 29.6 | 58.7 | 47.5 | 37.3 | 49.9 | 40.8 | 32.8 | : | 43.7 |
| 2000 | 46.6 | 44.3 | 38.1 | 42.2 | 49.7 | 46.2 | 43.9 | 25.4 | 63.2 | 39.9 | 42.4 | 49.1 | 44.7 | 35.8 | 39.6 | 48.8 |
| Sickness, health care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 28.1 | 26.5 | 20.0 | 31.5 | 24.3 | 29.1 | 28.3 | 33.9 | 27.9 | 24.9 | 28.5 | 25.9 | 33.5 | 26.7 | : | 25.2 |
| 2000 | 27.3 | 24.2 | 20.2 | 28.4 | 26.5 | 29.7 | 28.9 | 41.2 | 25.1 | 25.4 | 29.3 | 24.7 | 32.0 | 23.8 | 27.9 | 25.5 |
| Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 9.9 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 6.9 | 13.1 | 16.5 | 7.0 | 15.1 | 15.3 | : | 9.3 |
| 2000 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 12.0 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 7.8 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 13.4 | 11.8 | 8.4 | 12.7 | 13.9 | 12.2 | 9.4 |
| Unemployment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 8.6 | 13.4 | 16.0 | 8.7 | 4.8 | 19.4 | 8.9 | 15.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 8.3 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 8.8 | : | 7.4 |
| 2000 | 6.3 | 11.7 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 12.1 | 7.1 | 9.7 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 10.5 | 6.5 | 3.0 |
| Family and children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 11.7 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 1.5 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 3.6 | 10.7 | 5.4 | 10.3 | 6.7 | 13.3 | : | 8.6 |
| 2000 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 13.1 | 10.5 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 9.7 | 13.0 | 3.8 | 16.6 | 4.6 | 10.8 | 5.4 | 12.5 | 9.3 | 6.9 |
| Housing and social exclusion n.e.c. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 6.5 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 3.1 | : | 5.9 |
| 2000 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 6.1 | 2.6 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 6.4 |

