COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES



Brussels, 30.10.2002 COM(2002) 596 final

REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE INSTRUMENT FOR STRUCTURAL POLICY FOR PRE-ACCESSION (ISPA) 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Forewo | ord 4 |
|---------|--|
| Introdu | action – Key messages for 2001 |
| ISPA b | oudget in 2001 6 |
| Commi | itments in 2001 |
| 1. | Projects proposed by Candidate Countries7 |
| 1.1. | ISPA Funds for the Environment7 |
| 1.2. | Funds for Enhancing Trans-European Transport Links |
| 1.3. | Technical Assistance – Project Preparation |
| 1.4. | Technical Assistance - EDIS |
| 1.5. | Implementation and payments 10 |
| 2. | Technical Assistance at the Community's initiative |
| 2.1. | Activities in 2001 12 |
| 2.1.1. | Action Programme 2001 12 |
| 2.1.2. | Activities already started in 2000 and still ongoing 14 |
| Specifi | c action points in 2001 17 |
| 3. | Financial Management and Control 17 |
| 4. | Enhancing the understanding of Environmental Impact Assessment Directives 18 |
| 5. | Public procurement |
| 6. | Modifications to the legal framework |
| 7. | Preparation for "EDIS" (Extended Decentralised Implementation System) |
| 8. | Project Monitoring |
| 9. | The evolution of Co-financing |
| 10. | Co-ordination among pre-accession instruments |
| 11. | Communication programme |
| Global | overview of Financial Assistance |
| Overvi | ew per country of Financial Assistance |
| 12. | Bulgaria |
| 13. | Czech Republic |

| 14. | Estonia | 45 |
|-----------|--------------------|----|
| 15. | Hungary | 50 |
| 16. | Latvia | 54 |
| 17. | Lithuania | 58 |
| 18. | Poland | 63 |
| 19. | Romania | 68 |
| 20. | Slovakia | 73 |
| 21. | Slovenia | 78 |
| List of A | Abbreviations | 82 |
| Useful I | nformation Sources | 83 |

Foreword

This annual report on the activities of the ISPA fund covers the calendar year 2001. It provides information on the second year of the pre-accession instrument which was set up as part of Agenda 2000.

The reporting format reflects the requirements of the Instrument for Structural Policy for Pre-Accession Regulation. It has been adapted to take into account the comments made by the European Parliament, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions.

Introduction – Key messages for 2001

This is the second Annual Report by the Commission on the Instrument for Structural Policies for Pre-Accession (ISPA).

The first Annual Report, COM (2001) 616 final included an overview of the strategic priorities that ISPA beneficiary countries were requested to prepare before any funding proposal is decided by the Commission. This annual report focuses on the measures (projects) that were decided in 2001, progress in implementation as well as the efforts to prepare Candidate Countries for the fully decentralised management of ISPA and for improving the financial management and control systems.

KEY MESSAGES FOR 2001

- In 2001, 94 new projects were decided by the Commission. Community funding of these new projects amounts to over € 1.1 billion out of a total cost of € 2.3 billions to be co-financed by the ISPA Beneficiary states¹ and international financial institutions.
- Together with the projects already decided in 2000, the Commission approved a total of 169 ISPA projects, amounting to € 6.6 billion, of which the EU will finance € 3.9 billion (64.4 %).
- With the decisions taken in 2000 and 2001, the Commission has already allocated about more than 40 % of the funds foreseen for environmental projects for the whole ISPA period, and more than 60 % that are set aside for the transport sector.
- The amounts committed in 2001 were divided fairly equally between the environmental and transport sectors: 52% of the 2001 budget were allocated to environmental projects, and 48% for the transport sector. With these commitments the Commission corrected the slight funding inequality between the two sectors that existed in 2000.
- With decisions taken in 2000 and 2001, a total of € 59.9 million for 30 TA measures was mobilised to strengthen the project pipeline for 2002 and beyond, including projects for the Cohesion Fund.
- With the signing of the first works contracts, 2001 was the first year of real progress on the ground.
- ISPA is currently implemented through the Decentralised Implementation System (DIS). With this system, procurement documents are checked in advance (ex ante approval) by the Commission. In 2001, the Commission made technical assistance (TA) funds available to assist Candidate Countries in taking the necessary measures to analyse and improve the capacity of the national implementing agencies to manage Community assistance in a fully decentralised manner.
- In autumn 2001, the Commission undertook systems audits to assess the extent to which the Candidate Countries have established management and control systems that comply with the requirements defined in the ISPA Regulation².

¹ Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia.

² EC n° 1267/1999, as amended.

ISPA budget in 2001

The budget for the Ispa instrument comes from two budget lines B7-020 and B7-020A. The first line foresees the means to be used to co-finance projects (measures)³ in the Candidate Countries in the environment and transport domains as well as for technical assistance (TA) to identify the best projects or for the preparation of projects. TA projects that assist the Candidate Countries to move toward decentralised management can receive funds from this line. From the B7-020A line, funding for projects at the Commission's initiative is possible.

| Budget line | Budget commitment appropriations | Commitment appropriations incl. Transfer A to B-7020 | Commitment implemented | Carry-forward to 2002 | Payments implemented |
|--------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| B7-020A | 19 440 000 | 12 047 831 | 11 989 526 | 58 305 | 6 522 427 |
| B7-020 | 1 060 560 000 | 1 067 952 169 | - | - | - |
| Total | 1 080 000 000 | 1 016 287 447 | - | - | - |
| Carry-forward from 2000 | 41 243 301 | 41 243 301 | - | - | - |
| Total for projects, incl. C.f. | 1 101 803 301 | 1 109 195 470 | 1 109 195 063 | - | 196 740 198 |
| Total, incl. C.f. | 1 121 243 301 | 1 121 243 301 | 1 121 184 589 | - | 203 262 625 |

Table 1

€ 1.080 million was allocated from the Commission budget for the ISPA instrument in 2001. The B7-020 line originally received € 1 060 560 000. This amount was then increased by € 41 243 301, which was carried forward from the 2000 budget, and by € 7 392 169 transferred from budgetary line B7-020A. As a result, for projects on the initiative of the Beneficiary Countries € 1.109 million was available.

From the original B7-020A budget (\notin 19.44 million) \notin 11 989 526 was committed for projects on the Commission's initiative. As mentioned \notin 7.3 million was transferred to the other line and, for administrative reasons, \notin 58 305 was carried-forward to line B7-020 A for 2002.

³ The terms "measure" and "project" both refer to the unit of assistance grants to the beneficiary countries and are used interchangeably in this document.

Commitments in 2001

1. **PROJECTS PROPOSED BY CANDIDATE COUNTRIES**

Overview

Between 2000 and 2001, the European Commission decided, following the favourable opinion of the ISPA Management Committee⁴, a total of 169 projects proposed by the Candidate Countries (B7-020 budget line), amounting to a total eligible cost of \notin 6,113 million, of which the EU will finance \notin 3,938 million or 64.3 %. The normal ISPA funding ceiling for projects stands at 75 %, or 85 % in exceptional circumstances. For TA projects (i.e.: to prepare for decentralised management) the funding ceiling is normally to 100 %.

Of these interventions, 100 correspond to environment projects, 64 to transport projects and 5 projects for technical assistance for EDIS. With the funding decisions taken in the first two years of ISPA, the Commission could allocate at this stage more than half of the funds set aside for the period 2000 to 2006.

In 2001 ISPA commitment appropriations from budget line B7-020 were mainly used for the 94 new ISPA measures and second tranches⁵ for projects decided in 2000 (\notin 1.109 billion). Of this, \notin 2.7 million was committed for TA for EDIS, and \notin 26.1 million for project preparation. A total of 94 new projects with a total project cost of \notin 2.3 billion were decided on by the Commission, Community funding for these new projects is over \notin 1.1 billion. The remainder is to be co-financed by the beneficiary states (national sources at central, regional, and local level), and international financial institutions (IFIs). The average Community grant rate stood at 64 % of project cost in 2001.

The amounts committed from the 2001 B7-020 budget were divided fairly equally between environmental and transport sectors: 52 % was allocated to environmental projects, whilst transport projects received 48 %. With these commitments the Commission countered the slight funding inequality between the two sectors that had emerged in 2000.

1.1. ISPA Funds for the Environment

51.92 % of the ISPA budget this year went to environment projects. More than half of ISPA funding in the environmental sector for the year 2001 was dedicated to sewage treatment projects. Primarily the projects focused on sewerage works to extend, replaced or repair current systems in the beneficiary countries. Approximately 26 % of funding was assigned to drinking water projects, the majority in conjunction with waste water treatment projects. About 15 % of the funding in this sector was applied to solid waste management, primarily to landfill projects. As in 2000, air pollution did not receive any funding in 2001.

⁴ The ISPA Management Committee, which is composed of Member States representatives, gives an opinion on ISPA measures before a Commission decision is issued.

⁵ ISPA measures are committed in annual tranches, i.e. committed are spread at least over two years. Consequently, commitments for the budget year 2001 also include second tranches for some projects already decided in 2000.

In 2001, the Commission decided the first "Group of Projects" which allows the Commission to fund smaller projects, following a coherent strategy. For example investments along the same river basin. This approach was endorsed by the Ministers of the Environment of the Candidate Countries at the Informal Ministerial Meeting with Commissioner Wallström in November 2001.

| Sub-Sector | ISPA Funds € | % ISPA funds/sector |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| Drinking Water | 2 048 867 | 0.36% |
| Drinking and Waste Water | 148 858 614 | 25.85% |
| Drinking/Sewage Water and Solid Waste | 8 547 000 | 1.48% |
| Sewage Network Treatment Plant | 329 400 105 | 57.19% |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 87 077 564 | 15.12% |
| Air Pollution | 0 | 0 |
| Total ISPA Budget Environment Sector | 575 932 150 | 51.92% |

 Table 2: ISPA Budget 2001 – Environmental sector by sub-sector

1.2. Funds for Enhancing Trans-European Transport Links

The aim of ISPA in the transport sector is to contribute to the building of the future trans-European transport network. The instrument finances transport infrastructure projects promoting sustainable mobility based on the criteria of Decision N° 1692/96 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 1996⁶. The measures should enable the beneficiary countries to comply with the objectives of the Accession Partnerships and must ensure interconnection and interoperability within national networks as well as between these networks and the EU's .

The preparation of projects needs to take account of the ten multi-modal Pan-European transport corridors, endorsed at the third Pan-European Transport Conference at Helsinki in June 1997. Projects to be financed by ISPA as a priority need to be on the backbone network, as identified by TINA (Transport Infrastructure Needs Assessment) exercise, but can also relate to projects on the rest of the TINA network.

Technical adaptations were undertaken with the Candidate Countries on Decision 1692/96/EC (guidelines for the development of the TEN-T network). The base for this technical adaptation has been the "TINA" network. When a Candidate Country becomes a Member State this network will form a part of annex 1 the TEN-T guidelines.

Community guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network.

| Sub-Sector | ISPA Funds € | % ISPA funds/ sector |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Road | 303 699 301 | 57.52% |
| Rail | 210 662 746 | 39.71% |
| Airport | 12 000 000 | 2.26% |
| Rail/Road | 4 158 400 | 0.78% |
| Total ISPA Budget Transport Sector | 530 520 447 | 47.83% |

 Table 3: ISPA Budget 2001 – Transport sector by sub-sector

The ISPA funds spent in the Transport Sector have focused on the extension and improvement of the TINA (Transport Infrastructure Needs Assessment) network, in order to facilitate the connections between the European Union and the Accession countries. Over half of the ISPA Budget for the transport sector in 2001 was dedicated to road projects including new construction and improvements to meet EU capacity and safety standards. About 40% of the funding was assigned to rail projects involving primarily the rehabilitation and upgrading of existing infrastructure to EU standards.

1.3. Technical Assistance – Project Preparation

Project preparation during 1999 and 2000 was mainly financed by the Phare Programme and bilateral aid from Member States. Some projects proposed for funding in 2001 were still prepared in this way, however certain Candidate Countries began in 2000 to use ISPA TA funds for project preparation.

By the end of 2001, 30 TA measures for project preparation were decided – this is separate from TA for EDIS - with a total eligible cost of \in 59.9 million (in 2001 the Commission decided on 18 TA measures with a total eligible costs of \in 47.9 million). These funds will be used to prepare investment projects for presentation to the Commission for ISPA funding. TA has been an effective mechanism supporting project investments funded by ISPA that helps to ensure to high level project quality, particularly in terms of preparation, management and impact.

The development of a strong and high-quality project pipeline is essential for ensuring the Commission receives a sufficient number of suitable projects, to be presented in 2002 and beyond. The preparation of a strong project pipeline is not only essential for ISPA, but also for the Cohesion Fund for those ISPA beneficiary countries that will join the EU in the first wave. With the Cohesion Fund, Community assistance for infrastructure projects similar to those financed through ISPA will substantially increase. Some Candidate Countries already use ISPA TA funds to finance preparatory studies for projects to be submitted to the Cohesion Fund.

1.4. Technical Assistance - EDIS

The objective of the Commission is that Candidate Countries likely to join the EU in 2004 will mostly operate under the Extended Decentralisation Implementation System (EDIS) in the course of 2003. The Candidate Countries concerned are

currently at the first stage of the implementation of EDIS, the 'gap assessment' (as defined in a 'Road Map to EDIS for PHARE and ISPA') proposed to Candidate Countries in 2001.

The Commission made available TA funds in order to prepare for EDIS. These funds were approved for the National Authorities in Estonia, the Czech Republic, Poland, Latvia and Lithuania. In the case of Bulgaria, Phare funds are currently allocated to the setting up of EDIS. Hungary, Romania, Slovakia and Slovenia have recently requested such TA funds and will benefit from them in 2002. This assistance will also help in strengthening the implementation structures for the Cohesion Fund.

1.5. Implementation and payments

The projects that ISPA finances are large infrastructure projects that are implemented over several years. They require a certain lead time for preparatory work so as to ensure efficient and proper project implementation. Actual implementation progress on the ground requires, as with any major public infrastructure investment, very detailed preparations, including tender dossiers, and procedures (with the strict application of Community procurement rules). The preparation of high-quality tender documents requires highly skilled expertise, which need building up in Candidate Countries. The tender process for large infrastructure contracts takes, at best, nine months from the publication of a procurement notice to the signing of the contract.

Furthermore, it must be noted that from a legal point of view, ISPA funds only became available in January 2000. For administrative reasons the Commission could only formally decide on the first ISPA measures in the last part of 2000. With the signature of a Financing Memorandum by the Commission (usually signed on the same date as the Decision) expenditures for an ISPA measure become eligible for ISPA funding. Contrary to the Structural Funds and the Cohesion Fund, the Financing Memorandum for a particular measure needs to be countersigned by the national authorities, which in turn must follow their respective constitutional procedures, in some cases involving ratification by Parliament. This is a process, which has proven in the past to take several months, whereby control is entirely outside the powers of the Commission. Only once the Financing Memorandum is counter-signed, can payments be made. The Commission has encouraged Candidate Countries at several occasions to speed up this process, and it is hoped that for projects decided in 2002, the limit between decision and the claim for the first advance will substantially be reduced.

It is therefore not surprising that the first substantial payments were only made in 2001, which total around \notin 200 million in that year, as the first ISPA projects were decided not much before the end of 2000. These payments include 21 % of the amounts committed in 2000.

ISPA payments in 2001 were mainly advanced payments and these are divided in two parts:

- The first part, normally 10 % of the ISPA assistance is paid to the National Fund in the Candidate Countries at their request after the signature of the Financing Memorandum.
- The second part, normally a further 10 % is released upon fulfilment of certain strict conditions in the implementation cycle such as the award of the first

significant contract comprised in the project, usually a works contract. These conditions are often linked to environmental measures (such as on the completion of the public consultation procedure), technical clarifications, and – most importantly – the establishment of proper project implementation and supervision structures. The payment of the second tranche of the advance reflects real implementation progress on the ground.

Further intermediate payments are paid according to implementation progress (to contractors). Reflecting the early stages of implementation, the Commission has only received a very limited number of intermediate payment claims.

| Year | Total n° of projects | Projects: 1 st advance | Projects: 2 nd advance | Projects: intermediate | Projects: payment |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| 2000 projects | 75 | 49 | 7 | 7 | 12 |
| 2001 projects | 94 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 78 |
| Total | 169 | 61 | 8 | 10 | 90 |

Table 4: project payments scale

| Га | ble | 5 |
|----|-----|---|
| | | |

| | | Payments in 2001 | |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Sector | Projects committed in 2000 - € | Projects committed in 2001 - € | Total € |
| EDIS | 0 | 43.576 | 43.576 |
| Environment | 65.933.074 | 14.105.978 | 80.039.052 |
| Transport | 105.548.396 | 11.109.174 | 116.657.570 |
| Total | 171.481.470 | 25.258.728 | 196.740.198 |

At present, the procedures for managing measures financed by the ISPA fund require *ex-ante* control, i.e. decisions concerning procurement and award of contracts are taken by the contracting authority and referred to the EC Delegation in the beneficiary country for endorsement.

The DG Regional Policy ensured punctual strategic, and ad hoc advice to the colleagues in the Delegations (responsible, according to the de-centralisation policy of the Commission, for the decisions concerning project implementation) on procurement matters.

2. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AT THE COMMUNITY'S INITIATIVE

Overview

The allocation provided under B7 - 020A for the year 2001 amounted to \notin 19.44 million. Of this amount, the Commission committed \notin 12 million for activities to be carried out for a period of three years, with disbursement foreseen until end of 2004 (Action Programme 2001). In addition, a contract was signed for revising the application process for environmental projects.

As in 2000, the funds that were not committed for TA measured were transferred to budget line B7-020 and made available for investment measures in the beneficiary countries (\notin 7 392 169).

The priorities that are addressed through the Action Programme include:

- (i) to improve the quality of project selection, preparation and appraisal;
- (ii) to facilitate project implementation;
- (iii) to launch and assist the decentralisation process;
- (iv) to elaborate on financial engineering;
- (v) to strengthen information and communication.

The far-reaching scope of these priorities mean that it cannot be expected that the objectives will be fully achieved in one year. The Commission therefore proposed to cover with this Action Programme a period of three years (2001 - 2003).

2.1. Activities in 2001

2.1.1. Action Programme 2001

According to Article 11 (2) CR 1266/99 governing the co-ordination of aid in the pre-accession framework, expenditure related to monitoring shall be eligible for assistance from the Community budget. Consequently, the Commission concluded Financing Memoranda with the national authorities in Candidate Countries to provide financial assistance for certain organisational expenditures of Monitoring Committees. Eligible expenditures include interpretation and translation, leasing of rooms, necessary electronic equipment, travel expenditure for participants coming from outside the location of scheduled meetings, support for the elaboration of monitoring guidelines, and other expenditures necessary for ensuring the smooth operation of the Monitoring Committees.

Total amount allocated for this activity: € 1 000 000.

Supplementary support to EC Delegations

Under the 2000 TA measures for reinforcing the Delegations, resources were made available to recruit additional staff and cover related administrative costs. These resources cover a period of 3 years. The actions continued in 2001 (see below).

Under the 2001 TA funds, additional resources were made available for small-scale technical assistance (SSTA) and, short-term expertise managed by the Heads of Delegation. This technical assistance serves to assist the Commission with (*extra muros*) support for a broad range of expertise including verification of tender documents, supervision of tendering and evaluation procedures, contract preparation, supervision and control of implementation, ad-hoc and small-scale training of the implementing authorities in the beneficiary countries, and country specific information/communication about ISPA related issues.

Total amount for this activity: € 3 500 000.

Framework contract for Public-Private Partnership (PPP)

Given the specificity and complexity of PPP schemes, there is a need for the Commission to have access to specialised consulting firms. Consequently, a multiple framework contract was signed in 2001 with suitable and reputable international consulting firms with experience in assisting countries and institutions in privatisation and concessions. The contract, similar to the technical framework contracts concluded in 2000, allows on a case by case basis to mobilise appropriate human resources for assisting in the identification, appraisal implementation and monitoring of PPP-projects.

This action allows the Commission to rely also on outside support for developing guidelines for dealing with the complex issues involved in PPP schemes such as:

- the choice of the most appropriate type of PPP (BOT [build, operate and transfer], operational concession, privatisation, etc);
- selection of the concessionaire and private partner, respecting the basic principles of transparency, equal access and competition;
- review and advice on concession contracts;
- the monitoring of the implementation of the PPP scheme in order to ensure that the public interest and objectives of PPP schemes are safeguarded.

Total value of the contract: \notin 3 100 000.

Seminar and training sessions

In order to make applicant countries more familiar with the various concepts of Private Public Partnerships and inter-municipal co-operation in the management and financing of public utilities, the Austrian "Federal Ministry for Agriculture, Forestry, Environment and Water Management" and the Commission (DG Regional Policy and DG Environment) organised a joint seminar in Vienna on 17 and 18 September 2001 with participants from many countries both within the existing European Union and the Candidate Countries. The conference was a good example of sharing experiences between countries and providing the accession countries with new and useful insights based on previous experience.

Experts and professionals from various member states presented a number of cooperation models from their countries. From the models described, the balance between the roles of the state and the private sector differs considerably. There was considerable interest at the conference in the strengths and weaknesses of different kinds of organisation and considerable discussion about the reasons for this diversity in organising and managing basic environmental services within Europe.

One important lesson, which was learned from this seminar, is that there is no universal model of co-operation and no single best-practice example was recommended as the most suitable approach to finding private sector partners. For those responsible for environmental management in the applicant countries there are a number of options for increasing investment and improving efficiency, but each solution must be adapted to the needs and circumstances of each country. Total value of the grant agreement: € 77 740.

Quality enhancement for environmental project applications

Specialised services were contracted to improve the understanding and the quality of applications in the water sector – the area which receives the largest share of assistance in the environmental sector. The emphasis of work was on environmental issues relating to the design of water projects.

Total value of the contract: € 47 831.

Some of the activities of the Action Programme to be organised for 2002 onwards

In early 2002, DG Regional Policy organised additional information events that were financed by the Action Programme. In January 2002, DG Regional Policy invited national authorities involved in the ISPA process to <u>an "ISPA Partner Meeting"</u> to take stock of the experience gained during the first two years of ISPA and to define near-term priorities related to programming and implementation, and in particular as regards financial management and control issues.

In Spring 2002, DG Regional Policy and DG Environment organised two seminars (in Riga and Prague) to bring together national experts involved in the ISPA process and water officials with responsibilities for implementing the relevant criteria of the "*acquis communautaire*". The focus was to discuss the possibilities of using ISPA funds (and upon accession, the Cohesion Fund) for preparatory work required under the new Water Framework Directive. Consequently, the focus of the <u>Water Framework Directive workshops</u> was on linking the process of integrated river basin management planning, as required by the newly adopted Water Framework Directive and the process of allocating ISPA funds for improving the quality of water in Candidate Countries.

Early in 2002, DG Regional Policy signed framework contracts for communications and public relations activities for the Cohesion and Structural Funds, as well as for ISPA. ISPA's contribution was committed from the Action Programme.

2.1.2. Activities already started in 2000 and still ongoing

- Local technical assistance (Deconcentration). In 2000, € 7 million was allocated for the reinforcement of the EC Delegations with qualified technical staff. The commitment is designated to pay the local staff reinforcement, for a period of up to three years. In 2001, about 40 specialised technical staff were recruited to reinforce the delegations' procurement and supervision capacity.
- Framework contracts for specific technical tasks:
- Two framework contracts were signed in 2000 and funds committed from the 2000 budget. In 2001, a total of € 112 410 were paid out.
- Framework contract with the European Investment Bank (EIB): a contract with the EIB was entered into in 2000, securing access to the expert knowledge of the bank's technical staff for a duration of six years. The European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and

the Cohesion Fund have entered similar parallel arrangements with the Bank.. The budgetary commitment for this assignment was \notin 210 000.

• Seminars on the Environmental Impact Assessment Directive : in 2001, seminars were organised to enhance the understanding of the national authorities on the requirements of the EIA Directive (see section 3). € 33 434 was paid in 2001 for this activity.

| Company | Type of contract | Area | Commitment | Contracted amount (€) | Payments authorised in 2001(€) |
|--|------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Aquaplus | Contract | Env. Guidelines | 47 831 | 47 831 | 23 915 |
| Action Programme 2001 | | Commission Decision | 12 000 000 | | |
| NIC/NAO | Grant financing | Monitoring Committee | | 1 000 000 | |
| EC Delegations | Sub- delegation | Supplementary support to EC Deleg. (SSTA) | | 3 500 000 | |
| PARSONS & Brinckerof Cowi Agriconsulting Deloitte & Touche | Multiple framework -contract | PPP | | 3 100 000 | |
| Austrian Ministry of Environment | Grant financing | Seminar Co- operation Models for Environmental Infrastructure Projects | | 77 740 | 58 305 |
| | | Total Action Programme | 12 000 000 | 7 677 740 | 58 305 |
| | | TOTAL | 12 047 831 | 7 725 571 | 82 220 |

 Table 6: Budgetary allocations and payments for technical assistance - 2001

Table 7: Budgetary allocation for technical assistance - 2000

| Company | Type of contract | Area | Contracted amount 2000 (€) | Payments authorised in 2001 (€) |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 10 EC | Sub- | Recruitment of | 7 000 000 | 433 570 |
| Delegations | delegation | personnel | | |
| Kampsax | Framework | Transport | 2 000 000 | 287 335 |
| Brown & Root | Framework | Transport | | |
| Tractebel | Framework | Environment | 2 000 000 | 246 749 |
| Halcrow | Framework | Environment | | |
| EIB | Framework | Project evaluation | 210 000 | 30 000 |
| EIA Seminars in | Contract | Environment | 47 891 | 33 434 |
| beneficiary | | | | |
| countries | | | | |
| | | TOTAL | 11 257 891 | 1 031 087 |

Specific action points in 2001

3. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

It is a joint responsibility of the Candidate Countries and the Commission that the Community assistance made available under ISPA - \notin 7,280 million during the period 2000 to 2006 or \notin 1,040 million per year (in 1999 prices) - is well managed and well spent.

EC Regulation 1267/1999 prescribes⁷ that Candidate Countries should have established by no later than 1 January 2002 an adequate management and control system that can ensure:

- Proper implementation of the ISPA assistance;
- Separation of the functions of management and control; and
- Accurate expenditure declarations, emanating from accounting systems based on verifiable supporting documentation.

The regulation further requires the Candidate Countries to verify that measures have been properly carried out, to prevent irregularities, take actions against them and to recover any amounts lost as a result of irregularity or negligence.

EC Regulations are not directly applicable in Candidate Countries and these requirements are therefore included and expanded in Annex III of each Financing Memorandum.

For all 10 ISPA Candidate Countries, in the period October 2001 until January 2002, systems audits were carried out by the Commission with the objective to assess to what extent the Candidate Countries have established management and control systems that comply with the requirements of Annex III of the Financing Memoranda, to assess the adequacy of these systems and to provide recommendations.

The results of the audits indicate that the Candidate Countries have made significant efforts in setting up management and control systems and that generally there has been good progress towards meeting the requirements of Annex III of the Financing Memoranda. However, further serious steps need to be taken in a number of key areas to deal with the general weaknesses identified below:

- Internal audit does not yet function well in practice, often due to a lack of staff resources and experience;
- It is (in a number of countries) not clear how and by whom checks will be carried out on expenditure to be claimed from the European Commission for reimbursement of interim payments;

EC No 1267/1999 of 21 June 1999 article 9 (1).

- Lack of a proper segregation of functions between accounting, payment and audit;
- Use of different accounting and reporting systems in the different bodies involved in the execution of a measure;
- Many amendments and additions to the management and control systems have been made. There is a clear need for the NAO in some cases issue a complete package of the Implementation Paper that provides a full and updated description of the management and control system in use in the Candidate Countries;
- Lack of close co-operation and co-ordination between the different ISPA bodies;
- Need for detailed Procedure Manuals in each ISPA body, describing practically the steps for the performance of the different tasks;
- Lack of procedures that can ensure appropriate treatment of irregularities (prevention/detection/recovery/reporting);
- Need to finalise agreements between NAO/SAO (Sectoral Authorising Officer) and SAO/Implementing Agencies that establish the delegation of tasks and the reporting; and
- Need to develop a system of on the spot checks to verify the adequacy of the management and control systems and to check on a sample basis project expenditure.

Candidate Countries are now addressing the observations and recommendations included in the audit reports. In 2002 the Commission audit services will follow up on these actions. Also the topics not covered in the first round of missions such as tendering and contracting and the supervision of Final Beneficiaries will be subject of audit in the next round. Finally, financial audits – with the objective to provide reasonable assurance on the legality and regularity of expenditure made in the beneficiary countries – will be started up in the course of 2002.

4. ENHANCING THE UNDERSTANDING OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT DIRECTIVES

Projects need to be in line with the main EC environmental policy principles, in particular those concerning preventive action, damage rectified at source and the polluter pays principle. There is also an emphasis on the obligation of combining individual infrastructure development within a broader management plan.

One key element of environmental legislation to be observed in transport and environment projects is the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EC. This sets out the legal basis for the assessment of potential effects of large infrastructure projects on the environment and is taken as a reference when assessing compliance in this area of the proposed projects.

It is important to note that the construction of transport and environmental infrastructure eligible for ISPA is in general covered by either Annex I (under which an EIA is compulsory) or by Annex II (under which national authorities have to

decide whether a full EIA is necessary, on the basis of pre-defined criteria) of this directive. An important requirement of the EU Environment Impact Assessment Directives is the consultation of the population concerned, as well as the relevant environmental authorities. From the outset, and in the absence of a concrete legal basis aligned with the EU *acquis* requirements in most of the beneficiary countries, the Commission insisted on some minimum standards to be fulfilled during the different stages of the environmental assessment procedures. In this way, during the course of 2001, most countries will have completed transposition of the EIA Directives (85/337/EEC and 97/11/EC), but continuous checks and concrete advice and support from the Commission are still necessary in order to ensure proper implementation of the rules. EIA procedures are complex, not only because of the technical nature of the necessary impact studies but also because of the need to coordinate and involve many different actors, and can take a long time to be completed. Proper timing and an early start to the procedures are therefore essential in the context of ISPA applications and this is an aspect where countries need to improve.

Another important element related to this analysis of potential environmental impacts of ISPA projects, is the assessment of potential effects on environmentally sensitive areas from the point of view of nature protection. In order to avoid irreversible damage to areas that might become part of the future Natura 2000 network in the beneficiary countries (following implementation of directives 79/409/EEC on the protection of wild birds and 92/43/EC on the protection of habitats), an adequate analysis is requested for each project. To facilitate this analysis, in 2001, the Commission prepared a new version of the Annex I of the ISPA application form. This now includes a more specific questionnaire on the potential impacts to future Natura 2000 areas, in line with the current practice for member states in the context of Cohesion and Structural Fund projects.

To enhance the understanding of national authorities as regards the requirements of the EIA Directive and consequently to improve the quality of funding applications, the Commission funded in 2001, 10 thematic seminars. The objective was to build on the experience gained during the first year of ISPA and to improve the way the authorities in the beneficiary countries carry out EIA studies. Following this, the recommendations produced would then be used in the tendering and contracting phase. The ten seminars were attended by Candidate Countries Ministry representatives, by staff from the Implementing Agencies (the national body responsible for project implementation) who manage the project cycle from the feasibility studies to the tendering and contracting phase and by Commission Officials. Local stakeholders were also involved in the organisation and attended some of the activities. An international consultant delivered general information on the EC Directive and the EIA procedure and produced certain experiences that allowed for overall stock-taking. In particular cases from the experience of the Cohesion Fund process were highlighted. Where possible, the seminars were attended by representatives from the EIB, EBRD and World Bank. These IFIs have shown a strong interest in participating in the development of the ISPA pipeline in all ten Candidate Countries.

5. **PUBLIC PROCUREMENT**

Measures financed by the Commission with the ISPA fund must be compatible with Community policies; in particular, they must comply with competition and public procurement rules. This relates, more specifically to respect for the principles concerning tendering rules. In this context, the principles laid down in the provisions of Title IX of the Financial Regulation of 21 December 1977 applicable to the general budget of the European Communities, must be applied. In addition, each Financing Memorandum concluded with the beneficiary country for a specific project contains in the annexes specific reference to detailed rules on tendering and contracting procedures.

Since the beginning of 2001, the procurement rules which beneficiary countries are obliged to follow for service, works, and supply contracts have been detailed in the "Practical Guide to Phare, ISPA & Sapard Contract Procedures" (PRAG). This does, however allow for the use of "FIDIC" (Fédération international des ingénieurs-conseils) conditions of contract for works projects. Limited to beginning of the year 2001, some procedures were authorised to be completed under the previous DIS system as well.

It should be noted that the use of FIDIC conditions of contract allows for the differentiation of projects in which the onus of design and responsibility are best placed on the contractor who therefore has the obligation to deliver accordingly on certain performance criteria (Design and Build Conditions of Contract). These conditions are most suited for certain kinds of works, particularly in the environment sector.

Almost all works contracts are coupled with a service contract for independent supervision (the "Engineer" in FIDIC terminology) who provides appropriate professional independent control in order to ensure the smooth and controlled execution of works.

6. MODIFICATIONS TO THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK

In 2001 there were two modifications of the legal framework in which ISPA operates. These modifications essentially relate to the eligibility of tenders to bid for ISPA contracts.

Council Regulation (EC) No 2500/2001 [Regulation concerning pre-accession financial assistance for Turkey and amending Regulations (EEC) No 3906/89, (EC) No 1267/1999, (EC) No 1268/1999 and (EC) No 555/2000].

Council Regulation (EC) No 2500/2001 of 17 December 2001 concerning preaccession financial assistance for Turkey amends Regulation (EC) No 1267/1999 by extending the eligibility of participation in ISPA tendering to Cyprus, Malta and Turkey.

Article 12/3 of Council Regulation No 2500/2001 modifies Council Regulation No1267/1999 (the ISPA Regulation) by inserting a new paragraph to Article 7 which extends to eligibility to participate in invitations to tender and contracts to natural and legal persons of the said countries on the same terms that apply to all natural and legal persons from the Member States and the beneficiary countries. This regulation which entered into force on 30 December 2001, modifies also the other two pre-accession regulations for Phare and Sapard.

This amendment to the ISPA Regulation must be applied to measures already approved for ISPA financing through a corresponding amendment to the Financing

Memoranda that were concluded in 2000 and 2001. These modifications of the Financing Memoranda are undertaken in the first half of 2002.

Council Regulation (EC) N° 2382/2001 amending Council Regulation (EC) N° 1267/99 establishing an Instrument for Structural Policies for Pre-Accession.

After the first year of implementation of ISPA, it became necessary to carry out a technical modification of the Council Regulation establishing this Instrument.

In managing ISPA the Commission seeks - in line with the principle established in the ISPA Regulation - co-financing from international financing institution. This cofinancing appreciably improves the quality of project preparation (IFI appraisals), strengthens the leverage effect obtained with Community credits and better ensures effective implementation.

However the majority of these banks (especially EBRD, the World Bank, Nordic Investment Bank etc.) are obliged to apply open public tender procedures to third country nationals, procedures which are incompatible with the provisions of the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities. As a result, there is a considerable risk that this co-financing may not be taken into consideration as a complement to (or eligible counterpart of) ISPA support.

The applicant countries, given their limited budgetary resources, have significant recourse to international banks to find the counterpart necessary to ISPA funding. The inadmissibility of co-financing provided by international banks as expenditure eligible under ISPA therefore jeopardises the financial set-up and subsequently the implementation of a high proportion of projects proposed to ISPA.

The solution to this problem was to have inserted in the ISPA Regulation a provision allowing in accordance with Article 114 § 2 of the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities, that in exceptional cases, nationals of third countries may participate in tenders on projects receiving external aid financed from the Community Budget.

This modification should also permit the counterpart financing provided by the international financial institutions to be considered as expenditure eligible under ISPA, each time that this counterpart is indispensable for the co-financing of the ISPA project and even where it arises from public tendering procedures open to third countries (in accordance with the rules to which these financial institutions are bound). The Commission examines the measures concerned on a case-by-case basis and where justified applies the derogation as provided for in Article 6a (2) of the ISPA Regulation as amended.

When the Parliament examined the Commission's proposal to amend the ISPA Regulation with regard to the eligibility rules in the case of IFI-cofinancing, the Parliament delivered a positive opinion but proposed certain amendments concerning financial control and the handling of irregularities. Taking up the concerns expressed by the Parliament, which are fully shared by the Commission, it issued a declaration at the adoption of the amendment by the Council in which the Commission confirmed the reinforcement of the conditions to combat fraud and irregularities which are already foreseen in the Financing Memoranda. Following this request, the Commission is revising in 2002 the relevant annex of the Financing Memorandum to

align it closely with the provision of the draft Commission Regulation⁸ laying down detailed rules as regards the management and control system for assistance granted from the Cohesion Funds and the procedure for making financial corrections to such assistance. With these modifications, to be introduced in 2002, the Commission will strengthen the provisions for the management and control system and the reporting of irregularities, but also reduce the differences that exist between ISPA and the Cohesion Fund. By narrowing the gap between these two financing instruments the Commission will achieve an additional benefit which will facilitate the transition from ISPA to the Cohesion Fund upon accession.

7. PREPARATION FOR "EDIS" (EXTENDED DECENTRALISED IMPLEMENTATION SYSTEM)

Article 12 (2) of Regulation 1266/1999, gives the Commission the power to confer on implementing agencies in Candidate Countries management of aid on a decentralised basis and to waive the requirement of ex ante approval for tendering and contracting procedures. This is known as the "Extended Decentralised Implementation System" – EDIS. The exercise of this power by the Commission is subject to the satisfaction that specific conditions and criteria have been met by the implementing agency(ies) concerned. These requirements cover notably effective internal control, an independent audit function, an effective accounting and financial reporting system, adequate staffing arrangements and respect for the principle of separation of powers. In addition, national procurement rules must be endorsed by the Commission as meeting the requirements of Title IX of the Financial Regulation.

The Commission sent to Candidate Countries in December 2000 the document "Preparing for Extended Decentralisation of PHARE and ISPA Programmes" which sets out guidelines and checklists to assist in the preparation for meeting the conditions for EDIS. During 2001 the Commission prepared a further document giving a more detailed explanation of the procedural stages leading to EDIS. This document "Roadmap to EDIS for ISPA and PHARE" was discussed with candidate countries and formally sent out in its final form in October 2001. It sets out the four stages: gap assessment / gap filling / compliance assessment and / preparation for Commission decision.

For the first 3 stages for ISPA, technical assistance is available. By the end of 2001, five decisions for technical assistance support had been taken (Estonia, the Czech Republic, Poland, Latvia and Lithuania) and 4 were in the pipeline.

Whilst the Candidate Countries are strongly encouraged to move toward EDIS and are supported in this process, it should be recalled that they are in any event responsible for the setting up of adequate management financial control systems by virtue of Article 9 of Regulation 1267/1999 (see section 6).

⁸ Draft Commission Regulation laying down detailed rules for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1164/94 as regards the management and control systems for assistance granted from the Cohesion Fund and the procedure for making financial corrections to such assistance.

8. **PROJECT MONITORING**

All ISPA projects are subject to the provisions of the ISPA Regulation and the Financing Agreement for each ISPA measure in respect of both monitoring and evaluation. Implementation progress is reviewed systematically and periodically by Commission services, in particular through the Monitoring Committees. They are organised twice a year by the national authorities.

Statistical data to monitor the physical and financial progress serves as useful indicators with which to assess the effectiveness of implementation, and in addition to offer an evaluation of the broader economic and social impacts of ISPA.

The National ISPA Co-ordinator (NIC) is responsible for the organisation of the Monitoring Committees.

The main responsibilities of the Committee include:

- monitoring the overall implementation of ISPA projects in the country;
- monitoring the implementation of each ISPA project in the beneficiary country; this monitoring shall use financial and physical indicators;
- examining progress reports, and approving the annual progress reports;
- proposing (for submission to the Commission) any adjustments in terms of amounts and conditions which may be required on the basis of the outcome of the monitoring;
- deciding, with the agreement of the Commission, any additional responsibilities for the Committee.

The first two rounds of Monitoring Committees were organised in 2001 in all Candidate Countries. Summaries from these meetings are communicated to the ISPA Management Committee.

9. THE EVOLUTION OF CO-FINANCING

The ISPA Regulation sets a ceiling for the contribution of ISPA funds for measures. The rate of Community assistance may be up to 75 % of public expenditure. In exceptional cases the assistance rate may be increased to 85 %, even to 100 % in case of TA projects at the initiative of the Commission. The rate of assistance shall be reduced to take into account of the availability of co-financing (and the revenue-generating potential of the investment).

The sources for co-financing are essentially contributions from the national budget or municipal sources (usually in the case of environmental projects). The EIB and other International Financial Institutions, in particular EBRD, continued to remain key partners for providing co-financing. An additional asset of co-financing with these international lending institutions is certainly their expertise in project implementation. With both IFIs, the Commission met regularly both on horizontal level to discuss and co-ordinate policy issues related to programming and implementation, and on country level. As far as possible, joint project identification and appraisal missions are organised for those sectors and projects for which cofinancing is thought. Several such missions were undertaken in 2001.

In 2001, the average grant rate was about 64 % for all projects (almost the same as for 2000), thereby achieving significant leverage already in the first operational years of ISPA.

The actual rate of co-financing for transport investment projects range from 44 % for a rail project in Lithuania to 75 %. For environmental investment projects, the grant rates range from 47% for a drinking and waste water project in Lithuania to 75 %. As in 2000, there were no projects for which a grant rate of 85 % was applied (but this higher rate was applied for some TA projects).

European Investment Bank (EIB)

The results of the first two years of co-operation between ISPA and the EIB are very positive. This co-operation has taken place at two different levels. First of all, several co-ordination meetings were held during the first two years of implementation of ISPA in order to set up the working framework and make the Co-operation Agreement (signed in 2000) operational. The aim of the agreement was to set up the basis for successful co-operation between the Commission and the EIB, in order to identify how best to combine ISPA grants with loans provided by the EIB. The main objective was to maximise the leverage effect of the EC grants and to especially target the EC budget resources towards those projects that require a larger grant contribution.

Secondly, at the project level, the exchange of information was carried out at a very early stage in the procedure of project identification in order to identify possible proposals for co-financing. Collaboration with the EIB resulted in the joint co-financing of several projects in 2001 (see table 8).

In such cases, proposals submitted by the Candidate Countries are appraised by both institutions and the results shared by them during the assessment process. However, in this context it is important to mention some initial difficulties in achieving this efficient co-operation between ISPA and the EIB. These include *inter alia* the complexity of both ISPA and EIB procedures, the problem of timing when the decision-making procedures of both institutions do not run in parallel and the need for the relevant beneficiary country to deal with both institutions on a timely basis to optimise the utilisation of the Commissions' and the banks' resources for its projects.

In the case of the projects that are not co-financed by the EIB, the Co-operation Agreement foresees that the EIB may be consulted or invited by the Commission to participate in appraising some ISPA projects. This allows the Commission to benefit from the EIBs technical assistance. During 2000 and 2001, 19 "first reactions" were sought from the EIB (see table 9).

| | ISPA Projects Co-financed by the EIB | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Country | Transport Projects | Environmental Projects | | | |
| Bulgaria | Plovdiv-Svilengrad railway – upgrading and electrification | None | | | |
| Estonia | None | Parnu landfill – waste management ; Tartu Sewage. | | | |
| Hungary | None | Miskolc waste water treatment plant ; Extension of the sewerage system of Pecs and protection of vulnerable water ressource area ; Communal Solid Water Management system in Mid- Danube-Tisza Region ; Regional Municipal Solid Waste Sajo Bodva Valley ; Sovpron Sewerage and Sewage Treatment ; Development of Municipal Waste Management in Tisza Lake (Through a framework loan). | | | |
| Latvia | None | Eastern Latvian River Basins ; Jurmala Water. | | | |
| Lithuania | Modernisation of Telecommunications, Power supply and Signalling on Crete Corridor No IX B. | Alytus Regional Waste Management ; Taurage Regional Waste Management ; Jonava waste water treatment plant ; Neringa town drinking water and waste water treatment; Extension of Kaunas waste water treatment plant; Siauliai Regional Waste Management ; | | | |
| Poland | Expressway Corridor VI Bielsko Biala – Cieszyn; Expressway A4 Corridor III (Wroclaw-Krzywa); Railway bottlenecks | | | | |
| Romania | None | Braila sewage network and waste water treatment plant Pascani, water supply, sewerage network and waste water treatment plant Cluj water supply Focsani sewage network and waste water treatment plant | | | |
| Other ISPA Candidate Countries | None | None | | | |

 Table 8: 2001 ISPA Projects co-financed by the EIB

| Bulgaria | Transport: 1 | 4 |
|-----------------|-----------------|----|
| | Environment: 3 | |
| Estonia | Environment:1 | 1 |
| Hungary | Transport: 1 | 3 |
| | Environment: 2 | |
| Romania | Transport: 1 | 5 |
| | Environment: 4 | |
| Slovakia | Environment: 5 | 5 |
| Slovenia | Environment: 1 | 1 |
| Total reactions | Transport: 3 | 19 |
| | Environment: 16 | |

Table 9: List of first reaction executed by the EIB within the framework contractYear 2000-2001

European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD)

The good working relationship with the EBRD established at the beginning of ISPA continued in 2001. There were regular exchanges of information and co-ordination meetings, continued harmonisation of approaches to the appraisal of projects and also detailed discussions of methodological issues. The Commission had known since 2000 that it was necessary to modify the ISPA Regulation to enable expenditure financed by the EBRD to be considered as matching eligible expenditure. This modification was completed in December 2001 ensuring that projects could be financed by combinations of ISPA and loan finance from the EBRD (see chapter 7).

The EBRD's specialist skills in structuring grant/loan combinations of funding, including public-private partnership arrangements, continued to be useful in preparing projects supported by ISPA. The EBRD can lend directly to municipalities and utility companies without a sovereign guarantee which adds an additional element of flexibility to co-operation with ISPA.

The number of projects which ISPA and the EBRD will co-finance differs greatly from sector to sector and from country to country. For 2001, the projects in which ISPA and the EBRD co-operated are as follows:

| ISPA Projects Co-financed by the EBRD | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Country | Transport Projects | Environmental Projects | | | | | |
| Lithuania | None | Extension of Kaunas Wastewater Treatment Plant for Biological Treatment and Network Extensions, Lithuania: the EBRD is responsible for part of the network extensions included in this project: however, for administrative simplicity it has been decided that the EBRD element will be treated as non-eligible cost for the purpose of ISPA grant rate calculation. | | | | | |
| Poland | None | Gliwice: waste water treatment plant, Rybnik waste water treatment EBRD was involved in structuring possible private sector participation in a water project in Poznan | | | | | |
| Romania | None | Oradea: sewerage and waste water treatment Targu Mures: water treatment, sewerage and waste water treatment plant. Arad: waste water treatment plant | | | | | |
| Other ISPA Candidate Countries | None | None | | | | | |

 Table 10: 2001 ISPA Projects Co-financed by the EBRD

Other financial institutions

Information at project level was again exchanged in 2001 with the Nordic banks and other institutions. The collaboration with the Nordic Investment Bank (NIB) and with the Nordic Environment Finance Corporation (NEFCO) resulted in the joint co-financing of three measures in Latvia. This entails a total expenditure of \notin 94.94 million, to which the NIB contributes \notin 3.411 million and NEFCO \notin 3.116 million. The Swedish International Development Co-operation Agency (SIDA) finances a parallel twinning arrangement with the solid waste company of the Liepaja region in Latvia. Preparation of projects was also supported in Lithuania: SIDA for the Kaunas WWTP, the Finnish Ministry of Environment for Klaipeda's waste management and DEPA for Vilnius' waste management.

Table 11: ISPA Projects co-financed by the NIB and the NEFCO

| Country | Transport Projects | Environmental Projects |
|---------|---------------------------|--|
| Latvia | None | Liepaja Solid Waste (NIB) |
| | | Ziemelvidzeme Solid Waste (NIB) |
| | | Eastern Latvian River Basins (NIB and NEFCO) |
| | | |

In addition, there is one measure in Latvia that involves parallel co-financing with the World Bank ($\notin 2.378$ million - Liepaja Solid Waste).

Public Private Partnerships

One possible solution for mobilising additional funds is to involve the private sector in the provision of public services. This is one of the reasons for which some countries are now beginning to use Public-Private Partnership (PPP) arrangements. Another important factor for involving the private sector into the ISPA process is the experience that reputable international operators and utility firms can provide. PPP-schemes therefore should generally enhance operational and managerial efficiency.

This trend has gathered momentum as medium-sized and smaller towns and cities have to comply with Community legislation for higher drinking water quality, cleaner waste water treatment and better waste management. But also in the transport sector, the involvement of private partners in the operation, management and investment is becoming an important factor.

Consequently, such PPP-schemes are becoming more and more important also in the framework of ISPA activities. However, there are particular situations where the question is how far the ISPA grant can go into a privatisation situation with the complication of existing concessions already awarded without the necessary guarantees in terms of transparency and fairness. An additional issue is that ISPA should not replace private partners, thus remaining truly 'additional'.

In the case of Candidate Countries, there is certainly a need to provide advice to municipalities and other public entities seeking private partnership arrangements.

It is recognised that there are cases in which there may be a risk whereby the benefits of an ISPA grant are accrued by the private company rather than by the public, e.g. if the national authority has privatised assets with the view that the private investor provides the investment to achieve compliance with environmental legislation or undertaking modernisation. There is the risk that these investments are already calculated into the selling price. Therefore, providing an ISPA grant would effectively mean paying twice.

The EU principles on the public procurement procedure must be followed by all Implementing Agencies in the beneficiary countries in the area of PPP for projects co-financed with ISPA funds.

The extreme weaknesses of the regulatory powers in the Beneficiary Countries was mentioned as a risk building factor against a completely de-regulated approach to markets: during the execution of a concession contract the powers could end up being extremely unbalanced on the side of the Concessionaire. This situation in terms of handling of the contractual parameters such as tariffs could be very dangerous if the regulatory authority does not have the required expertise and capacities to cope with complexity and change.

To address these deficiencies, the Commission mobilised in 2001 a framework contract for \notin 3.1 million after an open international procedure to analyse, advise and assist the Commission and the public authorities on dealing with PPP issues relevant for ISPA projects (see table 6).

10. CO-ORDINATION AMONG PRE-ACCESSION INSTRUMENTS

As required by Council Regulation (EC) No 1266/1999 Co-ordinating Pre-accession assistance, the Commission ensures close co-ordination among the three pre-accession instruments, Phare, Sapard and ISPA. In line with the provisions of that Regulation, the Phare Management Committee plays a special role in general co-ordination of the three pre-accession instruments.

Co-ordination with the (PHARE) Joint Monitoring Committee

The Joint Monitoring Committee is responsible for co-ordinating the monitoring of each pre-accession instrument (Phare, Sapard and ISPA) and for assessing the overall progress of EU – funded assistance in the beneficiary countries.

The Joint Committee will receive the minutes of the ISPA monitoring committee and may issue recommendations to the ISPA Committee or to the Commission when relevant.

The meetings of the ISPA Monitoring Committee meetings are arranged, when possible, to coincide with the Joint Monitoring Committee meetings.

Co-ordination within the Commission

Within the Commission, an inter-services Co-ordination Committee, including representatives from all relevant Commission services (Enlargement, Agriculture, Regional Policy, Budget, Health and Consumer Protection, Legal Service) have met regularly times since March 2000. The agenda for the meetings in 2001 and early 2002 included financial control and management, procurement issues, stock-taking on moves towards Extended Decentralised Implementation (EDIS), and the issues related to the transition towards Structural Funds and the Cohesion Fund.

Co-ordination with EC Delegations

Periodic meetings were organised by the Commission services (Enlargement, External Relations and Regional Policy with the experts in EC Delegations responsible for Phare and ISPA implementation to discuss programming and implementation issues, in particular related to tendering and contracting. At present - before EDIS - EC Delegations are responsible for ex-ante approval/endorsement of tender documents, evaluation committees and contracts. Communication and information

11. COMMUNICATION PROGRAMME

A 2001-2006 communication programme was prepared outlining the information to be provided to the different target groups for ISPA. It specified the importance to explain the procedures during the first year and to show results from 2002 on. It will be implemented by using the framework contracts that the Commission (DG Regional Policy) concluded early in 2002 and contracts still to be concluded for carrying information and communication activities in relation to different funds related to the economic and social cohesion (the European Regional Development Fund, the Cohesion Fund and ISPA).

Dialogue with non-governmental organisations (NGOs)

The Commission Services continued to participate in the NGO's Dialogue project, which began in mid-1999. It is a series of meetings between Commission officials from several directorates-general (but predominantly the Directorate-General for Environment) and representatives of environmental NGOs from Member States and Candidate Countries. The objectives of the dialogue are to inform the NGOs of the enlargement process and to enable them to present their opinions on the process to the Commission. The dialogue meetings are organised and facilitated by the

Regional Environmental Centre for Central and Eastern Europe (REC), which is partly funded by the EC.

The Commission also encouraged national authorities to enhance the exchange of information with NGOs, by, for example, organising informal meetings with NGOs with the view to discussing investment priorities and developing an information and communication strategy in which NGOs have a role. Furthermore, the national authorities may invite NGOs to participate in ISPA Monitoring Committees as observers.

Website

The website was updated regularly with information sheets on projects signed by the Commission, new versions of parts of the Financing Memorandum annexes, a revised ISPA Manual (which explains ISPA programming and implementation procedures and practice), and new brochures on ISPA progress (see below). As such it is an important tool to distribute information about the pre-accession instrument.

Towards the end of 2001, this site was also used to welcome the visitors of the Member States and of the Candidate Countries in their own language.

Seminars

Cohesion Fund Forum

At the Second Cohesion Fund Forum (May 2001) organised by the Commission the Commission services presented a broad range of aspects related to the programming and implementation of ISPA, and progress so far.

Seminars on Procurement

In winter 2001, DG Regional Policy commenced a series of seminars in all Candidate Countries with the main focus on procurement issues. The requirements and procedures of PRAG and FIDIC – as well as public procurement principles in general - were explained and guidance was provided on critical tendering and contracting issues, such as selection/award criteria and evaluation. The seminars targeted national authorities, in particular the implementing agencies as well as EC Delegations. This training activity, which will continue in 2002, is a critical element in the Commission's effort to reduce risk related to ISPA implementation.

Seminars on the Environmental Impact Assessment Directive (EIA)

In 2001, seminars were organised to enhance the understanding of national authorities about requirements of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Directive (see section 3).

Seminar on 'Co-operation Models for Environmental Infrastructure Projects'

Financed by the Technical Assistance funds, the Commission together with the Government of Austria organised a seminar to familiarise national authorities in Candidate Countries with the various concepts of PPP in September 2001 (see section 2.1.4). Notes on proceedings were prepared and made available on the website.

Publications

In February 2001, a brochure was published with the most important data on projects committed and ISPA events in 2000. This brochure was used as a complement to the Annual Report 2000.

The brochure detailing the addresses of EC delegations in the Beneficiary Countries and of the National ISPA Co-ordinators was updated regularly.

Specific brochures were produced with information about the state of play in the different Beneficiary Countries throughout the year.

Global overview of Financial Assistance

This chapter describes the financial assistance and its distribution by sector and sub-sector. The statistics in the tables refer to the total project cost, the total eligible project value, the total ISPA contribution decided by the Commission, the commitments made by the Commission for 2000 and 2001, the total commitment and the payments made in 2001. This data is expressed for each of the 10 beneficiary countries.

The sub sectors for environment and transport are as follows:

• Environment:

- Drinking water
- Drinking and Waste Water
- Drinking Water, Sewage Water and Solid Waste
- Waste Water Treatment
- Solid-Waste Management (landfills, incinerators)

• Transport:

- Road
- Rail
- Rail and Road
- Aviation

• Technical Assistance:

- Project Preparation:

This is a package designed to facilitate development of projects for both the environment and transport sector. Priorities are set out for five key areas:

- Improve the quality of project selection
- Facilitate project implementation
- Launch and assist the decentralisation process
- Elaborate on financial engineering
- Strengthen information and communication

– EDIS:

Technical assistance funds are made available to provide for the setting up of this key aspect in the management of community funds upon accession (see section 5).

| Country | Environment | | Transport | | EDIS | | TOTAL | |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | ISPA Funds | % of Country/ Sector | ISPA Funds | % of Country/ Sector | ISPA Funds | % of Country/ Sector | ISPA Funds | % Overall Budget |
| Bulgaria | 44.896.800 | 42,04% | 61.898.400 | 57,96% | 0 | 0 | 106.795.200 | 9,63% |
| Czech Republic | 26.090.980 | 39,00% | 40.271.315 | 60,19% | 544.722 | 0,18% | 66.907.017 | 6,03% |
| Estonia | 17.345.082 | 57,97% | 12.228.287 | 40,87% | 348.608 | 1,17% | 29.921.977 | 2,70% |
| Hungary | 42.604.653 | 46,93% | 48.171.347 | 53,07% | 0 | 0 | 90.776.000 | 8,18% |
| Latvia | 25.834.204 | 53,76% | 21.663.645 | 45,08% | 560.000 | 1,17% | 48.057.849 | 4,33% |
| Lithuania | 35.675.917 | 70,70% | 14.483.464 | 28,70% | 305.136 | 0,60% | 50.464.517 | 4,55% |
| Poland | 228.021.167 | 56,08% | 177.561.679 | 43,67% | 984.000 | 0,24% | 406.566.846 | 36,65% |
| Romania | 122.816.509 | 50,00% | 122.812.000 | 50,00% | 0 | 0 | 245.628.509 | 22,14% |
| Slovakia | 23.359.778 | 48,61% | 24.698.070 | 51,39% | 0 | 0 | 48.057.848 | 4,33% |
| Slovenia | 9.287.060 | 57,97% | 6.732.240 | 42,03% | 0 | 0 | 16.019.300 | 1,44% |
| TOTAL | 575.932.150 | 51,92% | 530.520.447 | 47,83% | 2.742.466 | 0,25% | 1.109.195.063 | 100,00% |

Table 12: ISPA Budget 2001: Commitments by Country and Sector

The table provides information on the <u>commitments</u> for the 94 projects decided in 2001, and the second tranches for projects decided in 2000.

Overview per country of Financial Assistance

| Country | Environment | | Transport | | EDIS | | TOTAL | |
|-------------------|-------------|--------|---------------|--------|------------|-------|--------------|--------|
| | ISPA FUNDS | % | ISPA FUNDS | % | ISPA FUNDS | % | ISPA FUNDS | % |
| Bulgaria | 33 703 914 | 17,58% | 157 998 000 | 82,42% | 0 | 0 | 191 701 914 | 9,21% |
| Czech Republic | 32 613 725 | 46,32% | 61 724 662 | 52,71% | 680 902 | 0,97% | 70 409 424 | 3,38% |
| Estonia | 26 738 698 | 54,99% | 21 452 216 | 44,12% | 435 760 | 0,90% | 48 626 674 | 2,34% |
| Hungary | 53 868 750 | 71,49% | 21 484 540 | 28,51% | 0 | 0 | 75 353 290 | 3,62% |
| Latvia | 59 502 300 | 42,82% | 78 758 664 | 56,68% | 700 000 | 0,50% | 138 960 964 | 6,68% |
| Lithuania | 45 219 114 | 57,74% | 32 719 210 | 41,78% | 381 420 | 0,49% | 78 319 744 | 3,76% |
| Poland | 343 305 693 | 39,50% | 525 790 670 | 60,50% | 0 | 0 | 869 096 363 | 41,76% |
| Romania | 190 580 560 | 39,22% | 295 379 403 | 60,78% | 0 | 0 | 652 316 305 | 23,35% |
| Slovakia | 16 484 076 | 16,15% | 85 578 700 | 83,85% | 0 | 0 | 102 062 776 | 4,90% |
| Slovenia | 12 041 592 | 58,86% | 8 415 300 | 41,14% | 0 | 0 | 20 456 892 | 0,98% |
| TOTAL | 814.058.422 | 39,12% | 1.289.301.365 | 60,77% | 2.198.082 | 0,11% | 2080.948.004 | 100% |

Table 13: Total ISPA Grant for New Projects Decided in 2001: Country and Sector

Table 13 shows for all projects decided in 2001 the total amount of ISPA funds that are foreseen for these projects, including tranches that will be committed in subsequent years (multi-annual commitment).

| PROJECT TYPE | N° of Projects | Total Project Cost € | Total Eligible Value € | Total ISPA Contribution € | % country/ sector | Commitments 2000 € | Commitments 2001 € | Payments 2001 € | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Environment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drinking Water Pipes/ Plants | 3 | 15.958.176 | 14.003.827 | 7.181.930 | 0,48% | 3 696 677 | 2 048 867 | 462.085 | | | |
| Drinking/ Sewage Water | 21 | 541.971.073 | 506.800.360 | 325.570.745 | 21,59% | 66 407 510 | 148 858 614 | 13.467.349 | | | |
| Drinking Water/ Sewage Water/ Solid Waste | 3 | 14.245.000 | 14.245.000 | 10.683.750 | 0,71% | 0 | 8 547 000 | 60.750 | | | |
| Sewage Network Treatment | 50 | 1.633.639.420 | 1.505.476.049 | 942.831.519 | 62,52% | 296 696 243 | 329 400 105 | 50.759.024 | | | |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 23 | 386 118 439 | 338.156.476 | 221.751.008 | 14,70% | 94 020 967 | 87 077 564 | 15.289.844 | | | |
| Sector Total | 100 | 2.591.932.108 | 2.378.681.712 | 1.508.018.952 | 38,30% | 460 821 397 | 575 932 150 | 80 039 052 | | | |
| | | | ٦ | Fransport | | | | | | | |
| Airport | 1 | 148.756.000 | 135 135 135 | 50 000 000 | 2,06% | 28 000 000 | 12 000 000 | 5.000.000 | | | |
| Rail | 32 | 2.154.232.540 | 2 020 607 044 | 1 230 824 787 | 50,74% | 283 584 312 | 210 662 746 | 68.071.533 | | | |
| Rail and Road | 2 | 6.080.000 | 6 080 000 | 5 198 000 | 0,21% | 0 | 4 158 400 | 20.000 | | | |
| Road | 29 | 1.756.680.109 | 1 576 231 688 | 1 139 915 934 | 46,99% | 224 790 797 | 303 699 301 | 43.566.037 | | | |
| Sector Total | 64 | 4.065.748.649 | 3 738 053 867 | 2 425 938 721 | 61,61% | 536 375 109 | 530 520 447 | 116 657 570 | | | |
| EDIS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EDIS | 5 | 3 828 082 | 3 828 082 | 3 828 082 | 100% | 320 000 | 2 742 466 | 43 576 | | | |
| Sector Total | 5 | 3 828 082 | 3 828 082 | 3 828 082 | 0,10% | 320 000 | 2 742 466 | 43 576 | | | |
| Total | 169 | 6 661 508 839 | 6 120 563 661 | 3 937 785 755 | 100% | 997 516 506 | 1 109 195 063 | 196 740 198 | | | |

Table 14: ISPA Finance by Sector for projects decided in 2000 and 2001

The table shows the total ISPA funds, that have been committed and decided in 2000 and 2001 (169 projects), as well as the total project costs for these projects.

12. BULGARIA

In 2001, Bulgaria received a total ISPA commitment allocation of \notin 106.8 million. This was divided between the transport and environment sectors - \notin 61.9 million and \notin 44.9 million respectively. Technical assistance measures accounted for \notin 4 million of these commitments in 2001. The allocation for Bulgaria represents 9.63 % of the overall ISPA budget in 2001.

Programming

The ISPA programming framework is governed by the environment and transport strategies drawn up by the Bulgarian authorities in agreement with the Commission. These strategies contain indicative lists of priority projects and are subject to review.

• In the case of **transport** infrastructure, emphasis is placed on completing or upgrading the main Trans-European Networks and developing border connections (5 of the 10 priority TINA corridors cross Bulgaria). The country is keen to capitalise on its strategic location between Greece, Turkey and Central Europe.

In the case of **environment**, the Bulgarian Government and ISPA focus on the following priorities:

- Water quality the Government's National Programme for Priority Urban Wastewater Treatment Plants is being implemented with the help of ISPA. The upgrading of sewerage networks and water supply systems is also planned. Other key areas involve improving the quality of drinking water; reducing loss in the water supply network; replacing and upgrading the sewerage network.
- Urban waste selective collection, recycling, and the construction of new landfills complying to EU standards are essential requirements. The Government's National Waste Management Programme lays down strategic guidelines for this sector. This highlights the need to reduce the quantity of municipal waste; stabilise the amount of industrial waste; utilise biodegradable waste components; install facilities for safe treatment and disposal of waste; increase the share of recycled waste; and promote reusable packaging.
- Air pollution although emissions have decreased due to the decline of heavy industry, there are still serious problems in 14 identified regional "hotspots". Power generation facilities and heating plants based on low-quality coal are a major source of SO₂ and NO_x emissions.

Implementation

The function of National ISPA Co-ordinator is carried out by the Minister for Regional Development and Public Works and the Deputy Prime Minister.

The Implementing Agencies currently designated for ISPA are the Ministry of Transport, the Ministry of Environment, the Roads Executive Agency and a Joint department of the Ministries of Regional Development and Environment. For the larger projects (Sofia Airport, Plovdiv-Svilengrad railway rehabilitation and the Danube Bridge) dedicated project management and implementation units have been set up supported by technical assistance.

A total of 5 **environmental** investment projects, 3 **transport** investment projects and 1 TA project in the transport sector were approved for funding by ISPA in 2000 and 2001. In 2001, 5 new projects were approved, 3 **environment** projects and 2 **transport** projects, of which 1 was for TA.

The following projects were submitted to receive ISPA financing but were not approved pending further assessment:

- Ljulin motorway 19 Km section on corridor IV Sofia ring road to Daskalovo junction.
- Maritsa 2 power plant new FGD units.
- Centre for Hazardous Waste Treatment new facility for treatment and disposal of hazardous waste.

Preparation for **tendering and contracting** proceeded in the case of all approved projects during the course of 2001.

While the whole of the available ISPA allocations were committed in 2000 and 2001, the **payments** made up to the end of the year amounted to \notin 15.8 million, representing the first advances on projects approved in 2000.

Monitoring and Evaluation

The work of the monitoring committee will be supplemented by regular meetings in the EC Delegation offices in Sofia, monitoring reports by the implementing bodies and site visits by Commission staff.

The Monitoring Committee met in June and November 2001. Attention was drawn in the meetings to the slow progress in implementing ISPA projects and the limited capacities of some of the implementing agencies. The disruption to the ISPA coordinating unit and the implementing agencies in the Ministry of Regional Development following the change of Government in June 2001 was also noted. These deficiencies were acknowledged by the Bulgarian authorities who undertook to reinforce the structures concerned and to report to the Commission on actions taken.

Similar issues were highlighted in the review of the implementing agencies undertaken under the "Twinning Project" BG/98/SPP/02, sub-project 3 "Capacity Review of Implementing Agencies."

Co-financing with IFIs

Co-operation with the IFIs has been an important feature in the case of Bulgaria where there has been close contact with the EIB in particular. Four of the nine ISPA projects approved in 2000 and 2001 involve EIB financing, with two significant cases of joint co-financing (redevelopment of Sofia airport and Plovdiv-Svilengrad railway electrification and upgrading). In total, co-financed projects account for some \notin 240 million of ISPA assistance (almost 70% of the total approved). Given the funds' focus on large-scale infrastructure projects, in which loan and grant assistance are often combined, the need to maximise the leverage of limited ISPA resources is a

crucial objective. The pipeline of projects for 2002 also includes possible cofinancing with the EIB and the EBRD.

EDIS

The consultants SIGMA have been asked to assist the Bulgarian authorities in reviewing the implications of extended decentralisation of the implementation of the PHARE and ISPA programmes (EDIS) for the Bulgarian administration, in line with the general 'Road Map'. This review will examine the capacity of the National Fund, implementing agencies, public financial control and public procurement, and will take into account the Bulgarian authorities' strategy for the transition to the Structural Funds. ISPA technical assistance will also be made available in 2002 to undertake a full audit of the organisation and capacities of the ISPA Implementing Agencies. This is the first stage or 'gap assessment' of the EDIS Road Map.

Financial Management and Control

An audit of the financial management and control systems of the ISPA implementing agencies was undertaken in December 2001 by the Directorate-General for Regional Policy's audit unit. A report on its main findings will be sent to the Bulgarian authorities early in 2002 with recommendations on improvements. The Bulgarian authorities will be given a three month deadline in which to act on the findings. A follow up of the audit will be carried out during 2002.

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | % of Sector/ Country | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments | Payments 2001 | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Environment | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 4 | 92.497.066 | 91.725.066 | 66.253.680 | 59.3% | 15.699.092 | 40.353.487 | 56.052.579 | 3.254.977 | | | | |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 1* | 62.775.837 | 60.577.513 | 45.433.135 | 40.7% | 36.346.508 | 4.543.313 | 40.889.821 | 4.543.314 | | | | |
| Sector Total | 5 | 155.272.903 | 152.302.579 | 111.686.815 | 31.9% | 52.045.600 | 44.896.800 | 96.942.400 | 7.798.291 | | | | |
| | | | | | Transport | | | | | | | | |
| Rail | 1 | 340.000.000 | 340.000.000 | 153.000.000 | 64.3% | 0 | 45.900.000 | 45.900.000 | 0 | | | | |
| Rail and Road | 1 | 5.880.000 | 5.880.000 | 4.998.000 | 2.1% | 0 | 3.998.400 | 3.998.400 | 0 | | | | |
| Road | 1 | 40.000.000 | 40.000.000 | 30.000.000 | 12.6% | 24.000.000 | 0 | 24.000.000 | 0 | | | | |
| Airport | 1 | 148.756.000 | 135.135.135 | 50.000.000 | 21.0% | 28.000.000 | 12.000.000 | 40.000.000 | 5.000.000 | | | | |
| Sector Total | 4 | 534.636.000 | 521.015.135 | 237.998.000 | 68.1% | 52.000.000 | 61.898.400 | 113.898.400 | 8.000.000 | | | | |
| TOTAL | 9 | 689.908.903 | 673.317.714 | 349.684.815 | 8.88% | 104.045.600 | 106.795.200 | 210.840.800 | 15.798.291 | | | | |

* group of 6 projects

| Sector | N° of Projects | Projects Cost Value | | Total ISPA Contribution | Committed 2001 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| | | Env | ironment | | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 3 | 49.097.378 | 48.325.378 | 33.703.914 | 26.757.790 |
| Sector Total | 3 | 49.097.378 | 48.325.378 | 33.703.914 | 26.757.790 |
| | | Tra | ansport | | |
| Rail | 1 | 340.000.000 | 340.000.000 | 153.000.000 | 45.900.000 |
| Rail and Road | 1 | 5.880.000 | 5.880.000 | 4.998.000 | 3.998.400 |
| Sector Total | 2 | 345.880.000 | 345.880.000 | 157.998.000 | 49.898.400 |
| TOTAL | 5 | 394.977.378 | 394.205.378 | 191.701.914 | 76.656.190 |

 Table 3: Technical Assistance Measures 2000-2001

| PROJECT | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TA Transport projects | 1 | 5.880.000 | 5.880.000 | 4.998.000 | 0 | 3.998.400 | 3.998.400 |

13. CZECH REPUBLIC

In 2001, commitments amounting to \notin 66.9 were made to the Czech Republic, which represents 6.03 % of the annual ISPA budget. \notin 26.1 million was committed to environment projects and \notin 40.3 million to transport projects. Technical assistance was granted to assist the Ministry of Transport in project preparation. The commitment made for this in 2001 was \notin 160 000. In addition, \notin 544 722 was committed to support the introduction of EDIS for ISPA in the Czech Republic.

Programming

From a strategic point of view, priority was given to road **transport** in 2001, thus complementing the emphasis placed on rail transport in 2000. For environment, as in 2000, the projects adopted were almost exclusively related to the waste water sector, with some ancillary work on drinking water infrastructure being financed.

Although the commitments in the transport sector reflected the priorities in the ISPA transport strategy paper, with which the Commission is satisfied, in the environment sector a recurring element in 2001 was the lack of applications received for areas other than water.

In 2001 the Commission has urged the Czech Republic to revise the **environment** strategy paper to take account both of the results of the accession negotiations on the environment chapter and the conclusions of PHARE projects designed to assist in the development of investment strategies for the solid waste and air pollution sectors.

Implementation

The function of National ISPA Co-ordinator is carried out by the Deputy Minister for Tourism and European Integration.

The Implementing Agency for the 2000 environment projects, the Centre for Regional Development, was replaced by the State Environment Fund for all ISPA projects from 2001 onwards. Given the lack of experience of this body in large scale infrastructure projects, the Commission, in particular through the Prague Delegation, will provide advice as and when is necessary.

With regard to implementation, work on the projects adopted in 2000 was restricted to the preparation of tender files and, for the transport sector, to the publication of call for tender for the works contracts. Whilst it is expected that contracts can be awarded in the transport sector in the first half of 2002, a significant delay has occurred in the advancement of environment projects. Accordingly only service contract assignments for project preparation, for which ISPA assistance was granted in 2000, were awarded in 2001.

A total of 6 **environmental** investment projects and 1 TA measure were approved in 2000-2001. In the **transport** sector, 6 investment projects and 1 TA measure were approved. In total, 7 new projects were approved (decided) in 2001. This included 3 environment projects, 2 transport projects, 1 transport TA, and 1 horizontal TA measure for preparation of EDIS.

Payments in 2001 were confined to first advance payments, as a consequence of tender procedures not being completed during this period. Payment in 2001 amounted to \notin 10.1 million.

Monitoring and Evaluation

The work of the monitoring committee will be supplemented by regular meetings in the EC Delegation offices in Prague, monitoring reports by the implementing bodies and site visits by Commission staff. The first two ISPA monitoring committees were held in 2001.

Co-financing with IFIs

In the course of 2001 co-operation intensified between the Commission and EIB regarding the co-financing of two large projects in the transport sector. Applications were received for one of these projects, namely completion of the Prague-Dresden motorway, but adoption of the project has been postponed due to environmental considerations and the need to revise the cost-benefit analysis. So far there are no specific plans for co-financing with EBRD in the Czech Republic, although this situation is subject to regular review.

EDIS

As the Financing Memorandum for EDIS was only signed at the end of 2001, the main implementation of this important measure is expected in 2002. Some progress has been made. A core group has been established under the auspices of the NIC, and the Czech authorities have started Stage I of the ISPA Road-Map by means of a self-assessment exercise.

Financial Management and Control

An audit visit was conducted by the audit services of the Directorate General for Regional Policy to the Czech Republic in November 2001. This visit had as its objectives to assess to what extent the Czech Republic has established a management and control system in compliance with the provisions of the ISPA Regulation as well as to follow up on the progress made for the implementation of EDIS for ISPA. The main conclusions of this audit were that further progress needs to be made in completing the legal framework of the ISPA implementation structure, improving internal audit capacity, establishing procedures for the handling of irregularities as well as finalising written procedures.

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | % Country/ Sector | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments | Payments 2001 | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| | | | | Envir | onment | | • | | | | |
| Drinking Water | 1 | 380.000 | 380.000 | 285.000 | 0,42% | 228.000 | 0 | 228.000 | 0 | | |
| Drinking Water/ Sewage Water | 1 | 21.569.700 | 19.800.500 | 12.870.325 | 19,10% | 0 | 10.296.260 | 10.296.260 | 28.500 | | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 4 | 110.055.468 | 82.424.450 | 54.229.455 | 80,48% | 27.588.844 | 15.794.720 | 43.383.564 | 3.448.605 | | |
| Sector Total | 6 | 132.005.168 | 102.604.950 | 67.384.780 | 39,31% | 27.816.844 | 26.090.980 | 53.907.824 | 3.477.105 | | |
| | Transport | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rail | 2 | 103.714.340 | 98.083.840 | 45.207.500 | 43,75% | 31.620.130 | 4.317.870 | 35.938.000 | 4.520.750 | | |
| Rail and Road | 1 | 200.000 | 200.000 | 200.000 | 0,19% | 0 | 160.000 | 160.000 | 20.000 | | |
| Road | 4 | 103.683.500 | 96.344.123 | 57.931.474 | 56,06% | 10.551.734 | 35.793.445 | 46.345.179 | 2.101.668 | | |
| Sector Total | 7 | 207.597.840 | 194.627.963 | 103.338.974 | 60.29% | 42.171.864 | 40.271.315 | 82.443.179 | 6.642.418 | | |
| | | | | E | DIS | | | | | | |
| EDIS | 1 | 680.902 | 680.902 | 680.902 | 100% | 0 | 544.722 | 544.722 | 0 | | |
| Sector Total | 1 | 680.902 | 680.902 | 680.902 | 0.40% | 0 | 544.722 | 544.722 | 0 | | |
| TOTAL | 14 | 340.283.910 | 297.913.815 | 171.404.656 | 4,35% | 69.988.708 | 66.907.017 | 136.895.725 | 10.119.523 | | |

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2001 | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Envi | ronment | | | | | | |
| Drinking Water | 1 | 21.569.700 | 19.800.500 | 12.870.325 | 10.296.260 | | | | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 2 | 30.062.000 | 29.262.000 | 19.743.400 | 15.794.720 | | | | |
| Sector Total | 3 | 51.631.700 | 49.062.500 | 32.613.725 | 26.090.980 | | | | |
| Transport | | | | | | | | | |
| Rail and Road | 1 | 200.000 | 200.000 | 200.000 | 160.000 | | | | |
| Road | 2 | 66.508.175 | 61.524.662 | 36.914.797 | 29.531.837 | | | | |
| Sector Total | 3 | 66.708.175 | 61.724.662 | 37.114.797 | 29.691.837 | | | | |
| | | | EDIS | | | | | | |
| EDIS | 1 | 680.902 | 680.902 | 680.902 | 544.722 | | | | |
| Sector Total | 1 | 680.902 | 680.902 | 680.902 | 544.722 | | | | |
| TOTAL | 7 | 119.020.777 | 111.468.064 | 70.409.424 | 56.327.539 | | | | |

 Table 3: Technical Assistance Measures 2000-2001

| PROJECT | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments |
|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TA for the preparation of environment projects | 1 | 380.000 | 380.000 | 285.000 | 228.000 | 0 | 228.000 |
| TA for transport project management | 1 | 200.000 | 200.000 | 200.000 | 0 | 160.000 | 160.000 |
| TA for transport project preparation | 1 | 833.333 | 833.333 | 625.000 | 500.000 | 0 | 500.000 |
| Total | 3 | 1.413.333 | 1.413.333 | 1.110.000 | 730.000 | 160.000 | 888.000 |

14. ESTONIA

In 2001, Estonia received a total ISPA commitment allocation of \notin 29.9 million. This was divided between the transport and environment sectors - \notin 12.2 million and \notin 17.3 million respectively. TA measures in both sectors accounted for \notin 996 000. In addition one TA measure concerned the preparation for EDIS and \notin 348 608 was committed in 2001. The allocation for Estonia represents 2.70 % of the overall ISPA budget in 2001.

Programming

The ISPA programming framework is governed by environment and transport strategies drawn up by the Estonian authorities in agreement with the Commission. These strategies contain indicative lists of priority projects and were reviewed at the end of 2001.

In the case of **transport** infrastructure, emphasis is placed on upgrading the main trans-European networks as Corridor I and connecting links between Corridor I and Corridor IX. Priorities in the road sector include upgrading the Via Baltica and Tallinn-Narva road. Priorities in the rail sector focus on the modernisation of railway lines in the mentioned Corridors and construction of a border station to Russia. The Commission endeavours to foster sustainable transport structures with adequate ISPA support to invest in rail infrastructure. Due to the privatisation of the main rail-links and the particularities of the privatisation legislation, the Commission has not yet found the opportunity to support rail projects in Estonia, with the exception of one measure for technical assistance.

In the **environment** sector, the main priorities are related to the insufficient water and waste water treatment systems. A major negative environmental impact relates to the inadequate water and waste management systems. The main sources of hazardous waste are the oil shale industry and power production in the North-East of the country. The revised ISPA strategy presents a valuable attempt by the Estonian authorities to improve the previous version.

Implementation

The function of National ISPA Co-ordinator in 2001 was carried out by the Minister of Finance.

The Implementing Agencies currently designated for ISPA are the Estonian National Road Administration, Estonian National Railway Administration and the Environmental Investment Centre.

A total of 4 investment projects and 1 TA measure were approved in the **environment** sector, 1 investment project and 1 TA measure in the **transport** sector and 1 horizontal project for EDIS (TA) in 2001. Overall, throughout the period 2000-2001, 9 environment projects (including 2 for TA) and 4 transport projects (including 2 for TA), as well as the TA for EDIS were approved.

The following projects were submitted in 2001 for financing by ISPA but were not approved pending further assessment:

- Pärnu water management
- Narva water management

Preparation for **tendering and contracting** proceeded in the case of all approved projects during the course of 2001. Two works contracts and one service contract have been signed (value \in 16.96 million). In addition two tenders for service contracts were launched during the course of the year.

Payments made up to the end of the year amounted to \notin 7.9 million, representing the first advances on projects approved in 2000 and early 2001.

Monitoring and Evaluation

The work of the monitoring committee will be supplemented by regular meetings in the EC Delegation offices in Tallinn, monitoring reports by the implementing bodies and site visits by Commission staff. The Monitoring Committee met in May and October 2001.

Co-financing with IFIs

One transport project (Via Baltica) out of six ISPA projects approved in 2000 involves EIB financing. Two environment projects (Pärnu landfill and Tartu waste water system) and one road project of eight ISPA projects approved in 2001 involve EIB financing. In total, co-financed projects account for some \notin 51 million of ISPA assistance (62 % of the total approved in 2000 and 2001). The Nordic Banks (NIB, NEFCO) and Nordic environmental protection agencies not only actively contribute to projects invested in by ISPA, but also play a useful role in the provision of technical expertise to help produce high quality applications for ISPA assistance. As a concrete example, NEFCO will provide co-financing for the improvement of the Narva water and wastewater network renovations that are both financed by ISPA.

EDIS

Estonia is well advanced in the EDIS process. ISPA technical assistance was granted in 2001 to support stages I to III of the Road Map to EDIS. Tendering for stages I and III were concluded in 2001 and the contract was signed in January 2002. Stage I was finalised in April 2002. Stage II, 'gap plugging', will start in April or May 2002. It is envisaged that full EDIS status for ISPA should be achieved in Estonia before the end of 2002.

Financial Management and Control

An audit of the financial management and control systems of the ISPA implementing agencies was undertaken in October 2001 by the audit unit of the Directorate-General for Regional Policy. As a general conclusion, Estonia has developed a comprehensive organisation structure and procedures for the implementation of ISPA projects, even though some tasks remain to be clarified and improved upon.

The main findings of the audit mission were sent to the Estonian authorities early in 2002 which set out recommendations on improvements needed to meet the requirements of Article 9 of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1267/1999.

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | % of sector/ country | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments | Payments 2001 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | | | En | vironment | | | | |
| Drinking Water/ Sewage Water | 3 | 18.180.000 | 18.180.000 | 12.954.200 | 27.86% | 0 | 6.317.484 | 6.317.484 | 0 |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 3 | 24.516.150 | 22.996.150 | 15.177.851 | 32.64% | 12.142.281 | 0 | 12.142.281 | 1.517.785 |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 3 | 27.243.489 | 25.883.489 | 18.366.998 | 39.50% | 3.666.000 | 11.027.598 | 14.693.598 | 1.287.750 |
| Sector Total | 9 | 69.939.639 | 67.059.639 | 46.499.049 | 56.39% | 15.808.281 | 17.345.082 | 33.153.363 | 2.805.535 |
| | | | | T | ransport | | | | |
| Rail | 2 | 30.002.955 | 29.902.955 | 22.427.216 | 63.13% | 1.080.000 | 10.538.608 | 11.618.608 | 2.242.721 |
| Road | 2 | 17.671.073 | 17.461.073 | 13.095.805 | 36.87% | 11.331.126 | 1.689.679 | 13.020.805 | 2.832.782 |
| Sector Total | 4 | 47.674.028 | 47.364.028 | 35.523.021 | 43.08% | 12.411.126 | 12.228.287 | 24.639.413 | 5.075.503 |
| | | | | | EDIS | | | | |
| EDIS | 1 | 435.760 | 435.760 | 435.760 | 100% | 0 | 348.608 | 348.608 | 43 576 |
| Sector Total | 1 | 435.760 | 435.760 | 435.760 | 0.53% | 0 | 348.608 | 348.608 | 43 576 |
| TOTAL | 14 | 118.049.427 | 114.859.427 | 82.457.830 | 2.09% | 28.219.407 | 29.921.977 | 58.141.384 | 7.924.614 |

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Committed 2001 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | E | nvironment | | | | | | |
| Drinking Water/Sewage Water | 3 | 18.180.000 | 18.180.000 | 12.954.200 | 6.317.484 | | | | |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 2 | 20.093.489 | 19.773.489 | 13.784.498 | 11.027.598 | | | | |
| Sector Total | 5 | 38.273.489 | 37.953.489 26.738.698 | | 17.345.082 | | | | |
| | | | Transport | | | | | | |
| Rail | 1 | 28.202.955 | 28.102.955 | 21.077.216 | 10.528.608 | | | | |
| Road | 1 | 500.000 | 500.000 | 375.000 | 300.000 | | | | |
| Sector Total | 2 | 28.702.955 | 28.602.955 | 21.452.216 | 10.838.608 | | | | |
| EDIS | | | | | | | | | |
| EDIS | 1 | 435.760 | 435.760 | 435.760 | 348.608 | | | | |
| TOTAL | 8 | 67.412.204 | 66.992.204 | 48.626.474 | 28.532.298 | | | | |

Table 3: Technical Assistance Measures 2000-2001

| PROJECT | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TA Drinking and sewage water | 2 | 1.160.000 | 1.160.000 | 870.000 | 0 | 696.000 | 120.000 |
| TA EIA for Rail | 1 | 1.800.000 | 1.800.000 | 1.350.000 | 1.080.000 | 0 | 1.080.000 |
| TA Road | 1 | 500.000 | 500.000 | 375.000 | 0 | 300.000 | 300.000 |
| Total | 4 | 3.460.000 | 3.460.000 | 2.595.000 | 1.080.000 | 998.001 | 1.500.000 |

15. HUNGARY

In 2001, Hungary received a total commitment allocation of \notin 90.8 million. Of this, \notin 48.2 million was assigned to transport projects and \notin 42.6 million to environment projects. Commitments for technical assistance measures in both sectors accounted for \notin 2.4 million. The overall allocation for Hungary consists of 8.18% of the total ISPA budget for the year.

Programming

The ISPA programming framework is governed by the environment and transport strategies drawn up by the Hungarian authorities in agreement with the Commission. These strategies contain indicative lists of priority projects and are subject to review.

In the case of **transport** infrastructure, the main objectives are:

- Promotion of integration into the EU;
- Improved co-operation with neighbouring countries;
- Contribution to balanced regional development; protection of human life and the environment;
- Effective, market-oriented transport regulations.

In the case of **environment**, the main priorities for ISPA are for the initial stage of ISPA financing (2000-2001) water protection and municipal waste treatment. In addition to these, the priorities for the programming period 2000 - 2006 include the incorporation into the ISPA environment projects of measures to improve air quality. The Hungarian authority's strategic objective is to achieve sustainable development by integrating environmental protection considerations into all sectoral policies.

Implementation

The Implementing Agencies currently designated for ISPA are the Ministry of Transport and Water Management and the Ministry of Environment.

In the **environment** sector, a total of 9 projects, of which 3 are for TA, were approved for ISPA funding in 2001 and a total of 2 projects were approved in the **transport** sector, which includes 1 TA measure. Overall throughout the period 2000-2001, 15 environment projects were approved, where 4 were for technical assistance, and 8 projects were approved in the transport sector, of which TA accounted for 4 projects.

Preparation for **tendering and contracting** proceeded in the case of all approved projects during the course of 2001. In the transport sector, one works contract and three service contracts have been signed, and four additional works tenders have been launched. In the environment sector, one technical assistance project was contracted.

While the whole of the available ISPA allocations were committed in 2000 and 2001, the **payments** made up to the end of the year amounted to only \notin 27.2 million, representing the first advances on projects approved in 2000.

Monitoring and Evaluation

The work of the monitoring committee is supplemented by monthly meetings in the EC Delegation offices in Budapest, monitoring reports by the implementing bodies and site visits by Commission staff. The Monitoring Committee met in April and October 2001.

Co-financing with IFIs

Co-operation with IFIs has been an important feature in Hungary where there has been close contact with the EIB in particular. At the request of the Hungarian government, the EIB approved two framework loans in December 2000, one for each sector, for the projects approved in 2000 to complement ISPA co-financing. The relevant loan documentation was signed in September 2001 and in December 2001 respectively. Furthermore, six environmental projects and one transport project decided in 2001 will, in principle, be co-financed with EIB. All the ISPA projects approved in 2000 involve EIB financing. In total, co-financed projects accounted for some \notin 524 million of ISPA assistance in 2000. For the projects approved in 2001 the appraisal of the EIB is still on-going.

EDIS

The stage I: 'Gap Assessment' which concerns the organisation and capacities of the ISPA Implementing Agencies, was carried out by the Hungarian Government Control Office. It was sent to the Commission in March 2002. The stage II: 'Gap plugging' will be finished by the end of 2002 and stage III 'Compliance assessment' will be launched by the Hungarian authorities at the beginning of 2003.

Financial Management and Control

An audit of the financial management and control systems of the ISPA Implementing Agencies was undertaken in October 2001 by the Directorate-General for Regional Policy's audit unit. The main findings were sent to the Hungarian authorities early in 2002 with recommendations on improvements needed to meet the requirements of Article 9 of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1267/1999.

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | % of Sector/ Country | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments | Payments 2001 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | | | Enviro | nment | | | | |
| Drinking and Sewage Water | 2 | 21.945.000 | 21.897.000 | 10.996.000 | 8.76% | 0 | 8.796.800 | 8.796.800 | 60.750 |
| Drinking/Sewage Water/ Solid Waste | 1 | 405.000 | 405.000 | 303.750 | 0.24% | 0 | 243.000 | 243.000 | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 5 | 124.669.450 | 103.368.200 | 52.568.150 | 41.86% | 20.176.320 | 7.846.453 | 28.022.773 | 4.214.715 |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 7 | 115.776.546 | 99.323.930 | 61.716.154 | 49.14% | 23.654.523 | 25.718.400 | 49.372.923 | 3.859.815 |
| Sector Total | 15 | 262.795.996 | 224.994.130 | 125.584.054 | 37.25% | 43.830.843 | 42.604.653 | 86.435.496 | 8.135.280 |
| | | | | Trans | sport | | | | |
| Rail | 6 | 387.877.796 | 381.716.100 | 191.442.575 | 90.48% | 44.039.860 | 32.171.715 | 76.211.575 | 9.022.615 |
| Road | 2 | 45.841.654 | 40.199.080 | 20.149.540 | 9.52% | 120.000 | 15.999.632 | 16.119.632 | 30.000 |
| Sector Total | 8 | 433.719.450 | 421.915.180 | 211.592.115 | 62.75% | 44.159.860 | 48.171.347 | 92.331.207 | 9.052.615 |
| TOTAL | 23 | 696.515.446 | 646.909.310 | 337.176.169 | 8.56% | 87.990.703 | 90.776.000 | 178.766.703 | 27.187.895 |

| Sector | N° of Total Projects Cost | | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitment 2001 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Er | vironment | | |
| Drinking and Sewage Water | 2 | 21.945.000 | 21.897.000 | 10.996.000 | 8.796.800 |
| Drinking/ Sewage Water and Solid Waste | 1 | 405.000 | 405.000 | 303.750 | 243.000 |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | | | 20.122.000 | 10.421.000 | 7.846.453 |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 4 | 61.447.000 | 57.508.000 | 32.148.000 | 25.718.400 |
| Sector Total | 9 | 103.919.000 | 99.932.000 | 53.868.750 | 42.604.653 |
| | | ٦ | Fransport | | |
| Rail | 1 | 1.980.000 | 1.980.000 | 1.485.000 | 1.188.000 |
| Road | 1 | 45.641.654 | 39.999.080 | 19.999.540 | 15.999.632 |
| Sector Total | 2 | 47.621.654 | 41.979.080 | 21.484.540 | 17.187.632 |
| TOTAL | 11 | 151.540.654 | 141.911.080 | 75.353.290 | 59.792.285 |

 Table 3: Technical Assistance Measures 2000-2001

| PROJECT | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments |
|---|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TA Drinking and Sewage Water | 1 | 190.000 | 190.000 | 142.500 | 0 | 114.000 | 114.000 |
| TA Drinking/ Sewage Water & Solid Waste | 1 | 405.000 | 405.000 | 303.750 | 0 | 243.000 | 243.000 |
| TA Sewage Network Treatment Plant | 1 | 1.440.000 | 1.440.000 | 1.080.000 | 0 | 864.000 | 864.000 |
| TA Rail | 2 | 2.138.100 | 2.138.100 | 1.603.575 | 94.860 | 1.211.715 | 1.306.575 |
| TA Road | 1 | 200.000 | 200.000 | 150.000 | 120.000 | 0 | 120.000 |
| Total | 6 | 4.373.100 | 4.373.100 | 3.279.825 | 216.860 | 2.434.716 | 2.647.575 |

16. LATVIA

In 2001, Latvia received a total commitment allocation of \notin 48.1 million in ISPA assistance. Of this, \notin 21.7 was assigned to transport projects, while \notin 25.8 went to environment projects. Technical assistance measures totalled \notin 3.3 million for both sectors. One TA measure concerned the preparation for EDIS, which amounted to a total ISPA contribution of \notin 700 000. In 2001, \notin 560 000 was committed in 2001. The overall allocation for Latvia consists of 4.33% of the total ISPA budget for the year.

Programming

The ISPA programming framework is governed by environment and transport strategies drawn up by the Latvian authorities in agreement with the Commission. The strategic objectives in the field of **transport** are as follows:

- The Transport Infrastructure Needs Assessment (TINA) network development (Road Corridor I) is the main focus for large-scale investments.
- The existing rail infrastructure is sufficient for the current traffic flows and for an increase in volume. Rehabilitation and upgrading of the existing east-west railway infrastructure as well as safety improvements are the priority in the medium term.

As concerns the **environment strategy**, Latvia faces problems concerning water and waste treatment, which requires large scale investment:

- The major environmental concerns relate to the low levels of wastewater treatment and connection to the sewage network. The water supply systems need to be upgraded in order to expand population coverage. Drinking water quality needs to be improved and the issue of leakage within the water system needs to be addressed.
- The second cause of environmental damage and related health hazards concerns the disposal of mainly urban waste. The 558 known landfills in Latvia at present do not meet European standards, waste is not collected selectively and there is no organised recycling system.

Implementation

The function of National ISPA Co-ordinator is carried out by the Minister for Special Assignment for Co-operation with International Financial Agencies.

The Implementing Agencies designated for ISPA are the Ministry of Transport and the Ministry of Environment. For all measures dedicated project management and implementation units have been set up, often supported by technical assistance.

The implementation of ISPA measures began in 2001 with the signature of 5 contracts in the field of transport concerning the works under the measure 'Via Baltica Road: Gauja-Lilaste', the related supervision contract as well as technical assistance in the rail sector (3 contracts). Two tenders for transport and environment measures (one each) were published in 2001.

A total of 5 new **environment** projects were approved (decided) in 2001, of which 1 was for technical assistance for water and waste. In the **transport** sector, 3 projects

were approved. Finally, one technical assistance measure concerned the preparation of EDIS. Overall, throughout the period 2000-2001, 17 projects were approved. 8 for environment, 8 for transport and in addition, one for EDIS.

While the whole of the available ISPA allocations were committed in 2000 and 2001, the **payments** made up to the end of the year amounted to \notin 9.1 million, representing the first advances on projects approved in 2000, two second advances as well as one interim payment.

Monitoring and Evaluation

The first two ISPA Monitoring Committee meetings were held in May and October 2001. Attention was drawn in the meetings to the slow progress in the implementation of ISPA projects (especially at the tendering and contracting phases) and the limited capacities of the implementing agencies. The overall outcome has been a positive improvement of the implementing agencies and the necessary steps have been taken to reinforce the structures.

Co-financing with IFIs

All the environment infrastructure measures approved for ISPA funds are cofinanced with IFIs. These include four measures with the EIB (Riga and Ventspils Water, Ventspils Solid Waste and East Latvian River basins), four measures with the NIB (Jelgava Water, Liepaja and Ziemelvidzeme Solid Waste and East Latvian River basins), three measures with the NEFCO (Jelgava and Ventspils Water and East Latvian River basins), one measure with the EBRD (Riga Water) and one measure with the World Bank (Liepaja Solid Waste). In the transport sector, the EIB, the EBRD and the World Bank finance parallel projects. In total, joint co-financed measures accounted for some \in 88.7 million of ISPA assistance (this concerns the environment sector only).

EDIS

A technical assistance measure was approved for EDIS at the end of 2001. The tendering of the first stage (or 'gap assessment' of the EDIS Road Map) was launched early in 2002 and should begin in May 2002. This is to examine the capacity of the National Fund, implementing agencies, public financial control and public procurement. The target date for the implementation of EDIS for ISPA is mid 2003.

Financial Management and Control

An audit of the financial management and control systems of the ISPA implementing agencies was undertaken in December 2001 by the Directorate-General for Regional Policy's audit unit. The main findings were sent in April 2002 to the Latvian authorities with recommendations on improvements needed to meet the requirements of Article 9 of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1267/1999. A follow up of the audit will be carried out.

| Sector | N° of projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | % Country/ Sector | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments | Payments 2001 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | Environment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drinking and Sewage Water | 4 | 135.810.000 | 126.973.000 | 82.308.350 | 84.68% | 26.568.260 | 13.925.164 | 40.493.424 | 3.769.235 | | | |
| Drinking/Sewage Water/Solid Waste | 1 | 4.510.000 | 4.510.000 | 3.382.500 | 3.48% | 0 | 2.706.000 | 2.706.000 | | | | |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 3 | 29.397.000 | 19.100.000 | 11.503.800 | 11.84% | 0 | 9.203.040 | 9.203.040 | 303.310 | | | |
| Sector Total | 8 | 169.717.000 | 150.583.000 | 97.194.650 | 44.24% | 26.568.260 | 25.834.204 | 52.402.464 | 4.072.545 | | | |
| | | | | Tran | sport | | | | | | | |
| Rail | 4 | 144.522.669 | 135.791.301 | 101.843.476 | 83.61% | 12.955.328 | 11.927.293 | 24.882.621 | 3.641.195 | | | |
| Road | 4 | 27.616.938 | 26.615.388 | 19.961.541 | 16.39% | 7.225.000 | 9.736.352 | 16.961.352 | 1.371.937 | | | |
| Sector Total | 8 | 172.139.607 | 162.406.689 | 121.805.017 | 55.44% | 20.180.328 | 21.663.645 | 41.843.973 | 5.013.132 | | | |
| | 1 | | 1 | E | DIS | | | | | | | |
| EDIS | 1 | 700.000 | 700.000 | 700.000 | 100% | 0 | 560.000 | 60.000 | 0 | | | |
| Sector Total | 1 | 700.000 | 700.000 | 700.000 | 0.32% | 0 | 560.000 | 60.000 | 0 | | | |
| TOTAL | 17 | 342.446.608 | 313.689.690 | 219.699.667 | 5.58% | 46.748.588 | 48.057.849 | 94.806.437 | 9.085.677 | | | |

| Sector | N° of Total Projects Cost | | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Committed 2001 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Env | vironment | | |
| Drinking/ Sewage water | 1 | 71.737.000 | 67.600.000 | 44.616.000 | 10.339.544 |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 3 | 29.397.000 | 19.100.000 | 11.503.800 | 9.203.040 |
| Drinking/ sewage water/ solid waste | 1 | 4.510.000 | 4.510.000 | 3.382.500 | 2.706.000 |
| Sector Total | 5 | 105.644.000 | 91.210.000 | 59.502.300 | 22.248.584 |
| | | T | ransport | | |
| Rail | 1 | 89.950.964 | 89.950.964 | 67.463.223 | 9.654.378 |
| Road | 2 | 15.672.138 | 15.060.588 | 11.295.441 | 9.036.352 |
| Sector Total | 3 | 105.623.102 | 105.011.552 | 78.758.664 | 18.690.730 |
| | | | EDIS | | |
| EDIS | 1 | 700.000 | 700.000 | 700.000 | 560.000 |
| TOTAL | 9 | 211.967.102 | 196.921.552 | 138.960.964 | 41.499.314 |

 Table 3: Technical Assistance Measures 2000-2001

| PROJECT | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TA for environment projects | 1 | 4.510.000 | 4.510.000 | 3.382.500 | 0 | 2.706.000 | 2.706.000 |
| TA for rail signaling and safety | 1 | 386.991 | 386.991 | 290.243 | 255.000 | 35.243 | 290.243 |
| TA for transport projects | 1 | 860.000 | 860.000 | 645.000 | 0 | 516.000 | 516.000 |
| Total | 3 | 5.756.991 | 5.756.991 | 4.317.743 | 255.000 | 3.259.244 | 3.512.243 |

17. LITHUANIA

In 2001, Lithuania received a total commitment allocation of \notin 50.5 million. This was divided between the transport and environment sectors - \notin 14.5 million and \notin 35.7 million respectively. Technical assistance measures in both sectors accounted for \notin 6.3 million. In addition, one TA measure was committed for the preparation of EDIS for which \notin 305.136 was committed in 2001. The allocation for Lithuania represents 4.55 % of the overall ISPA budget in 2001.

Programming

In the **transport** sector, the strategy presented to the Commission in December 1999 complies with EU guidelines. The Commission and the Lithuanian authorities have identified the following main priorities for ISPA funding:

- Completing and improving the European Corridors that pass through Lithuania (I, IA, IXB, IXD).
- Rail projects are of particular significance, with plans to completely renew the East-West Corridors IXB and IXD.
- Development of a European gauge track linking the Polish border to a Logistics and Interchange centre at Kaunas: outline agreement has been reached with Poland on a strategy to upgrade the route.

In the **environment** sector, the strategy was presented to the Commission in September 2000 and includes an outline of the project pipeline for the first three years of the programme. This has identified projects in the field of:

- Water
- Waste water
- Solid waste

A strategy for air quality is now under development and the first projects are expected in 2003-2004.

For water and waste water improvements, an approach by catchment/drainage area has been adopted and the first projects are due in 2002

Implementation

In June 2001 the function of National ISPA Co-ordinator was transferred from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs to the Ministry of Finance.

The Implementing Agencies currently designated for ISPA are the Transport Investments Directorate in the Ministry of Transport and the ISPA Implementing Agency of the Ministry of Environment. However, the CFCU is also responsible for the implementation of ISPA environmental projects until the Implementing Agency established by the Ministry of Environment is fully operational.

Preparation for **tendering and contracting** proceeded well as outlined here.

Only one project achieved significant physical progress in 2001: the first phase of 2000/LT/16/P/PT/001, Upgrading of IXB Transport Corridor (Stage 1), was

tendered, contracted and largely implemented during the summer months. Tenders were published for 2000/LT/16/P/PT/002, Development of Via Baltica road in 2000 – 2003 (Pan European Corridor I), 2000/LT/16/P/PT/003, Development of Pan-European Corridor IA in the Years 2001-2004 and 2000/LT/16/P/PT/004, Modernisation of Telecommunications, Power Supply and Signalling on Crete Corridor No IX B but it was not possible to sign contracts for these projects during the year. On the environment side, tenders were published for 2000/LT/16/P/PE/001 Rehabilitation and Extension of Water Supply and Sewage Collection Systems in Vilnius (Stages I and II), and 2001/LT/16/P/PA/002 (support to the project preparation activities for ISPA environment projects).

A total of 9 **environment** projects, 6 **transport** projects and 1 TA for EDIS were approved for funding by ISPA between 2000 and 2001. In 2001, a total of 11 projects were approved (decided). This included 6 investment and 1 TA project in the environment sector, and 2 investment and 1 TA project in the transport sector, as well as a TA measure for EDIS.

The ISPA contribution to projects in 200 and 2001 was largely committed and **payments** made up to the end of the year amounted to \notin 12.39 million, representing the first advances on projects approved in 2000 and notably the second advance and first intermediate payment for the project 2000/LT/16/P/PT/001.

Monitoring and Evaluation

ISPA Co-ordinator to review the technical and financial progress of projects. The work of the monitoring committee will be supplemented by regular meetings in the EC Delegation offices in Lithuania, monitoring reports by the implementing bodies and site visits by Commission staff.

The ISPA Co-ordinator is responsible for ensuring that the reports on implementation of each project are drawn up, for submission in draft to the Joint Monitoring Committee and, ultimately, to the Commission. The first two meetings were held in May and October 2001.

Co-financing with IFIs

All the projects approved for financing in 2000 were co-financed with the EIB, normally through allocations from global loan agreements.

In 2001, the EBRD also co-financed a project in the environment sector, while the EIB continued to be present. The same situation is expected in 2002. The Nordic Investment Bank and other Nordic financing institutions are involved in project preparation at present – specifically they are involved in an environmental framework facility for investments in the municipal sector.

EDIS

Lithuania presented its proposals in response to the Commissions' Road Map for EDIS in 2001. Support for these was agreed in the form of technical assistance, allocated at the end of the year for implementation of Stage I. It is hoped that EDIS will be in place for ISPA by end 2002.

Financial Management and Control

An audit of the financial management and control systems of the ISPA implementing agencies was undertaken in December 2001 by the Directorate-General for Regional Policy's audit unit. The main findings were sent to the Lithuanian authorities early in 2002 with recommendations on improvements needed to meet the requirements of Article 9 of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1267/1999. A follow up of the audit will be carried out during 2002.

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | % Country/ Sector | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments | Payments 2001 |
|--|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | | | Env | ironment | _ | | | 2001 |
| Drinking Water/ sewage water | 3 | 60.075.400 | 56.412.900 | 28.527.850 | 41,97% | 16.000.000 | 6.822.280 | 22.822.280 | 2.000.000 |
| Drinking/ Sewage Water/ Solid Waste | 1 | 9.330.000 | 9.330.000 | 6.997.500 | 10,30% | 0 | 5.598.000 | 5.598.000 | 0 |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 2 | 37.414.000 | 27.859.000 | 18.624.890 | 27,40% | 2.200.000 | 12.699.912 | 14.899.912 | 0 |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 3 | 23.857.594 | 23.857.594 | 13.818.874 | 20,33% | 0 | 10.555.725 | 10.555.725 | 0 |
| Sector Total | 9 | 130.676.994 | 117.459.494 | 67.969.114 | 47.32% | 18.200.000 | 35.675.917 | 53.875.917 | 2.000.000 |
| | | | | Tra | ansport | | | | |
| Rail | 3 | 61.463.000 | 60.144.000 | 24.314.780 | 32.30% | 9.129.888 | 10.321.936 | 19.451.824 | 1.234.986 |
| Road | 3 | 72.631.226 | 67.943.787 | 50.957.590 | 67,70% | 24.912.640 | 4.161.528 | 29.074.168 | 5.070.260 |
| Sector Total | 6 | 134.094.226 | 128.087.787 | 75.272.370 | 52.41% | 34.042.528 | 14.483.464 | 48.525.992 | 6.305.246 |
| | | | | | EDIS | | | | |
| EDIS | 1 | 381.420 | 381.420 | 381.420 | 100.00% | 0 | 305.136 | 305.136 | 0 |
| Sector Total | 1 | 381.420 | 381.420 | 381.420 | 0.27% | 0 | 305.136 | 305.136 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 16 | 265.152.640 | 245.928.701 | 143.522.904 | 3.65% | 52.242.528 | 50.464.517 | 102.707.045 | 8.305.246 |

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2001 |
|--|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | Environment | | |
| Drinking Water/Sewage Water | 2 | 16.790.900 | 16.412.900 | 8.527.850 | 6.822.280 |
| Drinking/ Sewage Water/ Solid Waste | 1 | 9.330.000 | 9.330.000 | 6.997.500 | 5.598.000 |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 1 | 31.914.000 | 22.359.000 | 15.874.890 | 12.699.912 |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 3 | 23.857.594 | 23.857.594 | 13.818.874 | 10.555.725 |
| Sector Total | 7 | 81.892.494 | 71.959.494 | 45.219.114 | 35.675.917 |
| | | | Transport | | |
| Rail | 2 | 29.762.000 | 28.443.000 | 12.902.420 | 10.321.936 |
| Road | 1 | 29.671.945 | 26.422.387 | 19.816.790 | 4.161.528 |
| Sector Total | 3 | 59.433.945 | 54.865.387 | 32.719.210 | 14.483.464 |
| | | | EDIS | | |
| EDIS | 1 | 381.420 | 381.420 | 381.420 | 305.136 |
| Sector Total | 1 | 381.420 | 381.420 | 381.420 | 305.136 |
| TOTAL | 11 | 141.707.859 | 127.206.301 | 78.319.744 | 50.464.517 |

 Table 3: Technical Assistance Measures 2000-2001

| PROJECT | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TA for environment projects | 1 | 9.330.000 | 9.330.000 | 6.997.500 | 0 | 5.598.000 | 5.598.000 |
| TA for rail Projects | 1 | 2.160.000 | 1.250.000 | 937.500 | 0 | 750.000 | 750.000 |
| Total | 2 | 11.490.000 | 10.580.000 | 7.935.000 | 0 | 6.350.001 | 6.348.000 |

18. POLAND

In 2001, Poland received a commitment total of \notin 406.6 million. Of this, \notin 228.0 million was assigned to environment projects, while \notin 177.6 million went to transport projects. Technical assistance measures in both sectors received \notin 5.5 million. One TA measure concerned the preparation for EDIS. The total amount committed in 2001 was \notin 984 000. The overall allocation for Poland consists of 36.65% of the total ISPA budget for the year.

Programming

The Polish Government has prepared national strategy papers for priorities in the transport and environment sectors which form the basis for the selection of large scale multi-annual projects. The environment strategy has been up-dated since ISPA began in 2000 to reflect new information and recent developments.

For **transport**, the allocation of funds in 2001 followed the same pattern as in the year 2000. The focus remained on large scale projects on the major routes and particular effort was made to successfully achieve an appropriate balance between road and rail projects. Therefore in 2001, the balance of project financing stood at 54% for road and 46% for rail.

In the **environment** sector, again in line with the environment strategy, ISPA continued to provide funding in 2001 for major projects in three of the four areas which are eligible for support. These were waste water, which produced the largest number of projects and received the largest allocation of assistance, drinking water provision and solid waste treatment. As in 2000, assistance continued to be targeted toward the largest population centres. The projects approved included combined drinking water and waste water projects in Poznan, Wroclaw and Suwalki, and Bialystok, of which the Poznan project is intended to be implemented based on a public-private partnership approach, waste water projects in Katowice, Gliwice, Warsaw, Gdynia, Rybnik, Olsztyn and Przemysl and finally two solid waste project in Lodz.

Implementation

The function of the National ISPA Co-ordinator is carried out by he Under-secretary of State Office of the Committee for European Integration.

In the environment sector the National Fund for Environmental Protection and Water Management is the implementing body for the sector and, in general, municipalities are the final beneficiaries.

For some projects the implementing bodies and the final beneficiaries are the same. This is the case as regards the transport sector, where the General Directorate for Public Roads (GDDP) is at the same time the implementing agency and the final beneficiary for road projects and the Polish railway company (PKP) for rail projects.

A total of 21 **environment** projects, 13 **transport** projects and one TA for EDIS were approved for ISPA funding in 2000 and 2001. This included 2 transport project TA measures and 1 TA for environment projects. 19 new projects were approved

(decided) in 2001. This included 13 environment projects, 5 transport projects and 1 TA for the preparation of transport projects.

While the preparation and approval of projects has been proceeding reasonably well - particularly for environment projects - implementation in both sectors has been slow. No works have yet commenced. The first contracts for supervision of transport projects were approved in late December 2001. (For the environment sector this took place in January 2002). The first contracts for construction are likely to be signed in the first half of 2002 in both sectors. The main reason for the slow progress of implementation has been the lack of experience of the Polish contracting authorities with tendering and contracting procedures. However, this is being addressed and reinforced with technical assistance measures over time.

The situation concerning **payments** reflects the slow pace of implementation. To date only \notin 46 million has been paid (\notin 26 million on transport and \notin 20 million on environment). Further delay is due to the fact that the request for the first advance payment is only put forward after the signature of an internal financing agreement between the Ministry of Finance and the sectoral ministries (either the Ministry of the Environment or the Ministry of Infrastructure) on a project-by-project basis.

Monitoring and Evaluation

Overall monitoring and evaluation is supported by regular meetings in the EC Delegation offices monitoring reports by the implementing bodies and site visits by Commission staff. The participants include the National ISPA Co-ordinator (UKIE - Office of the Committee for European Integration), the sectoral co-ordinators, the Ministries of Infrastructure and Environment, the National Fund for Environmental Protection and the final beneficiaries. The IFIs which are involved financially in many of the ISPA projects also attend these meetings.

The first meeting of the Committee in 2001 was held in April and the second in October. For one of the rail measures it has been agreed that an ad hoc monitoring committee should be set up to take into account the various projects included under this measure.

Co-financing with IFIs

There is a close working relationship between ISPA and IFIs - the EBRD in particular, who is providing loan finance to one of the eleven ISPA projects approved last year - waste water, Rybnik. The EBRD also structured and co-ordinated a public-private partnership framework which is intended to be the basis for the implementation of the Poznan water project.

The EIB will provide a global loan for three of the environment projects approved last year for Katowice and Lodz and a waste water project in Warsaw.

In the transport sector the EIB plays an active role by means of joint co-financing for road and rail projects. In 2001 one rail and two road projects were approved.

EDIS

Technical assistance was agreed upon to support the Polish authorities with their preparation for EDIS. The Financing Memorandum was signed by the Commission in early December 2001. This Financing Memorandum covers the first three stages of EDIS: the gap assessment, EDIS preparation, and the compliance assessment; it also provides indicative Terms of Reference for Phases I and III.

The EDIS exercise may be completed by the end of 2002. This would be in time for the application of the system prior enlargement. However, this largely depends on the capacity of the Polish authorities to contract the required external assistance in good time.

Financial Management and Control

At the end of October 2001, the audit unit of the Directorate General for Regional Policy carried out a review of the adequacy of the ISPA management and control systems in Poland. The findings were sent to the Polish authorities early in 2002 with recommendations for improvements to be made in compliance with Article 9 of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1267/1999. During the course of 2002, a follow-up audit is to be carried out.

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | % of Country/ Sector | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments | Payments 2001 | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | Environment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drinking Water | 4 | 9.034.712 | 8.501.659 | 4.335.846 | 0,80% | 3.468.677 | 0 | 3.468.677 | 433.585 | | | |
| Drinking Water/ Sewage Water | 7 | 126.029.000 | 119.960.000 | 70.231.300 | 13,88% | 0 | 55.719.200 | 55.719.200 | 3.654.000 | | | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 9 | 741.633.700 | 702.166.900 | 415.018.186 | 76.13% | 107.473.576 | 149.903.466 | 257.377.042 | 7.680.878 | | | |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 4 | 94.586.910 | 85.624.950 | 55.556.047 | 10,19% | 22.046.336 | 22.398.501 | 44.444.837 | 4.257.205 | | | |
| Sector Total | 21 | 971.284.322 | 916.253.509 | 545.141.379 | 38,88% | 132.988.589 | 228.021.167 | 361.009.756 | 16.025.668 | | | |
| | | | | Trar | nsport | | | | | | | |
| Rail | 7 | 538.555.393 | 466.284.640 | 344.498.499 | 40.28% | 72.846.465 | 82.579.454 | 155.425.919 | 9.344.672 | | | |
| Road | 6 | 735.315.076 | 680.993.000 | 510.744.750 | 59,72% | 100.802.601 | 94.982.225 | 195.784.826 | 20.878.225 | | | |
| Sector Total | 13 | 1.273.870.469 | 1.447.277.640 | 855.243.249 | 61,00% | 173.649.066 | 177.561.679 | 351.210.745 | 30.222.897 | | | |
| | | | | E | DIS | | | | | | | |
| EDIS | 1 | 1.630.000 | 1.630.000 | 1.630.000 | 100,00% | 320.000 | 984.000 | 1.304.000 | 0 | | | |
| Sector Total | 1 | 1.630.000 | 1.630.000 | 1.630.000 | 0,12% | 320.000 | 984.000 | 1.304.000 | 0 | | | |
| Total | 35 | 2.246.784.791 | 2.065.161.149 | 1.402.014.628 | 35.60% | 306.957.655 | 406.566.846 | 713.524.501 | 46.248.565 | | | |

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Total Eligible Cost Value | | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2001 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Environment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drinking Water/ waste Water | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 7 | 455.584.200 | 427.030.400 | 245.076.266 | 145.114.286 | | | | | | |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 2 | 50.372.910 | 42.492.950 | 27.998.127 | 22.398.501 | | | | | | |
| Sector Total | 13 | 631.986.110 | 589.483.350 | 343.305.693 | 223.231.987 | | | | | | |
| | | т | ransport | | | | | | | | |
| Rail | 4 | 380.360.489 | 317.122.560 | 232.626.920 | 57.898.798 | | | | | | |
| Road | 2 | 430.867.000 | 390.885.000 | 293.163.750 | 59.211.000 | | | | | | |
| Sector Total | 6 | 811.227.489 | 708.007.560 | 525.790.670 | 117.109.798 | | | | | | |
| Total | 19 | 1.443.213.599 | 1.297.490.910 | 869.096.363 | 340.341.785 | | | | | | |

 Table 3: Technical Assistance Measures 2000-2001

| PROJECT | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TA Sewage Network Treatment Plant | 1 | 3.412.500 | 3.412.500 | 3.412.500 | 2.730.000 | 682.500 | 3.412.500 |
| TA for rail Projects | 2 | 15.840.000 | 15.840.000 | 6.665.000 | 564.000 | 4.768.000 | 5.332.000 |
| Total | 3 | 19.252.500 | 19.252.500 | 10.077.500 | 5.452.501 | 5.452.501 | 8.744.500 |

19. ROMANIA

In 2001, Romania received a total commitment of \notin 245.6 million of ISPA assistance. Of the 2001 allocation, \notin 122.8 million was assigned to transport projects and \notin 122.8 went to environment projects. Technical assistance commitments in 2001 accounted for \notin 2.5 million. The allocation for Romania represents 22.14 % of the overall ISPA budget in 2001.

Programming

The ISPA programming framework is governed by transport and environment sectoral strategies drawn up by the Romanian authorities in agreement with the Commission. This success in programming has benefited from good and timely preparation of projects, most of the related technical assistance having been provided by the Phare programme.

Strategic objectives in the field of transport stem from serious weaknesses in the network of roads and railways. At the same time, there is the necessity of upgrading to European standards and of dealing with increased traffic capacity around urban areas. The use of waterways should also be developed for sustainability.

The Romanian Government has defined as a priority for ISPA financing the modernisation of well travelled sections of the three trans-European corridors crossing the country while maintaining a reasonable balance between road, rail and waterways:

- **Corridor IV:** from Hungary to Constanta (East Branch) and from Hungary to Bulgaria (South Branch) with a view of raising most of this rail/road corridor to EU standards.
- **Corridor VII:** Danube River from the Yugoslavian border to the delta (Ukrainian border), where navigability has to be improved, fluvial ports modernised and intermodal nodes developed.
- **Corridor IX:** from the Ukrainian and Moldavian borders to the Bulgarian border, an accent being put on the road and rail accesses to the new bridge on the Danube in Calafat-Vidin.

In the field of **environment**, Romania faces acute problems concerning air, water and soil pollution, which require large investment as well as the participation of both the public and the private sector.

The major environmental impact relates to the poor quality of water, which results from the discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater. A second cause of environmental damages and related health hazards is the uncontrolled disposal of mainly urban waste.. The Commission favours an integrated river basin approach for water and waste management projects.

Implementation

The function of National ISPA Co-ordinator is carried out by the Minister for European Integration.

As in the current state of play, most of the final beneficiaries in the environment sector (utility companies or municipalities) do not yet have the capacity to successfully carry out large-scale contracts, the CFCU is also the contracting authority for most of the contracts for ISPA environment projects. A number of tasks relating to technical monitoring will be delegated from the CFCU to the final beneficiaries through implementing agreements.

The Commission will provide in 2002 appropriate technical assistance to these agencies to ensure effective project management and implementation in line with EU requirements for tendering, contracting, monitoring and financial control.

The Ministry of Public Works, Transport and Housing and the Ministry of Waters and Environment Protection are the line ministries and act as Implementing Authorities.

As regards implementation, a total of 19 service contracts for design, supervision and technical assistance were launched in 2001 (9 in the transport and 10 in the environment sectors) of which nine were signed within the year (7 in the transport and 2 in the environment sector). Five works tenders were launched in 2001 of which 1 in the transport sector and 4 in the environment sector.

A total of 14 new projects were approved in 2001. These included 9 **environment** projects and 5 **transport** projects. Throughout the whole of 2000-2001, there were 22 projects, of which 14 were for the environment and 8 for transport. Amongst these, there were 3 TA projects, 2 for transport and 1 for environment project preparation.

While the whole of the available ISPA allocations were fully committed in 2000 and 2001, the **payments** made up to the end of the year amounted to \notin 65.6 million, representing the first advances on all projects approved in 2000 and some projects approved in the early part of 2002.

Monitoring and Evaluation

The first two ISPA Monitoring Committee meetings were held in June and October 2001.

Attention was drawn in the meetings to the slow progress in the implementation of ISPA measures (especially in the tendering and contracting phases), the slow progress in fulfilling the conditionalities under Art. 8 of the Financing Memoranda in the environment sector, the need to improve the quality of the monitoring reports prepared for the Monitoring Committees and the need to strengthen the management capacity of the implementing agencies.

Co-financing with IFIs

Overall, all but two of the ISPA environment measures are co-financed with IFIs. These include five measures with the EIB (two in 2000, i.e. Craiova and Braila, and three in 2001, i.e. Cluj, Focsani and Pascani) and six measures with the EBRD (two in 2000, i.e. Constanta and Iasi, and four in 2001, i.e. Arad, Oradea, Timisoara and Targu Mures). One measure approved in 2000 (Piatra Neamt) will be co-financed by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency. In the transport sector, the EIB and the EBRD finance parallel projects. In total, joint co-financed measures accounted for some € 352.9 million of ISPA assistance (in the environment sector).

It is anticipated that this co-operation will continue throughout the whole ISPA programming period given that the level of investment needs stand well above the ISPA allocation.

EDIS

Initial moves towards completing Stage I of the EDIS Road Map have been made in 2001 with a final gap assessment report produced in January 2002. However, the 'gap assessment' was commissioned by the EC Delegation in Romania and not by the Romanian authorities as required by the EDIS Road Map. So "de facto" a gap assessment exists, but the Romanian authorities must now confirm that that the National Authorising Officer can accept the Gap Assessment report study initiated by the EC Delegation as the outcome of Stage I of the EDIS Road Map and it should then initiate the next stages of the Road Map, in particular with a view to establishing a timetable for the moves to EDIS. Technical Assistance under ISPA will be approved in 2002 for the first phase of 'gap plugging'.

Financial Management and Control

An audit of the financial management and control systems of the ISPA implementing agencies was undertaken only in early January 2002 by the Directorate General for Regional Policy' audit unit. The main findings were sent in May 2002 to the Romanian authorities with recommendations on improvements needed to meet the requirements of Art. 9 of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1267/1999. A follow-up of the audit will be carried out at the end of 2002 to cover more detailed assessment of public procurement procedures and the final beneficiaries in the environment sector.

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | % Country/ Sector | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments | Payments 2001 | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Environment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drinking Water/ Sewage Water | 4 | 157.090.213 | 142.305.200 | 106.728.900 | 28,69% | 23.839.250 | 46.218.370 | 70.057.620 | 3.853.350 | | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 9 | 346.864.553 | 344.164.553 | 254.846.150 | 68,51% | 88.454.483 | 76.598.139 | 165.052.622 | 9.222.654 | | |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 1 | 14.575.000 | 13.846.000 | 10.384.500 | 2,79% | 8.307.600 | 0 | 8.307.600 | 1.038.450 | | |
| Sector Total | 14 | 518.529.566 | 500.315.753 | 371.959.550 | 36,67% | 120.601.333 | 122.816.509 | 243.417.842 | 24.114.454 | | |
| | | | | Tran | sport | | | | | | |
| Rail | 2 | 323.378.192 | 309.772.608 | 232.329.441 | 36,17% | 72.779.441 | 480.000 | 73.259.441 | 23.172.944 | | |
| Road | 6 | 642.982.642 | 554.465.237 | 409.926.024 | 63.83% | 45.847.696 | 122.332.000 | 168.179.696 | 18.281.165 | | |
| Sector Total | 8 | 966.360.834 | 864.237.845 | 642.255.465 | 63.33% | 118.627.137 | 122.812.000 | 241.439.137 | 41.454.109 | | |
| TOTAL | 22 | 1.484.890.400 | 1.356.656.394 | 782.118.015 | 25.76% | 239.228.470 | 245.628.509 | 493.164.579 | 65.568.563 | | |

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2001 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Environment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drinking Water/ Sewage Water | 2 | 44.211.400 | 44.171.400 | 33.128.550 | 14.684.025 | | | | | | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 7 | 231.228.713 | 214.305.700 | 157.452.010 | 83.853.763 | | | | | | |
| Sector Total | 9 | 275.440.113 | 258.477.100 | 190.580.560 | 98.537.788 | | | | | | |
| | | Tra | nsport | | | | | | | | |
| Rail | 1 | 850.000 | 800.000 | 600.000 | 480.000 | | | | | | |
| Road | 4 | 444.289.499 | 393.039.205 | 393.039.205 | 114.690.000 | | | | | | |
| Sector Total | 5 | 445.139.499 | 393.839.205 | 393.639.205 | 115.170.000 | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 14 | 720.579.612 | 652.316.305 | 584.219.765 | 213.707.788 | | | | | | |

 Table 3: Technical Assistance Measures 2000-2001

| PROJECT | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TA Sewage Network Treatment Plant | 1 | 1.810.000 | 1.810.000 | 1.357.500 | 0 | 1.086.000 | 1.086.000 |
| TA for Rail Projects | 1 | 850.000 | 800.000 | 600.000 | 0 | 480.000 | 480.000 |
| TA Road Projects | 1 | 1.500.000 | 1.500.000 | 1.125.000 | 0 | 900.000 | 900.000 |
| Total | 3 | 4.160.000 | 4.110.000 | 3.082.500 | 0 | 2.468.001 | 2.466.000 |

20. SLOVAKIA

In 2001, Slovakia received a total commitment allocation of \notin 48.1 million. This was divided between the transport and environment sectors - \notin 24.7 million and \notin 23.4 million respectively. Technical Assistance accounted for \notin 763 056. The allocation for Slovakia represents 4.33% of the overall ISPA budget in 2001.

Programming

The programming framework for transport in 2001 followed the strategy drawn up by the Slovak authorities and the Commission. In December 2001 the Slovak government updated its national ISPA strategy for this sector, however the overall principles for establishing these priorities were not changed. The priorities focused on the following objectives:

- Build-up and modernisation of transport infrastructure in the multi-modal corridors in line with European norms
- Development of international roads and their interconnection with the European Roads network
- Integration of passenger transportation systems
- Promotion of combined road/rail transport
- Ensure compliance of transport infrastructure with environmental concerns.

In the field of **environment**, the Slovak government's strategic objective is to preserve the current quality of the environment and to restore the condition of damaged and contaminated areas. Legislative reform, institutional restructuring and environmental investment programmes are all involved in meeting this objective. The priorities include a number of areas:

- Water quality improvement is a priority given the widespread discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater from the main population centres. This requires first of all, construction and re-construction of sewerage networks and waste water treatment plants, as well as further measures focusing on selected industries.
- For waste management, measures centre on building a network of regional waste landfills and incinerators for disposal of hazardous and non-hazardous wastes, systematic recultivation of landfill locations, reduction of production of hazardous wastes, intensification of separated waste collection and increased of use of separated elements of municipal waste.
- As regards air pollution, although emissions have decreased due to the decline of heavy industry and reductions in the use of brown coal, there are still serious problems in some 20 identified regional "hotspots".

The European Commission has suggested to the Slovak government to diversify the project pipeline, as in reality all projects prepared so far have focused on water management.

Programming in the environment area is currently hampered by a lack of resources within the Ministry of Environment as well as by the lack of transparency in the ongoing water management reform. The finalisation of this reform – which foresees the establishment of municipally owned regional water companies – would no doubt remove an important obstacle to systematic, needs and efficiency based programming.

Implementation

The function of National ISPA Co-ordinator is carried out by the Deputy Prime Minister.

Three ISPA Implementing Agencies have been established for the areas of environment and transport:

- The Implementing Agency for Environment Projects
- The Implementing Agency for Road Projects
- The Implementing Agency for Rail Projects

All three Implementing Agencies are now operational.

In the **environment** sector, a total of 6 investment projects and 1 TA measure were approved for funding by ISPA in 2000-2001. For the **transport** sector, 3 investment projects were approved in 2000-2001. For the year 2001 alone, 3 environment and 1 transport project were approved. This included 1 TA measure and 3 investment projects in the environment sector and 2 transport investment projects. One project application concerning waste water treatment in the town Topolčany, was not approved, as it did not correspond to the ISPA strategy.

Preparation of **tendering** and **contracting** has continued throughout the year. One tender for works was launched by the Railway Implementing Agency (Budget: \notin 51m). The preparation of environment tenders has been slow, and apart from a few tenders for technical assistance, no tenders have been launched in this sector

The technical assistance project for project preparation (Total cost: \in 1.3m) has been partially implemented, and has led so far to three finished project proposals, out of which two were presented to the Commission and one approved. Further project applications are under preparation and will be presented to the Commission in 2002.

The **payments** made up to the end of the year amounted to \notin 4 million linked to first advances for projects approved in 2000.

Monitoring and Evaluation

In 2001 there were two ISPA Monitoring Committees, held in June and November. The quality of the monitoring documentation varied substantially from one project to the next. However, the discussions of the committee were fruitful and enabled a good perspective of the implementation of each project.

Co-financing with IFIs

Close co-operation with the EIB continued in the environment sector. A global framework loan through a Slovakian state guaranteed bank has been under negotiation, but no agreement was finalized.

An agreement with the EIB was reached over the co-financing of the transport project. Whilst ISPA finances $\notin 27$ million, the EIB agreed to finance $\notin 40$ million and the Slovak government $\notin 19$ million. At the end of 2001, the Slovak government had, however, not yet made a final decision on whether or not to actually use this loan.

Other EIB loan facilities are in principle available (though a global loan) for co-financing of the railway projects 'Bratislava – Senkvice' and 'Senkvice – Cífer'. However, the Slovak government has yet to decide whether such loans are needed.

EDIS

Towards the end of 2001 the Slovak government was negotiating a technical assistance project with the European Commission, in order to accelerate the preparation of the extended decentralisation of ISPA management.

Considerable strengthening of capacity will be required, particularly in the environment sector. Provisions for Internal Control and Audit have been made within the Slovakian Central Administration. Some of the structures established for these purposes, however, still need to become fully operational in order to move towards EDIS.

Financial Management and Control

An audit of the financial management and control systems of the ISPA implementing agencies was scheduled for January 2002 by the Directorate-General for Regional Policy's audit unit. The main findings will be sent to the Slovak authorities following this with recommendations on improvements needed to meet the requirements of Article 9 of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1267/1999. A follow up of the audit will be carried out later in 2002.

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | % Country/ Sector | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments | Payments 2001 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | | | En | vironment | : | | | |
| Drinking/ Sewage Water | 1 | 1.271.760 | 1.271.760 | 953.820 | 1,97% | 0 | 763.056 | 763.056 | 190.764 |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 6 | 111.142.843 | 95.066.025 | 47.410.056 | 98,03% | 11.606.372 | 22.596.722 | 34.203.094 | 0 |
| Sector Total | 7 | 112.414.603 | 96.337.785 | 48.363.876 | 28,04% | 11.606.372 | 23.359.778 | 34.966.150 | 190.764 |
| | | | | т | ransport | | | | |
| Rail | 2 | 193.692.000 | 168.281.000 | 96.996.000 | 78,13% | 30.853.200 | 5.693.630 | 36.546.830 | 3.856.650 |
| Road | 1 | 70.938.000 | 52.210.000 | 27.149.200 | 21,87% | 0 | 19.004.440 | 19.004.440 | 0 |
| Sector Total | 3 | 264.630.000 | 220.491.000 | 124.145.200 | 71,96% | 30.853.200 | 24.698.070 | 55.551.270 | 3.856.650 |
| TOTAL | 10 | 377.044.603 | 316.828.785 | 172.509.076 | 4,38% | 42.459.572 | 48.057.848 | 90.517.420 | 4.047.414 |

Table 1: Projects Decided and Commitments 2000-2001

Table 2: Projects Decided in 2001

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2001 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Environ | ment | | |
| Drinking Water/ Sewage Water | 953.820 | 763.056 | | | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 3 | 34.229.120 | 31.306.426 | 15.530.256 | 12.424.205 |
| Sector Total | 4 | 25.500.880 | 32.578.186 | 16.484.076 | 13.187.261 |
| | | Transp | port | | |
| Rail | 1 | 136.329.000 | 116.859.000 | 58.429.500 | 5.693.630 |
| Road | 1 | 70.938.000 | 52.210.000 | 27.149.200 | 19.004.440 |
| Sector Total | 2 | 207.267.000 | 169.069.000 | 85.578.700 | 24.698.070 |
| TOTAL | 6 | 242.767.880 | 201.647.186 | 102.062.776 | 37.885.331 |

Table 3: Technical Assistance Measures 2000-2001

| PROJECT | N° of | Total | Total Eligible | Total ISPA | Commitments | Commitments | Total |
|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Projects | Cost | Value | Contribution | 2000 | 2001 | Commitments |
| TA environment project preparation | 1 | 1.271.760 | 1.271.760 | 953.820 | 0 | 763.056 | 763.056 |

21. SLOVENIA

In 2001, Slovenia received a total commitment allocation of \notin 16.0 million. This was divided between the transport and environment sectors - \notin 6.7 million and \notin 9.3 million respectively. The allocation for Slovenia represents 1.44 % of the overall ISPA budget in 2001.

Programming

The environment and transport strategies drawn up by the Slovenian authorities in agreement with the Commission govern the ISPA programming framework. These strategies contain indicative lists of priority projects and are reviewed from time to time.

In the case of **transport** infrastructure, emphasis is placed on completing or upgrading the main Trans-European networks and developing border connections (2 of the 10 priority TINA corridors cross Slovenia). The country is keen to capitalise on its strategic location between Italy, Austria, Croatia and Hungary. The Slovenian Government's transport strategy concentrates its efforts exclusively on the railways which, considering their poor state, need a high level of renovation works.

In the case of **environment**, Slovenia faces acute problems mainly concerning water and waste, which require large financial investment and the participation of both the public and the private sector. The major environmental issues relate to the poor quality of water. Furthermore, there are no waste water treatment plants providing tertiary treatment, which has resulted in eutrophication in many lakes and reservoirs.

Priorities focus on the need to address the disposal of mainly urban waste. Landfills do not yet meet EU standards, waste incinerators are at present inadequate, waste is not collected selectively and there is no organised recycling system.

Implementation

The function of National ISPA Co-ordinator is carried out by the Minister for European Affairs (Government Office for European Affairs.

The Implementing Agency currently designated for ISPA is the Central Finance and Contract Unit (CFCU). The CFCU may delegate some of its responsibilities for procurement and other matters to the Final Beneficiary and/or the body responsible for implementation (the Ministry of Transport and Ministry of Environment and Spatial Planning).

In 2001 five new projects (4 **environment** and 1 **transport**) received a favourable opinion by the Members of the Management Committee. The Commission has approved four out of them and one (Paka River) should be signed in 2002. Overall, in the period 200-2001, 9 projects were approved. 5 investment and 1 TA project in the environment sector, and 2 investment and 1 TA in the transport sector.

Preparation for **tendering and contracting** proceeded in the case of all approved projects during the course of 2001. Two works contracts (value \notin 9.78 million) were signed during the year.

While the whole of the available ISPA allocations were committed in 2000 and 2001, the **payments** made up to the end of the year amounted to \notin 2.45 million, representing the first advances on projects approved in 2000.

Monitoring and Evaluation

The work of the monitoring committee is supplemented by regular meetings with the staff of the EC Delegation in Ljubljana, monitoring reports by the implementing bodies and some site visits by Commission staff.

One of the main issues raised in the reports on Slovenia was related to delays in tendering. This was caused mainly by the changes in the tendering procedure from DIS (Decentralised Implementation System) to PRAG (Practical Guide to PHARE, ISPA and SAPARD). Tender dossiers prepared with DIS rules have been revised to achieve compliance with the new PRAG rules. This issue was resolved towards the end of 2001.

Co-financing with IFIs

In 2002 Slovenia intends to finalise an agreement with the EIB on two framework loans. Accordingly, an additional \notin 35 and \notin 30 million will be available for environment and transport projects respectively. These credits will substantially contribute to the leverage of ISPA funds taking into account the closer co-operation that this will entail between the EIB and Commission services in the appraisal of project application.

EDIS

The mechanisms of EDIS in Slovenia are under clarification, but at this stage it is already clear that the tasks and responsibilities of the Central Financing and Contracting Unit (CFCU) will be taken over by the line ministries. This shift is planned to take effect before accession so as to establish a test period in the run-up to the implementation of the Cohesion Fund.

A similar re-organisation will apply to tasks of the National ISPA Co-ordinator, which will see a shift from the Government Office for European Affairs to the National Agency for Reconstruction and Development in the Ministry of Economics.

At the end of 2001 no stage of the EDIS Road Map had been completed. The Slovenian authorities with their own resources will carry out the 'gap assessment' (1st stage). Concerning the 'gap plugging' and 'compliance assessment', stages II and III of the Road Map, the Slovenian authorities submitted in 2002 a proposal for Technical Assistance under the ISPA programme.

Financial Management and Control

An audit of the financial management and control systems of the ISPA implementing agencies was undertaken in November 2001 by the relevant Commission's audit unit. The main findings were sent to the Slovenian authorities in 2002 with recommendations on improvements needed to meet the requirements of Article 9 of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1267/1999. A follow up of the audit will be carried out during 2002.

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | % of sector/ country | Commitments 2000 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments | Payments 2001 | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | Enviro | nment | | | | | | | | |
| Drinking/ Sewage Water 1 6.543.464 5.122.168 2.561.084 9.76% 0 3.630.987 3.630.987 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 4 | 44.846.190 | 35.705.705 | 18.703.101 | 71.29% | 11.175.275 | 2.048.867 | 13.224.142 | 1.419.410 | | | | |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 1 | 17.873.063 | 9.943.000 | 4.971.500 | 18.95% | 0 | 3.630.987 | 3.630.987 | 0 | | | | |
| Sector Total | 6 | 69.262.717 | 50.770.873 | 26.235.685 | 58.30% | 11.355.275 | 9.310.841 | 20.666.116 | 1.419.410 | | | | |
| | | | | Tran | sport | | | | | | | | |
| Rail | 3 | 31.026.195 | 30.630.600 | 18.765.300 | 100% | 8.280.000 | 6.732.240 | 15.012.240 | 1.035.000 | | | | |
| Sector Total | 3 | 31.026.195 | 30.630.600 | 18.765.300 | 41.70% | 8.280.000 | 6.732.240 | 15.012.240 | 1.035.000 | | | | |
| TOTAL | 9 | 100.288.912 | 81.401.473 | 45.000.985 | 1.14% | 19.635.275 | 16.043.081 | 35.678.356 | 2.454.410 | | | | |

Table 1: Projects decided and Commitments 2000-2001

| Sector | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2001 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Envi | ronment | | |
| Drinking Water | 1 | 6.543.464 | 5.122.168 | 2.561.084 | 2.048.867 |
| Sewage Network/ Treatment Plant | 1 | 11.777.660 | 9.018.015 | 4.509.008 | 3.607.206 |
| Solid Waste Collection System | 1 | 17.873.063 | 9.943.000 | 4.971.500 | 3.630.987 |
| Sector Total | 3 | 36.194.187 | 24.083.183 | 12.041.592 | 9.287.060 |
| | | Tra | insport | | |
| Rail | 1 | 17.226.195 | 16.830.600 | 8.415.300 | 6.732.240 |
| Sector Total | 1 | 17.226.195 | 16.830.600 | 8.415.300 | 6.732.240 |
| TOTAL | 4 | 53.420.382 | 40.913.783 | 20.456.892 | 16.019.300 |

Table 2: Projects Decided in 2001

 Table 3: Technical Assistance Measures 2000-2001

| PROJECT | N° of Projects | Total Cost | Total Eligible Value | Total ISPA Contribution | Commitments 2001 | Commitments 2001 | Total Commitments |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TA Sewage Network Treatment Plant | 1 | 400.000 | 300.000 | 225.000 | 180.000 | 0 | 180.000 |
| TA for rail Projects | 1 | 1.300.000 | 1.300.000 | 975.000 | 780.000 | 0 | 780.000 |
| Total | 2 | 1.700.000 | 1.600.000 | 1.200.000 | 960.000 | 0 | 960.000 |

List of Abbreviations

| BOT | Build Operate Transfer |
|--------|--|
| CFCU | Central Financing and Contracting Unit |
| DIS | Decentralised Implementation System |
| EBRD | European Bank for Reconstruction and Development |
| EDIS | Extended Decentralised Implementation System |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment |
| EIB | European Investment Bank |
| ERDF | European Regional Development Fund |
| FIDIC | International Confederation of Consulting Engineers |
| IA | Implementing Agency |
| IFI | International Financial Institution |
| ISPA | Instrument for Structural Policy for Pre-Accession |
| NAO | National Authorising Officer |
| NEFCO | Nordic Environment Finance Co-operation |
| NF | National Fund |
| NIB | Nordic Investment Bank |
| NIC | National ISPA Representative |
| NPAA | National Programmes for the Adoption of the Acquis |
| PPP | Public Private Partnership |
| PHARE | Community program for assistance for economic restructuring in the countries of Central and Eastern Europe |
| SAO | Sectoral Authorising Officer |
| SAPARD | Special Accession Programme for Agriculture and Rural Development |
| TEN-T | Trans-European Transport Network |
| TINA | Transport Infrastructure Needs Assessment |

Useful Information Sources

ISPA On-Line:

http://www.europa.eu.int/comm/regional_policy/funds/ispa/ispa_en.htm

DG Regional Policy On-Line:

http://www.europa.eu.int/comm/regional_policy/index_en.htm

Documentation Centre:The European Commission
DG Regional Policy
B-1049Bruxelles/Brussel
Tel: + 32.2.2960634
Fax: + 32.2.2966003
E-mail: regio-info@cec.eu.intEuropean Union Website:www.europa.eu.intDG Enlargement Website:http://europa.eu.int/comm/enlargement/index.htmThe EBRD Website:www.ebrd.comThe EIB Website:www.eib.org



EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL

REGIONAL POLICY

Instrument for Structural Policies for Pre-Accession

Brussel, 16/01/2002 16:04:24

Projects signed - per country per (sub)sector

| | Committed between 1/1/2000 | and 31/12/2001 | amounts are in | euro and only | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | | | est. total | (| est.total Ispa | ι | Pa | age 1 of 8 | | |
| | | number of | Comm.decision | eligible | % | grant | % of country | lspa buget % | of country | Ispa budget | % of country |
| project number: | name project: | projects | signature: | value | grant | contribution | % of all | 2000 | % of all | 2001 | % of all |
| country: | Bulgaria | | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Sewage netwo | rk/treatment plant | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/BG/16/P/PE/00 | 3 Stara Zagora - Dimitrovgrad: con | struction of new wastewater | 18/12/2000 | 43.399.688 | 75,00 | 32.549.76 | 66 | 15.699.092 | | 13.595.697 | |
| 2001/BG/16/P/PE/00 | 5 Gorna: wastewater collection and | treatment | 17/12/2001 | 16.633.945 | 75,00 |) 12.475.45 | 59 | 0 | | 9.775.027 | |
| 2001/BG/16/P/PE/00 | 6 Pazardjik wastewater collection a | nd treatment | 6/12/2001 | 19.110.968 | 65,00 |) 12.422.12 | 29 | 0 | | 9.937.703 | |
| 2001/BG/16/P/PE/00 | 8 Blagoevgrad wastewater treatme | nt plant | 20/11/2001 | 12.580.465 | 70,00 | 8.806.32 | 26 | 0 | | 7.045.060 | |
| Total number of proje | ects for subsector: | 4 | | 91.725.066 | 72,23 | 66.253.68 | 30 18,95 | 15.699.092 | 15,09 | 40.353.487 | 37,79 |
| subsector: | Solid waste co | llection system | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/BG/16/P/PE/00 | 2 Regional landfills (group) - waste | management:Sozopol, | 18/12/2000 | 60.577.513 | 75,00 | 45.433.13 | 35 | 36.346.508 | | 4.543.313 | |
| Total number of proje | ects for subsector: | 1 | | 60.577.513 | 75,00 |) 45.433.13 | 35 12,99 | 36.346.508 | 34,93 | 4.543.313 | 4,25 |
| Total number of proje | | 5 | | 152.302.579 | 73,33 | 3 111.686.81 | 15 31,94 | 52.045.600 | 50,02 | 44.896.800 | 42,04 |
| subsector: | Airport | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/BG/16/P/PT/00 | 2 Sofia airport: reconstruction, deve | elopment and extension | 12/10/2000 | 135.135.135 | 37,00 | 50.000.00 | 00 | 28.000.000 | | 12.000.000 | |
| Total number of proje | ects for subsector: | 1 | | 135.135.135 | 37,00 | 50.000.00 | 00 14,30 | 28.000.000 | 26,91 | 12.000.000 | 11,24 |

| 2001/BG/16/P/PT/003 Plovdiv-Svileng | grad: rail electrification and upgrading | 20/11/2007 | 340.000.000 | 45,00 | 153.000.000 | | 0 | | 45.900.000 | |
|---|---|-------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Total number of projects for subsector: | | 1 | 340.000.000 | 45,00 | 153.000.000 | 43,75 | 0 | 0,00 | 45.900.000 | 42,98 |
| subsector: | Rail and Road | | | | | | | | | |
| 2001/BG/16/P/PA/001 Technical assist | stance Danube bridge | 9/08/200 | 5.880.000 | 85,00 | 4.998.000 | | 0 | | 3.998.400 | |
| Total number of projects for subsector: | | 1 | 5.880.000 | 85,00 | 4.998.000 | 1,43 | 0 | 0,00 | 3.998.400 | 3,74 |
| subsector: | Road | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/BG/16/P/PT/001 Transit Roads | Rehabilitation project III | 12/10/2000 | 40.000.000 | 75,00 | 30.000.000 | | 24.000.000 | | 0 | |
| Total number of projects for subsector: | | 1 | 40.000.000 | 75,00 | 30.000.000 | 8,58 | 24.000.000 | 23,07 | 0 | 0,00 |
| Total number of projects for sector: | | 4 | 521.015.135 | 45,68 | 237.998.000 | 68,06 | 52.000.000 | 49,98 | 61.898.400 | 57,96 |
| Total number of signed projects for | Bulgaria: | 9 | 673.317.714 | 51,93 | 349.684.815 | 8,88 | 104.045.600 | 10,43 | 106.795.200 | 9,63 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| country: Czech Rej | oublic | | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Decentralisation | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Decentralisation ance: assessment of capacity to implement | nt 14/12/2001 | 680.902 | 100,00 | 680.902 | | 0 | | 544.722 | |
| subsector: | Decentralisation ance: assessment of capacity to implement | nt 14/12/2001 1 | 680.902 | 100,00 | 680.902 680.902 | 0,40 | 0 | 0,00 | 544.722 544.722 | 0,81 |
| subsector: 2001/CZ/16/P/PA/004 Technical assist | Decentralisation ance: assessment of capacity to implement | nt 14/12/2001 1 1 | | | | 0,40 <i>0,40</i> | | · | | |
| subsector: 2001/CZ/16/P/PA/004 Technical assist Total number of projects for subsector: | Decentralisation ance: assessment of capacity to implement | 1 | 680.902 | 100,00 | 680.902 | · | 0 | · | 544.722 | |
| subsector: 2001/CZ/16/P/PA/004 Technical assist Total number of projects for subsector: | Decentralisation ance: assessment of capacity to implement | 1 | 680.902 | 100,00 | 680.902 | · | 0 | · | 544.722 | |
| subsector: 2001/CZ/16/P/PA/004 Technical assist Total number of projects for subsector: Total number of projects for sector: | Decentralisation ance: assessment of capacity to implement Drinking water pipes/plant | 1 | 680.902 680.902 | 100,00 | 680.902 | · | 0 | · | 544.722 | |
| subsector: 2001/CZ/16/P/PA/004 Technical assist Total number of projects for subsector: Total number of projects for sector: subsector: | Decentralisation ance: assessment of capacity to implement Drinking water pipes/plant stance in the field of environment | 1 | 680.902 680.902 | 100,00 | 680.902 680.902 285.000 | · | 0 | · | 544.722 544.722 | |
| subsector: 2001/CZ/16/P/PA/004 Technical assist Total number of projects for subsector: Total number of projects for sector: subsector: 2000/CZ/16/P/PA/002 Technical assist | Decentralisation ance: assessment of capacity to implement Drinking water pipes/plant stance in the field of environment | 1 1 29/12/2000 | 680.902 <i>680.902</i>) 380.000 | 100,00 | 680.902 680.902 285.000 | 0,40 | 0 | 0,00 | 544.722 544.722 0 | 0,81 |
| subsector: 2001/CZ/16/P/PA/004 Technical assist: Total number of projects for subsector: Total number of projects for sector: subsector: 2000/CZ/16/P/PA/002 Technical assis Total number of projects for subsector: | Decentralisation ance: assessment of capacity to implement Drinking water pipes/plant stance in the field of environment Drinking and sewage water | 1 1 29/12/2000 | 680.902 680.902) 380.000 380.000 | 100,00 100,00 75,00 75,00 | 680.902 680.902 285.000 | 0,40 | 0 | 0,00 | 544.722 544.722 0 | 0,81 |
| subsector: 2001/CZ/16/P/PA/004 Technical assist Total number of projects for subsector: Total number of projects for sector: subsector: 2000/CZ/16/P/PA/002 Technical assis Total number of projects for subsector: subsector: | Decentralisation ance: assessment of capacity to implement Drinking water pipes/plant stance in the field of environment Drinking and sewage water Reconstruction drinking water supply | 1 1 29/12/2000 | 680.902 680.902) 380.000 380.000 | 100,00 100,00 75,00 75,00 65,00 | 680.902 680.902 285.000 | 0,40 | 0 0 228.000 0,17 2 | <i>0,00</i> 228.000 | 544.722 544.722 0 | 0,81 |

Rail

subsector:

85

| | | | | est. total | es | st.total Ispa | | P | age 2 of 8 | 3 | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | number of | Comm.decision | eligible | % | grant % o | f country | lspa buget % | of countr | y Ispa budget | % of country |
| project number: | name project: | projects | signature: | value | grant co | ontribution | % of all | 2000 | % of all | 2001 | % of all |
| subsector: | Sewage network/ | reatment plant | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/CZ/16/P/PE/001 | Ostrava City - sewage system upgra | ding in the Ostrava | 21/12/2000 | 24.842.810 | 67,00 | 16.644.682 | | 13.315.746 | | 0 | |
| 2000/CZ/16/P/PE/002 | Brno City, Reconstruction of sewerage | ge and sewers | 29/12/2000 | 28.319.640 | 63,00 | 17.841.373 | | 14.273.098 | | 0 | |
| 2001/CZ/16/P/PE/005 | Jihlava waste water treatment plant a | and sewer upgrading | 17/12/2001 | 14.800.000 | 65,00 | 9.620.000 | | 0 | | 7.696.000 | |
| 2001/CZ/16/P/PE/008 | Olomouc sewer system upgrading | | 18/12/2001 | 14.462.000 | 70,00 | 10.123.400 | | 0 | | 8.098.720 | |
| Total number of project | s for subsector: | 4 | | 82.424.450 | 65,79 | 54.229.455 | 31,64 | 27.588.844 | 39,42 | 15.794.720 | 23,61 |
| Total number of project | ts for sector: | 6 | | 102.604.950 | 65,67 | 67.384.780 | 39,31 | 27.816.844 | 39,74 | 26.090.980 | 39,00 |
| subsector: | Rail | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/CZ/16/P/PT/002 | Ústí nad Orlicí - Ceská Trebová: Mo | dernisation of the line | 29/12/2000 | 36.269.000 | 39,43 | 14.300.080 | | 11.212.064 | | 0 | |
| 2000/CZ/16/P/PT/006 | Modernisation of the line section Zab | ori-Prelouc | 22/12/2000 | 61.814.840 | 50,00 | 30.907.420 | | 20.408.066 | | 4.317.870 | |
| Total number of project | s for subsector: | 2 | | 98.083.840 | 46,09 | 45.207.500 | 26,37 | 31.620.130 | 45,18 | 4.317.870 | 6,45 |
| subsector: | Rail and Road | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2001/CZ/16/P/PA/003 | Technical assistance in transport pro | ject management and | 8/05/2001 | 200.000 | 100,00 | 200.000 | | 0 | | 160.000 | |
| Total number of project | s for subsector: | 1 | | 200.000 | 100,00 | 200.000 | 0,12 | 0 | 0,00 | 160.000 | 0,24% |
| subsector: | Road | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/CZ/16/P/PA/001 | Technical assistance for the project | preparation in field of | 29/12/2000 | 833.333 | 75,00 | 625.000 | | 500.000 | | 0 | |
| 2000/CZ/16/P/PT/001 | Road 1/48 Belotín By-pass | | 26/10/2001 | 28.528.535 | 60,00 | 17.117.121 | | 0 | | 13.693.697 | |
| 2000/CZ/16/P/PT/003 | Road I/48 Frýdek-Místek-Dobrá | | 22/12/2000 | 33.986.128 | 60,00 | 20.391.677 | | 10.051.734 | | 6.261.608 | |
| 2001/CZ/16/P/PT/012 | Dobra-Tosanovice-Zukov R48 expre | ssway: stage 1 | 17/12/2001 | 32.996.127 | 60,00 | 19.797.676 | | 0 | | 15.838.140 | |
| Total number of project | s for subsector: | 4 | | 96.344.123 | 60,13 | 57.931.474 | 33,80 | 10.551.734 | 15,08 | 35.793.445 | 53,50 |
| Total number of project | ts for sector: | 7 | | 194.627.963 | 53,10 | 103.338.974 | 60,29 | 42.171.864 | 60,26 | 40.271.315 | 60,19 |
| Total number of signe | ed projects for Czech Republic: | 14 | | 297.913.815 | 57,53 | 171.404.656 | 4,35 | 69.988.708 | 7,02 | 66.907.017 | 6,03 |

| subsector: | Decentralisation | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| 2001/EE/16/P/PA/002 Technical assis | tance decentralisation | 14/05/2001 | 435.760 | 100,00 | 435.760 | | 0 | | 348.608 | |
| Total number of projects for subsector: | 1 | | 435.760 | 100,00 | 435.760 | 0,53 | 0 | 0,00 | 348.608 | 1,17 |
| Total number of projects for sector: | 1 | | 435.760 | 100,00 | 435.760 | 0,53 | 0 | 0,00 | 348.608 | 1,17 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Drinking and sewage water | | | | | | | | | |
| 2001/EE/16/P/PA/003 Pärnu: technica | al assistance for water resources plan for | 17/12/2001 | 200.000 | 75,00 | 150.000 | | 0 | | 120.000 | |
| 2001/EE/16/P/PA/005- Technical assis | tance for East-Harju and Keila water | 14/12/2001 | 960.000 | 75,00 | 720.000 | | 0 | | 576.000 | |
| 2001/EE/16/P/PE/007 Tartu: expansic | on and rehabilitation of water and sewage | 13/12/2001 | 17.020.000 | 71,00 | 12.084.200 | | 0 | | 5.621.484 | |
| Total number of projects for subsector: | 3 | | 18.180.000 | 71,26 | 12.954.200 | 15,71 | 0 | 0,00 | 6.317.484 | 21,11 |
| subsector: | Sewage network/treatment plant | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/EE/16/P/PE/001 Tartu Tunnel C | ollector project, K2 | 1/12/2000 | 7.700.000 | 71,00 | 5.467.000 | | 4.373.600 | | 0 | |
| 2000/EE/16/P/PE/002 Viljandi: Establi | shment of a central municipal wastewater | 29/12/2000 | 6.361.150 | 74,00 | 4.707.251 | | 3.765.801 | | 0 | |
| 2000/EE/16/P/PE/003 Narva City Sew | age Treatment Plant Rehabilitation | 1/12/2000 | 8.935.000 | 56,00 | 5.003.600 | | 4.002.880 | | 0 | |
| Total number of projects for subsector: | 3 | | 22.996.150 | 66,00 | 15.177.851 | 18,41 | 12.142.281 | 43,03 | 0 | 0,00 |
| subsector: | Solid waste collection system | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/EE/16/P/PE/004 Tallinn waste m | nanagement: phase 1- establishment of new | 29/12/2000 | 6.110.000 | 75,00 | 4.582.500 | | 3.666.000 | | 0 | |
| 2001/EE/16/P/PE/005 Tallinn waste m | nanagement Phase II: closing down Pääskula | 8/06/2001 | 11.060.000 | 75,00 | 8.295.000 | | 0 | | 6.636.000 | |
| 2001/EE/16/P/PE/006 Parnu waste m | anagement: establishment of sanitary landfill | 14/12/2001 | 8.713.489 | 63,00 | 5.489.498 | | 0 | | 4.391.598 | |
| Total number of projects for subsector: | 3 | | 25.883.489 | 70,96 | 18.366.998 | 22,27 | 3.666.000 | 12,99 | 11.027.598 | 36,85 |
| Total number of projects for sector: | 9 | | 67.059.639 | 69,34 | 46.499.049 | 56,39 | 15.808.281 | 56,02 | 17.345.082 | 57,97 |

Estonia

country:

| | | | | est. total | es | st.total Ispa | | P | age 3 of 8 | 3 | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | number of | Comm.decision | eligible | % | grant % | of country | lspa buget % | of countr | y Ispa budge | t % of country |
| project number: | name project: | projects | signature: | value | grant c | ontribution | % of all | 2000 | % of all | 2001 | % of all |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Rail | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/EE/16/P/PA/001 | Technical assistance for detailed | l environmental impact | 28/12/2000 | 1.800.000 | 75,00 | 1.350.000 |) | 1.080.000 | | 0 | |
| 2001/EE/16/P/PT/002 | Via Baltica: rehabilitation of Ikla- | Tallinn-Narva Road, Phase | 14/08/2001 | 28.102.955 | 75,00 | 21.077.216 | 6 | 0 | | 10.538.608 | |
| Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 2 | | 29.902.955 | 75,00 | 22.427.216 | 6 27,20 | 1.080.000 | 3,83 | 10.538.608 | 35,22 |
| subsector: | Road | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/EE/16/P/PT/001 | Ikla-Tallinn-Narva section: Via B | altica road rehabilitation | 21/12/2001 | 16.961.073 | 75,00 | 12.720.805 | 5 | 11.331.126 | | 1.389.679 | |
| 2001/EE/16/P/PA/004 | Technical assistance Via Baltica | assessment and EIA | 29/11/2001 | 500.000 | 75,00 | 375.000 |) | 0 | | 300.000 | |
| Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 2 | | 17.461.073 | 75,00 | 13.095.805 | 5 15,88 | 11.331.126 | 40,15 | 1.689.679 | 5,65 |
| Total number of project | cts for sector: | 4 | | 47.364.028 | 75,00 | 35.523.021 | 43,08 | 12.411.126 | 43,98 | 12.228.287 | 40,87 |
| Total number of sign | ed projects for Estonia: | 14 | | 114.859.427 | 71,79 | 82.457.830 | 2,09 | 28.219.407 | 2,83 | 29.921.977 | 2,70 |
| country: | Hungary | | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | | sewage water | | | | | | | | | |
| 2001/HU/16/P/PA/008 | Technical assistance for implem | entation of urban waste | 14/12/2001 | 190.000 | 75,00 | 142.500 |) | 0 | | 114.000 | |
| | Pécs: extension of city's sewer s | | 13/12/2001 | 21.707.000 | 50,00 | | | 0 | | 8.682.800 | |
| Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 2 | | 21.897.000 | 50,22 | 10.996.000 | 3,26 | 0 | 0,00 | 8.796.800 | 9,69 |
| subsector: | Drinking and | sewage water and solid waste | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/HU/16/P/PA/005 | Technical assistance improveme | ent 2001 project documents - | 11/12/2001 | 405.000 | 75,00 | 303.750 |) | 0 | | 243.000 | |
| Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 1 | | 405.000 | 75,00 | 303.750 | 0,09 | 0 | 0,00 | 243.000 | 0,27 |
| subsector | Sewage netw | ork/treatment plant | | | | | | | | | |

subsector:

Sewage network/treatment plant

| DG Regio-I | | -ISPA | | | | | nkb |
|------------|--|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------|--|-------|
| | tal number of projects for subsector: 6 | 381.716.100 | 50,15 191.442.575 | 56,78 44.03 | 9.860 50,0 | 05 32.171.715 | 35,44 |
| 11/12/2001 | 01/HU/16/P/PA/006 Technical assistance for the tendering and supervision of | 1.980.000 | 75,00 1.485.000 | | 0 | 1.188.000 | |
| 20/12/2001 | 00/HU/16/P/PT/003 Boba-Zalaegerszeg-Zalalövö rail upgrading | 167.390.000 | 50,00 83.695.000 | 12.33 | 1.000 | 13.530.000 | |
| 20/12/2001 | 00/HU/16/P/PT/002 Hegyeshalom-Györ-Budapest rail rehabilitation | 85.988.000 | 50,00 42.994.000 | 16.59 | 4.000 | 6.000.000 | |
| 13/12/2001 | 00/HU/16/P/PT/001 Budapest-Szolnok-Romania: rail upgrading (stage 1: | 126.000.000 | 50,00 63.000.000 | 14.90 | 0.000 | 11.400.000 | |
| 21/12/2000 | 00/HU/16/P/PA/003 Rehabilitation of Szolnok-Lökösjaza railway line | 200.000 | 75,00 150.000 | 12 | 0.000 | 30.000 | |
| 13/12/2001 | 00/HU/16/P/PA/002 Assistance in the tendering procedure for railway projects | 158.100 | 75,00 118.575 | 9 | 4.860 | 23.715 | |
| | bsector: Rail | | | | | | |
| | tal number of projects for sector: 15 | 224.994.130 | 55,82 <i>125.584.054</i> | 37,25 43.83 | 0.843 49, | 81 42.604.653 | 46,93 |
| | tal number of projects for subsector: 7 | 99.323.930 | 62,14 61.716.154 | 18,30 23.65 | 4.523 26, | 88 25.718.400 | 28,33 |
| 17/12/2001 | 01/HU/16/P/PE/010 Sajó-Bodva: regional solid waste management system | 12.729.000 | 50,00 6.364.500 | | 0 | 5.091.600 | |
| 13/12/2001 | 01/HU/16/P/PE/008 Mid-Danube-Tisza Plain Regional solid waste management | 23.739.000 | 50,00 11.869.500 | | 0 | 9.495.600 | |
| 22/12/2000 | 00/HU/16/P/PE/007 Szolnok: solid waste management system | 10.280.000 | 70,00 7.196.000 | 5.75 | 6.800 | 0 | |
| 17/12/2001 | 00/HU/16/P/PE/006 Tisza Lake: municipal waste management system | 8.140.000 | 60,00 4.884.000 | | 0 | 3.907.200 | |
| 22/12/2000 | 00/HU/16/P/PE/005 Szeged: regional waste management programme | 12.797.930 | 65,00 8.318.654 | 6.65 | 4.923 | 0 | |
| 15/03/2001 | 00/HU/16/P/PE/004 Miskolc: regional waste management project | 12.900.000 | 70,00 9.030.000 | | 0 | 7.224.000 | |
| 10/11/2000 | 00/HU/16/P/PE/002 Hajdú-Bihar: selective waste collection and solid waste | 18.738.000 | 75,00 14.053.500 | 11.24 | 2.800 | 0 | |
| | bsector: Solid waste collection system | | | | | | |
| | tal number of projects for subsector: 5 | 103.368.200 | 50,86 52.568.150 | 15,59 20.17 | 6.320 22, | 93 7.846.453 | 8,64 |
| 14/12/2001 | 01/HU/16/P/PE/011 Sopron: sewerage and sewage treatment programme | 18.682.000 | 50,00 9.341.000 | | 0 | 6.982.453 | |
| 13/12/2001 | 01/HU/16/P/PA/009 Technical assistance for preparation Ispa projects | 1.440.000 | 75,00 1.080.000 | | 0 | 864.000 | |
| 22/12/2000 | 00/HU/16/P/PE/003 Szeged: waste water collection and treatment plant | 66.650.000 | 50,00 33.325.000 | 14.56 | 3.600 | 0 | |
| 21/12/2000 | 00/HU/16/P/PE/001 Györ: upgrading of Sewage Treatment Plant | 14.500.000 | 50,00 7.250.000 | 4.35 | 0.000 | 0 | |
| 13/12/2001 | 00/HU/16/P/PA/001 Budapest: technical assistance for waste water treatment | 2.096.200 | 75,00 1.572.150 | 1.25 | 7.720 | 0 | |
| | 00/ULI/16/D/DA/001 Budanast: toobaical assistance for waste water treatment | 12/12/2001 | 12/12/2001 2 006 200 | | | 13/12/2001 2.096.200 75.00 1.572.150 1.257.720 | |

| | | | | est. total | est.total I | spa | Pa | age 4 of 8 | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| | | number of | Comm.decision | eligible | % grant | % of country | lspa buget % | of country Ispa budge | t % of country |
| project number: | name project: | projects | signature: | value | grant contribut | on % of all | 2000 | % of all 2001 | % of all |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Road | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/HU/16/P/PA/004 | Technical assistance for "Road reh | abilitation programme for | 28/09/2001 | 200.000 | 75,00 15 | 0.000 | 120.000 | 0 | |
| 2001/HU/16/P/PT/006 | Road rehabilitation programme for | 11,5tons bearing | 14/12/2001 | 39.999.080 | 50,00 19.99 | 9.540 | 0 | 15.999.632 | |
| Total number of project | ts for subsector: | 2 | | 40.199.080 | 50,12 20.149 | 9.540 5,98 | 120.000 | 0,14 15.999.632 | 17,63 |
| Total number of projec | ts for sector: | 8 | | 421.915.180 | 50,15 <i>211.592</i> | 2.115 62,75 | 44.159.860 | 50,1 48.171.347 | 53,07 |
| Total number of signe | ed projects for Hungary: | 23 | | 646.909.310 | 52,12 337.17 | 5 <i>.169</i> 8,56 | 87.990.703 | 8,82 90.776.000 | 8,18 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| country: | Latvia | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Decentralisation | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2001/LV/16/P/PA/006 | Technical assistance introduction of | of EDIS | 11/12/2001 | 700.000 | 100,00 70 | 0.000 | 0 | 560.000 | |
| Total number of project | ts for subsector: | 1 | | 700.000 | 100,00 700 | 0.000 0,32 | 0 | 0,00 560.000 | 1,17 |
| Total number of projec | ts for sector: | 1 | | 700.000 | 100,00 700 | 0.000 0,32 | 0 | 0,00 560.000 | 1,17 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Drinking and se | wage water | | | | | | | |
| 2000/LV/16/P/PE/001 | Riga water & environment project, | phase II | 22/12/2000 | 24.300.000 | 70,00 17.01 | 0.000 | 11.056.500 | 2.551.500 | |
| 2000/LV/16/P/PE/002 | Jelgava development of water serv | vices | 22/12/2000 | 14.993.000 | 75,00 11.24 | 4.750 | 8.433.560 | 562.240 | |
| 2000/LV/16/P/PE/003 | Ventspils improvement of water su | pply, waste water | 22/12/2000 | 20.080.000 | 47,00 9.43 | 7.600 | 7.078.200 | 471.880 | |
| 2001/LV/16/P/PE/007 | Development of water services in r | iver basins of Eastern | 13/12/2001 | 67.600.000 | 66,00 44.61 | 5.000 | 0 | 10.339.544 | |
| Total number of project | ts for subsector: | 4 | | 126.973.000 | 64,82 82.308 | 3.350 37,46 | 26.568.260 | 56,83 13.925.164 | 28,98 |

subsector:

Drinking and sewage water and solid waste

| 2000/LV/16/P/PA/001 | Technical assistance for environmental projects | | 12/09/2001 | 4.510.000 | 75,00 | 3.382.500 | | 0 | | 2.706.000 | |
|-------------------------|--|--------|------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 1 | | 4.510.000 | 75,00 | 3.382.500 | 1,54 | 0 | 0,00 | 2.706.000 | 5,63 |
| subsector: | Solid waste collection system | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/LV/16/P/PE/004 | Sustainable waste management in Ventspils region | | 15/06/2001 | 6.190.000 | 49,00 | 3.033.100 | | 0 | | 2.426.480 | |
| 2001/LV/16/P/PE/005 | Liepaja region solid waste management project | | 5/09/2001 | 8.090.000 | 63,00 | 5.096.700 | | 0 | | 4.077.360 | |
| 2001/LV/16/P/PE/006 | North Vidzeme region solid waste management | | 14/09/2001 | 4.820.000 | 70,00 | 3.374.000 | | 0 | | 2.699.200 | |
| Total number of project | ets for subsector: | 3 | | 19.100.000 | 60,23 | 11.503.800 | 5,24 | 0 | 0,00 | 9.203.040 | 19,15 |
| Total number of projec | cts for sector: | 8 | | 150.583.000 | 64,55 | 97.194.650 | 44,24 | 26.568.260 | 56,83 | 25.834.204 | 53,76 |
| subsector: | Rail | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/LV/16/P/PA/005 | Technical assistance in the rail sector: signalling and | safety | 22/12/2000 | 386.991 | 75,00 | 290.243 | | 255.000 | | 35.243 | |
| 2000/LV/16/P/PT/003 | Replacements of track turnouts on the W-E railway co | rridor | 28/12/2000 | 35.240.000 | 75,00 | 26.430.000 | | 6.572.328 | | 2.237.672 | |
| 2000/LV/16/P/PT/004 | Rezekne rail marshalling yard: rail upgrading | | 28/12/2000 | 10.213.346 | 75,00 | 7.660.010 | | 6.128.000 | | 0 | |
| 2001/LV/16/P/PT/006 | Modernisation of signalling systems on East-West rail | | 27/12/2001 | 89.950.964 | 75,00 | 67.463.223 | | 0 | | 9.654.378 | |
| Total number of project | ets for subsector: | 4 | | 135.791.301 | 75,00 | 101.843.476 | 46,36 | 12.955.328 | 27,71 | 11.927.293 | 24,82 |
| subsector: | Road | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/LV/16/P/PT/001 | Via Baltica road: Gauja-Lilaste - km 13 to km 21,2 | | 23/10/2000 | 5.762.300 | 75,00 | 4.321.725 | | 3.750.000 | | 0 | |
| 2000/LV/16/P/PT/002 | Access road to Riga airport (P133) and a related section | on of | 28/12/2000 | 5.792.500 | 75,00 | 4.344.375 | | 3.475.000 | | 700.000 | |
| 2001/LV/16/P/PA/007 | Technical assistance transport | | 18/10/2001 | 860.000 | 75,00 | 645.000 | | 0 | | 516.000 | |
| 2001/LV/16/P/PT/005 | Via Baltica: improvements of part Riga-Adazi (km 0 to | 6,3) | 17/12/2001 | 14.200.588 | 75,00 | 10.650.441 | | 0 | | 8.520.352 | |
| Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 4 | | 26.615.388 | 75,00 | 19.961.541 | 9,09 | 7.225.000 | 15,46 | 9.736.352 | 20,26 |
| Total number of projec | cts for sector: | 8 | | 162.406.689 | 75,00 | 121.805.017 | 55,44 | 20.180.328 | 43,17 | 21.663.645 | 45,08 |
| Total number of sign | ed projects for Latvia | 17 | | 313.689.689 | 70,04 | 219.699.667 | 5,58% | 46.748.588 | 4,69 | 48.057.849 | 4,33 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

DG Regio-ISPA

nkb

| | | | | est. total | es | t.total Ispa | | Pa | age 5 of 8 | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | number of | Comm.decision | eligible | % | grant % o | f country | lspa buget % | of countr | y Ispa budget | % of country |
| project number: | name project: | projects | signature: | value | grant co | ontribution | % of all | 2000 | % of all | 2001 | % of all |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| country: | Lithuania | | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: DE | Decentralisation | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2001/LT/16/P/PA/003 | Technical assistance for EDIS | | 20/12/2001 | 381.420 | 100,00 | 381.420 | | 0 | | 305.136 | |
| Total number of project | ts for subsector: | 1 | | 381.420 | 100,00 | 381.420 | 0,27 | 0 | 0,00 | 305.136 | 0,60 |
| Total number of projec | ots for sector: | 1 | | 381.420 | 100,00 | 381.420 | 0,27 | 0 | 0,00 | 305.136 | 0,60 |
| subsector: | Drinking and se | wage water | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/LT/16/P/PE/001 | Vilnius Rehabilitation and extension | n of water supply and | 10/11/2000 | 40.000.000 | 50,00 | 20.000.000 | | 16.000.000 | | 0 | |
| 2001/LT/16/P/PE/005 | Jonava: wastewater treatment plar | t, sewer network | 13/12/2001 | 6.259.900 | 60,00 | 3.755.940 | | 0 | | 3.004.752 | |
| 2001/LT/16/P/PE/006 | Neringa: drinking water and waste | water treatment systems | 18/12/2001 | 10.153.000 | 47,00 | 4.771.910 | | 0 | | 3.817.528 | |
| Total number of projec | ts for subsector: | 3 | | 56.412.900 | 50,57 | 28.527.850 | 19,86 | 16.000.000 | 30,63 | 6.822.280 | 13,52 |
| subsector: | Drinking and se | wage water and solid waste | | | | | | | | | |
| 2001/LT/16/P/PA/002 | TA project preparation in environm | ent sector | 26/10/2001 | 9.330.000 | 75,00 | 6.997.500 | | 0 | | 5.598.000 | |
| Total number of projec | ets for subsector: | 1 | | 9.330.000 | 75,00 | 6.997.500 | 4,87 | 0 | 0,00 | 5.598.000 | 11,09 |
| subsector: | Sewage network | k/treatment plant | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/LT/16/P/PE/002 | Druskinninkai: wastewater treatme | nt, upgrading and | 22/12/2000 | 5.500.000 | 50,00 | 2.750.000 | | 2.200.000 | | 0 | |
| 2001/LT/16/P/PE/007 | Kaunas: extension of wastewater | reatment plant for | 13/12/2001 | 22.359.000 | 71,00 | 15.874.890 | | 0 | | 12.699.912 | |
| Total number of projec | ts for subsector: | 2 | | 27.859.000 | 66,85 | 18.624.890 | 12,97 | 2.200.000 | 4,21 | 12.699.912 | 25,17 |
| subsector: | Solid waste coll | ection system | | | | | | | | | |
| | Alytus: development of regional wa | o y | 13/12/2001 | 7.825.808 | 50,00 | 3.912.904 | | 0 | | 3.130.323 | |
| | Taurage: regional waste managem | - | 13/12/2001 | 6.629.786 | 70,00 | 4.640.850 | | 0 | | 3.712.680 | |
| 2001/LT/16/P/PE/008 | Siauliai: regional waste manageme | nt system development | 21/12/2001 | 9.402.000 | 56,00 | 5.265.120 | | 0 | | 3.712.722 | |

| Total number of project | ts for subsector: | 3 | | 23.857.594 | 57,92 | 13.818.874 | 9,62 | 0 | 0,00 | 10.555.725 | 20,92 |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------|-------------|--------|-------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Total number of projec | ts for sector: | 9 | | 117.459.494 | 57,87 | 67.969.114 | 47,32 | 18.200.000 | 34,84 | 35.675.917 | 70,70 |
| subsector: | Rail | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/LT/16/P/PA/001 | Technical assistance for project prepara | tion on development | 22/06/2001 | 1.250.000 | 75,00 | 937.500 | | 0 | | 750.000 | |
| 2000/LT/16/P/PT/004 | Modernisation of telecommunications, s | ignalling and power | 22/12/2000 | 31.701.000 | 36,00 | 11.412.360 | | 9.129.888 | | 0 | |
| 2001/LT/16/P/PT/006 | Power supply sector 3 - Modernisation of | on Crete Corridor IXB | 20/11/2001 | 27.193.000 | 44,00 | 11.964.920 | | 0 | | 9.571.936 | |
| Total number of project | ts for subsector: | 3 | | 60.144.000 | 40,43 | 24.314.780 | 16,93 | 9.129.888 | 17,48 | 10.321.936 | 20,45 |
| subsector: | Road | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/LT/16/P/PT/001 | Upgrading of IXB Transport Corridor (Vi | lnius-Klaipeda) | 10/11/2000 | 26.082.400 | 75,00 | 19.561.800 | | 15.649.440 | | 0 | |
| 2000/LT/16/P/PT/002 | Development of Via Baltica road in 2000 | 0-2006 (Pan | 10/11/2000 | 15.439.000 | 75,00 | 11.579.000 | | 9.263.200 | | 0 | |
| 2000/LT/16/P/PT/003 | Development of Corridor 1A (2001-2004 | .) | 10/10/2001 | 26.422.387 | 75,00 | 19.816.790 | | 0 | | 4.161.528 | |
| Total number of project | ts for subsector: | 3 | | 67.943.787 | 75,00 | 50.957.590 | 35,48 | 24.912.640 | 47,69 | 4.161.528 | 8,25 |
| Total number of projec | ts for sector: | 6 | | 128.087.787 | 58,77 | 75.272.370 | 52,41 | 34.042.528 | 65,16 | 14.483.464 | 28,70 |
| Total number of signe | ed projects for Lithuania: | 16 | | 245.928.701 | 58,40 | 143.622.904 | 3,65 | 52.242.528 | 5,24 | 50.464.517 | 4,55 |
| country: | Poland | | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Decentralisation | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/001 | Technical assistance for training EDIS | | 4/12/2001 | 1.630.000 | 100,00 | 1.630.000 | | 320.000 | | 984.000 | |
| Total number of project | ts for subsector: | 1 | | 1.630.000 | 100,00 | 1.630.000 | 0,12 | 320.000 | 0,10 | 984.000 | 0,24 |
| Total number of projec | ots for sector: | 1 | | 1.630.000 | 100,00 | 1.630.000 | 0,12 | 320.000 | 0,10 | 984.000 | 0,24 |

| | | | | est. total | es | st.total Ispa | | P | age 6 of 8 | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|---------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| | | number of | Comm.decision | eligible | % | grant % | of country | lspa buget % | of country Ispa budge | t % of country |
| project number: | name project: | projects | signature: | value | grant c | ontribution | % of all | 2000 | % of all 2001 | % of all |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Drinking water | pipes/plant | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/008 | Pila: Water pipe network for Pila t | own from water intake in | 28/12/2000 | 8.501.659 | 51,00 | 4.335.84 | 6 | 3.468.677 | 0 | |
| Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 1 | | 8.501.659 | 51,00 | 4.335.846 | 6 0,31 | 3.468.677 | 1,13 0 | 0,00 |
| subsector: | Drinking and s | ewage water | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/019 | Wroclaw collectors/water supply | | 15/03/2001 | 65.250.000 | 56,00 | 36.540.00 | 0 | 0 | 29.232.000 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/020 | Warsaw waste water treatment pl | ant + drinking water | 5/09/2001 | 42.242.000 | 65,00 | 27.457.30 | 0 | 0 | 21.500.000 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/021 | Suwalki: water quality improveme | nt | 7/09/2001 | 12.468.000 | 50,00 | 6.234.00 | 0 | 0 | 4.987.200 | |
| Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 3 | | 119.960.000 | 58,55 | 70.231.300 |) 5,01 | 0 | 0,00 55.719.200 | 13,70 |
| subsector: | Sewage netwo | rk/treatment plant | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/003 | Nowy Targ: Technical assistance | for the project preparation | 27/12/2000 | 3.412.500 | 100,00 | 3.412.50 | 0 | 2.730.000 | 682.500 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/001 | Bydgoszcz: Water supply and sev | vage services | 19/09/2000 | 66.240.000 | 49,00 | 32.457.60 | 0 | 25.966.080 | 0 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/003 | Gliwice: waste water treatment | | 7/09/2001 | 66.395.000 | 53,00 | 35.189.35 | 0 | 0 | 27.000.000 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/004 | Katowice: waste water treatment | | 7/09/2001 | 50.520.000 | 60,00 | 30.312.00 | 0 | 0 | 23.000.000 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/009 | Przemysl: Second stage in constr | uction of sewage | 7/09/2001 | 17.444.000 | 50,00 | 8.722.00 | 0 | 0 | 6.977.600 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/010 | Torun: Modernisation and develop | oment of water and sewage | 18/12/2000 | 79.111.000 | 60,00 | 47.466.60 | 0 | 9.493.320 | 4.106.680 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/012 | Krakow waste water treatment pla | ant | 28/12/2000 | 79.976.000 | 70,00 | 55.983.20 | 0 | 44.786.560 | 0 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/013 | Lodz waste water treatment plant | | 7/09/2001 | 45.799.000 | 50,00 | 22.899.50 | 0 | 0 | 18.000.000 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/014 | Olsztyn: modernisation waste wat | er treatment plant "Lyna" | 15/03/2001 | 12.716.400 | 54,00 | 6.866.85 | 6 | 0 | 5.493.485 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/015 | Poznan: extension and improvem | ent of the sewage system | 7/09/2001 | 104.400.000 | 57,00 | 59.508.00 | 0 | 0 | 45.000.000 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/016- | Szczecin sewage disposal | | 28/12/2000 | 46.397.000 | 66,00 30.622.020 | 24.497.61 | 6 0 | |
|---|--|-----------|--|---|---|---------------------------------|--|-------|
| 2001/PL/16/P/PE/024 | Bialystok water quality improvement | | 13/12/2001 | 18.316.000 | 56,00 10.256.960 | (| 8.205.568 | |
| 2001/PL/16/P/PE/025 | Rybnik waste water collection system | | 13/12/2001 | 111.440.000 | 64,00 71.321.600 | (| 11.437.633 | |
| Total number of projec | ts for subsector: | 13 | | 702.166.900 | 59,11 415.018.186 | 29,60 107.473.576 | 35,01 149.903.466 | 36,87 |
| subsector: | Solid waste collection system | | | | | | | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/002 | Gdynia: Waste treatment plant for Community Unio | n | 12/03/2001 | 20.852.950 | 72,00 15.014.127 | (|) 12.011.301 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/005 | Kraków: Municipal waste management in municipal | ity | 18/12/2000 | 22.730.000 | 62,00 14.092.600 | 11.274.080 | 0 0 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/006 | Lodz: solid waste treatment | | 7/09/2001 | 21.640.000 | 60,00 12.984.000 | (| 10.387.200 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PE/018 | Wroclaw solid waste | | 18/12/2000 | 20.402.000 | 66,00 13.465.320 | 10.772.25 | 6 0 | |
| Total number of projec | ts for subsector: | 4 | | 85.624.950 | 64,88 55.556.047 | 3,96 22.046.336 | 6 7,18 22.398.501 | 5,51 |
| Total number of projec | ots for sector: | 21 | | 916.253.509 | 59,50 <i>545.141.379</i> | 38,88 132.988.58 | 9 43,32 228.021.167 | 56,08 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Subsector: | Rail | | | | | | | |
| | Rail Technical assistance for pre-feasibility study for the | | 29/12/2000 | 940.000 | 75,00 705.000 | 564.000 |) 0 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/002 | - | | 29/12/2000 19/09/2000 | 940.000 124.595.600 | 75,00 705.000 75,00 93.446.719 | 564.000 67.852.500 | | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/002 | Technical assistance for pre-feasibility study for the | | | | | | 16.706.719 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/003 | Technical assistance for pre-feasibility study for the Minsk-Siedlce (E20): rail upgrading | | 19/09/2000 | 124.595.600 | 75,00 93.446.719 | 67.852.500 4.429.965 | 16.706.719 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/003 2001/PL/16/P/PA/005 | Technical assistance for pre-feasibility study for the Minsk-Siedlce (E20): rail upgrading Rzepin-Kunowice (E20): rail upgrading | | 19/09/2000 12/12/2000 | 124.595.600 23.626.480 | 75,00 93.446.719 75,00 17.719.860 | 67.852.50 4.429.96 | 16.706.719 7.973.937 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/003 2001/PL/16/P/PA/005 2001/PL/16/P/PT/013 | Technical assistance for pre-feasibility study for the Minsk-Siedlce (E20): rail upgrading Rzepin-Kunowice (E20): rail upgrading Technical assistance for preparation of the project | | 19/09/2000 12/12/2000 12/09/2001 | 124.595.600 23.626.480 14.900.000 | 75,0093.446.71975,0017.719.86040,005.960.000 | 67.852.50 4.429.96 | 16.706.719 7.973.937 4.768.000 19.014.864 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/003 2001/PL/16/P/PA/005 2001/PL/16/P/PT/013 2001/PL/16/P/PT/014 | Technical assistance for pre-feasibility study for the Minsk-Siedlce (E20): rail upgrading Rzepin-Kunowice (E20): rail upgrading Technical assistance for preparation of the project Wegliniec-Legnica Modernisation of E30 rail section | 1 | 19/09/2000 12/12/2000 12/09/2001 17/12/2001 | 124.595.600 23.626.480 14.900.000 123.783.000 | 75,0093.446.71975,0017.719.86040,005.960.00075,0092.837.250 | 67.852.50 4.429.96 | 16.706.719 7.973.937 4.768.000 19.014.864 10.115.934 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/003 2001/PL/16/P/PA/005 2001/PL/16/P/PT/013 2001/PL/16/P/PT/014 | Technical assistance for pre-feasibility study for the Minsk-Siedlce (E20): rail upgrading Rzepin-Kunowice (E20): rail upgrading Technical assistance for preparation of the project Wegliniec-Legnica Modernisation of E30 rail section Poznan modernisation rail node E20 Improvement of railway infrastructure and liquidatio | 1 | 19/09/2000 12/12/2000 12/09/2001 17/12/2001 22/11/2001 | 124.595.600 23.626.480 14.900.000 123.783.000 67.439.560 | 75,0093.446.71975,0017.719.86040,005.960.00075,0092.837.25075,0050.579.670 | 67.852.50 4.429.96 (| 16.706.719 7.973.937 4.768.000 19.014.864 10.115.934 24.000.000 | 20,31 |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/003 2001/PL/16/P/PA/005 2001/PL/16/P/PT/013 2001/PL/16/P/PT/014 2001/PL/16/P/PT/015 | Technical assistance for pre-feasibility study for the Minsk-Siedlce (E20): rail upgrading Rzepin-Kunowice (E20): rail upgrading Technical assistance for preparation of the project Wegliniec-Legnica Modernisation of E30 rail section Poznan modernisation rail node E20 Improvement of railway infrastructure and liquidatio | n of | 19/09/2000 12/12/2000 12/09/2001 17/12/2001 22/11/2001 | 124.595.600 23.626.480 14.900.000 123.783.000 67.439.560 111.000.000 | 75,0093.446.71975,0017.719.86040,005.960.00075,0092.837.25075,0050.579.67075,0083.250.000 | 67.852.50 4.429.96 ((| 16.706.719 7.973.937 4.768.000 19.014.864 10.115.934 24.000.000 | 20,31 |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PA/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/002 2000/PL/16/P/PT/003 2001/PL/16/P/PA/005 2001/PL/16/P/PT/013 2001/PL/16/P/PT/014 2001/PL/16/P/PT/015 Total number of projec subsector: | Technical assistance for pre-feasibility study for the Minsk-Siedlce (E20): rail upgrading Rzepin-Kunowice (E20): rail upgrading Technical assistance for preparation of the project Wegliniec-Legnica Modernisation of E30 rail section Poznan modernisation rail node E20 Improvement of railway infrastructure and liquidatio | n of 7 | 19/09/2000 12/12/2000 12/09/2001 17/12/2001 22/11/2001 | 124.595.600 23.626.480 14.900.000 123.783.000 67.439.560 111.000.000 | 75,0093.446.71975,0017.719.86040,005.960.00075,0092.837.25075,0050.579.67075,0083.250.000 | 67.852.50 4.429.96 ((| 16.706.719 7.973.937 4.768.000 19.014.864 10.115.934 24.000.000 23,73 82.579.454 | 20,31 |

| Total number of signed projects for Poland: | 35 | | 2.065.161.149 | 67,89 1.402.014.628 | 35,60 | 306.957.655 | 30,77 406.566.846 3 | 36,65 |
|--|-------------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------|---------------------|-------|
| Total number of projects for sector: | 13 | | 1.147.277.640 | 74,55 <i>855.243.249</i> | 61,00 | 173.649.066 | 56,57 177.561.679 4 | 13,67 |
| Total number of projects for subsector: | 6 | | 680.993.000 | 75,00 510.744.750 | 36,43 | 100.802.601 | 32,84 94.982.225 2 | 23,36 |
| 2001/PL/16/P/PT/009 Wroclaw-Krzyzowa Rehabilitation A4 | | 20/08/2001 | 252.700.000 | 75,00 189.525.000 | | 0 | 31.200.000 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PT/008 Krakow-Tarnow: road rehabilitation on Co | rridor III | 29/12/2000 | 62.233.000 | 75,00 46.674.750 | | 11.456.376 | 0 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PT/007 Gdansk-Jazowa: Pavement strengthening | on the Corridor 1 | 29/12/2000 | 82.832.000 | 75,00 62.124.000 | | 15.000.000 | 20.000.000 | |
| 2000/PL/16/P/PT/005 Bielsko-Biala-Cieszyn: construction of exp | ressway | 12/06/2001 | 138.185.000 | 75,00 103.638.750 | | 0 | 28.011.000 | |

DG Regio-ISPA

nkb

| number of Comm. decision eligible % grant % of county Ispa buget % of county Ispa buget % of county projects name project; projects projects value qrant countibuilion % of all 2000 % of all 2001 % of all subsector: Prinking water pipes/plant 22/12/2000 51.378.000 75.00 38.533.50 3.80 23.899.250 6.987.550 2.84 subsector: Prinking and pipes/plant 51.378.000 75.00 38.533.50 3.80 2.889.250 6.987.550 2.84 subsector: Prinking and sewage water 18/12/2001 16.262.000 75.00 3.853.500 3.80 2.84 2.84 2.84 2000RO/16/P/PE005 Pascant: Upgrading of water & waste water system 18/12/2001 16.262.000 75.00 3.28 3.27 0 0.0 4.218.000 1.86 1.86 1.86 3.27 0 0.0 1.86 1.86 1.86 1.86 1.86 1.86 1.86 1.86 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>est. total</th> <th>e</th> <th>st.total Ispa</th> <th></th> <th>F</th> <th>Page 7 of 8</th> <th></th> <th></th> | | | | | est. total | e | st.total Ispa | | F | Page 7 of 8 | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Country: Romania subsector: Drinking water pipes/plant 2000/RO/16/P/PE/006 tasi: Upgrading of water & waste water system 22/12/2000 51.378.000 75.00 38.533.500 23.839.250 6.987.550 Total number of projects for subsector: 1 51.378.000 75.00 38.533.500 23.839.250 9.97 6.987.550 2,84 subsector: Drinking and sewage water 1 51.378.000 75.00 38.533.500 0 4.218.000 2,84 2000/RO/16/P/PE/005 Pascani: Upgrading of water & waste water system 18/12/2001 16.262.000 75.00 12.196.500 0 4.218.000 2001/RO/16/P/PE/015 Targu Mures: rehabilitation of drinking water supply and 20/11/2001 27.909.400 75.00 3.27 0 0.00 1.468.025 5.98 subsector: Sewage network/treatment plant 22/12/2001 1.810.000 75.00 1.357.500 0 1.086.000 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewarage network and wastewater 22/12/2000 70.378.000 75.00 23.783.502 | | | number of | Comm.decision | eligible | % | grant % | % of country | lspa buget % | 6 of country | lspa budget | % of country |
| number of Drinking water pipes/plant 2/1/2/2000 51.378.000 75.00 88.533.500 23.839.250 6.987.550 2.84 Total number of projects for subsector: 1 51.378.000 75.00 8.533.500 3.80 23.839.250 6.987.550 2.84 Subsector: Drinking and sewage water 1 51.378.000 75.00 12.196.500 3.80 2.80 0.97 6.987.550 2.84 2000/RO/16/P/PE005 Pascani: Upgrading of water & waste water system 18/12/2001 16.262.000 75.00 12.196.500 0 4.218.000 4.218.000 10.466.025 10.466.025 10.466.025 5.98 5. | project number: | name project: | projects | signature: | value | grant c | contribution | % of all | 2000 | % of all | 2001 | % of all |
| number of projects for subsector: Drinking water pipes/plant 2/1/2/200 51.378.000 75.00 88.533.500 23.839.250 6.987.550 Total number of projects for subsector: 1 51.378.000 75.00 88.533.500 3.80 23.839.250 6.987.550 2.84 subsector: Drinking and sewage water 1 51.378.000 75.00 12.196.500 0.00 4.218.000 2000/RO/16/P/PE/005 Pascant: Upgrading of water & waste water system 18/12/2001 16.262.000 75.00 12.196.500 0 4.218.000 2001/RO/16/P/PE/015 Targu Mures: rehabilitation of drinking water supply and 20/11/2001 27.909.400 75.00 3.128.550 3.27 0 0.00 14.684.025 5.98 subsector: 2 44.171.400 75.00 3.275 0 0.00 1.686.000 2000/RO/16/P/PA/001 Technical assistance for completion and upgrading of 22/02/2001 1.810.000 75.00 3.275.00 0 1.086.000 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage entwork and wastewater 22/02/2001 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PE/006 lasi: Upgrading of water & waste water system 22/12/2000 51.378.000 75,00 38.533.500 23.839.250 9.97 6.987.550 2.84 Total number of projects for subsector: 1 51.378.000 75,00 38.533.500 3,80 23.839.250 9.97 6.987.550 2.84 subsector: Dinking and sewage water 2000/RO/16/P/PE/005 Pascani: Upgrading of water & waste water system 18/12/2001 16.262.000 75,00 12.196.500 0 4.218.000 2001/RO/16/P/PE/015 Targu Mures: rehabilitation of drinking water system 18/12/2001 27.099.400 75,00 32.128.550 3,27 0 0.00 14.684.025 5,98 Subsector: 2 44.171.400 75,00 33.128.550 3,27 0 0.00 14.684.025 5,98 Subsector: 2 44.171.400 75,00 1.357.500 0 1.086.000 1.086.000 1.086.000 1.086.000 1.086.000 1.086.000 20.00/RO/16/P/PE/002 38.342.250 3.884.550 3.884.550 3.884.550 3.884.550 3.884.550 3.884.550 3. | country: | Romania | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total number of projects for subsector: 1 51.378.000 75,00 38.533.500 3.80 23.839.250 9,97 6.987.550 2,84 subsector: Drinking and sewage water 2000/RO/16/P/PE/005 Pascani: Upgrading of water & waste water system 18/12/2001 16.262.000 75,00 12.196.500 0 4.218.000 2001/RO/16/P/PE/015 Targu Mures: rehabilitation of drinking water supply and 20/11/2001 27.909.400 75,00 32.128.550 3,27 0 0,00 14.686.025 Total number of projects for subsector: 2 44.171.400 75,00 33.128.550 3,27 0 0,00 14.684.025 5,98 subsector: Sewage network/treatment plant 22/02/2001 1.810.000 75,00 1.357.500 0 1.086.000 2000/RO/16/P/PA/001 Technical assistance for completion and upgrading of 22/02/2001 1.810.000 75,00 52.783.500 38.342.250 3.884.550 2000/RO/16/P/PE/003 Constanta: sewarage and wastewater treatment rehabilitation 16/11/2000 96.556.653 75,00 72.417.490 | subsector: | Drinking water | pipes/plant | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: Drinking and sewage water 2000/RO/16/P/PE/05 Pascani: Upgrading of water & waste water system 18/12/201 16.626.000 75,00 12.196.500 0 4.218.000 2001/RO/16/P/PE/015 Targu Mures: rehabilitation of drinking water supply and 20/11/201 27.909.400 75,00 20.932.050 0 0.00 14.684.025 5,98 Total number of projects for subsector: 2 44.171.400 75,00 3.128.550 3.27 0 0.00 14.684.025 5,98 subsector: Sewage network/treatment plant 2/20/20201 1.810.000 75,00 1.357.500 0 1.086.000 1.086.000 1.086.000 2/00/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage network and wastewater 2/2/2/2001 1.810.000 75,00 5.2783.500 38.342.250 3.884.550 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage and wastewater treatment rehabilitation 16/11/2000 96.556.653 75,00 72.417.490 44.304.233 12.680.621 2000/RO/16/P/PE/004 Timisoara: rehabilitation of wastewater treatment technology 4/12/201 48.080.000 71,00 34.136.800 0 13.654.720 | 2000/RO/16/P/PE/006 | 6 Iasi: Upgrading of water & waste w | water system | 22/12/2000 | 51.378.000 | 75,00 | 38.533.50 | 0 | 23.839.250 |) | 6.987.550 | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PE/005 Pascani: Upgrading of water & waste water system 18/12/201 16.262.00 75,00 12.196.500 0 4.218.000 2001/RO/16/P/PE/015 Targu Mures: rehabilitation of drinking water supply and 20/11/201 27.909.400 75,00 20.932.050 0 0,00 14.660.025 Total number of projects for subsector: 2 44.171.400 75,00 3.128.550 3,27 0 0,00 14.684.025 5,98 subsector: Sewage network/treatment plant 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage network and wastewater 22/02/2001 1.810.000 75,00 1.357.500 0 1.086.000 1.086.000 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage network and wastewater 22/12/2000 70.378.000 75,00 52.783.500 38.342.250 3.884.550 2000/RO/16/P/PE/003 Constanta: sewerage and wastewater treatment rehabilitation 16/11/2000 96.556.653 75,00 72.417.490 44.304.233 12.680.621 2000/RO/16/P/PE/004 Timisoara: rehabilitation of wastewater treatment technology 4/12/2001 48.080.000 71,00 34.136.800 0 13.654.720 | Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 1 | | 51.378.000 | 75,00 | 38.533.50 | 0 3,80 | 23.839.250 | 9,97 | 6.987.550 | 2,84 |
| 2001/RO/16/P/PE/015 Targu Mures: rehabilitation of drinking water supply and 20/11/2001 27.909.400 75,00 20.932.050 0 10.466.025 Total number of projects for subsector: 2 44.171.400 75,00 33.128.550 3,27 0 0,00 14.684.025 5,98 subsector: Sewage network/treatment plant 2000/RO/16/P/PA/001 Technical assistance for completion and upgrading of 22/02/2001 1.810.000 75,00 1.357.500 0 1.086.000 1.086.000 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage network and wastewater 22/12/2000 70.378.000 75,00 52.783.500 38.342.250 3.884.550 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of wastewater treatment rehabilitation 16/11/2000 96.556.653 75,00 72.417.490 44.304.233 12.680.621 2000/RO/16/P/PE/004 Timisoara: rehabilitation of wastewater treatment technology 4/12/2001 48.080.000 71,00 34.136.800 0 13.654.720 | subsector: | Drinking and se | ewage water | | | | | | | | | |
| Total number of projects for subsector: 2 44.171.400 75,00 33.128.550 3,27 0 0,00 14.684.025 5,98 subsector: Sewage network/treatment plant 2 2/00/RO/16/P/PA/001 Technical assistance for completion and upgrading of 22/02/2001 1.810.000 75,00 1.357.500 0 1.086.000 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage network and wastewater 22/12/2000 70.378.000 75,00 52.783.500 38.342.250 3.884.550 2000/RO/16/P/PE/003 Constanta: sewerage and wastewater treatment rehabilitation 16/11/2000 96.556.653 75,00 72.417.490 44.304.233 12.680.621 2000/RO/16/P/PE/004 Timisoara: rehabilitation of wastewater treatment technology 4/12/2001 48.080.000 71,00 34.136.800 0 13.654.720 | 2000/RO/16/P/PE/005 | 5 Pascani: Upgrading of water & wa | aste water system | 18/12/2001 | 16.262.000 | 75,00 | 12.196.50 | 0 | 0 |) | 4.218.000 | |
| subsector: Sewage network/treatment plant 2000/RO/16/P/PA/001 Technical assistance for completion and upgrading of 22/02/2001 1.810.000 75,00 1.357.500 0 1.086.000 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage network and wastewater 22/12/2000 70.378.000 75,00 52.783.500 38.342.250 3.884.550 2000/RO/16/P/PE/003 Constanta: sewerage and wastewater treatment rehabilitation 16/11/2000 96.556.653 75,00 72.417.490 44.304.233 12.680.621 2000/RO/16/P/PE/004 Timisoara: rehabilitation of wastewater treatment technology 4/12/2001 48.080.000 71,00 34.136.800 0 13.654.720 | 2001/RO/16/P/PE/015 | 5 Targu Mures: rehabilitation of drin | king water supply and | 20/11/2001 | 27.909.400 | 75,00 | 20.932.05 | 0 | 0 |) . | 10.466.025 | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PA/001 Technical assistance for completion and upgrading of 22/02/2001 1.810.000 75,00 1.357.500 0 1.086.000 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage network and wastewater 22/12/2000 70.378.000 75,00 52.783.500 38.342.250 3.884.550 2000/RO/16/P/PE/003 Constanta: sewerage and wastewater treatment rehabilitation 16/11/2000 96.556.653 75,00 72.417.490 44.304.233 12.680.621 2000/RO/16/P/PE/004 Timisoara: rehabilitation of wastewater treatment technology 4/12/2001 48.080.000 71,00 34.136.800 0 13.654.720 | Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 2 | | 44.171.400 | 75,00 | 33.128.55 | 0 3,27 | 0 | 0,00 | 14.684.025 | 5,98 |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage network and wastewater 22/12/2000 70.378.000 75,00 52.783.500 38.342.250 3.884.550 2000/RO/16/P/PE/003 Constanta: sewerage and wastewater treatment rehabilitation 16/11/2000 96.556.653 75,00 72.417.490 44.304.233 12.680.621 2000/RO/16/P/PE/004 Timisoara: rehabilitation of wastewater treatment technology 4/12/2001 48.080.000 71,00 34.136.800 0 13.654.720 | subsector: | Sewage networ | rk/treatment plant | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PE/003 Constanta: sewerage and wastewater treatment rehabilitation 16/11/2000 96.556.653 75,00 72.417.490 44.304.233 12.680.621 2000/RO/16/P/PE/004 Timisoara: rehabilitation of wastewater treatment technology 4/12/2001 48.080.000 71,00 34.136.800 0 13.654.720 | 2000/RO/16/P/PA/001 | 1 Technical assistance for completion | on and upgrading of | 22/02/2001 | 1.810.000 | 75,00 | 1.357.50 | 0 | 0 |) | 1.086.000 | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PE/004 Timisoara: rehabilitation of wastewater treatment technology 4/12/2001 48.080.000 71,00 34.136.800 0 13.654.720 | 2000/RO/16/P/PE/002 | 2 Craiova: Rehabilitation of sewerage | ge network and wastewater | 22/12/2000 | 70.378.000 | 75,00 | 52.783.50 | 0 | 38.342.250 |) | 3.884.550 | |
| | 2000/RO/16/P/PE/003 | 3 Constanta: sewerage and wastew | rater treatment rehabilitation | 16/11/2000 | 96.556.653 | 75,00 | 72.417.49 | 0 | 44.304.233 | 3 | 12.680.621 | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PE/008 Cluj: Rehabilitation and modernisation of the water supply 31/05/2001 46.755.800 75,00 35.066.850 0 24.546.795 | 2000/RO/16/P/PE/004 | 4 Timisoara: rehabilitation of wastev | water treatment technology | 4/12/2001 | 48.080.000 | 71,00 | 34.136.80 | 0 | 0 |) . | 13.654.720 | |
| | 2000/RO/16/P/PE/008 | 3 Cluj: Rehabilitation and modernisa | ation of the water supply | 31/05/2001 | 46.755.800 | 75,00 | 35.066.85 | 0 | 0 |) 2 | 24.546.795 | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PE/009 Valea Jiului: Danutoni waste water treatment plant extension 11/12/2001 9.680.000 75,00 7.260.000 5.808.000 726.000 | 2000/RO/16/P/PE/009 | 9 Valea Jiului: Danutoni waste wate | r treatment plant extension | 11/12/2001 | 9.680.000 | 75,00 | 7.260.00 | 0 | 5.808.000 |) | 726.000 | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PE/010 Braila: Integrated sewerage development and wastewater 15/02/2001 59.877.400 75,00 44.908.050 0 13.680.000 | 2000/RO/16/P/PE/010 | Braila: Integrated sewerage develo | opment and wastewater | 15/02/2001 | 59.877.400 | 75,00 | 44.908.05 | 0 | 0 |) . | 13.680.000 | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PE/011 Arad: Rehabilitation and modification of sewerage network 15/02/2001 18.000.000 75,00 13.500.000 0 8.100.000 | 2000/RO/16/P/PE/011 | 1 Arad: Rehabilitation and modificat | ion of sewerage network | 15/02/2001 | 18.000.000 | 75,00 | 13.500.00 | 0 | 0 |) | 8.100.000 | |
| 2001/RO/16/P/PE/012 Focsani: Rehabilitation of the sewerage network and 14/08/2001 15.876.500 74,00 11.748.610 0 9.398.888 | 2001/RO/16/P/PE/012 | 2 Focsani: Rehabilitation of the sew | rerage network and | 14/08/2001 | 15.876.500 | 74,00 | 11.748.61 | 0 | 0 |) | 9.398.888 | |
| 2001/RO/16/P/PE/013 Oradea: Rehabilitation of the sewerage network and 14/08/2001 23.906.000 70,00 16.734.200 0 13.387.360 | 2001/RO/16/P/PE/013 | 3 Oradea: Rehabilitation of the sewe | erage network and | 14/08/2001 | 23.906.000 | 70,00 | 16.734.20 | 0 | 0 |) | 13.387.360 | |

| Total number of projects for subsector: | 10 | | 390.920.353 | 74,16 289.913.000 | 28,58 | 88.454.483 | 36,97 101.144.934 41,18 |
|--|--|------------|---------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------------------|
| subsector: Solid v | vaste collection system | | | | | | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PE/001 Piatra Neamt: waste mar | nagement programme | 23/10/2000 | 13.846.000 | 75,00 10.384.500 | | 8.307.600 | 0 |
| Total number of projects for subsector: | 1 | | 13.846.000 | 75,00 10.384.500 | 1,02 | 8.307.600 | 3,47 0 0,00 |
| Total number of projects for sector: | 14 | | 500.315.753 | 74,34 <i>371.959.550</i> | 36,67 | 120.601.333 | 50,41 122.816.509 50,00 |
| subsector: Rail | | | | | | | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PT/001 Bucharest-Fetesti: Rehal | bilitation and upgrading of four | 23/10/2000 | 308.972.608 | 75,00 231.729.441 | | 72.779.441 | 0 |
| 2001/RO/16/P/PA/008Technical assistance for re | ehabilitation of the railway line HU | 20/12/2001 | 800.000 | 75,00 600.000 | | 0 | 480.000 |
| Total number of projects for subsector: | 2 | | 309.772.608 | 75,00 232.329.441 | 22,91 | 72.779.441 | 30,42 480.000 0,20 |
| subsector: Road | | | | | | | |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PA/002 Technical Assistance pre | eparation Drobeta-Lugoj project | 29/11/2001 | 1.500.000 | 75,00 1.125.000 | | 0 | 900.000 |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PT/002 Bucharest-Giurgiu: Wide | ning to four lanes of the National | 23/10/2000 | 57.912.828 | 75,00 43.434.621 | | 34.747.696 | 0 |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PT/003 Bucharest-Cernavoda - F | Rehabilitation and construction of | 23/10/2000 | 95.616.000 | 75,00 71.712.000 | | 11.100.000 | 7.642.000 |
| 2000/RO/16/P/PT/004 Turnu Severin-Craiova: F | Rehabilitation road Craiova-Lugoj: | 22/02/2001 | 117.002.705 | 75,00 87.752.028 | | 0 | 67.270.000 |
| 2001/RO/16/P/PT/005 Construction of motorway | y bypasses Corridor IV | 14/08/2001 | 90.521.000 | 75,00 67.890.750 | | 0 | 35.730.000 |
| 2001/RO/16/P/PT/006 Lugoj-Drobeta Turnu Sev | verin: Rehabilitation route - phase 2: | 13/12/2001 | 184.015.500 | 75,00 138.011.625 | | 0 | 10.790.000 |
| Total number of projects for subsector: | 6 | | 546.568.033 | 75,00 409.926.024 | 40,42 | 45.847.696 | 19,16 122.332.000 49,80 |
| Total number of projects for sector: | 8 | | 856.340.641 | 75,00 642.255.465 | 63,33 | 118.627.137 | 49,59 122.812.000 50,00 |
| Total number of signed projects for Romania | : 22 | | 1.356.656.394 | 74,76 1.014.215.015 | 25,76 | 239.228.470 | 23,98 245.628.509 22,14 |

| | | | est. total est.total Ispa Page 8 | | | | est.total Ispa | | Page 8 of 8 | | |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------|-------|--------------|----------------|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | number of | Comm.decision | eligible | % | grant | % of country | lspa buget | % of country | Ispa budget | % of country |
| project number: | name project: | projects | signature: | value | grant | contribution | % of all | 2000 | % of all | 2001 | % of all |

Slovakia country:

| subsector: | Drinking and sewage water | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|-------------|------------|-------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|------------|--------|-------|
| 2000/SK/16/P/PA/001 | Technical assistance: preparation environment | projects | 26/04/2001 | 1.271.760 | 75,00 | 953.820 | | 0 | 7 | 63.056 | |
| Total number of project | cts for subsector: | 1 | | 1.271.760 | 75,00 | 953.820 | 0,55 | 0 | 0,00 7 | 63.056 | 1,59 |
| subsector: PE-SE | Sewage network/treatment plar | t | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/SK/16/P/PE/001 | Trencin: sewerage and waste water treatment pla | nt - right | 5/12/2000 | 7.936.732 | 50,00 | 3.968.366 | | 3.174.692 | | 0 | |
| 2000/SK/16/P/PE/002 | Nitra: waste water treatment plant - sewerage sys | tem | 18/12/2000 | 10.354.200 | 50,00 | 5.177.100 | | 4.141.680 | | 0 | |
| 2000/SK/16/P/PE/003 | Banska Bystrica: waste water disposal system | | 7/12/2001 | 45.468.671 | 50,00 | 22.734.334 | | 4.290.000 | 10.1 | 72.517 | |
| 2000/SK/16/P/PE/004 | Komarno I: upgrade and extension of public sewe | rage | 14/05/2001 | 8.043.700 | 50,00 | 4.021.850 | | 0 | 3.2 | 17.480 | |
| 2001/SK/16/P/PE/005 | Zvolen: reconstruction and extension of the waste | water | 6/12/2001 | 10.967.050 | 50,00 | 5.483.525 | | 0 | 4.3 | 86.820 | |
| 2001/SK/16/P/PE/007 | Dolny Turiec region and Martin: sewerage and wa | stewater | 17/12/2001 | 12.364.474 | 48,73 | 6.024.881 | | 0 | 4.8 | 19.905 | |
| Total number of projec | ts for subsector: | 6 | | 95.134.827 | 49,83 | 47.410.056 | 27,48 | 11.606.372 | 27,34 22.5 | 96.722 | 47,02 |
| Total number of projec | ts for sector: | 7 | | 96.406.587 | 50,17 | 48.363.876 | 28,04 | 11.606.372 | 27,34 23.3 | 59.778 | 48,61 |
| subsector: | Rail | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/SK/16/P/PT/001 | Bratislava-Trnava:section Bratislava-Senkvice Mo | dernisation | 12/10/2000 | 51.422.000 | 75,00 | 38.566.500 | | 30.853.200 | | 0 | |
| 2001/SK/16/P/PT/003 | Bratislava-Trnava: Modernisation of rail Senkvice | Cifer and | 13/12/2001 | 116.859.000 | 50,00 | 58.429.500 | | 0 | 5.6 | 93.630 | |
| Total number of projec | ts for subsector: | 2 | | 168.281.000 | 57,64 | 96.996.000 | 56,23 | 30.853.200 | 72,66 5.6 | 93.630 | 11,85 |
| subsector: | Road | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2001/SK/16/P/PT/002 | Bratislava: motorway D61, section Vienna Road-Riverport | 6/12/2001 | 52.210.000 | 52,00 | 27.149.200 | | 0 | | 19.004.440 | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|------------|-------|------------------|--|
| Total number of project | s for subsector: 1 | | 52.210.000 | 52,00 | 27.149.200 | 15,74 | 0 | 0,00 | 19.004.440 39,54 | |
| Total number of projec | ts for sector: 3 | | 220.491.000 | 56,30 | 124.145.200 | 71,96 | 30.853.200 | 72,66 | 24.698.070 51,39 | |
| Total number of signe | ed projects for Slovakia: 10 |) | 316.897.587 | 54,44 | 172.509.076 | 4,38 | 42.459.572 | 4,26 | 48.057.848 4,33 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| country: | Slovenia | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Drinking water pipes/plant | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/SI/16/P/PE/004 | Gora Area: sustainable water supply of Trnovsko-Banjiski | 26/11/2001 | 5.122.168 | 50,00 | 2.561.084 | | 0 | | 2.048.867 | |
| Total number of project | s for subsector: 1 | | 5.122.168 | 50,00 | 2.561.084 | 5,69 | 0 | 0,00 | 2.048.867 12,79 | |
| subsector: PE-SE | Sewage network/treatment plant | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/SI/16/P/PA/001 | Sava: request for technical assistance | 7/12/2001 | 300.000 | 75,00 | 225.000 | | 180.000 | | 0 | |
| 2000/SI/16/P/PE/001 | Celje: waste water treatment plant | 18/12/2000 | 14.740.680 | 60,00 | 8.844.407 | | 7.075.526 | | 0 | |
| 2000/SI/16/P/PE/002 | Lendava: sewerage system and central waste water | 18/12/2000 | 11.647.010 | 44,00 | 5.124.686 | | 4.099.749 | | 0 | |
| 2000/SI/16/P/PE/005 | Slovenj Gradec: wastewater treatment in the Mislinja Rive | r 7/12/2001 | 9.018.015 | 50,00 | 4.509.008 | | 0 | | 3.607.206 | |
| Total number of project | s for subsector: 4 | | 35.705.705 | 52,38 | 18.703.101 | 41,56 | 11.355.275 | 57,83 | 3.607.206 22,52 | |
| subsector: | Solid waste collection system | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/SI/16/P/PE/006 | Dolensjka region: waste management centre (Novo Mesto |) 13/12/2001 | 9.943.000 | 50,00 | 4.971.500 | | 0 | | 3.630.987 | |
| Total number of project | s for subsector: 1 | | 9.943.000 | 50,00 | 4.971.500 | 11,05 | 0 | 0,00 | 3.630.987 22,67 | |
| Total number of projects for sector:6 | | | 50.770.873 | 51,67 | 26.235.685 | 58,30 | 11.355.275 | 57,83 | 9.287.060 57,97 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| subsector: | Rail | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000/SI/16/P/PA/002 | Implementation of GSM-R and ERTLS/ETCS system on | 18/12/2000 | 1.300.000 | 75,00 | 975.000 | | 780.000 | | 0 | |
| 2000/SI/16/P/PT/001 | Renewal of cut Križni vrh with renewal of line section from | 10/11/2000 | 12.500.000 | 75,00 | 9.375.000 | | 7.500.000 | | 0 | |

| Report total: | 169 | 6.112.735.259 | 64,42 | 3.937.785.745 | | 997.516.506 | 1.109.195.063 | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|-------|---------------|-------|-------------|---------------|------------|-------|
| otal number of signed projects for Slovenia: | 9 | 81.401.473 | 55,28 | 45.000.985 | 1,14 | 19.635.275 | 1,97 | 16.019.300 | 1,44 |
| otal number of projects for sector: | 3 | 30.630.600 | 61,26 | 18.765.300 | 41,70 | 8.280.000 | 42,17 | 6.732.240 | 42,03 |
| otal number of projects for subsector: | 3 | 30.630.600 | 61,26 | 18.765.300 | 41,70 | 8.280.000 | 42,17 | 6.732.240 | 42,03 |
| 001/SI/16/P/PT/002 Divaca-Koper: Modernisation of signalli | ng and safety | 20/11/2001 16.830.600 | 50,00 | 8.415.300 | | 0 | | 6.732.240 | |

DG Regio-ISPA

nkb