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## Preface

This year the European Commission marks 10 years of 'Women in Science' activities. To understand the urgency and importance of this subject, She Figures 2009 offers numbers.

Take a snapshot of 2006: among European researchers, women remain a minority - only $30 \%$ of European researchers are women, to be precise. Browse the scientific fields: some are heavily staffed by women, others by men. In the Government Sector, across the EU-27, while there are equivalent numbers of women and men working in the field of Humanities, only 27\% of researchers in Engineering and Technology are female. And what about researchers' career progression? Women account for $59 \%$ of graduates, whereas men account for $82 \%$ of full professors. Do you find it hard to believe? Check out chapter 3.

There is an imbalance in the number, seniority and influence of women and men in scientific studies and professions. She Figures 2009 is there to ground this statement in the data collected by Eurostat and the Statistical Correspondents of the Helsinki Group. It also aims to keep track of the progress made in this field, and to give all of us reasons to work for a better future of Europe's society and economy.

Indeed, She Figures 2009 tells us that the proportion of female researchers is actually growing faster than that of
men (over the period 2002-2006, $+6.3 \%$ for women and $+3.7 \%$ for men). Also, the share of women among scientists and engineers has grown by $6.2 \%$, compared to $3.7 \%$ for men over the same period. Moreover, the Glass Ceiling Index is generally decreasing everywhere in
 Europe. You don't know what the Glass Ceiling Index is? Another good reason to read chapter 3.

The figures are encouraging but the gender imbalance is not self-correcting. She Figures is recommended reading for all policy-makers, researchers, teachers, students, and parents who share a vision of a democratic, competitive and technologically advanced Europe. JANEZ POTOČNIK Commissioner for Science and Research
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## Executive summary

She Figures 2009 is the third publication (following She Figures 2003 and She Figures 2006) of a key set of indicators that are essential to correctly comprehend the situation of women in science and research. The She Figures data collection is undertaken every three years as a joint venture of the Scientific Culture and Gender Issues Unit of the Directorate-General for Research of the European Commission and the group of Statistical Correspondents of the Helsinki Group.

The major findings and trends put forward by She Figures 2009 can be summarised as follows:

- Women in scientific research remain a minority, accounting for $30 \%$ of researchers in the EU in 2006.
- In the EU, their proportion is growing faster than that of men (6.3\% annually over 2002-2006 compared with $3.7 \%$ for men); the same goes for the proportion of women among scientists and engineers ( $6.2 \%$ annually compared with $3.7 \%$ for men).
- On average in the EU-27, women represent $37 \%$ of all researchers in the Higher Education Sector, 39\% in the Government Sector and 19\% in the Business Enterprise Sector, but in all three sectors there is a move towards a more gender-balanced research population.
- In the EU-27, 45\% of all PhD graduates were women in 2006; they equal or outnumber men in all broad fields of
study, except for science, mathematics and computing (41\%), and engineering, manufacturing and construction (25\%).
- Over the period 2002-2006, there has been an increase in the overall number of female researchers in almost all fields of science in the EU-27: the most positive growth figures characterised the fields of the medical sciences ( $+5.6 \%$ in HES and $+12 \%$ in GOV), the humanities ( $+6.8 \%$ in HES and $+4 \%$ in GOV), engineering and technology ( $+6.7 \%$ in HES and $+10 \%$ in GOV) and the social sciences ( $+6.5 \%$ in HES and $+3 \%$ in GOV).
- The highest shares of female researchers in the Business Enterprise Sector are in the fields of the agricultural and medical sciences and the lowest shares in engineering and technology.
- Women's academic career remains markedly characterised by strong vertical segregation: the proportion of female students (55\%) and graduates (59\%) exceeds that of male students, but men outnumber women among PhD students and graduates (the proportion of female students drops back to $48 \%$ and that of PhD graduates to 45\%). Furthermore, women represent only $44 \%$ of grade C academic staff, $36 \%$ of grade B academic staff and 18\% of grade A academic staff.
- The under-representation of women is even more striking in the field of science and engineering: the proportion of women increases from just $31 \%$ of the student population at the first level to $36 \%$ of PhD students and graduates but then falls back again to 33\% of academic grade C staff, $22 \%$ at grade B and just $11 \%$ at grade A.
- The Glass Ceiling Index stood at 1.8 in the EU-27 in 2007 (the higher the score, the thicker the ceiling).
- The proportion of women among full professors is highest in the humanities and the social sciences (respectively $27.0 \%$ and $18.6 \%$ ) and lowest in engineering and technology, at 7.2\%.
- At the level of the EU-27, women account for $23 \%$ of grade A academics among 35 to 44 -year-olds, $21 \%$ among 45 to 54 -year-olds and $18 \%$ among those aged over 55 . The situation thus appears more favourable for the youngest generations of female academics but the gender gap is still persistent.
- In the Higher Education Sector, in the EU-27, 61\% of female R\&D staff were researchers compared with 78\% of men in 2006, but $21 \%$ of women in R\&D hold technical occupations compared with $14 \%$ of men and, finally, $18 \%$ of women in R\&D perform other supporting tasks compared with $8 \%$ of men. In the Government and the Business Enterprise Sectors, an even lower share of women are occupied as researchers than in the Higher Education Sector (respectively 47\% and 41\%), but
instead relatively more women work as technicians (respectively $23 \%$ and $33 \%$ ) or as supporting staff (respectively $30 \%$ and $26 \%$ ).
- The official measure of the overall gender pay gap covering the entire economy stood at $25 \%$ in the EU- 27 in 2006, a slight improvement from 2002 when it stood at $26 \%$.
- On average throughout the EU-27, 13\% of institutions in the Higher Education Sector are headed by women and just $9 \%$ of universities have a female head.
- On average in the EU-27, 22\% of board members are women.
- $\mathrm{R} \& \mathrm{D}$ expenditure per capita researcher is usually the highest in the Business Enterprise Sector. There seems to be a negative correlation between the level of expenditure and women's representation, as the Business Enterprise Sector is precisely the sector in which women are the most under-represented.
To top off this summary of major findings, it is interesting to note that the indicators presented in She Figures 2009 show that the new Member States of the EU-27 are widely distributed at all levels of traditional EU-15 country classifications. These classifications were established over recent decades, mainly by economists and social scientists, in order to understand the various welfare states in Europe and the differences between them.

Compared to the EU-15, in some new Member States the situation was on average more favourable for female scientists, while in others the situation was much worse.
The policy implications of the results analysed in She Figures 2009 are numerous.

A transversal recommendation concerns the lack of harmonised and comparable data in general but particularly regarding the gender pay gap and the measurement of full-time equivalent employment rates to illustrate the part-time trap for women scientists that may turn out to be a major determinant of the persisting problem of vertical segregation in the academic world but also in the broader domain of research in general. Besides gender differences in part-time employment among scientists, in some countries there is also a pronounced gender gap in overtime hours, which cannot be captured in great detail using existing data.
Although in some countries the situation is more favourable for younger generations of women, the data by age groups reject the hypothesis of a spontaneous movement towards equality. Proactive policies are thus essential.

Given that the absence of a balanced gender composition in all study fields is due to the traditional choices made by girls
and boys alike, policy-makers should give equal attention to girls' and boys' choices.
A gender-mixed composition of nominating commissions, an increase in the objectivity of the applied selection criteria, tutoring of women, or even the fixing of quotas are all policies that are generally evoked to balance out the unequal situation that continues to prevail in the academic sector and to work against the discriminatory snowball effect (cfr. chapter 4). Moreover, the fight against gender stereotypes and the introduction of measures to promote a gender mix in all primary and secondary school study fields could favour the entry of young girls into the field of engineering and technology where they are particularly under-represented.

The gender pay gap is the highest in those occupations that are most open to high-level female researchers, even though it is large everywhere, particularly in public enterprise. It also deepens as the age of the researcher increases. There is no spontaneous reduction of the gender pay gap over time, a conclusion that holds up for all gender inequalities that were set forth and analysed throughout She Figures 2009. Again proactive policies need to be implemented to tackle this gender pay gap, which can be largely explained by the Glass Ceiling Effect.
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General introduction

Statistics and indicators on women in science are a key element of the mainstreaming approach to equal opportunities. She Figures 2009 is a collection of available data related to the situation of women in science and research. This data collection has evolved from the willingness to pay attention to the gender dimension of research and to monitor gender equality in a field where strong gender imbalances persist. It also reflects a clear wish to develop pan-European harmonised statistics facilitating cross-national comparisons and to build a base of gender disaggregated data available at the EU-level that allows to track changes over time and that has great value both to increase knowledge and to inform policies.

She Figures 2009 follows in the footsteps of She Figures 2003 and She Figures 2006. In general, chapters 1 and 2 are concerned with horizontal segregation, and chapters 3 and 4 with vertical segregation. Chapter 1 assesses the presence of women in research from a cross-country perspective. In particular, while it highlights the rapid progression of women in science, engineering and technology, it also draws the broad lines of the problem of gender segregation in science, fully analysed in chapter 2 on scientific fields. Chapter 2 also shows that a rapid catching up movement by women is taking place so that in the near future women will level with men at the PhD level. Chapter 3 on researchers' seniority illustrates the workings of a Glass Ceiling that women hit during their ascent in the academic hierarchy. Moreover, there is no spontaneous reduction of vertical segregation and of the gender pay gap over time. Finally,
chapter 4 shows that women's under-representation at the highest hierarchical levels of the academic career severely cuts their chances of being at the head of higher education institutions, which makes it hard for young women in academia to find female role models, and it biases all decisions that are taken at these high ranks regarding scientific policies, research subjects and credits and nominating rules and criteria.

She Figures 2009 goes further than previous versions by introducing new sets of additional data. In chapter 1, the distribution of researchers by sex and age group was added for both the Higher Education Sector (HES) and the Government Sector (GOV). In chapter 3, the proportions of women at grade A level are presented for different age groups (<35 years, 35-44 years, 45-54 years, and +55 years) to at least partially assess the role played by a potential generation effect in women's under-representation at the highest hierarchical levels. Moreover, the gender pay gap in public and private enterprise is also broken down by these age groups. Finally, in chapter 4, a broader indicator measuring the proportion of female heads of institutions in the HES and a narrower one on the proportion of female heads of universities or assimilated institutions were added.

She Figures 2009 reveals that women in scientific research remain a minority ( $30 \%$ of researchers in the EU-27 in 2006). Their proportion is growing faster than that of men but not enough to indicate that the gender imbalance in science is self-correcting.

Positive trends can be observed such as the considerable growth in the proportion of female scientists and engineers or in the share of women graduating at PhD level in sciences. However, horizontal gender segregation across different economic sectors and fields of science persists everywhere. Female researchers are far more likely to be employed in the Higher Education and the Government Sectors than in the Business Enterprise Sector, which attracts the bulk of research efforts. Female researchers feature in higher proportions in social sciences, agricultural sciences, medical sciences, and humanities than in engineering and technology, a key research area. Despite an increase in the percentage of women at the different stages of a typical academic career between 2002 and 2006, vertical segregation of women in science is also extremely persistent.

It thus seems that women's massive entry and rapid progression in science is bringing about a more equal representation of men and women in all fields of science and at all stages of the academic career. However, it still fails to give them an equal opportunity to participate in decision-making concerning scientific policies, research subjects and grants, and so forth. In policy terms, it is crucial to promote a high representation of women on boards that determine scientific policy in all countries. Their presence is not only essential to promote the cause of women in science; in scientific research, diversity is a factor for higher chances of excellence.

The implications of these gender imbalances are highly relevant for the European economy.

## Data sources

Most of the statistics used in this publication are drawn from Eurostat, the European Commission services' official data source. In addition, Statistical Correspondents from all EU Member States, together with Croatia, Iceland, Israel, Norway, Switzerland and Turkey provided data on the seniority of academic staff by sex and age group, differences between men and women for funding success rates, proportion of women on scientific boards and number of female heads of universities and other institutions in higher education. The Statistical Correspondents form a sub-group of the Helsinki Group on Women and Science led by the Scientific Culture and Gender Issues Unit of the DirectorateGeneral for Research. A list of the Statistical Correspondents can be found in Annex 6.

## Eurostat

The data from Eurostat all originate from a variety of different surveys conducted at national level:

- Researchers and R\&D expenditure data are collected through the R\&D Survey, which since 2004 has been carried out as a joint data collection between Eurostat and the OECD. R\&D data for Japan and the United States come from the OECD's Main Science and Technology Indicators (MSTI).
- Human Resources in Science and Technology (HRST) data are collected through the European Union Labour Force Survey (EU LFS).
- Education data are collected through the UOE (UNESCO-UIS, OECD, Eurostat) questionnaire.
- Gender pay gap data have been collected through SES2002 and SES2006 (Structure of Earnings Surveys 2002 and 2006).


## Statistical Correspondents

The statistics on the seniority of academic staff, research funding success rates, membership of scientific boards and heads of institutions are collected at the national level through Higher Education and R\&D Surveys, Ministries and Academies of Science, Research Councils and Universities as part of their own monitoring systems and administrative records. It should be noted that these data are not always ready for cross-country comparison at EU level. Technical details relating to adherence to standards and categorisation and data sources can be found in Annex 5.

## Key definitions

PhD/Doctorate or equivalent graduates: The International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) identifies a specific level - ISCED 6 - as "tertiary programmes which lead to the award of an advanced research qualification" (UNESCO, 1997). Education programmes such as PhDs and their equivalents are included in this level for all countries, as well as some post-doctoral programmes and, in a few cases, some shorter post-graduate programmes that are a
pre-requisite for the Doctorate (for example the D.E.A. in France).

Human Resources in Science \& Technology (HRSTC): This section of the workforce is defined as those who are both qualified tertiary educated graduates in the labour force and those who are working in professional or technician occupations not formally qualified as above.

Scientists and Engineers (S\&E): Data for this group are also drawn from the European Union Labour Force Survey, more specifically from the professional occupations category, but are restricted to "physical, mathematical and engineering occupations" and "life science and health occupations" and therefore exclude scientists in other occupational fields, such as social, or agricultural sciences.

Researchers: According to the common definition in the Frascati Manual (OECD, 2002), "Researchers are professionals engaged in the conception or creation of new knowledge, products, processes, methods and systems and also in the management of the projects concerned".

More detailed information on these definitions can be found in Annex 5.

Setting the scope

The purpose of this chapter is to assess the presence of women in research in a cross-country perspective and set the context for the chapters that follow. When reading She Figures 2009, one important consideration needs to be kept in mind. For reasons of data limitations, all data presented throughout the different chapters of this publication are measured in headcount and thus fail to take into account the prevalence of part-time employment in the female research population. Headcount data mask substantial variation in working hours both within the population of female researchers and when comparing men and women in research. It is therefore essential to temper the positive image of women's progression in science keeping in mind their greater likelihood of holding part-time jobs.

Figure 1.1 compares the proportion of women in total employment with their share among the highly educated employed as professionals or technicians and among those working as scientists and engineers for the year 2007. The fact that the proportion of women is higher among highly educated professionals or technicians (52\%) than in total employment ( $45 \%$ ) illustrates that tertiary educated women are more successful in finding a job than their counterparts with a lower level of education. However, their proportion drops to $32 \%$ among employed scientists and engineers which in turn exemplifies the problem of gender segregation in education. Between 2002 and 2007, women have been catching up with men as women's compound annual growth rate has exceeded that of men both in total employment and in the two more precise subgroups. The
difference is largest among scientists and engineers, where the share of women has grown by an average of $6.2 \%$ per year between 2002 and 2007 compared with a male growth rate of just $3.7 \%$. These growth rates are respectively $5.4 \%$ and $3.9 \%$ for highly educated women and men working as professionals or technicians. Employment in these subcategories thus seems to be expanding much more rapidly over recent years for both men and women than total employment. The growth in total employment was limited to $1.8 \%$ on average per year for women and to $1.1 \%$ for men over the period considered.

These trends should be confronted with the proportions of highly educated men and women who are employed as professionals or technicians (Figure 1.2) and the proportions of male and female scientists in the total labour force (Figure 1.3). Figure 1.2 does not present a high degree of discrepancy between men and women. For the year 2007, throughout the EU-27, on average 58\% of highly educated women were working as professionals or technicians compared with $55 \%$ of men. A slightly higher percentage of women was indeed observed in most countries. In the Baltic States, the difference between the shares of highly educated men and women in professional or technical jobs was much larger than elsewhere, with the gap reaching as high as $25 \%$ in Lithuania. The opposite was noted in just four countries. In Italy, France, Cyprus and Turkey, more highly educated men than women were employed as professionals or technicians. Finally, in Belgium, there seems to be no gender dimension to the probability of the highly educated to work as professionals or technicians.

Gender differences stand out more in the field of science and engineering in most EU countries. Figure 1.3 shows that, in 2007, there were only three countries where the proportion of female scientists and engineers was at $50 \%$ or more: Latvia (50\%), Lithuania (53\%), and Poland (54\%). On average $32 \%$ of scientists and engineers were women in the EU-27. In many countries, the share of women among scientists and engineers was at a much lower level still. Switzerland is at the very bottom of the country ranking with just $18 \%$ of women in this category. The gender distribution is very similar in the population of researchers (Figure 1.4). The average proportion of female researchers in the EU-27 stood at 30\% in 2006 but wide variations were noted between countries: Japan, Luxembourg and the Netherlands respectively have 12\%, $18 \%$ and $18 \%$ of female researchers. At the top of the country ranking according to the proportion of women in research, there are the Baltic States but also Bulgaria, Croatia, Portugal, Romania, and Slovakia, all of which have more than $40 \%$ of women in their research population. The compound annual growth rate of the numbers of female and male scientists over the period 2002-2006 is shown in Figure 1.5. Again women seem to be catching up with men over time as their share of the total research population has been growing at a faster rate over recent years (exceptions are the Czech Republic, Romania, Bulgaria, Hungary, Latvia and France). In the EU-27 on average, the number of female researchers has increased at a rate of 6.3\% per year compared with $3.7 \%$ for male researchers. Given that the mean growth rate for women is higher in the EU-15
than in the EU-27 whereas both geographical entities put forth the same growth rate for male researchers, it appears that in the EU's most recent Member States, the share of women in research is increasing at a slower pace than in the older Member States. Important exceptions are Malta and Cyprus where the compound annual growth rates of female researchers were as high as $14 \%$ and $13 \%$ respectively between 2002 and 2006. Moreover, from Figure 1.5 it appears that the gender gap in growth rates is generally smaller in low-growth countries and higher in high-growth countries. It is the widest in Malta, Austria and Switzerland.

This positive trend over time should not mask the pattern of female under-representation as shown in Figure 1.4 (proportion of female researchers). A similar pattern was also noted in the analysis of the number of researchers in the total labour force by sex. Figure 1.6 plots these results per thousand for the year 2006. Five exceptions aside, there are considerably fewer female researchers among active women than there are male researchers among active men. The male rates were 10 or more points per thousand higher that the female rates in Finland, Denmark, Austria, Luxembourg and Germany. On average across the EU-27, $9 \%$ of the male labour force were researchers in 2006 compared with $5 \%$ of women on the labour market. Exceptions to this gendered pattern can be observed in Lithuania, Latvia, Croatia and Romania. In these countries, identical shares of active men and women are actually working in research. Turkey is the only country where more active women than men do research.

Figure 1.7 allows for a more detailed analysis of the proportions of female researchers as they were presented in Figure 1.4 for the year 2006. It yields the proportion of female researchers in three broad economic sectors: Higher Education, the Government Sector and the Business Enterprise Sector. Whereas women's presence appears to be relatively similar in the Government Sector and in Higher Education, it is considerably weaker in the Business Enterprise Sector. On average in the EU-27, women represent $37 \%$ of all researchers in the Higher Education Sector, $39 \%$ in the Government Sector but merely 19\% in the Business Enterprise Sector. The degree of crosscountry disparity is fairly low in the Higher Education and Government Sector but much larger in the Business Enterprise Sector. In the Higher Education Sector, 4 countries have proportions of women in research that are below 30\% (the Netherlands, Japan, Luxembourg and Malta). On the contrary, female proportions of $50 \%$ or more are found in Lithuania and Latvia. In the Government Sector, below $30 \%$ of all researchers are women in the Netherlands, Japan, Turkey and Switzerland; and $50 \%$ or more in Lithuania, Estonia, Romania, Bulgaria, Portugal and Malta. In the Business Enterprise Sector, the country distribution in terms of the size of the proportion of female researchers is skewed downwards compared with the previous two sectors. Women represent less than $15 \%$ of the research population in 5 countries (the Netherlands, Japan, Austria, Germany, and Luxembourg). Their share is highest, although still only at $41 \%$, in Romania. In sum, regardless of the sector,
two countries systematically show the lowest proportions of female researchers, notably the Netherlands and Japan, whereas Lithuania and Romania always have the highest proportions of women in research.
Figure 1.8 presents the distribution of male and female researchers across four broad sectors of activity for the year 2006: the Higher Education Sector, the Government Sector, the Business Enterprise Sector and the Private Non-Profit Sector. It confirms the trends highlighted by Figure 1.7 and compares the share of female and male researchers across the economic sectors. Figures 1.9, 1.10 and 1.11 add valuable information as they show the rate at which the numbers of male and female researchers have been increasing (or decreasing) on an average annual basis between 2002 and 2006 in each of three broad economic sectors (HES, GOV and BES). Both Figure 1.8 and 1.7 show that, in most countries, women are more likely than men to opt for employment in the Higher Education and Government Sectors. These sectors are in contrast with the Business Enterprise Sector, which is more likely to be chosen by men. On average throughout the EU-27, the respective shares of female and male researchers in the Higher Education Sector stood at $57 \%$ and $41 \%$ in 2006. In the EU$27,16 \%$ of female researchers and $11 \%$ of male researchers were employed in the Government Sector. As mentioned above, in the EU-27 the Business Enterprise Sector employed a higher proportion of male researchers than female researchers, with an average of $47 \%$ and $25 \%$ respectively in 2006.

The Private Non-Profit Sector employs a share of researchers that is worth mentioning only in Italy, Cyprus, the UK, and particularly Portugal ( $12 \%$ of female researchers and $13 \%$ of male researchers in 2006). Has this gender imbalance across broad economic sectors been levelling out over recent years? From Figures 1.9, 1.10 and 1.11, it appears that this has not really been the case. In the Higher Education Sector, which hosts a larger share of female researchers, the compound annual growth rate in the number of female researchers has been stronger than that of men over the period 2002-2006 in most countries (26 out of 31). The opposite was observed only in 5 countries: the Czech Republic, Greece, the Netherlands, Latvia, and Sweden. However, the differences in growth rates are extremely modest in the former three countries. In Latvia, the compound annual growth rate over 2002-2006 of male researchers stood at $4.6 \%$ and that of female researchers at $3.6 \%$. Only in Sweden has the gender difference in growth rates of male and female researchers been really sizeable; the number of female researchers has indeed been decreasing over recent years at an average annual pace of $-3.5 \%$, whereas the number of male researchers has been slowly on the rise at a rate of $1.4 \%$. These exceptions aside, in most countries there seems to be no move towards a more gender-balanced research population in higher education. Throughout the EU-27, the average annual growth rate for female researchers has stood at $4.8 \%$, compared with $2.0 \%$ for male researchers. Finally, growth rates for both female and male researchers are extremely variable between countries, ranging from $22 \%$ for women
and $20 \%$ for men in Romania to the extremely low, or even negative, levels already mentioned for Sweden. A very similar pattern was noted in the Government Sector, which employed a larger share of female researchers than male researchers and where in most countries women's presence has been strengthening over recent years. On average, in the EU-27, the number of female researchers has been growing at a pace of $5.4 \%$ per year compared with $2.3 \%$ for men. There are just four exceptions to this overall pattern. In Hungary and Portugal, the growth rate of male researchers is only marginally higher than that of women. In Latvia and Luxembourg, male researchers have been reinforcing their predominance in this sector over the period 2002-2006, at an annual rate of $19.8 \%$ in Latvia (compared with an $8.7 \%$ for female researchers) and $10.8 \%$ in Luxembourg (compared with $5.9 \%$ for female researchers). Again, the cross-country distribution of growth rates is very wide, ranging from $21.3 \%$ for female researchers in Iceland to -3.8\% in Croatia and from 19.8\% for male researchers in Latvia to $-4.8 \%$ in Croatia. Finally, in the Business Enterprise Sector, where the proportion of female researchers is generally lower than that of men, the compound annual growth rate of female researchers has been stronger than that of men over the period 2002-2006 in roughly half of the countries under review (17 out of 33). In these countries, there thus seems to be a move towards greater equality in this sector. There is nevertheless a high level of cross-country disparity in the level at which this balancing out is taking place.

For example, whereas in Lithuania the respective compound annual growth rates for female and male researchers stood at $33.6 \%$ and $29.6 \%$ over the period 2002-2006, in Norway, the number of female researchers decreased at a slightly lower pace than the number of male researchers ( $1.1 \%$ and $2.3 \%$ respectively). The opposite was observed in 13 countries, pointing towards a widening over time of the gender gap in the research population of the Business Enterprise Sector. These countries are Turkey, Poland, Hungary, France, Slovenia, the Czech Republic, Cyprus, Portugal, Germany, Bulgaria, Romania, Latvia and Slovakia. Finally, in Sweden, the UK and Croatia, similar growth rates were noted for the male and female researcher populations.

The picture of women in research is further completed by Figures 1.12 and 1.13, which break down the distribution of both male and female researchers into 4 different age groups (<35 years, 35-44 years, 45-54 years, and 55+ years). Figure 1.12 does this for the Higher Education Sector and Figure 1.13 for the Government Sector. In both of these large economic sectors, the greatest gender differences are in most countries observed in the two extreme age classes,
among the youngest researchers aged under 35 and among those above 55 years of age. Whereas women tend to outnumber men in the youngest age group, the opposite was observed for researchers above 55 years of age. Clearly, these figures illustrate the workings of a generation effect.

Because of data limitations the analysis carried out in this chapter is based on headcount measures of employment, so that variations in working hours are not accounted for. However, part-time employment could be a major determinant of the high level of gender segregation that characterises the research population. In particular, part-time jobs are often behind vertical segregation as they slow down or prevent women from advancing their careers and getting promoted to high-responsibility positions in research. It thus appears to be the case that over time a situation of under-representation of female scientists was replaced by one of strong segregation which now tends to confine female researchers to some scientific fields and male researchers to others, creating a divide in the research population with great impact on their job conditions, prestige, and remuneration.

Figure 1.1: Proportion of women in the EU-27 for total employment, tertiary educated and employed (HRSTC) and scientists and engineers in 2007, compound annual growth rate for women and men, 2002-2007


Source: Labour Force Survey, HRST statistics (Eurostat)
Data estimated: EU-27 estimated by Eurostat (2002-Employed Scientists \& Engineers)

Figure 1.2: Employed professionals and technicians (HRSTC) as a percentage of tertiary educated (HRSTE) by sex, 2007


Source: HRST statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: HR, IS: 2006
Data unavailable: IL
Data estimated: EU-27 (by Eurostat), EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)

Figure 1.3: Proportion of scientists and engineers in the total labour force by sex, 2007


Source: Labour Force Survey, HRST statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: HR, IS: 2006
Data unavailable: IL
Data estimated: EU-27 (by Eurostat), EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)
Confidential data: DK (women), EE (men), LU (women), HR (women)
The labour force is defined as the sum of employed and unemployed persons

Figure 1.4: Proportion of female researchers, 2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, EE, SK, NO: 2007; BE, DK, DE, IE, EL, LU, NL, PT, SE, IS, JP: 2005; CH: 2004
Data unavailable: UK, IL
Provisional data: NL
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-15 (by Eurostat), EU-25 (by DG Research), EE
Head count

Figure 1.5: Compound annual growth rate for researchers by sex, 2002-2006


[^0]Exceptions to the reference year (s): SK, CZ, EE: 2002-2007; CH: 2000-2004; EL, IS, NO: 2001-2005; BE, DK, IE, PT, JP: 2002-2005; DE, LU, NL: 2003-2005; PL: 2003-2006; MT, FI: 2004-2006
Data unavailable: UK, SE, IL
Break in series: MT (2004), DK (2002), FR (2002)
Provisional data: NL (2005)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research), LU (2003 - women), PT (2002), EE (2007)
Head count

Figure 1.6: Researchers per thousand labour force by sex, 2006


Source: Labour Force Survey, S\&T statistics (Eurostat), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education

Exceptions to the reference year: Researchers: SK, CZ, EE: 2007; BE, DK, DE, IE, EL, LU, NL, PT, SE, IS, NO, JP: 2005; CH: 2004
Data unavailable: UK, IL
Provisional data: NL
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research), EE
Researchers: Head count
The labour force is defined as the sum of employed and unemployed persons

Figure 1.7: Proportion of female researchers by sector, 2006



```
Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)
Exceptions to the reference year: SK, CZ, EE, MT (HES, GOV), IE (GOV): 2007; BE (HES, GOV), DK (BES), DE (BES), IE (BES), EL, LU, NL, PT, SE, IS, NO, JP: 2005; CH (HES, BES): 2004 Data unavailable: IL, UK (HES)
Provisional data: HES: MT, NL; GOV: IE (total), MT, UK (total); BES: BE
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); HES: NL, CH; BES: EE, UK
Head count
```

Figure 1.8: Distribution of researchers across sectors by sex, 2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)
Exceptions to the reference year: SK, CZ, EE: 2007; BE, DK, DE, IE, EL, LU, NL, PT, SE, IS, NO, JP: 2005; CH: 2004
Data unavailable: IL; PNP: DE, IE, LV, LT, LU, HU, NL, NO, CH, TR
Provisional data: HES: NL; GOV: UK (total)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); BES: EE, UK; HES: NL; PNP: UK
Head count

Figure 1.9: Compound annual growth rate for researchers in the Higher Education Sector (HES) by sex, 2002-2006


[^1]Exceptions to the reference year (s): CZ, EE, MT, SK: 2002-2007; DE, PL: 2003-2006; FI: 2004-2006; LU: 2000-2005; EL, SE, IS, NO: 2001-2005; BE, NL, PT, JP: 2002-2005; CH: 2002-2004 Data unavailable: UK, IL
Break in series: DK (2002), FR (2002), SE (2005)
Provisional data: MT (2007), NL (2005)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); PT (2002), CH (2002-2004), NL (2005)
Head count
Compound annual growth rates not presented for countries with less than 30 researchers: LU (2000)

Figure 1.10: Compound annual growth rate for researchers in the Government Sector (GOV) by sex, 2002-2006

25 \%


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): CZ, EE, IE, MT, SK: 2002-2007; DE, PL: 2003-2006; FI: 2004-2006; SE: 2003-2005; EL, NL, IS, NO: 2001-2005; BE, LU, PT, JP: 2002-2005 Data unavailable: IL
Break in series: DK (2002), FR (2002), SE (2005)
Provisional data: IE (2007- total), MT (2007), UK (2006 - total)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); PT (2002)
Head count
Compound annual growth rates not presented for countries with less than 30 researchers: MT (2002-2007)

Figure 1.11: Compound annual growth rate for researchers in the Business Enterprise Sector (BES) by sex, 2002-2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): CZ, EE, SK: 2002-2007; PL: 2003-2006; MT, FI: 2004-2006; LU, SE: 2003-2005; EL, NL, IS, NO: 2001-2005; IE, PT, JP: 2002-2005; CH: 2000-2004; UK: 2005-2006
Data unavailable: IL
Break in series: DK (2002), ES (2002), MT (2004), SE (2005)
Provisional data: BE (2006)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); PT (2002), LU (2003 - women), UK (2005-2006), EE (2007)
Head count

Figure 1.12: Distribution of researchers in the Higher Education Sector (HES) by sex and age group, 2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Head count

Figure 1.13: Distribution of researchers in the Government Sector (GOV) by sex and age group, 2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: EE: 2007; IT, LU, PT, SK, NO: 2005
Data unavailable: BE, DK, DE, IE, EL, ES, FR, MT, NL, PL, FI, SE, UK, IS, CH, TR, IL
Head count

## Scientific fields

Although girls are generally more successful than boys at school - they less often repeat a year and obtain better results (European Commission 2008a) -, when key study field choices need to be made girls often end up in literary and tertiary fields yielding uncertain professional prospects, whereas boys predominantly make their way towards scientific, technical and industrial fields from which it is generally easier to find a place in the labour market. This signals a gender pattern of study choice that needs to be addressed by considering both sexes equally. The reasons why study field choices are gendered include stereotypes often found in children's books and school manuals; gendered attitudes of teachers, gendered advice and guidance on courses to be followed; different parental expectations regarding the future of girls and boys; and so forth. As a result, some professions are thought of as feminine, others as masculine. If the aim is to change these trends and introduce more of a gender balance in all study fields, then it is with respect to the entire set of factors upstream of the study field choices that genuine theoretical and political questioning should take place, and while doing so equal attention should be given to both girls' and boys' choices.

In 2006, on average in the EU-27, 45\% of all PhD graduates were women (Figure 2.1). However, in 11 countries, women accounted for more than half of all PhD graduates, reaching a maximum of $66 \%$ in Cyprus. Japan and Malta have particularly low proportions of women among PhD graduates, respectively $27 \%$ and $25 \%$. With the
exception of Italy, France, Norway, Finland, Hungary, Bulgaria and Estonia, women's under-representation among PhD graduates has been on the decline in recent years given that the compound annual growth rate of female PhD graduates has exceeded that of men in the majority of countries between 2002 and 2006 (Figure 2.2). Whereas these growth rates were fairly low (under 10\%) in two thirds of the countries, they were much higher, especially for women, in Italy, Ireland, Croatia, Portugal, and Slovakia. Italy recorded an identical compound average growth rate for female and male PhD graduates, reaching $29 \%$ over the period 2002-2006. On average in the EU-27, the number of female PhD graduates increased at a rate of $6.8 \%$ per year compared with $3.2 \%$ for male PhD graduates. These figures clearly indicate that women are catching up with men. The strong increase in women's educational level will result in women being at least equally or even more present than men at the PhD level in the near future.
The share of female PhD graduates varies considerably across the different fields of study. Table 2.1 shows that in 2006, on average throughout the EU-27, women PhD holders accounted for $64 \%$ of all PhD graduates in education. A more or less balanced gender composition characterises the humanities ( $52 \%$ of women) and the agricultural and veterinary sciences ( $51 \%$ of women) and, to a lesser extent, also the social sciences and business law (47\% of women) and the field of health and welfare (54\% of women).

On the contrary, the field of science, mathematics and computing and especially that of engineering, manufacturing and construction are characterised by higher numbers of male PhD holders. In the former, women constitute $41 \%$ of PhD graduates and in the latter their share drops even lower to $25 \%$. The average figures for the EU-27 level out some very important cross-country variations. The feminisation of the field of education is most pronounced in Portugal, Slovenia and Finland where only one in four PhD graduates in this field is a man. Note that although education appears to be 100\% feminised in Estonia, Cyprus, and Iceland, this is probably due to very small sample sizes of PhD graduates in this field in these countries. When comparing the degree of masculinisation of engineering, manufacturing and construction crossnationally, it appears that less than one in five PhD holders in this field is a woman in Germany (14\%), Switzerland (19\%) and Japan (11\%). On the contrary, in Estonia, engineering appears to be a feminised field of study, with $59 \%$ of female PhD graduates. Estonia is clearly an exceptional case. Nevertheless, the smallest relative degrees of masculinisation of this field ( $>35 \%$ of female PhDs) were observed in Italy, Portugal, Latvia, Lithuania, Croatia, and Turkey.

Very similar findings come out of Figure 2.3, which shows the distribution of female and male PhD holders across these broad study fields for the year 2006. On average in the EU-27, whether women or men, most PhD graduates are in the field of science, mathematics and computing (30.6\% of men and $26.4 \%$ of women). The second largest share of
female PhD holders was found in health and welfare (21.5\%), whereas the second largest share of male PhD graduates was found in engineering, manufacturing and construction (19.2\%). A little less than one fifth of female PhD graduates studied social sciences, business and law (19.1\%), 15\% took humanities and arts, $7.9 \%$ were in engineering, manufacturing and construction, $5 \%$ in education and $5 \%$ in agricultural and veterinary sciences. For the remaining male PhD holders, the distribution is as follows: $17.6 \%$ in social sciences, business and law, $15 \%$ in health and welfare, $11.3 \%$ in humanities and arts, $4 \%$ in agricultural and veterinary sciences and $2.3 \%$ in education. The largest differences between the shares of male and female PhD graduates were observed in the field of engineering, manufacturing and construction and in that of health and welfare. Compared with the EU-27 average (7.9\%), the proportion of female PhD graduates in engineering, manufacturing, and construction was much lower in many countries, with the minimum being recorded in Germany (2.9\%). Conversely, up to 20\% of female PhD holders graduated in this field of study in Sweden. In contrast with these relatively low shares of female PhDs in engineering, more than $30 \%$ of male PhDs were in this field in Sweden, Finland, Denmark, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, and Slovenia. There is even more cross-country disparity in the proportion of female PhDs in health and welfare. Although the EU-27 average stood at 21.5\%, it ranged from a low of $2.6 \%$ in France to $41 \%$ in the Netherlands. The share of male PhDs in the field of health and welfare is generally well below that of women.

Nevertheless it should be noted that in Germany and Japan more than one quarter of male PhDs (26.8\% and 30.2\% respectively) are in this field. There is usually more gender balance in science, mathematics and computing and in social sciences, business and law. Across the countries, the share of female PhDs in science, mathematics and computing ranges from $9.3 \%$ in Latvia to $43.9 \%$ in France the share recorded for Cyprus (63.2\%) is probably overestimated due to the small numbers of female PhD graduates in some of the study fields. The share of male PhDs in this field varies between $6.4 \%$ in Romania and $53 \%$ in France. A few countries form exceptions to the overall picture of more balance between the proportions of male and female PhDs in the social sciences, business and law. In five countries, the proportion of female PhDs in this field was substantially larger than that of men. Indeed, the gender gap was above 5\% in France, Lithuania, Finland, the UK, and the US, and reached a high of $10.1 \%$ in Austria. For the humanities and arts, the exceptional cases of Slovakia, Belgium, Lithuania Turkey, the US, and to a lesser extent Croatia, deserve special attention. In these countries the general trend was reversed and a higher share of male PhD graduates than female PhD graduates were in this field of study. Finally, agricultural and veterinary sciences and education accounted for only a small share of male and female PhD holders.

From the above it is thus clear that engineering, manufacturing and construction is one of the fields of study presenting the largest level of gender imbalance. Tables 2.2 and 2.3 allow for a more detailed analysis of this field by
insisting on movements over time. Table 2.2 shows the compound annual growth rate of the number of male and female PhD graduates within subfields of natural science and engineering. These subfields are: life science, physical science, mathematics and statistics, computing, engineering and engineering trades, manufacturing and processes and architecture and building. For each of these subfields, Table 2.3 shows the evolution in the proportion of female PhDs between 2002 and 2006. Both tables allow for similar conclusions to be drawn. First of all, in absolute terms, the highest share of female PhD holders was observed in life science ( $56 \%$ in 2006). Female PhDs were least well represented in computing ( $18 \%$ in 2006) and engineering and engineering trades ( $22 \%$ in 2006). The proportion of female PhDs ranged between 32\% and 37\% in all other subfields. Between 2002 and 2006, the proportion of female PhDs has increased the most in architecture and building (by 6\%) but also in physical science (+4\%), mathematics and statistics ( $+4 \%$ ), and engineering and engineering trades (+4\%). In all fields, the number of female PhD graduates has increased much more rapidly than the number of male PhD graduates, even in life science where women already form a majority. Particularly high growth rates in the number of female PhDs over the period 2002-2006 were observed in computing (13\% per year in the EU-27), mathematics and statistics (12\% per year in the EU-27), engineering and engineering trades (11\% per year in the EU-27) and in architecture and building (10\% per year in the EU-27). The average proportion of female researchers in the EU-27 stood at 30\% in 2006 (see chapter 1).

Whereas women's presence appeared to be similar in the Government Sector and in Higher Education, it turned out considerably weaker in the Business Enterprise Sector. On average in the EU-27, women accounted for $37 \%$ of all researchers in the Higher Education Sector, 39\% in the Government Sector but merely $19 \%$ in the Business Enterprise Sector in 2006. Figure 2.4 focuses on the Higher Education Sector showing the distribution of male and female researchers across the different fields of science in 2006. In the Higher Education Sector, female researchers were best represented in the medical sciences ( $23 \%$ on average in the EU-27) and the least present in agriculture (5\% on average in the EU-27). The widest gender gap was not surprisingly observed in engineering, which hosts the largest share of male researchers (23\% on average in the EU-27 in 2006) and, agriculture aside, the smallest share of female researchers (13\% on average in the EU-27 in 2006). There are many cross-country differences in the relative importance of each of the fields of science. Just $4 \%$ of female researchers were in the natural sciences in Malta, compared with $35 \%$ in Cyprus. In engineering and technology, the low proportions of female researchers observed in Malta (4.5\%), Austria (7.3\%), Denmark (7.4\%) and Cyprus (7.6\%) contrast sharply with the much higher shares of women in Romania (35\%), Luxembourg (28\%) and Bulgaria (24\%). Such contrasting national patterns characterise the medical sciences also with particularly high shares of female researchers in medicine in Malta (42\%), and Denmark (42\%) and particularly low shares in Estonia (9\%), Latvia (8\%) and Portugal (9\%). Women accounted for only

1\% of researchers in humanities in Romania, compared with $35 \%$ in Hungary. The lowest cross-country variation in the proportions of researchers was observed in the social sciences. Finally, agriculture generally hosts more female than male researchers but the overall share of this field in research is very small everywhere, with the exception of countries such as Romania, Slovenia and Croatia, which still count a sizeable proportion of researchers in this field.

Table 2.4 completes this picture by showing the evolution of the number of female researchers in the Higher Education Sector by fields of science between 2002 and 2006. Table 2.4 shows that the compound annuall growth rate of female researchers in the Higher Education Sector over the period 2002-2006 has been positive in all subfields of science except for natural sciences. On average, throughout the EU-27, the most positive growth figures characterised the fields of medical sciences (+5.6\%), humanities (+6.8\%), engineering and technology (+6.7\%), and social sciences (+6.5\%). In agricultural sciences, a yearly growth rate of $2.2 \%$ was observed at the EU-27 level. Finally, only in the natural sciences has the number of female researchers actually shrunk at a yearly rate of $-0.3 \%$ over recent years. The situation varies widely according to the different European countries. Given the severe underrepresentation of female researchers in engineering and technology, the extremely high growth rates observed in this field in some countries are most encouraging.

For example, in engineering and technology, the number of female researchers has increased by more than 20\% annually over 2002-2006 in Denmark, Italy, and Malta and by more than $30 \%$ in Cyprus. In the natural sciences, although in absolute terms there tend to be more female researchers in this field than in engineering, the trend was much more negative. In the Czech Republic, Spain and Latvia, the number of female researchers has decreased by respectively $14.2 \%, 9.1 \%$ and $8.9 \%$ per year between 2002 and 2006. Negative growth rates of around $3 \%$ were also observed over this period for Ireland (-2.8\%), Hungary (-3.5\%), Poland (-3.2\%) and Croatia (-2.6\%). Conversely, the number of female researchers in the natural sciences increased in the remaining countries.

Given this overall picture of positive growth in the number of female researchers between 2002 and 2006, it is not surprising that the share of female researchers has generally grown or at least stabilised in most fields of science in the Higher Education Sector between 2002 and 2006 (Table 2.5). In the social sciences, the share of female researchers has decreased in five countries (Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Germany, Slovenia and Croatia), but remained stable or increased in the other countries. The same holds true for female researchers in agriculture (the share of female researchers decreased in the Czech Republic, Denmark, Lithuania and Slovakia, whereas it was stable or increased everywhere else) and humanities (except for Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Italy, Latvia and Slovakia, the share of
female researchers was stable or increased between 2002 and 2006). In two fields, the recent growth in the number of female researchers is less visible through their proportions of the total number of researchers in 2006. Indeed, in the medical and natural sciences, the share of female researchers has shrunk in 7 of the 21 countries ( 6 of the 22 countries in natural sciences). In medicine, the largest reductions in the proportion of female researchers were noted in Ireland (-17\%), Lithuania ( $-16 \%$ ) and Slovenia ( $-7 \%$ ). The number of female researchers in the natural sciences decreased strongly in the Czech Republic, falling by $8 \%$ between 2002 and 2006.

An analysis similar to the previous one can be carried out for the Government Sector, starting with the distribution of researchers across the different fields of science in 2006 (Figure 2.5), and then looking at their growth rates in the different fields over recent years (Table 2.6) to illustrate the way these affected their relative proportions in the different science fields (Table 2.7).

In the EU-27, women accounted for $39 \%$ of all researchers in the Government Sector in 2006.

As in the Higher Education Sector, female researchers in the Government Sector are best represented in the medical sciences (29\% on average in the EU-27) but also, and this was not found in the HES, in the natural sciences (29\% on average in the EU-27).

Whereas in medicine the share of female researchers was $12 \%$ higher than that of male researchers, the natural sciences, even though they host one of the largest shares of female researchers, employ an even greater proportion of male researchers (37\%). A very wide gender gap once again marks the research population in the field of engineering. Whereas engineering hosts a quarter of all male researchers, only $14 \%$ of female researchers were in this field (the gap stood at $11 \%$ in 2006 throughout the EU-27). As in Higher Education, female researchers are the least present in agriculture (9\% on average in the EU-27) and social sciences (also 9\% on average in the EU-27). There are many cross-country differences in the relative importance of each of the fields of science. Whereas just 7\% of female researchers were in natural sciences in Malta, $46 \%$ were in Bulgaria. In engineering and technology, the low proportions of female researchers observed in Estonia (5\%), Slovenia (5\%), Latvia (4\%), Cyprus (3\%) and Croatia (1\%) contrast sharply with the much higher shares of women in Belgium (44\%), Turkey (34\%), Luxembourg (28\%), and Romania (26\%). Such contrasting national patterns characterise the medical sciences also with particularly high shares of female researchers in medicine in Spain (58\%) and Portugal (48\%) and particularly low shares in Lithuania (1\%), Belgium (3\%), Cyprus (5\%) and Turkey (5\%). The share of female researchers in humanities was the lowest in Luxembourg (3\%), whereas it peaked at 46\% in Estonia. Whereas there was the least cross-country variation in the proportions of researchers in the social sciences in the

Higher Education Sector, in the Government Sector, this fails to hold true. Indeed, the proportion of female researchers ranges from 2\% in Turkey to 50\% in Malta. Finally, the field of agriculture represents only a small part of research in general, with the exception of countries such as Ireland, Malta, and Turkey.

Tables 2.6 and 2.7 complete this picture by informing on the evolution of the number of female researchers in the Government Sector by fields of science between 2002 and 2006. Table 2.6 shows that the compound annual growth rate of female researchers in the Government Sector over the period 2002-2006 has been positive in all sulbfields of science, as it was also the case in Higher Education (except for natural sciences). On average throughout the EU-27, the most positive growth figures characterised the fields of the medical sciences (+12\%) and engineering and technology (+10\%). These average growth rates mask substantial cross-country variations. Nevertheless, given the severe under-representation of female researchers in engineering and technology, the extremely positive growth rates observed in this field in Ireland (+95\%), Croatia (+50\%), Spain (+39\%), Latvia (+36\%), Italy (+31\%) and Lithuania (+26\%) are an encouraging signal.

Only in seven countries has the number of female researchers actually dropped in this field between 2002 and 2006: Malta (-100\%), Cyprus (12\%), the Czech Republic (-4\%), Poland (-3\%), Slovenia (-3\%), Denmark (-1\%) and Norway $(-1 \%)$. In the medical sciences, the trend is rather similar with very high growth rates in the number of female researchers in some countries ( $+73 \%$ in Latvia, $+45 \%$ in Turkey, $+25 \%$ in Italy, $+22 \%$ in Denmark and Norway, and $+21 \%$ in Spain) and very negative figures in others ( $-16 \%$ in Slovenia, $-14 \%$ in Lithuania, and -9\% in Austria).

As in Higher Education, this trend of overall growth in the number of female researchers between 2002 and 2006 has translated into a growth or at least stabilisation of their relative proportions in most fields of science in the Government Sector (Table 2.7). It is least felt in the fields of the humanities and the social sciences. Indeed, of the 22 countries for which the data allow for a 2002-2006 comparison, 12 countries reported a decrease in the share of female researchers in the field of humanities (of up to $-19 \%$ in Latvia and -12\% in Slovakia) and 9 countries reported a decrease in social sciences (of up to $-13 \%$ in Latvia and $-10 \%$ in Portugal). On the contrary, in engineering and technology and in the natural sciences, only five countries reported a decrease in the proportion of female researchers. In the natural sciences the largest decrease was noted in Ireland, where the share of female researchers in this field dropped by $11 \%$. In engineering and technology, reductions of respectively 6\% and 7\% marked the shares of female researchers in Latvia and Slovenia.

In medicine, seven countries reported reductions in the proportion of their female research population, especially Latvia (-8\%). Finally, the proportion of female researchers in agriculture increased in most countries, six exceptions aside. Only in Romania was the reduction in the share of female researchers considerable, as it dropped from 43\% in 2002 to $26 \%$ in 2006.

In the Business Enterprise Sector, researchers can be distributed across fields of science but also across different economic activities. In Figure 2.6, two sectors of activity are singled out, manufacturing on the one hand and real estate, renting and business activities on the other. These two economic sectors are compared with all other economic activities taken together. Figure 2.6 thus shows the distribution of male and female researchers across manufacturing, real estate, renting and business and all other economic activities for the year 2006. It is clear that most research activities are indeed conducted within these two specific sectors (manufacture and real estate) as all other sectors of economic activity taken together account for merely $11 \%$ of female researchers and $8 \%$ of male researchers on average in the EU-27 (at the national level the proportions are highest at $32 \%$ of female researchers in Bulgaria and $35 \%$ of male researchers in Croatia). In most countries, the highest shares of both male and female researchers were found in manufacturing. At EU-27 level, the share of women in this sector stood at $65 \%$ and that of men at $71 \%$ in 2006 . However, some countries form exception to this rule.

The share of female researchers was the highest in real estate, renting and business activities rather than in manufacturing in the Czech Republic, Estonia, Greece, Spain, Poland, Slovakia, and Norway. The share of male researchers was also the highest in this sector of economic activity in Denmark, Estonia, Greece, Cyprus, Latvia, Slovakia, Croatia and Norway. Table 2.8 shows that if one focuses on pharmaceuticals as a subgroup of the overall manufacturing sector, the share of female researchers at the level of the EU- 27 ranges from $17.3 \%$ in the broad sector of manufacturing to $38.5 \%$ in pharmaceuticals. This illustrates that, in the Business Enterprise Sector, women are relatively better represented in the manufacture of pharmaceuticals than in that of other products. Besides manufacturing, the share of female researchers in real estate, renting and business activities stood at $20.5 \%$ in the EU-27 in 2006 and at $24.4 \%$ in all other economic sectors taken together.

As it was done for the Higher Education and Government Sectors, the evolution in the proportion of female researchers in different scientific subfields can be analysed in the Business Enterprise Sector between 2002 and 2006 (Table 2.9). However, such a comparison through time is possible for just a subset of countries. First of all, it should be noted that agricultural and medical sciences accounted for the highest shares of female researchers in the Business Enterprise Sector. Women accounted for $86 \%$ of researchers in agriculture in Bulgaria and $90 \%$ of researchers in the medical sciences in Greece. As in the other sectors, the lowest shares of female researchers in the Business Enterprise Sector were found in engineering and
technology. In most countries, around one fifth of all researchers in this field are women, with the exception of Romania and Bulgaria where their share is much higher at $38 \%$ and $32 \%$ respectively. In Cyprus and the Czech Republic women accounted for less than $15 \%$ of researchers in engineering and technology.
The Dissimilarity Index (DI) provides a theoretical measurement of the percentage of women and men in a given field who would have to move to an occupation in another field of science to ensure that the proportions of women were the same across all fields. It can therefore be interpreted as the hypothetical distance from a balanced gender distribution across fields of science. In order to interpret this index correctly, it is important to know which gender is in the majority overall. The maximum value is 1 , which indicates the presence of only either women or men in each of the occupations, depending on the majority gender. The minimum value of 0 indicates a distribution between women and men within each occupation which is equal to the overall average proportion of women. Therefore the closer the index is to 1 the higher the level of dissimilarity and thus the more men and women would have to move across science fields in order to achieve a balanced gender distribution. Table 2.10 presents the 2006 values of the dissimilarity index in the different countries for two sectors: Higher Education and Government. Seven fields of occupation were considered in computing the D: natural sciences, engineering and technology, medical and health sciences, agricultural sciences, social sciences, humanities and any other field of science.

At EU-27 level, the DI stood at 0.14 in Higher Education compared with 0.18 in the Government Sector. This points towards somewhat less gender segregation across occupations in Higher Education as the Dl's value is closer to zero. In Higher Education, the level of segregation was the highest (at or above 0.25) in Latvia (0.25), Slovenia (0.25), Ireland (0.26), Bulgaria (0.27), and Sweden (0.31). It was the lowest in Spain (0.03). In the Government Sector, the countries that appeared to be the furthest from a gender balanced distribution of researchers across the different fields of science were Malta (0.32), Cyprus (0.33) and Estonia (0.34). Again Spain reported one of the lowest levels of gender segregation (0.07) as well as Portugal (0.06).

To sum up, the substantial rise in women's level of education that has marked the last 20 years and women's massive flow into all educational levels is now also very clearly visible at the PhD level. Moreover, the growth rate in the number of
female PhD graduates is systematically higher than that of men in all fields and subfields of science. These are all very positive signals of a rapid catching up movement by women, so that in the near future women will level with men at the PhD level, if not surpass them. The downside is the problem of persisting gender segregation. Given that the absence of a balanced gender composition in all study fields is equally due to the traditional choices boys make as to those girls make, policy-makers should give balanced attention to both boys' and girls' choices. Policies can work to improve a number of biases, such as stereotypes and gendered images conveyed by children's books and school manuals; gendered attitudes of teachers, gendered advice and guidance on courses to be followed; and so forth.

## Dissimilarity Index

The Dissimilarity Index (DI) provides a theoretical measurement of the percentage of women and men in a group who would have to move to another occupation to ensure that the proportions of women were the same across all the possible occupations. It can therefore be interpreted as the hypothetical distance from a balanced gender distribution across occupations, based upon the overriding proportion of women (NSF, 2000). The formula for the Dissimilarity Index is:
$\mathrm{DI}=1 / 2 \Sigma \mathrm{i}|\mathrm{Fi} / \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Mi} / \mathrm{M}|$
where: i denotes each occupation
Fi is the number of female researchers in each occupation
Mi is the number of male researchers in each occupation
$F$ is the total number of female researchers across all occupations
M is the total number of male researchers across all occupations
$\|$ indicates that the absolute value is , but not the sign
For example, if we have three occupations, A, B and C with 17, 37 and 91 women and $108,74,182$ men respectively, the overall proportion of women is $28.5 \%$. We therefore need to calculate:

$$
\frac{\left|\frac{17}{145}-\frac{108}{364}\right|+\left|\frac{37}{145}-\frac{74}{364}\right|+\left|\frac{91}{145}-\frac{182}{364}\right|}{2}=\frac{0.1795+0.0519+0.1276}{2}=0.1795
$$

This means that $18 \%$ of researchers will have to change occupation in order to maintain the background proportion of $28.5 \%$ women in each occupation.

In order to interpret the DI correctly, it is important to know which gender is in the majority overall. The maximum value is 1 , which indicates the presence of only either women or men in each of the occupations, depending on the majority gender. The minimum value of 0 indicates a distribution between women and men within each occupation which is equal to the overall average proportion of women. If the same occupational categories are used for different countries, the DI yields a comparable and descriptive statistic that reflects the extent to which the two sexes are differently distributed. The results also depend on the number of categories. If more categories are used, the indicator will reflect greater variability in the distribution, which in turn will yield results indicating a higher level of segregation.

Figure 2.1: Proportion of female PhD (ISCED 6) graduates, 2006


Source: Education Statistics (Eurostat), Central Bureau of Statistics (Israel), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education
Exceptions to the reference year: EL, IT: 2005
Data unavailable: LU
Data estimated: EU-27 (by Eurostat), EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)
Countries with small numbers of female PhD graduates: CY (19), IS (8), MT (1)
Most tertiary students study abroad and are not included: CY
Most PhD (ISCED 6) graduates study abroad and are not included: IS

Figure 2.2: Compound annual growth rate of PhD (ISCED 6) graduates by sex, 2002-2006


Source: Education Statistics (Eurostat), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education

Exceptions to the reference year (s): FR, RO, HR: 2003-2006; IT: 2002-2005
Data unavailable: LU, IL, EL (not shown as only two consecutive years are available resulting in extreme values)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)
Compound annual growth rates not presented for countries with less than 30 graduates: CY, LV (men), MT, IS
The negative growth rate for Estonia is a result of the change in legislation - resident physicians were counted as ISCED 6 students until 2004, but no longer afterwards

Table 2.1: Proportion of female PhD (ISCED 6) graduates by broad field of study, 2006

|  | Education | Humanities \& arts | Social sciences, business \& law | Science, mathematics \& computing | Engineering, manufacturing \& construction | Agriculture \& veterinary | Health \& welfare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-27 | 64 | 52 | 47 | 41 | 25 | 51 | 54 |
| EU-25 | 64 | 52 | 47 | 41 | 25 | 52 | 54 |
| EU-15 | 64 | 52 | 47 | 40 | 25 | 52 | 54 |
| BE | 50 | 32 | 38 | 40 | 26 | 35 | 49 |
| BG | 52 | 68 | 58 | 56 | 33 | 54 | 56 |
| CZ | 62 | 42 | 41 | 39 | 20 | 41 | 43 |
| DK | - | 50 | 46 | 34 | 25 | 61 | 63 |
| DE | 53 | 51 | 37 | 35 | 14 | 60 | 51 |
| EE | 100 | 77 | 39 | 47 | 59 | 100 | 68 |
| IE | 64 | 52 | 57 | 45 | 26 | 61 | 57 |
| EL | 47 | 52 | 33 | 31 | 25 | 27 | 86 |
| ES | 57 | 48 | 46 | 48 | 25 | 44 | 54 |
| FR | 59 | 54 | 48 | 37 | 27 | 65 | 46 |
| IT | 68 | 59 | 52 | 52 | 36 | 55 | 62 |
| CY | 100 | 67 | 29 | 75 | - | - | - |
| LV | 67 | 69 | 54 | 36 | 43 | 50 | 48 |
| LT | - | 50 | 68 | 63 | 40 | 75 | 69 |
| HU | 61 | 49 | 52 | 39 | 29 | 45 | 39 |
| MT | 0 | - | - | 100 | 0 | - | 0 |
| NL | : | 40 | 44 | 29 | 20 | 38 | 51 |
| AT | 64 | 45 | 49 | 38 | 21 | 55 | 60 |
| PL | : | 54 | 51 | 57 | 24 | 54 | 54 |
| PT | 76 | 67 | 60 | 55 | 39 | 55 | 69 |
| RO | 30 | 47 | 47 | 62 | 35 | 46 | 49 |
| SI | 75 | 66 | 54 | 60 | 22 | 57 | 47 |
| SK | 54 | 46 | 52 | 44 | 33 | 38 | 65 |
| FI | 75 | 55 | 55 | 39 | 24 | 51 | 65 |
| SE | 58 | 54 | 42 | 37 | 29 | 46 | 62 |
| UK | 59 | 48 | 51 | 38 | 22 | 48 | 55 |
| HR | 64 | 48 | 54 | 58 | 38 | 42 | 44 |
| TR | 41 | 35 | 38 | 38 | 36 | 38 | 55 |
| IS | 100 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 100 | - | 40 |
| NO | 65 | 42 | 42 | 31 | 23 | 52 | 52 |
| CH | 67 | 49 | 38 | 33 | 19 | 68 | 46 |
| JP | 45 | 51 | 35 | 22 | 11 | 26 | 29 |
| US | 65 | 46 | 57 | 38 | 21 | 41 | 73 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)
Exceptions to the reference year: IT: 2005; EL: 2005
Data unavailable: IL, LU
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25 (by Eurostat), EU-15 (by DG Research)
':': not available; '-': not applicable
Most tertiary students study abroad and are not included: CY
Most PhD (ISCED 6) graduates study abroad and are not included: IS
Countries with small numbers of female PhD graduates: CY (19), IS (8), MT (1)

Figure 2.3: Distribution of PhD (ISCED6) graduates across the broad fields of study by sex, 2006


Source: Education Statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: IT: 2005; EL: 2005
Data unavailable: IL, LU, MT (due to small numbers); NL, PL: education Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25 (by Eurostat), EU-15 (by DG Research)

Most tertiary students study abroad and are not included: CY Most PhD (ISCED 6) graduates study abroad and are not included: IS Countries with small numbers of PhD graduates: $\mathrm{CY}, \mathrm{IS}, \mathrm{MT}$

Table 2.2: Compound annual growth rates of PhD (ISCED6) graduates by narrow field of study in natural science and engineering (fields 400 \& 500) by sex, 2002-2006

|  | 400 Science, Mathematics \& Computing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500 Engineering, Manufacturing \& Construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Life s | nce | Physical science |  | Mathematics \& statistics |  | Computing |  | Engineering \& engineering trades |  | Manufacturing \& processing |  | Architecture \& building |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| EU-27 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 3 |
| EU-25 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 6 |
| EU-15 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 6 |
| BE | 9 | 3 | 1 | -6 | 0 | 6 | -11 | -4 | 22 | 12 | 26 | 32 | 46 | 13 |
| BG | 22 | -6 | -3 | 10 | -5 | 32 | - | - | 22 | 24 | 0 | 12 | 50 | -5 |
| CZ | 16 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 30 | 23 | -11 | -24 | 20 | 29 | 19 | 6 | 8 | 11 |
| DK | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| DE | 7 | 0 | 4 | -5 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 6 | -2 | -14 | -9 | 9 | -4 |
| EE | 7 | 0 | 19 | 6 | - | 0 | 0 | 7 | 26 | 15 | - | - | - | - |
| IE | 12 | -2 | -1 | -3 | 26 | 8 | 48 | 54 | 26 | 9 | 0 | -19 | 0 | 4 |
| EL | -35 | -15 | 3 | -3 | 13 | 7 | -86 | -75 | 121 | 93 | 140 | 94 | 217 | 170 |
| ES | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | -6 | -10 | -1 | 5 | 4 | -11 | 4 | 4 | -2 |
| FR | 6 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 21 | 15 | -45 | -42 | 27 | 15 |
| IT | 23 | 34 | 25 | 24 | 14 | 24 | 34 | 55 | 38 | 19 | 40 | 29 | 28 | 32 |
| CY | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| LV | -16 | 19 | 32 | -10 | - | - | - | - | -11 | 44 | - | - | - | - |
| LT | 24 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | -7 | 2 | 5 | - | - | -10 | -16 |
| HU | 27 | -5 | 5 | -2 | 14 | 8 | 0 | -10 | -37 | -30 | 6 | 4 | -13 | 41 |
| MT | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NL | - | - | -2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 19 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| AT | 5 | -8 | 2 | -4 | 2 | 10 | 3 | -3 | 18 | 9 | -53 | -37 | 23 | 6 |
| PT | 36 | 27 | 30 | 16 | 21 | 21 | 61 | 64 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 6 | 10 | 7 |
| RO | 58 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | -9 | - | - | -80 | -86 |
| SI | 10 | -26 | -14 | -1 | - | 19 | - | 0 | -3 | 6 | 3 | -11 | -24 | -11 |
| SK | 6 | 22 | 12 | 3 | 27 | 21 | 0 | 36 | 17 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 18 |
| FI | -2 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 6 | -12 | -13 | 0 | 7 |
| SE | -1 | -4 | 1 | -2 | 18 | 5 | 23 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 5 | -4 |
| UK | -10 | -5 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 22 | 16 | 14 | 6 | -5 | -11 | 7 | 3 |
| HR | 62 | 145 | 13 | -20 | 12 | -29 | - | -50 | 4 | -21 | 32 | -23 | 0 | -39 |
| TR | 5 | 8 | 7 | -7 | 1 | -3 | 41 | 30 | 10 | -6 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 23 |
| NO | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 82 | 75 |
| CH | 20 | 2 | 10 | 8 | -2 | -15 | 14 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 41 | - | 6 | -5 |
| US | 9 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 18 | 5 | 2 | - | - | 39 | 57 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): IE, IT: 2002-2005; EL: 2004-2005; FR: 2003-2006; NL: 2002-2004; RO, HR: 2004-2006
Data unavailable: PL, LU, IL, IS (not shown because of low figures)

Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)
--: not applicable

Table 2.3: Evolution of the proportion of female PhD (ISCED6) graduates by narrow field of study in natural science and engineering (fields 400 \& 500), 2002-2006

|  | 400 Science, Mathematics \& Computing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500 Engineering, Manufacturing \& Construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Life science |  | Physical science |  | Mathematics \& statistics |  | Computing |  | Engineering \& engineering trades |  | Manufacturing \& processing |  | Architecture \& building |  |
|  | 2002 | 2006 | 2002 | 2006 | 2002 | 2006 | 2002 | 2006 | 2002 | 2006 | 2002 | 2006 | 2002 | 2006 |
| EU-27 | 53 | 56 | 31 | 35 | 30 | 34 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 30 | 32 | 31 | 37 |
| EU-25 | 53 | 56 | 31 | 35 | 30 | 34 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 21 | 30 | 32 | 31 | 37 |
| EU-15 | 53 | 55 | 31 | 35 | 30 | 34 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 21 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 37 |
| BE | 45 | 51 | 30 | 38 | 46 | 40 | 10 | 8 | 18 | 24 | 50 | 45 | 15 | 33 |
| BG | 57 | 79 | 56 | 44 | 63 | 31 | - | - | 35 | 33 | 29 | 20 | 17 | 56 |
| CZ | 50 | 60 | 21 | 30 | 23 | 27 | 9 | 16 | 22 | 17 | 42 | 53 | 26 | 24 |
| DK | 37 | - | - | - | - | 34 | - | - | 23 | 25 | - | - | - | - |
| DE | 47 | 53 | 21 | 27 | 22 | 25 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 23 | 19 | 18 | 26 |
| EE | 50 | 57 | 21 | 30 | 0 | 88 | 25 | 20 | 33 | 42 | 0 | 100 | - | 100 |
| IE | 52 | 61 | 32 | 33 | 14 | 21 | 27 | 25 | 11 | 16 | 19 | 30 | 25 | 23 |
| EL | 37 | 31 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 38 | 12 | 7 | 17 | 19 | 24 | 28 | 38 | 41 |
| ES | 59 | 59 | 42 | 44 | 37 | 44 | 25 | 18 | 24 | 25 | 53 | 37 | 17 | 21 |
| FR | 53 | 54 | 34 | 32 | 24 | 26 | 19 | 17 | 23 | 26 | 38 | 33 | 28 | 34 |
| IT | 72 | 67 | 44 | 45 | 52 | 46 | 39 | 30 | 15 | 22 | 23 | 27 | 53 | 51 |
| CY | - | - | - | 85 | - | 0 | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| LV | 67 | 33 | 25 | 60 | - | 0 | - | 20 | 73 | 28 | - | 100 | - | - |
| LT | 71 | 83 | 45 | 53 | 50 | 60 | 33 | 40 | 41 | 39 | - | - | 43 | 50 |
| HU | 22 | 47 | 31 | 38 | 18 | 21 | 36 | 45 | 24 | 17 | 35 | 38 | 70 | 25 |
| MT | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | , | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| NL | - | - | 40 | 38 | - | - | - | - | 18 | 23 | - | - | - | - |
| AT | 46 | 59 | 24 | 29 | 30 | 24 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 21 | 36 | 14 | 13 | 20 |
| PT | 68 | 73 | 53 | 64 | 59 | 59 | 22 | 21 | 30 | 34 | 54 | 61 | 38 | 42 |
| RO | 46 | 62 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | 35 | - | - | 33 | 50 |
| SI | 74 | 93 | 50 | 37 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 39 | 53 | 43 | 29 |
| SK | 72 | 59 | 28 | 35 | 38 | 43 | 17 | 6 | 19 | 26 | 37 | 44 | 58 | 48 |
| FI | 66 | 59 | 33 | 36 | 25 | 25 | 16 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 59 | 60 | 24 | 19 |
| SE | 48 | 51 | 35 | 38 | 16 | 23 | 17 | 21 | 23 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 39 | 47 |
| UK | 57 | 52 | 32 | 35 | 23 | 27 | 19 | 23 | 15 | 19 | 27 | 33 | 23 | 26 |
| HR | 79 | 62 | 39 | 56 | 33 | 56 | 0 | 33 | 17 | 26 | 44 | 70 | 43 | 67 |
| TR | 47 | 44 | 24 | 36 | 36 | 40 | 25 | 32 | 13 | 21 | 44 | 51 | 64 | 49 |
| NO | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 31 | 13 | - | - | - | 20 | 23 |
| CH | 34 | 49 | 24 | 25 | 16 | 25 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 17 | 100 | 29 | 18 | 26 |
| US | 44 | 49 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 19 | - | 25 | 36 | 26 |

Source: Education Statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): IE, IT: 2002-2005; EL: 2004-2005; FR: 2003-2006; NL: 2002-2004; RO, HR: 2004-2006
Data unavailable: PL, LU, IL, IS (not shown because of low figures)

Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)
--: not applicable

Figure 2.4: Distribution of researchers in the Higher Education Sector (HES) across fields of science, 2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education, WiS database for Sweden (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, EE, MT, SK, SE, NO: 2007; LU, PT: 2005
Data unavailable: BE, EL, FR, NL, FI, UK, IS, CH, IL
Provisional data: MT (2007)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25 (by DG Research)
Head count

Table 2.4: Compound annual growth rates of female researchers in the Higher Education Sector (HES) by field of science, 2002-2006

|  | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-27 | -0.3 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 6.5 | 6.8 |
| EU-25 | -0.6 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 6.9 |
| BG | 13.8 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 13.8 | 8.8 | 1.9 |
| CZ | -14.2 | 5.0 | 26.0 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 23.4 |
| DK | 0.9 | 26.2 | 18.0 | -1.5 | 30.8 | -13.7 |
| DE | 12.3 | 11.7 | 6.5 | 10.9 | 2.1 | 13.5 |
| EE | 8.5 | 2.8 | -1.9 | -4.8 | 1.5 | 8.4 |
| IE | -2.8 | 16.9 | 6.2 | 8.4 | 3.2 | 8.1 |
| ES | -9.1 | 5.0 | 6.7 | -16.2 | 15.9 | 23.3 |
| IT | 2.6 | 26.4 | 11.3 | 9.8 | 7.2 | -4.3 |
| CY | 22.2 | 36.8 | - | - | 18.6 | 12.5 |
| LV | -8.9 | 16.5 | 3.6 | 14.6 | 17.5 | 2.5 |
| LT | 1.1 | 0.9 | -3.8 | -1.2 | 7.8 | 12.4 |
| HU | -3.5 | 3.4 | 4.3 | -0.9 | 4.6 | -1.0 |
| MT | 18.5 | 21.7 | 3.2 | : | 1.0 | 10.8 |
| AT | 13.4 | 18.2 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 16.6 | 9.0 |
| PL | -3.2 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | -0.7 |
| PT | 3.0 | 14.5 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 14.6 |
| RO | 27.1 | 16.4 | 7.0 | 156.7 | 32.0 | -6.9 |
| SI | 3.7 | 10.3 | 26.4 | 15.3 | -13.1 | 5.7 |
| SK | 2.9 | 5.3 | 15.2 | -2.2 | 17.2 | -3.0 |
| SE | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 2.5 |
| HR | -2.6 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -2.7 | 24.8 |
| TR | 4.6 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 5.8 | 3.9 |
| NO | 6.0 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 3.7 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education, WiS database for Sweden (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): CZ, EE, MT, SK, SE: 2002-2007; DK, DE, LV, LT, PL, TR: 2003-2006; PT: 2002-2005; NO: 2003-2007; IT: 2005-2006
Data unavailable: BE, EL, FR, NL, LU, FI, UK, CH, IL, IS; MT: Agricultural Sciences (2002) Break in series: DK (2006 - Humanities and Social sciences); IE (2006 - Engineering and technology and Natural sciences)

Provisional data: MT (2007)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25 (by DG Research), PT (2002)
':': not available; '-': not applicable
Head count

Table 2.5: Evolution of the proportion of female researchers in the Higher Education Sector (HES) by field of science, 2002-2006

|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities |
| BG | 43 | 22 | 52 | 33 | 44 | 62 | 55 | 22 | 53 | 41 | 43 | 58 |
| CZ | 33 | 25 | 48 | 44 | 42 | 45 | 25 | 25 | 46 | 34 | 41 | 38 |
| DK | 23 | 14 | 37 | 46 | 30 | 38 | 25 | 20 | 47 | 45 | 40 | 38 |
| DE | 18 | 12 | 35 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 24 | 16 | 41 | 42 | 30 | 42 |
| EE | 35 | 26 | 62 | 42 | 53 | 62 | 39 | 29 | 58 | 42 | 55 | 63 |
| IE | 31 | 18 | 74 | 30 | 43 | 41 | 27 | 23 | 57 | 42 | 47 | 49 |
| ES | 38 | 32 | 40 | 36 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 35 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 40 |
| IT | 36 | 21 | 30 | 32 | 36 | 49 | 37 | 24 | 32 | 34 | 37 | 47 |
| CY | 26 | 13 | - | - | 33 | 43 | 31 | 17 | 0 | - | 37 | 50 |
| LV | 44 | 30 | 62 | 42 | 59 | 85 | 41 | 30 | 60 | 54 | 60 | 69 |
| LT | 47 | 28 | 70 | 48 | 54 | 52 | 43 | 28 | 54 | 46 | 62 | 61 |
| LU | , | . | : | : | : | : | 26 | 18 | - | - | 34 | 35 |
| HU | 27 | 18 | 44 | 29 | 33 | 47 | 27 | 19 | 44 | 33 | 38 | 47 |
| MT | 6 | 5 | 30 | - | 31 | 17 | 14 | 8 | 31 | 25 | 32 | 23 |
| AT | 22 | 13 | 36 | 41 | 36 | 43 | 26 | 18 | 40 | 49 | 44 | 46 |
| PT | 49 | 29 | 51 | 46 | 49 | 50 | 48 | 33 | 54 | 50 | 53 | 51 |
| RO | 43 | 34 | 53 | 29 | 47 | 30 | 46 | 39 | 51 | 30 | 49 | 33 |
| SI | 25 | 17 | 57 | 40 | 43 | 43 | 28 | 22 | 50 | 53 | 40 | 47 |
| SK | 39 | 31 | 50 | 42 | 49 | 50 | 39 | 33 | 60 | 39 | 53 | 44 |
| SE | 32 | 21 | 51 | 43 | 45 | 46 | 34 | 23 | 53 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| HR | 43 | 27 | 49 | 41 | 48 | 42 | 42 | 30 | 52 | 43 | 46 | 53 |
| TR | 40 | 29 | 43 | 26 | 36 | 41 | 42 | 31 | 45 | 27 | 38 | 42 |
| IS | 35 | 45 | 45 | 36 | 47 | 41 | , | , | , | : | : | , |
| NO | 26 | 17 | 47 | 39 | 41 | 42 | 29 | 22 | 53 | 50 | 44 | 44 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat), The Icelandic Centre for Research (Survey on R\&D), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education, WiS database for Sweden (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): CZ, EE, MT, SK, SE: 2002-2007; DK, DE, LV, LT, TR: 2003-2006; PT: 2002-2005; NO: 2003-2007; IT: 2005-2006; LU: 2005; IS: 2003
Data unavailable: BE, EL, FR, NL, PL, FI, UK, CH, IL, LU (2002), IS (2006); MT: Agricultural Sciences (2002)
Break in series: DK (2006 - Humanities and Social sciences); IE (2006 - Engineering and technology and Natural sciences)
Provisional data: MT (2007)
Data estimated: PT (2002)
':': not available; '-': not applicable
Head count

Figure 2.5: Distribution of researchers in the Government Sector (GOV) across fields of science, 2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, EE, IE, MT, SK: 2007; BE, LU, PT, NO: 2005; TR: 2004
Data unavailable: EL, FR, NL, FI, UK, CH, IL, SE, IS
Provisional data: IE (2007), MT (2007)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25 (by DG Research)
Head count

Table 2.6: Compound annual growth rates of female researchers in the Government Sector (GOV) by field of science, 2002-2006

|  | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-27 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| EU-25 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| BE | 14 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| BG | 2 | 6 | -2 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| CZ | 7 | -4 | 7 | -1 | 11 | 5 |
| DK | -9 | -1 | 22 | -12 | 27 | -3 |
| DE | 11 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| EE | 3 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 3 |
| IE | 26 | 95 | 2 | -10 | 8 | - |
| ES | -15 | 39 | 21 | 7 | 26 | 28 |
| IT | 7 | 31 | 25 | -5 | 1 | 28 |
| CY | 11 | -12 | 6 | -3 | 10 | 14 |
| LV | 0 | 36 | 73 | 28 | 24 | 69 |
| LT | 1 | 26 | -14 | 4 | 15 | -6 |
| HU | 7 | 3 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| MT | 0 | -100 | : | : | -14 | : |
| AT | 7 | 19 | -9 | -4 | 11 | 10 |
| PL | -3 | -3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | -1 |
| PT | 0 | 8 | 8 | -2 | -10 | 1 |
| RO | -2 | 6 | -3 | 1 | -6 | 3 |
| SI | 9 | -3 | -16 | 10 | -7 | 99 |
| SK | 4 | 1 | 0 | 17 | -8 | 46 |
| HR | -7 | 50 | -6 | -6 | 8 | -4 |
| TR | 9 | 6 | 45 | 1 | -4 | -100 |
| NO | 2 | -1 | 22 | 3 | 2 | 6 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): CZ, EE, IE, MT, SK: 2002-2007; BE, PT: 2002-2005; DK, DE, IT, LV, LT, PL: 2003-2006; NO: 2003-2005; TR: 2003-2004
Data unavailable: EL, FR, NL, LU, FI, SE, UK, CH, IL, IS
Break in series: DK (2006 - Humanities and Social sciences)

Provisional data: IE (2007), MT (2007)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25 (by DG Research); PT (2002)
':': not available; '-': not applicable
Head count

Table 2.7: Evolution of the proportion of female researchers in the Government Sector (GOV) by field of science, 2002-2006

|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities |
| EU-27 | 34 | 22 | 48 | 43 | 47 | 50 | 35 | 27 | 52 | 44 | 48 | 50 |
| EU-25 | 32 | 21 | 48 | 43 | 46 | 49 | 33 | 26 | 52 | 44 | 47 | 50 |
| BE | 20 | 25 | 38 | 35 | 36 | 47 | 23 | 28 | 39 | 36 | 36 | 43 |
| BG | 51 | 33 | 54 | 51 | 62 | 65 | 54 | 33 | 53 | 53 | 58 | 63 |
| CZ | 31 | 15 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 44 | 34 | 16 | 53 | 47 | 49 | 47 |
| DK | 28 | 23 | 44 | 41 | 35 | 44 | 27 | 20 | 53 | 44 | 46 | 39 |
| DE | 24 | 17 | 42 | 35 | 41 | 47 | 28 | 20 | 44 | 36 | 41 | 46 |
| EE | 36 | 36 | 71 | 54 | 78 | 73 | 33 | 40 | 70 | 67 | 90 | 70 |
| IE | 45 | 7 | 51 | 30 | 29 | 0 | 34 | 47 | 100 | 35 | 45 | 0 |
| ES | 41 | 31 | 44 | 48 | 46 | 51 | 42 | 39 | 50 | 49 | 45 | 47 |
| IT | 32 | 22 | 46 | 39 | 52 | 52 | 34 | 32 | 55 | 41 | 52 | 52 |
| CY | 44 | 21 | 25 | 14 | 52 | 47 | 57 | 21 | 26 | 16 | 49 | 58 |
| LV | 58 | 19 | 53 | 50 | 68 | 69 | 51 | 12 | 45 | 45 | 55 | 50 |
| LT | 44 | 27 | 55 | 60 | 69 | 69 | 49 | 35 | 70 | 65 | 66 | 67 |
| LU | : | : | : | : | : | : | 32 | 22 | 54 | 30 | 37 | 40 |
| HU | 26 | 21 | 63 | 40 | 40 | 48 | 30 | 20 | 60 | 39 | 34 | 52 |
| MT | 50 | 14 | : | : | 63 | : | 50 | - | 100 | 56 | 78 | - |
| AT | 22 | 26 | 39 | 26 | 42 | 45 | 25 | 33 | 42 | 25 | 47 | 49 |
| PL | 43 | 22 | 62 | 52 | 44 | 60 | 40 | 24 | 59 | 48 | 48 | 58 |
| PT | 60 | 37 | 59 | 54 | 67 | 62 | 62 | 42 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 66 |
| RO | 46 | 43 | 70 | 43 | 62 | 43 | 54 | 43 | 74 | 26 | 60 | 43 |
| SI | 37 | 34 | 47 | 34 | 53 | 35 | 37 | 26 | 50 | 41 | 57 | 54 |
| SK | 40 | 30 | 56 | 45 | 53 | 63 | 40 | 28 | 58 | 47 | 55 | 51 |
| SE | 36 | 36 | 37 | 35 | 36 | 37 | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| HR | 44 | 18 | 53 | 30 | 48 | 54 | 49 | 25 | 51 | 37 | 48 | 52 |
| TR | 26 | 26 | 45 | 30 | 48 | 17 | 29 | 26 | 43 | 30 | 41 | 0 |
| IS | 26 | 41 | 50 | 25 | 49 | 52 | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| NO | 28 | 17 | 49 | 36 | 42 | 48 | 29 | 17 | 54 | 37 | 43 | 48 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat), The Icelandic Centre for Research (Survey on R\&D)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): CZ, EE, IE, MT, SK: 2002-2007; BE, PT: 2002-2005; DE, DK, IT, LT, LV, PL: 2003-2006; NO: 2003-2005; TR: 2003-2004; LU: 2005; SE: 2003; IS: 2003
Data unavailable: EL, FR, NL, FI, UK, CH, IL, LU (2002), SE (2006), IS (2006)
Break in series: DK (2006 - Humanities and Social sciences)

Provisional data: IE (2007), MT (2007)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25 (by DG Research), PT (2002)
':: not available; - -: not applicable Head count

Figure 2.6: Distribution of researchers across economic activities (NACE) in the Business Enterprise Sector (BES), 2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ: 2007; BG, DK, DE, EE, IE, EL, IT, NL, PT, SK, UK, NO: 2005; FR, CH: 2004; LU, SE: 2003
Data unavailable: IS, IL; CH: Real estate, renting and business activities - K
Provisional value: BE (2006)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); UK (2005), LU (2003)
Head count

Table 2.8: Proportion of female researchers by economic activity (NACE) in the Business Enterprise Sector (BES), 2006

|  | Total manufacturing - D (including 24) | Nace code 24.4 - <br> Pharmaceuticals | Nace code 24 (-24.4) Chemicals and chemical products (less pharmaceuticals) | Nace code 24 - <br> Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products | Real estate, renting and business activities - K | Other nace codes (except K \& D) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-27 | 17.3 | 38.5 | 28.6 | 35.2 | 20.5 | 24.4 |
| EU-25 | 17.0 | 38.2 | 28.2 | 35.0 | 20.3 | 23.5 |
| EU-15 | 16.9 | 37.2 | 27.5 | 34.0 | 20.1 | 23.1 |
| BE | 21.6 | 48.9 | 28.7 | 40.6 | 17.3 | 20.8 |
| BG | 57.1 | 75.2 | 52.3 | 71.9 | 33.1 | 51.2 |
| CZ | 11.1 | 47.5 | 28.9 | 36.4 | 15.3 | 30.3 |
| DK | 26.0 | 46.2 | 39.5 | 45.0 | 23.2 | 27.9 |
| DE | 11.0 | 31.4 | 27.4 | 29.3 | 14.3 | 18.5 |
| EE | 28.7 | 72.7 | 55.8 | 58.7 | 20.6 | 26.0 |
| IE | 19.8 | 42.5 | 19.4 | 34.4 | 20.0 | 29.5 |
| EL | 26.0 | : | : | 45.2 | 28.5 | 30.7 |
| ES | 24.4 | 56.3 | 37.5 | 47.7 | 31.7 | 27.0 |
| FR | 21.6 | 54.3 | 38.7 | 49.6 | 13.4 | 21.7 |
| IT | 16.2 | 50.0 | 24.8 | 36.9 | 26.0 | 31.1 |
| CY | 28.1 | 56.7 | 25.0 | 42.6 | 19.3 | 16.9 |
| LV | 57.7 | : | : | 63.8 | 18.1 | 86.9 |
| LT | 36.6 | : | : | 65.1 | 32.8 | 35.3 |
| LU | 16.8 | : | : | : | 7.9 | 18.4 |
| HU | 24.3 | 51.7 | 36.7 | 49.1 | 19.6 | 17.8 |
| MT | 25.6 | 64.4 | 0.0 | 61.7 | 7.0 | 16.2 |
| NL | 9.3 | 16.6 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 11.0 | 11.1 |
| AT | 10.6 | 49.2 | 23.2 | 38.9 | 17.3 | 22.3 |
| PL | 22.1 | 73.4 | 61.4 | 69.5 | 27.2 | 29.7 |
| PT | 25.0 | : | : | : | 25.5 | 31.8 |
| RO | 39.8 | 69.2 | 69.8 | : | 38.0 | 43.9 |
| SI | 27.5 | 58.6 | 46.9 | 54.3 | 20.7 | 17.3 |
| SK | 20.6 | . | . | 53.9 | 36.9 | 38.2 |
| FI | 18.2 | : | : | 51.2 | 17.0 | 23.7 |
| SE | 24.2 | 52.1 | 40.0 | 49.4 | 23.0 | 44.1 |
| UK | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 18.3 |
| HR | 44.3 | 81.6 | 84.6 | 82.8 | 28.0 | 27.8 |
| TR | 24.1 | : | : | : | 22.7 | 30.1 |
| NO | 19.6 | 55.2 | 32.4 | 42.7 | 19.0 | 25.0 |
| CH | 20.9 | 32.7 | 19.8 | : | : | 21.4 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ: 2007; BG, DK, DE, EE, IE, EL, IT, NL, PT, SK, UK, NO: 2005; FR, CH: 2004; LU, SE: 2003
Data unavailable: IS, IL
Provisional value: BE (2006)

Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); UK (2005), LU (2003)
':': not available
Head count

Table 2.9: Evolution of the proportion of female researchers in the Business Enterprise Sector (BES) by field of science, 2002-2006

|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities |
| BG | 46 | 48 | 63 | 86 | 86 | - | 48 | 32 | 61 | 86 | 27 | - |
| CY | 35 | 11 | 29 | 22 | 27 | - | 35 | 11 | 16 | 22 | 32 | - |
| CZ | 19 | 11 | 46 | 40 | 35 | 53 | 18 | 10 | 46 | 40 | 38 | 21 |
| EL | 57 | 27 | 65 | 48 | 47 | 54 | 31 | 22 | 90 | 48 | 54 | 30 |
| HU | 27 | 22 | 33 | 26 | 28 | 69 | 29 | 21 | 41 | 26 | 28 | 17 |
| MT | : | : | : | 20 | : | : | 8 | 15 | 57 | 20 | 40 | - |
| PL | 35 | 23 | 38 | 44 | 71 | : | 43 | 21 | 71 | 44 | 46 | 40 |
| PT | 50 | 20 | 53 | 41 | 43 | 57 | 35 | 21 | 58 | 41 | 56 | 45 |
| RO | 53 | 42 | 79 | 47 | : | : | 41 | 38 | 70 | 47 | 29 | 43 |
| SI | 55 | 21 | 60 | 33 | 49 | 67 | 27 | 19 | 57 | 33 | 32 | 100 |
| SK | 33 | 24 | 68 | 58 | 52 | - | 20 | 22 | 67 | 58 | 54 | - |
| HR | 64 | 21 | 83 | 60 | : | : | 65 | 23 | 82 | 60 | 0 | - |
| TR | 36 | 22 | 43 | 33 | 41 | 44 | 35 | 23 | 49 | 33 | 42 | 32 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)
Exceptions to the reference year (s): SK, CZ: 2002-2007; EL: 2003-2005; PL, TR: 2003-2006; PT: 2002-2005
Data unavailable: BE, DK, DE, EE, IE, ES, FR, IT, LV, LT, LU, NL, AT, FI, SE, UK, IS, NO, CH, IL
Data estimated: PT (2002)
':': not available; '-': not applicable
Head count

Table 2.10: Dissimilarity index for researchers in Higher Education Sector (HES) and Government Sector (GOV), 2006

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education

Exceptions to the reference year: HES: CZ, EE, MT, SK, NO: 2007; LU, PT, SE: 2005; GOV: CZ, EE, IE, MT, SK: 2007; BE, LU, PT, NO: 2005; TR: 2004; SE: 2003 Data unavailable: EL, FR, NL, FI, UK, IS, CH, IL, BE (HES), PL (HES) Provisional data: HES: MT (2007); GOV: IE (2007), MT (2007)

Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)
':': not available
Head count

## Seniority

Although the feminisation of the student population is one of the most striking aspects of the evolution of research over the last 30 years, in most European countries women's academic career remains markedly characterised by strong vertical segregation. This is illustrated by Figure 3.1. At the first two levels of university education (students and graduates of largely theoretically-based programmes to provide sufficient qualifications for gaining entry to advanced research programmes and professions with high skills requirements), respectively $55 \%$ and $59 \%$ of enrolled students are female. However, men outnumber women as of the third level (students in programmes leading to the award of an advanced research qualification such as the PhD that are devoted to advanced study and original research) at which the proportion of female students enrolled drops back to $48 \%$. At this level of education, where the total number of students has already fallen back substantially as compared with the first level, men are more numerous among enrolled students and the gender gap widens at the PhD level. Indeed, women comprise only $45 \%$ of PhD graduates. The PhD degree is often required to embark on an academic career, which means that the attrition of women at this level will have a knock-on effect on their relative representation at the first stage of the academic career. Whereas $45 \%$ of PhD holders are women, they account for only $44 \%$ of grade C academic staff (the first grade/post into which a newly qualified PhD graduate would normally be recruited). The take-off phase in the academic career will also be more hazardous for women, as
shown by the fact that their proportion drops to $36 \%$ among grade $B$ academics (researchers working in positions not as senior as top position but more senior than newly qualified PhD holders). These figures illustrate the workings of a sticky floor, a metaphor to illustrate the difficulties graduate women face when trying to gain access to the first levels of the academic career. Although women are more successful than men in completing tertiary education programmes (European Commission 2008a), they are less successful in entering the PhD level and the lowest steps of the academic career. The question is thus to know why women fall victim to such rarefaction: is it because of direct discrimination that derives from choices and decisions made by selection committees that are composed mainly of men, because of indirect discrimination that operates through gender-biased selection criteria or because of self-censuring rooted in gender stereotypes? The proportion of women is the smallest at the top of the academic hierarchy, falling back to just $18 \%$ of grade A academic staff (the highest grade/post at which research is normally conducted). This figure clearly indicates the existence of a Glass Ceiling composed of difficultly identifiable obstacles that hold women back from accessing the highest positions in the hierarchy.
A comparison between 2002 and 2006 shows an improvement in women's relative position at the PhD level and at the different stages of the academic career, as captured by grades $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C .

This positive progress is nevertheless slow and should not mask the fact that, in the absence of proactive policies, it will take decades to close the gender gap and bring about a higher degree of gender equality.
Although a picture of strong vertical segregation transpires through the analysis of the overall situation in the academic world, the situation can vary considerably according to the field of science considered. The under-representation of women is indeed even more striking in the field of science and engineering (Figure 3.2). In this field, women account for only $31 \%$ of the student population at the first level. In contrast with what was observed for all fields of study taken together, the proportion of women increases throughout the first hierarchical echelons to reach $36 \%$ at the levels of PhD students and graduates. The lack of appeal of science and engineering studies for girls is particularly problematic at the earliest stage of a typical academic career in this field, as women tend to be better represented among PhD students and graduates. However, the problem of gender segregation in education is almost always presented from the perspective of the educational choices made by girls, even though gender segregation is also due to boys' preferences for certain fields of study: why are there so few boys in disciplines such as history, philosophy, and so forth? The absence of a mixed gender composition in the different fields of study can already be observed in secondary education, which is in turn reflected in higher education. Therefore, it is crucial that policies should tackle this issue by taking into account girls' and boys' study choices because working towards a more mixed
composition of all study fields should not mean an alignment on the male model.
The same pattern was noted for academic careers in science and engineering as in all fields of study. From $36 \%$ of female PhD holders, the proportion of women drops to $33 \%$ in grade C academic staff, 22\% in grade B and just $11 \%$ in grade A. Women's attrition in science and engineering is thus comparable to all study fields taken together. A comparison between 2002 and 2006 points towards an improvement in the proportion of female scientists and engineers that is slightly more pronounced than for all study fields taken together.

These results refer to the EU-27 average and as such mask important cross-country disparities. Given the variation in nationally applied classifications of academic grades, the analysis was restricted to the presence of women at grade A of the academic career; in most countries, grade A corresponds to Full Professors. Table 3.1 indicates that female representation is on average higher in the new EU Member States than in the EU-15, where there are on average $17 \%$ of women at grade A level, compared with 19\% throughout the EU-27. The five European countries where the share of women among grade A academic staff is the highest are Romania, Latvia, Bulgaria, Finland and Portugal. In contrast, the proportion of women was the lowest in Malta, Luxembourg, Cyprus, Ireland, Belgium, Greece and the Netherlands. Their proportions ranged from 32\% in Romania to 2\% in Malta. Between 2002 and 2007, women's presence at grade A level has strengthened in the majority of countries.

As shown in Figure 3.4, the share of female grade A staff among all women working in academia is always lower than the share of male grade A staff among all men working in academia. On average, throughout the EU-27, $7 \%$ of women and $18 \%$ of men working in the academic sector are at grade A. Women are thus relatively more present at the lower levels of the academic career. The share of female grade A staff among all women in academia varies between $26 \%$ and $0 \%$, with the highest proportions being recorded in Romania, Italy, France and Slovenia. Conversely, the lowest shares were reported by Malta, Lithuania, Cyprus, Germany and Spain. However, even at its highest levels, the gap between the proportions of women and men at this grade level remains sizeable. Differences between national grading systems may partly explain the variations between countries.

The Glass Ceiling Index (GCI) better illustrates the difficulties women have in gaining access to the highest hierarchical levels. This index measures the relative chance for women, as compared with men, of reaching a top position. The GCl compares the proportion of women in grade A positions (equivalent to Full Professors in most countries) to the proportion of women in academia (grade A, B, and C), indicating the opportunity, or lack of it, for women to move up the hierarchical ladder in their profession. The GCl can range from 0 to infinity. A GCl of 1 indicates that there is no difference between women and men being promoted. A score of less than 1 means that women are over-represented at grade $A$ level and a GCI score of more than 1 points towards a Glass Ceiling Effect, meaning that women are
under-represented in grade A positions. In other words, the interpretation of the GCl is that the higher the value, the thicker the Glass Ceiling and the more difficult it is for women to move into a higher position. On average, throughout the EU-27, the GCI equals 1.8. In no country is the GCl equal to or below 1 . Its value ranges from 11.7 in Malta to 1.3 in Romania. Aside from Malta, the highest GCl was reported in Ireland, Cyprus, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Sweden and Belgium. The case of Malta is extreme in that it is the only country where so few female academics get into grade A positions. This can be partly explained by the fact that there is only one university in Malta. Between 2004 and 2007, the GCI has decreased or remained stable in all countries (except for Norway).

When looking at the different fields of study separately (Table 3.2), it can be noted that, in 2007, on average throughout the EU-27, the proportion of women among grade A academic staff was the highest in humanities and social sciences (respectively 27.0\% and 18.6\%). In contrast, in engineering and technology, the underrepresentation of women was most striking, with 7.2\% of women among academic personnel at grade A . The proportion of women stood in between these two extremes in the natural, agricultural and medical sciences, respectively at $13.4 \%, 16.8 \%$ and $17 \%$. This pattern was also observed at national level, although to varying degrees. However, the medical sciences score better than the social sciences and humanities in the Czech Republic, Poland, Slovenia, the United Kingdom and Turkey, pointing towards a stronger feminisation of medicine in these countries.

The share of women at grade $A$ level in the field of engineering and technology is particularly small in Denmark, Cyprus, Lithuania, and Malta.
A possible explanation for women's under-representation at the highest hierarchical level could be that a generation effect is at work, meaning that women who are currently at grade A only accounted for a very small proportion of female students at the different study levels when they were young. To test this hypothesis, it would have been necessary to use data on cohorts of women in order to monitor their progression in the academic career at different points in time. Such data are unfortunately not available. To assess this potential generation effect, Table 3.3 presents the proportion of women at grade A level for the different age groups ( $<35$ years, $35-44$ years, $45-54$ years, and +55 years). Given that in some countries, the proportion of academic staff at grade A level is very small in the youngest age group (those aged under 35), it is best not to comment on this group for these countries. The existence of a generation effect could be exemplified by the fact that the proportion of women is larger in the younger age groups. At EU-27 level, women account for $23 \%$ of grade A academics among 35 to 44 -year-olds, $21 \%$ among 45 to 54 -year-olds and $18 \%$ among those aged over 55 . The situation thus appears more favourable for the youngest generations of female academics, but the gender gap is still disproportionately high compared with the increase in the proportion of women among students and thus casts doubt on the
hypothesis that women will automatically catch up. Of the 12 European countries for which data by age groups are available, 4 put forward a different picture. In Sweden, the pattern was reversed as the proportion of women is smaller in the youngest age groups. In the United Kingdom, Finland and Lithuania, the share of women at grade A level was the highest among 45 to 54 -year-olds. In sum, although in some countries the situation is more favourable for younger generations of women, the data currently available by age group reject the hypothesis of a spontaneous movement towards equality.

Proactive policies need to be implemented in order to balance out the unequal situation that continues to prevail in the academic sector. A gender-mixed composition of nominating commissions, an increase in the objectivity of the applied selection criteria, tutoring of women, or even the fixing of targets and/or quotas are policies that are generally evoked in this context. Moreover, the fight against gender stereotypes and the introduction of measures to promote a gender mix in all primary and secondary school study fields could favour the entry of young girls into the field of engineering and technology, where they are particularly under-represented.

Unfortunately, there are currently no data allowing an analysis of the hierarchical position of female scientists in the sectors other than the Higher Education Sector.

Available data refer to the distribution by sex of R\&D staff within different occupations (researchers, technicians and others) in the Higher Education Sector (Figure 3.8), the Government Sector (Figure 3.9), the Business Enterprise Sector (Figure 3.10) and in all of these broad sectors together (Figure 3.11) in 2006. According to the Frascati Manual, researchers are "professionals engaged in the conception or creation of new knowledge, products, processes, methods and systems and also in the management of the projects concerned"; technicians are "persons whose main tasks require technical knowledge and experience in one or more fields of engineering, physical and life sciences or social sciences and humanities. They participate in R\&D by performing scientific and technical tasks involving the application of concepts and operational methods, normally under the supervision of researchers"; and other supporting staff includes "skilled and unskilled craftsmen, secretarial and clerical staff participating in R\&D projects or directly associated with such projects". For the purpose of describing these indicators, a hierarchy can be defined with researchers placed highest, followed by technicians and other supporting R\&D staff. In all three sectors and in nearly all countries studied, the proportion of male researchers exceeds that of female researchers. The reverse pattern marks the two lower occupational levels of technicians and other supporting staff, where the proportion of women exceeds that of men. As regards the Higher Education Sector, the average EU-27 figures for 2006 show that 61\% of female R\&D staff are researchers compared with 78\% of men; at the same time $21 \%$ of women in R\&D hold technical
occupations compared with $14 \%$ of men; finally, $18 \%$ of women in R\&D perform other supporting tasks compared with $8 \%$ of men. The proportion of female researchers in the Higher Education Sector is particularly high (above 90\%) in Luxembourg, Slovakia, and Portugal and it is particularly low in Switzerland (46\%), Italy (41\%) and the Netherlands (26\%). The highest shares of female technicians are observed in the Czech Republic (29\%), the Netherlands (28\%) and Greece (26\%). Women in R\&D are particularly likely to perform supporting tasks in Switzerland (52\%), the Netherlands (46\%), Ireland (39\%), Malta (35\%) and Germany (31\%). In the Government and the Business Enterprise Sectors, Figures 3.9 and 3.10 show that, on average and throughout the EU-27, an even lower share of women are occupied as researchers than in the Higher Education Sector (respectively 47\% and 41\%) but instead relatively more women work as technicians (respectively $23 \%$ and $33 \%$ ). The higher share of women in relation to men among other supporting staff is also more pronounced in the Business Enterprise Sector (26\%) than in Higher Education, and even more so in the Government Sector (30\%).

The gender pay gap is the final object of analysis in this chapter. The gender pay gap is a component of all gender inequalities in research as well as in other occupational sectors. The gender wage gap is in a sense the final and most synthetic indicator of the inequalities between men and women that structure the labour market. It can generally be decomposed into two parts.

The first part relates to the proportion of the overall gender wage gap observed that can be explained by differences in men's and women's so-called 'exogenous characteristics': differences in educational attainments, in labour market experience and tenure, in sectoral affiliation, in occupation, and so forth. The second part, often labelled the 'unexplained' part, is due to direct discrimination or to unobserved heterogeneity. However, such a decomposition of the gender wage gap may seem arbitrary because some characteristics of women such as not working in the same sector or occupation as men could also have been induced by earlier discriminatory processes.

Of all countries observed in She Figures 2009, there is none where female wages are equal to men's, despite the almost universal existence of legislation to impose gender wage equality. Regarding the European Union, Article 119 of the Treaty of Rome established the principle of equal pay for equal work as early as 1957. Since 1975, a series of European Directives approved by the European Parliament have obliged Member States to transpose the principle of equal pay for equal work or work of equal value into their national legislative frameworks.

The gender pay gap is presented here for the entire economy comparing two years: 2002 and 2006 (Figure 3.12). It is also presented by selected occupational group in private enterprise (Table 3.4) for 2002 and 2006; in the public sector (Table 3.5); or in both private and public sectors together (Table 3.6). Moreover, as compared with previous editions of She Figures, a novelty in the 2009
version is the breakdown of the gender pay gap in public and private enterprise by age group (15-34 years, 35-44 years, 45-54 years, and 55-64 years) for the years 2002 and 2006 (Table 3.7).

The official measure of the overall gender pay gap covering the entire economy stood at $25 \%$ in the EU-27 in 2006, a slight improvement from 2002 when it stood at $26 \%$ (Figure 3.12). At national level, the widest pay gaps were observed in Cyprus (33\%), Estonia (32\%), the Netherlands (28\%) and the United Kingdom (28\%) while the narrowest gaps were found in Belgium (13\%), Malta, Romania, Sweden, and Slovenia (14\%).

Table 3.4 focuses on the gender pay gap for a selection of occupations in private enterprise. Three occupations were selected as most relevant. The first group selected relates to decision-making occupations (ISCO 100 - Legislators, senior officials and managers). Due to small sample size, the data aggregates for the EU-27 are not reliable for the subcategory of legislators, senior officials, and managers. For corporate managers a gender wage gap of $30 \%$ was observed for the year 2006, compared with a gap of $28 \%$ for managers of small enterprises. More importantly, these figures reflect a deterioration of the gender balance in the occupation of private-sector corporate managers over time, as in 2002, the wage gap stood at $28 \%$ in this occupation in the EU-27. For managers of small enterprises in the private sector, the pay gap decreased by 4 percentage points between 2002 and 2006.

The second group selected refers to "Professional" occupations (ISCO 200) and the third to "Technical and Associate Professional" occupations (ISCO 300). Within the "professional" group, in the EU-27 the gender pay gap for physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals stood at $22 \%$ in 2002 and remained at this level in 2006. It was slightly higher for technical and associate professionals in physical, mathematical and engineering science, at $25 \%$. A much wider gap was reported in the group of Life science, health, teaching and other professionals, at $33 \%$ (having dropped from $36 \%$ in 2002) and also in the group of Technical and Associate Professionals at $28 \%$ (down from $30 \%$ in 2002).

Comparing these findings with the gender pay gaps in public enterprise as shown in Table 3.5, we find that for most selected occupations, the gap is much wider in the public sector than in the private sector. For both subcategories of professionals, the gap is 7 percentage points higher in the public sector than in the private sector. This finding can be surprising given that it is generally believed that the stronger regulation in the public sector better protects women against discrimination. This is thus not certified by our data which could tentatively lead towards a different explanation: could it be that private enterprise is more efficient than the public sector and as such cannot go without recruiting bright women and appreciate their true worth in their pay? For legislators, senior officials and
managers, the gender pay gap is nevertheless 2 percentage points lower in public enterprise, at $28 \%$ in 2006 in the EU-27.
Table 3.6 provides average figures for public and private enterprise and no new striking results are set forth. In contrast, Table 3.7 breaks down the gender pay gap into four different age groups and reveals that in the EU-27, in 2006, the gender pay gap was greatest among 45 to 54 -year-olds, at $38 \%$, closely followed by the group of 55 to 64 -year-olds, where the gap stood at $37 \%$. The pay difference was roughly 10 percentage points lower among 35 to 44 -year-olds, at $28 \%$, and it further drops to $17 \%$ for 15 to 34 -year-olds. For all age groups, particularly for 35 to 44 -year-olds and for 45 to 54 -year-olds, this suggests an improvement of the gap as compared with 2002.

To sum up, this analysis shows that the gender pay gap is the widest in those occupations that are most open to highlevel female researchers. However, the gender pay gap is large everywhere, even more so in public enterprise. It also widens as the age of researchers increases. This illustrates the workings of a Glass Ceiling that women hit during their ascent in the academic hierarchy. It is important to highlight that there is no spontaneous reduction of the gender pay gap over time, a conclusion that holds up for all gender inequalities that were set forth and analysed in the present chapter.

Figure 3.1: Proportions of men and women in a typical academic career, students and academic staff, EU-27, 2002/2006


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISCED 5A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students | ISCED 5A | ISCED 6 | ISCED 6 | Grade C | Grade B |

Source: Education Statistics (Eurostat); WiS database (DG Research); Higher Education Authority for Ireland (Grade A)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): ISCED 5A Graduates 2002: DK (2003), FR (2003); ISCED 6 Graduates 2006: IT (2004); 2002: DK (2003), FR (2003), RO (2003); WiS 2006: EE (2004), IE (Grade A: 2002-2003), EL (2000), MT (2004), PT (2003), SI (2007), SK (2007), FI (2007); 2002: IE (2004), EL (1999), NL (2003), UK (2003)
Data unavailable: ISCED 6 students 2006: DE, LU; 2002: DE, LU, RO, SI; ISCED 5A - 6 Graduates LU; WiS 2002: LU, IE (2004no grade A); Grade C unavailable: BG, RO (included in B)
Break in series: CZ (2005)
Provisional data: ES
Data estimated: EU-27 (by DG Research) for WiS, ISCED 6 students, ISCED 5A-6 graduates; SI
Head count (Grades A, B, C)
NO: before 2007 biannual data

[^2]
## Definition of grades:

A: The single highest grade/post at which research is normally conducted. $B$ : Researchers working in positions not as senior as top position (A) but more senior than newly qualified PhD holders.
C: The first grade/post into which a newly qualified PhD graduate would normally be recruited.

ISCED 5A: Tertiary programmes to provide sufficient qualifications to enter into advanced research programmes \& professions with high skills requirements.
ISCED 6: Tertiary programmes which lead to an advanced research qualification (PhD).

Figure 3.2: Proportions of men and women in a typical academic career in science and engineering, students and academic staff, EU-27, 2002/2006


Exceptions to the reference year (s): ISCED 6 students 2002: RO (men 2003), SI (men 2005); WiS 2006: ES (2007), MT (2004), PT (2003), SI (2007), SK (2007), FI (2007); 2002: IE (2004), FR (2000), LT (2005), NL (2003), UK (2003)
Data unavailable: ISCED 6 students 2002: DE, FR, LU, NL, SI (Women); WiS 2006: BG, EE, EL, FR, LV, LU, HU, RO, IE (Grade A); 2002: BG, EE, EL, ES, LV, LU, HU, RO, IE (Grade A)
Break in series: CZ (2005)
Provisional data: ES
Data estimated: EU-27 (by DG Research) for WiS, ISCED 6 students, SI
Head count (Grades A, B, C)
NO: before 2007 biannual data

## Definition of grades:

A: The single highest grade/post at which research is normally conducted. B: Researchers working in positions not as senior as top position (A) but more senior than newly qualified PhD holders.
C: The first grade/post into which a newly qualified PhD graduate would normally be recruited.

ISCED 5A: Tertiary programmes to provide sufficient qualifications to enter into advanced research programmes \& professions with high skills requirements.
ISCED 6: Tertiary programmes which lead to an advanced research qualification (PhD).

SET fields of education $=400$ Science, maths and computing +500 Engineering, manufacturing and construction.
SET fields of science $=$ Engineering and Technology + Natural Sciences.

Table 3.1: Proportion of female academic staff by grade and total, 2007

|  | GRADEA | CRADEB | GRADEC | GRADED | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-27 | 19 | 36 | 44 | 44 | 38 |
| EU-25 | 17 | 35 | 44 | 44 | 38 |
| EU-15 | 17 | 35 | 43 | 43 | 38 |
| BE | 11 | 25 | 31 | 48 | 35 |
| BG | 24 | 38 |  | 54 | 46 |
| CZ | 13 | 31 | 31 | 46 | 35 |
| DK | 12 | 25 | 37 | 44 | 33 |
| DE | 12 | 18 | 33 | 38 | 33 |
| EE | 17 | 37 | 57 | 67 | 49 |
| IE | 10 | 40 | 47 | 46 | 40 |
| EL | 11 | 23 | 32 | 39 | 29 |
| ES | 18 | 36 | 48 | 52 | 43 |
| FR | 19 | 39 | 34 | 42 | 35 |
| IT | 19 | 34 | 45 |  | 33 |
| CY | 10 | 20 | 46 | 30 | 33 |
| LV | 29 | 42 | 61 |  | 56 |
| LT | 14 | 42 | 54 | 63 | 53 |
| LU | 9 | 29 | 31 |  | 26 |
| HU | 19 | 32 | 45 | 39 | 37 |
| MT | 2 | 32 | 14 | 25 | 27 |
| NL | 11 | 18 | 32 | 42 | 34 |
| AT | 14 | 19 | 40 | 41 | 35 |
| PL | 20 | 28 | 43 |  | 37 |
| PT | 21 | 34 | 43 | 50 | 42 |
| RO | 32 | 49 | $\times$ | 55 | 43 |
| SI | 17 | 30 | 46 | 45 | 35 |
| SK | 20 | 35 | 50 | 55 | 43 |
| FI | 23 | 49 | 56 | 45 | 43 |
| SE | 18 | 47 | 42 | 51 | 44 |
| UK | 17 | 37 | 47 | 46 | 42 |
| HR | 26 | 45 | 52 | 53 | 45 |
| TR | 28 | 34 | 46 | 47 | 40 |
| IS | 19 | 32 | 53 | : | 35 |
| NO | 18 | 34 | 46 | 54 | 42 |
| CH | 22 | 24 | 38 | 48 | 34 |
| IL | 13 | 22 | 36 | 46 | 26 |

Source: WiS database (DG Research); Higher Education Authority for Ireland (Grade A)

Exceptions to the reference year: HR: 2008; UK: 2007/2006; DK, IE (except for grade A: 2002-2003), FR, CY, LU, AT, IL: 2006; EE, MT: 2004; PT: 2003; EL: 2000
Data unavailable: Grade C unavailable: BG, RO (included in B); Grade D unavailable: BE (French-speaking community), IT, LV, LU, PL, IS
Provisional data: ES
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research), SI

Data for Ireland on Grade A professors does not include the Institutes of Technology

## Head count

Some differences exist in coverage and definitions between countries
' $x$ ': data included in another cell; ':': not available

Figure 3.3: Proportion of women in grade A academic positions, 2002/2007


Source: WiS database (DG Research); Higher Education Authority for Ireland

Exceptions to the reference year (s): $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ HR: 2008; UK: 2007/2006; DK, FR, CY, LU, AT, IL: 2006; EE, MT: 2004; PT: 2003; IE: 2002-2003; EL: 2000; 2002 NO, UK, NL: 2003; IL: 2001;
EL: 1999
Data unavailable: HR, LU, IE: 2002
Break in series: CZ (2005)
Provisional data: ES

Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research), SI
Head count
NO: before 2007 biannual data
Data for Ireland on Grade A professors does not include the Institutes of Technology

Figure 3.4: Percentage of grade A staff among all academic staff by sex, 2007


[^3]Exceptions to the reference year: HR: 2008; UK: 2007/2006; DK, IE (except for grade A: 2002-2003), FR, CY, LU, AT, IL: 2006; EE, MT: 2004; PT: 2003; EL: 2000
Data unavailable: Grade C unavailable: BG, RO (included in B); Grade D unavailable: BE (French-speaking community), IT, LV, LU, PL, IS
Provisional data: ES

## Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research), SI

## Head count

Some differences exist in coverage and definitions between countries Data for Ireland on Grade A professors does not include the Institutes of Technology

Figure 3.5: Glass Ceiling Index, 2004/2007


Source: WiS database (DG Research); Higher Education Authority for Ireland (Grade A)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): 2007 HR: 2008; UK: 2007/2006; DK, IE (except for grade A: 2002-2003), FR, CY, LU, AT, IL: 2006; 2004 PT, NO: 2003; IL: 2001; EL: 2000 Data unavailable: 2004: LU, IE, HR; 2007: EE, EL, MT, PT; Grade C unavailable for BG, RO (included in B)
Break in series: CZ (2005)
Provisional data: ES
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research), SI

## Head count

Some differences exist in coverage and definitions between countries
Country with small numbers of academic staff: CY, MT, LU, IS
NO: before 2007 biannual data
Data for Ireland on Grade A professors does not include the Institutes of Technology

Table 3.2: Proportion of female grade A staff by main field of science, 2007

|  | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-27 | 13.4 | 7.2 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 18.6 | 27.0 |
| EU-25 | 13.4 | 7.2 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 18.6 | 27.0 |
| EU-15 | 13.1 | 7.0 | 15.8 | 14.9 | 18.0 | 28.0 |
| BE | 10.7 | 5.2 | 9.6 | 3.6 | 14.0 | 13.6 |
| CZ | 12.7 | 6.0 | 21.4 | 9.9 | 14.4 | 16.3 |
| DK | 8.7 | 4.0 | 11.5 | 16.4 | 15.2 | 18.2 |
| DE | 7.4 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 11.1 | 9.8 | 21.5 |
| ES | 17.2 | 8.1 | 18.1 | 16.1 | 20.0 | 27.0 |
| FR | 12.3 | 6.5 | 15.3 | x | 17.0 | 30.1 |
| IT | 17.8 | 8.4 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 18.3 | 34.9 |
| CY | 16.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| LV | 0.0 | : | 38.5 | . | 39.3 | 36.4 |
| LT | 6.8 | 4.5 | 22.6 | 10.3 | 17.8 | 26.5 |
| MT | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| NL | 6.8 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 13.5 | 16.9 |
| AT | 5.7 | 5.2 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 15.1 | 28.3 |
| PL | 17.1 | 9.1 | 29.2 | 25.5 | 22.4 | 22.6 |
| PT | 27.5 | 5.0 | 26.2 | 27.0 | 20.4 | x |
| SI | 6.4 | 8.6 | 23.2 | 22.4 | 19.5 | 20.4 |
| SK | 14.7 | 8.2 | 21.7 | 8.6 | 28.3 | 26.1 |
| FI | 11.9 | 6.4 | 24.2 | 37.5 | 30.5 | 37.1 |
| SE | 12.2 | 8.3 | 17.4 | 19.6 | 21.2 | 29.0 |
| UK | 10.1 | 7.0 | 23.2 | 13.4 | 22.6 | 18.8 |
| HR | 21.6 | 23.6 | 29.5 | - | 43.1 | 19.0 |
| TR | 24.0 | 19.2 | 35.3 | 18.8 | 27.0 | 25.3 |
| NO | 12.1 | 6.0 | 22.4 | 15.5 | 21.4 | 24.2 |
| CH | 10.6 | 11.7 | 21.3 | 8.9 | 30.6 | 26.6 |
| IL | 6.6 | 4.8 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 18.9 |

Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: HR: 2008; UK: 2007/2006; DK, CY, AT: 2006; MT: 2004; PT: 2003; IL: 2001; FR, LV: 2000
Data unavailable: BG, EE, IE, EL, HU, RO, LU, IS
Provisional data: ES
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); SI

Head count
Medical sciences exclude female professors at university hospitals for Denmark FR: NS includes AS; PT: SS includes H
Some differences exist in coverage and definitions between countries
'x': data included in another cell; ':': not available; '-': not applicable

Figure 3.6: Distribution of grade A staff across fields of science by sex, 2007


Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: HR: 2008; UK: 2007/2006; DK, CY, AT: 2006; MT: 2004; PT: 2003; IL: 2001; FR, LV: 2000
Data unavailable: BG, EE, IE, EL, HU, RO, LU, IS; LV (Agricultural sciences and Engineering and technology); FR (Agricultural sciences); PT (Humanities)
Provisional data: ES

Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research), SI

## Head count

FR: NS includes AS; PT: SS includes H
Some differences exist in coverage and definitions between countries

Table 3.3: Proportion of female A grade staff by age group, 2007

|  | $<35$ | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-27 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 18 | 19 |
| EU-25 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 17 |
| EU-15 | 23 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 17 |
| BE | i | 14 | 13 | 8 | 11 |
| BG | i | 38 | 26 | 23 | 24 |
| DE | 28 | 17 | 14 | 7 | 12 |
| IT | i | 20 | 20 | 18 | 19 |
| LT | i | 13 | 17 | 14 | 14 |
| AT | 39 | 24 | 20 | 8 | 14 |
| PL | i | 21 | 17 | 21 | 20 |
| RO | 28 | 45 | 34 | 26 | 32 |
| SK | i | 22 | 22 | 19 | 20 |
| FI | 24 | 21 | 28 | 21 | 23 |
| SE | i | 16 | 17 | 19 | 18 |
| UK | 15 | 17 | 20 | 15 | 17 |
| HR | i | 41 | 32 | 23 | 26 |
| TR | i | 30 | 31 | 24 | 28 |
| IS | i | 17 | 22 | 16 | 19 |
| NO | i | 20 | 19 | 17 | 18 |
| CH | 39 | 27 | 21 | 13 | 22 |

Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: BE (French-speaking community), HR: 2008; RO, UK: 2006/2007; AT: 2006

Head count
i: Countries with less than 10 members of academic staff not shown

Figure 3.7: Distribution of grade A staff across age groups by sex, 2007


Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: BE (French-speaking community), HR: 2008; RO, UK: 2007/2006; AT, IL: 2006
Data unavailable: CZ, DK, EE, IE, EL, ES, FR, CY, LV, LU, HU, MT, NL, PT, SI, IL (Men)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)
Head count

Figure 3.8: Distribution of R\&D personnel across occupations for the Higher Education Sector (HES) by sex, 2006


[^4]Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, EE, SK: 2007; BE, EL, LU, NL, PT, IS, JP: 2005; CH: 2002; SE: 2001
Data unavailable: FR, FI, UK, NO, TR, IL; Other for IT (included in Technicians) Provisional data: NL

Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); CH, NL

## Head count

Individual information for technicians and other occupations is not available for Italy

Figure 3.9: Distribution of R\&D personnel across occupations for the Government Sector (GOV) by sex, 2006


[^5]Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, EE, SK: 2007; BE, EL, LU, NL, PT, UK, IS, JP: 2005
Data unavailable: FR, FI, SE, NO, IL
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)
Head count

Figure 3.10: Distribution of R\&D personnel across occupations for the Business Enterprise Sector (BES), by sex, 2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, SK: 2007; BE, DK, DE, IE, EL, LU, NL, PT, IS, JP: 2005
Data unavailable: FR, FI, SE, NO, IL
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); UK
Head count

Figure 3.11: Distribution of R\&D personnel across occupations in all Sectors (HES, GOV, BES) by sex, 2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, SK: 2007; EE (GOV, HES): 2007; BE, EL, LU, NL, PT, IS, JP: 2005; DK, DE, IE (BES): 2005; UK (GOV): 2005; CH (HES): 2002; SE (HES): 2001 Data unavailable: FR, FI, SE, NO, IL, UK, TR; HES: Other for IT (included in Technicians) Provisional data: NL (HES)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); CH, NL (HES); UK (BES)

Head count
HES: Individual information for technicians and other occupations is not available for Italy

Figure 3.12: Gender pay gap in \% for total economy, 2002/2006


Source: Structure of Earnings Surveys 2002 and 2006 (Eurostat)
Data unavailable: HR, TR, IS, CH, IL employees as a percentage of average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees

Table 3.4: Gender pay gap in \% by selected occupations for employees in private enterprise, EU-27 and EU-25, 2002/2006

|  |  |  | 2002 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISCO Codes |  |  |  |  |
| EU-27 | 100 | Legislators, senior officials and managers | 29 | 30 |
|  | 110 | Legislators, senior officials and managers | u | u |
|  | 120 | Corporate managers | 28 | 30 |
|  | 130 | Managers of small enterprises | 32 | 28 |
|  | 200 | Professionals | 31 | 29 |
|  | 210 | Physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals | 22 | 22 |
|  | 220, 230, 240 | Life science, health, teaching and other professionals | 36 | 33 |
|  | 300 | Technicians and associate professionals | 28 | 26 |
|  | 310 | Physical and engineering science associate professionals | 26 | 25 |
|  | 320, 330, 340 | Life science, health associate, teaching associate professionals and other associates professionals | 30 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| EU-25 | 100 | Legislators, senior officials and managers | 28 | 30 |
|  | 110 | Legislators, senior officials and managers | u | u |
|  | 120 | Corporate managers | 28 | 30 |
|  | 130 | Managers of small enterprises | 32 | 28 |
|  | 200 | Professionals | 28 | 26 |
|  | 210 | Physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals | 18 | 19 |
|  | 220, 230, 240 | Life science, health, teaching and other professionals | 35 | 31 |
|  | 300 | Technicians and associate professionals | 27 | 25 |
|  | 310 | Physical and engineering science associate professionals | 24 | 23 |
|  | 320, 330, 340 | Life science, health associate, teaching associate professionals and other associates professionals | 29 | 27 |

Source: Structure of Earnings Surveys 2002 and 2006 (Eurostat)
'u': unreliable due to small sample size

GPG (unadjusted) = The unadjusted Gender Pay Gap (GPG) represents the difference between average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees and of female paid employees as a percentage of average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees

Table 3.5: Gender pay gap in \% by selected occupations for employees in public enterprise, EU-27 and EU-25, 2002/2006

|  |  |  | 2002 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ISCO Codes |  |  |  |
| EU-27 | 100 | Legislators, senior officials and managers | 22 | 28 |
|  | 200 | Professionals | 46 | 38 |
|  | 210 | Physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals | 42 | 29 |
|  | 220, 230, 240 | Life science, health, teaching and other professionals | 42 | 40 |
|  | 300 | Technicians and associate professionals | 36 | 27 |
|  | 310 | Physical and engineering science associate professionals | 35 | 25 |
|  | 320, 330, 340 | Life science, health associate, teaching associate professionals and other associates professionals | 40 | 32 |
| EU-25 | 100 | Legislators, senior officials and managers | 25 | 30 |
|  | 200 | Professionals | 42 | 35 |
|  | 210 | Physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals | 30 | 21 |
|  | 220, 230, 240 | Life science, health, teaching and other professionals | 39 | 38 |
|  | 300 | Technicians and associate professionals | 34 | 27 |
|  | 310 | Physical and engineering science associate professionals | 30 | 22 |
|  | 320, 330, 340 | Life science, health associate, teaching associate professionals and other associates professionals | 37 | 32 |

Source: Structure of Earnings Surveys 2002 and 2006 (Eurostat)

GPG (unadjusted) = The unadjusted Gender Pay Gap (GPG) represents the difference between average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees and of female paid employees as a percentage of average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees

Table 3.6: Gender pay gap in \% by selected occupations in private and public enterprise, EU-27 and EU-25, 2002/2006

|  |  |  | 2002 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ISCO Codes |  |  |  |
| EU-27 | 100 | Legislators, senior officials and managers | 29 | 30 |
|  | 110 | Legislators, senior officials and managers | u | u |
|  | 120 | Corporate managers | 28 | 30 |
|  | 130 | Managers of small enterprises | U | u |
|  | 200 | Professionals | 34 | 31 |
|  | 210 | Physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals | 25 | 23 |
|  | 220, 230, 240 | Life science, health, teaching and other professionals | 38 | 34 |
|  | 300 | Technicians and associate professionals | 28 | 26 |
|  | 310 | Physical and engineering science associate professionals | 27 | 25 |
|  | 320,330, 340 | Life science, health associate, teaching associate professionals and other associates professionals | 31 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| EU-25 | 100 | Legislators, senior officials and managers | 28 | 30 |
|  | 110 | Legislators, senior officials and managers | u | U |
|  | 120 | Corporate managers | 28 | 30 |
|  | 130 | Managers of small enterprises | 38 | 29 |
|  | 200 | Professionals | 30 | 28 |
|  | 210 | Physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals | 19 | 19 |
|  | 220, 230, 240 | Life science, health, teaching and other professionals | 36 | 32 |
|  | 300 | Technicians and associate professionals | 27 | 25 |
|  | 310 | Physical and engineering science associate professionals | 24 | 23 |
|  | 320, 330, 340 | Life science, health associate, teaching associate professionals and other associates professionals | 30 | 28 |

Source: Structure of Earnings Surveys 2002 and 2006 (Eurostat)
'u': unreliable due to small sample size
GPG (unadjusted) = The unadjusted Gender Pay Gap (GPG) represents the difference between average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees and of female paid employees as a percentage of average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees

Table 3.7: Gender pay gap in \% by age group for employees in private and public enterprise for ISCO occupations 100, 200 and 300 combined, EU-27 and EU-25, 2002/2006

|  |  | 2002 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-27 | 15-34 | 19 | 17 |
|  | 35-44 | 32 | 28 |
|  | 45-54 | 43 | 38 |
|  | 55-64 | 38 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |
| EU-25 | 15-34 | 18 | 17 |
|  | 35-44 | 30 | 26 |
|  | 45-54 | 41 | 36 |
|  | 55-64 | 37 | 37 |

Source: Structure of Earnings Surveys 2002 and 2006 (Eurostat)

GPG (unadjusted) = The unadjusted Gender Pay Gap (GPG) represents the difference between average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees and of female paid employees as a percentage of average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees

## Setting the scientific agenda

Women's under-representation at the highest hierarchical levels of the academic career severely hampers women's chances of being at the head of universities or similar institutions in higher education. The small proportion of women at the head of institutions in the Higher Education Sector or in decision-making committees has various consequences. On the one hand, it implies great difficulties for young women in academia to find female role models, and thus to identify with the highest levels of academic life. On the other hand, from a gender point of view, the weak presence of women in high-power positions, and the male dominance that results from this, bias (often unconsciously) decisions that are taken at these high ranks and that shape scientific policies, determine the choice of research subjects, orient research credits and fix nominating rules and criteria. What could be called a discriminatory snowball effect is thus revealed: women's under-representation at the highest echelons is an obstacle for the access of young women into the PhD level and the first stages of the academic career.

Figure 4.1 and Table 4.1 illustrate these phenomena ${ }^{(1)}$. On average throughout the EU-27, 13\% of institutions in the Higher Education Sector are headed by women. This proportion varies between $32 \%$ and $0 \%$. The five countries where it is highest (above 18\%) are Norway, Sweden, Finland, Italy and Estonia. By contrast, it is the lowest (under 7\%) in Austria, Luxembourg, Denmark and Slovakia. This situation of female under-representation at the head of institutions is even more pronounced when only
universities are taken into account, meaning only institutions able to award PhD degrees. On average throughout the EU-27, just 9\% of universities have a female head. The highest shares of female rectors are observed in Sweden, Iceland, Norway, Finland, but also in Israel. In contrast, in Denmark, Cyprus, Lithuania, Luxembourg and Hungary, no single university is headed by a woman. Women's proportion of rectors is very low ( $7 \%$ at most) in a further eight countries: Romania, Austria, Slovakia, Italy, the Netherlands, the Czech Republic, Belgium and Germany. It is interesting to compare these figures with the proportions of women among grade A academic staff as they were analysed in the previous chapter on seniority. Whereas the average proportion of women among grade A academics stood at $18 \%$ in the EU-27 in 2006, just 9\% of universities were headed by women in 2007. The image of the leaky pipeline is thus felt everywhere. The more we advance along the academic ladder, the less women we find.

Another indicator can be usefully added to this overall pattern: the proportion of women on boards. The coverage of boards shows considerable cross-country variation. A list of boards covered in each country is provided in the annex of this publication. However, in general, data on boards cover scientific commissions, R\&D commissions, boards, councils, committees and foundations, academy assemblies and councils, and also different field-specific boards, councils and authorities.
(1) Figure 4.1 yields the proportion of female heads of any institution belonging to the large sector of Higher Education whereas Table 4.1 focuses on the narrower group of women heading a university or an institution that is also accredited to deliver PhD degrees.

Figure 4.2 indicates to what extent women are involved in top decision-making committees that have a crucial impact on the orientation of research. On average in the EU-27, $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ of board members are women. The most important institutions in the scientific landscape are thus dominantly led and managed by men. Less than a quarter of all board members are women. In these boards, a gender bias, subtle and largely unconscious, is likely to influence the decisions that are made. The usefulness of fixing quotas in order to reach a critical minimal proportion of women in decision-making at this level has been the object of fierce debate. In terms of women's presence on boards, the Nordic countries stand out from the others. Indeed, in Sweden, Norway and Finland, the share of female board members exceeds $44 \%$. This is consistent with the obligation in some of these countries to have at least $40 \%$ of members of each sex in all national research committees and equivalent bodies. Female participation on boards was higher than 30\% in Croatia, Bulgaria, Iceland and Denmark. In contrast, less than 20\% of board members are women in Hungary, Lithuania, Switzerland, Slovakia, the Czech Republic, Cyprus, Israel, Poland and Luxembourg. In policy terms, it is crucial to promote a high representation of women on boards that determine scientific policy. Their presence is absolutely essential to promote the cause of women in science; avoid a discriminatory snowball effect; and ensure better chances for diversity and excellence in research objectives and strategies.

This leads to the following question: is the degree to which research funding is applied for and successfully obtained gender biased? Data have been collected in order to answer
this question. Figure 4.3 presents research funding success rate differences between women and men for two years, 2002 and 2007. In other words, it compares the gender gap in the number of applicants for research grants who were successful in obtaining them between two years. Note that there is an important degree of cross-country disparity in the total number of funds that were taken into account, their definition and coverage (for more details, please refer to the annex). A positive difference between men and women in the obtention of research funding indicates that more male than female applicants for funding are successful in actually obtaining them. Out of the 28 countries presented, 21 reported higher success rates in the obtention of research funding for men and 7 reported higher success rates for women in 2007. Of these 21 countries, none presented a difference of more than $10 \%$ between men and women. Of the 7 countries which reported greater success rates for women, the gap varies between -0.5\% (Slovenia) and -47.5\% (Cyprus). Note that between 2002 and 2007, in most countries, there is no trend towards a closing of the gap in success rates between men and women. However, at EU-27 level, a slight decrease in the gap was observed, from $7.2 \%$ to $6.4 \%$.
Table 4.2 presents these same success rate differences between men and women in the obtention of research funding but within different fields of science for the year 2007. As shown by Table 4.2, considerable cross-country variations were noted in the gender gap in success rates to obtain funding; moreover, no clear pattern emerged from the table.

In the field of natural sciences, men are more likely than women to successfully obtain funding in 14 of the 19 countries. The greatest difference in success rates was observed in Israel, Slovenia, Hungary and Estonia. In contrast, in engineering and technology, the balance was slightly in favour of women, with 10 countries where women are more successful in obtaining funds and 8 where the opposite was observed. In medical and agricultural sciences and in humanities, there were slightly more countries with positive success rate differences, indicating that male applicants are somewhat more likely than female applicants to actually obtain research funding. In medical sciences, positive differences were observed in 11 countries and negative ones in 8 ; in agricultural sciences, 8 countries reported positive differences and 7 countries negative ones; and in humanities, positive differences were reported in 8 countries and negative ones in 7. Finally, in social sciences, positive differences were observed in 8 countries and negative ones in 9.

To sum up, the data do not enable a clear relationship to be drawn between the relative proportion of women present in a given field and their relative success in obtaining research funding. However, equal rates of success for men and women may mask a high degree of discrepancy between the absolute numbers of men and women in some of the fields of science. Moreover, besides the absolute numbers of men and women in the different fields of science, it is important to know how many of them have actually applied to obtain research funds. It could turn out that even if there appears to be a gender balance in success rates, the
proportion of women applying for research funds within the pool of potential female applicants is much smaller than the number of men who apply as a proportion of all potential male applicants. This exercise was carried out for 14 countries and for the year 2002 taking the ratio by sex of the number of applicants for research funds and the total number of researchers (see chapter 1). In 9 of the 14 countries studied, a larger proportion of male researchers than female researchers applied for funding. The gap was the largest in Estonia (23\% of male researchers applied for funding versus $11 \%$ of female researchers), Cyprus (17\% and $9 \%$ respectively), Latvia (19\% and 9\% respectively), and Austria ( $8 \%$ and $4 \%$ respectively). In 4 of the 14 countries, more female than male researchers applied for funding: Belgium (5\% of women versus 3\% of men), Denmark (8\% and $7 \%$ respectively), Portugal ( $6 \%$ and $4 \%$ respectively), and Slovenia (18\% and 12\% respectively). In Japan, no gender difference was found. From this illustrative exercise, it becomes clear that policies should not only target the promotion of gender balance at the stage of application for research funding, but also at the stage at which funds are actually granted.

Besides their actual presence in the different fields of science and their propensity to apply for research funds, women's success in obtaining funding might also be determined by the overall level of R\&D expenditure in the different sectors and countries. Figure 4.4 cross-tabulates macro-level R\&D expenditure data and the proportion of female researchers in 2006.

Figure 4.5 breaks down R\&D expenditure by sector (Business Enterprise, Government and Higher Education). To account for differences in prices, currency and exchange rates, the data are expressed in purchasing power standards. From Figure 4.4 we see that countries such as Bulgaria, Romania, Poland, Lithuania, and Latvia, with the lowest levels of expenditure per capita researcher (less than 60000 PPS), have among the highest proportions of women in research (between 38\% in Poland and $49 \%$ in Lithuania). The countries with the highest R\&D expenditure per capita researcher are Austria, Germany, Italy and Luxembourg (expenditure above 180000 PPS), followed closely by Sweden. Among these countries we again find those with the lowest proportions of female researchers (18\% in Luxembourg, 18\% in Germany and 19\% in Austria). To quantify the observed negative relationship between the level of spending on R\&D per capita resercher and the proportion of women in R\&D, we computed the correlation coefficient between both series of data. As expected, it turned out strongly negative at -81.8. It should be noted that the correlation coefficient can range between 0 and 100 in the case of an increasing linear relationship and between 0 and -100 in the case of a decreasing linear
relationship. The degree of linear dependence between the variables is indicated by the level of the coefficient. The closer the coefficient is to either -100 or 100, the stronger the correlation between the variables. If the variables are independent then the correlation is 0 .
Figure 4.5 shows the level of R\&D expenditure per capita researcher in the three broad sectors of Higher Education, Business Enterprise and Government, for the year 2006. Except for Austria, France, the Netherlands, Japan, Ireland, Cyprus and Greece, R\&D expenditure per capita researcher is always the highest in the Business Enterprise Sector. Again there seems to be a negative correlation between level of expenditure and women's representation, as women are most under-represented in the Business Enterprise Sector. While it is difficult to explain this negative correlation, the fact that women are far better represented in low-cost sectors of activity and fields of science offers at least a partial explanation. R\&D expenditure per capita researcher was the highest in the Government Sector in Austria, France, Japan, Ireland, Greece and Cyprus, while in the Netherlands it was the highest in the Higher Education Sector.

Figure 4.1: Proportion of female heads of institutions in the Higher Education Sector (HES), 2007


Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: IT: 2009; BE (Dutch-speaking community), $\mathrm{DE}, \mathrm{EE}$, HU, AT, PL, SK, FI, SE, HR, CH, IL: 2008; DK, CY: 2008/2007; RO: 2007/2006
Data unavailable: BE (French-speaking community), IE, EL, ES, FR, MT, PT, SI, UK

Data estimated: EU-27 (by DG Research) BE data refer to Dutch-speaking community

Table 4.1: Proportion of female heads of universities or assimilated institutions based on capacity to deliver PhDs, 2007

|  | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-27 | 9 | 91 |
| BE | 7 | 93 |
| BG | 9 | 91 |
| CZ | 7 | 93 |
| DK | 0 | 100 |
| DE | 7 | 93 |
| EE | 18 | 82 |
| IT | 6 | 94 |
| CY | 0 | 100 |
| LV | 20 | 80 |
| LT | 0 | 100 |
| LU | 0 | 100 |
| HU | 0 | 100 |
| NL | 7 | 93 |
| AT | 4 | 96 |
| PL | 8 | 92 |
| RO | 2 | 98 |
| SI | 15 | 85 |
| SK | 4 | 96 |
| FI | 25 | 75 |
| SE | 43 | 57 |
| HR | 14 | 86 |
| TR | 9 | 91 |
| IS | 33 | 67 |
| NO | 29 | 71 |
| CH | 8 | 92 |
| IL | 29 | 71 |

Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Figure 4.2: Proportion of women on boards, 2007


## Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: IT: 2009; CZ, SK, IL: 2008; IE: 2004; PT: 2003; FR, PL: 2002
Data unavailable: BE (Dutch-speaking community), EL, ES, MT, AT, RO, TR
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)

There is no common definition of boards
The total number of boards varies considerably between countries
BE data refer to French-speaking community

Figure 4.3: Evolution in research funding success rate differences between women and men, 2002/2007


Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): 2007 CZ, IE, LV: 2003; EL, PT: 2002; SE: 1999;
2002 UK, HR: 2005; NL, SK: 2003; LV, SI: 2001; IL: 2000; EL, PT: 1999; SE: 1995
Data unavailable: BE (French-speaking community), BG, CZ (2002), IE (2002), ES (2002),
FR, MT, RO, TR
Break in series: DK (2004), AT (2007): incl. ÖAW
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)

There is no common definition of funds
The total number of funds varies considerably between countries and over the period considered
Male success rate minus female success rate
BE data refer to Dutch-speaking community

Table 4.2: Research funding success rate differences between women and men by field of science, 2007

|  | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CZ | 4.2 | -0.8 | -1.2 | 4.4 | 0.5 |  |
| DE | 3.2 | -3.0 | -0.7 | : | -2.3 | x |
| EE | 14.5 | -1.9 | 16.0 | 3.6 | 4.6 | -2.6 |
| IT | 8.7 | 7.2 | 2.3 | -2.0 | 7.3 | 6.7 |
| CY | -9.1 | -63.6 | -30.0 | - | - | -100.0 |
| LV | -6.6 | -8.0 | -15.9 | -4.3 | -10.4 | -5.9 |
| LT | 5.4 | 23.7 | 7.1 | -100.0 | 1.8 | -4.6 |
| HU | 14.2 | 14.1 | 10.4 | 12.4 | -0.1 | -3.0 |
| PL | 7.5 | 2.9 | 4.9 | -4.6 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| PT | -0.5 | 4.8 | -6.8 | 5.2 | -3.2 | X |
| SI | 13.9 | -13.0 | -20.3 | -29.9 | 5.3 | 0.2 |
| SK | 11.1 | -1.0 | 11.4 | 5.7 | -27.1 | -13.5 |
| SE | 6.3 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 5.4 | -0.1 | - |
| UK | -0.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 7.9 | -3.8 | -1.4 |
| HR | -72.9 | -97.9 | -4.2 | - | 2.6 | 20.0 |
| IS | 4.0 | -5.6 | -5.0 | -9.2 | 1.9 | 13.4 |
| NO | 2.2 | -4.5 | 3.2 | -6.4 | -2.1 | 6.5 |
| CH | 8.9 | 27.7 | 2.2 | 40.0 | -0.7 | 1.3 |
| IL | 12.9 | - | 5.0 | - |  | 9.1 |

Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ LV: 2003; PT: 2002; IL: 2000; SE: 1999 Data unavailable: BE, BG, DK, EL, ES, IE, FR, LU, MT, NL, AT, FI, RO, TR

DE, PT: SS includes H; DE: MS includes biology
There is no common definition of funds

The total number of funds varies considerably between countries and over the period considered
Male success rate minus female success rate
'x': data included in another cell; ':': not available; '-': not applicable

Figure 4.4: Proportion of female researchers in FTE and R\&D expenditure in Purchasing Power Standards (PPS) per capita researcher, 2006


Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: $\mathrm{BE}, \mathrm{DK}, \mathrm{DE}, \mathrm{IE}, \mathrm{EL}, \mathrm{LU}, \mathrm{PT}: 2005$
Data unavailable: FR, NL, FI, UK, IS, CH, NO, IL
Provisional data: R\&D Expenditure: SE (HES)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research)
Researchers: FTE

Purchasing power parties (PPPs) are defined as currency conversion rates that both convert national currencies to a common currency and equalise the purchasing power of different currencies. Purchasing power standard (PPS) is the artificial common currency into which national currencies are converted.

Figure 4.5: R\&D Expenditure in Purchasing Power Standards (PPS) per capita researcher in FTE by sector, 2006 350000

- Business Enterprise Sector


Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: BE, DE, IE, IS, JP: 2005
Data unavailable: UK, CH, IL
Provisional data: Expenditure: FR, SE (HES), NL (HES); Researchers: NL (HES)
Data estimated: EU-27, EU-25, EU-15 (by DG Research); Expenditure: EL, PT, NL (HES); Researchers: NL (HES)
Researchers: FTE

Annexes

Annex 1.1: Number of researchers by sex, HC, 2002-2006

|  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 12221 | 31912 | 12522 | 31978 | 13635 | 33728 | 14413 | 34344 | : | : |
| BG | 4837 | 5608 | 5070 | 5806 | 5258 | 6119 | 5429 | 6491 | 5367 | 6666 |
| CZ | 9024 | 21611 | 8905 | 22516 | 9730 | 24422 | 10827 | 26715 | 11295 | 28381 |
| DK | 9943 | 27940 | 10134 | 25912 | : | : | 12908 | 30552 | : | : |
| DE |  | : | 77449 | 319681 |  |  | 86733 | 319520 |  | : |
| EE | 2168 | 2921 | 2340 | 3084 | 2412 | 3266 | 2337 | 3397 | 2636 | 3585 |
| IE | 4686 | 10826 | 4801 | 11076 | 4985 | 11656 | 5349 | 12304 | : | : |
| EL | : | : | 10402 | 17656 | : | : | 12147 | 21249 | : | : |
| ES | 52850 | 97248 | 57515 | 101051 | 61377 | 108594 | 66418 | 114605 | 70830 | 122194 |
| FR | 64333 | 167483 | 66713 | 173473 | 69272 | 180261 | 70347 | 181252 | 72656 | 189765 |
| IT | 31220 | 77662 | 31483 | 75971 | 33064 | 77531 | 40610 | 84924 | 45729 | 91434 |
| CY | 298 | 716 | 337 | 752 | 393 | 833 | 464 | 960 | 482 | 1015 |
| LV | 3159 | 2942 | 2926 | 2587 | 2972 | 2653 | 2963 | 2785 | 3418 | 3782 |
| LT | 4536 | 4981 | 5101 | 5451 | 5658 | 5978 | 5798 | 6120 | 5926 | 6087 |
| LU | : | : | 353 | 1670 | : | : | 445 | 1998 | : | : |
| HU | 10039 | 19725 | 10647 | 19645 | 10484 | 19936 | 10731 | 20676 | 10973 | 21813 |
| MT | : | : | : | : | 211 | 682 | 255 | 717 | 273 | 772 |
| NL | : | : | 7852 | 37702 | : | : | 8980 | 40999 | : | : |
| AT | 8192 | 31365 | : | : | 10427 | 33700 | : | : | 12541 | 37056 |
| PL | : | - | 37065 | 57367 | 37594 | 58937 | 38426 | 59449 | 38065 | 58309 |
| PT | 14734 | 18767 | 15895 | 19960 | 16326 | 20486 | 16757 | 21012 | : | : |
| RO | 10886 | 13750 | 11179 | 14789 | 11632 | 15621 | 13409 | 16199 | 13035 | 17087 |
| SI | 2466 | 4561 | 1748 | 3680 | 1900 | 3942 | 2659 | 4985 | 2918 | 5352 |
| SK | 6086 | 9299 | 6543 | 9565 | 7152 | 10202 | 7268 | 10258 | 7856 | 10960 |
| FI | : | : | : | : | 14834 | 36385 | 15349 | 35424 | 16808 | 36465 |
| SE | : | : | : | : | : | : | 29494 | 53002 | : | : |
| HR | 4641 | 6495 | 4843 | 6621 | 5404 | 7735 | 4619 | 5748 | 4595 | 5833 |
| TR | 25407 | 45881 | 26738 | 47782 | 28075 | 49035 | 30239 | 53617 | 32686 | 57432 |
| IS | : | : | 1384 | 2133 | : | : | 1501 | 2320 | : | : |
| NO | : | : | 10529 | 25211 | : | : | 11750 | 25263 | : | : |
| CH | : | : | : | : | 11555 | 31665 | : | : | : | : |
| JP | 88674 | 702550 | 96133 | 734412 | 98690 | 731784 | 102948 | 758953 | : | : |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education

Data unavailable: UK, IL
Break in series: DK (2002), FR (2002), HU (2004), MT (2004), SE (2005)
Provisional data: IE (2006-total), NL (2004 - total, 2005)

Data estimated: LU (2003 - women), NL (2004 - total), PT (2002-2004)
':': not available
Head count

Annex 1.2: Number of researchers in the Higher Education Sector (HES) by sex, HC, 2002-2006

|  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 7612 | 14645 | 7879 | 14440 | 8921 | 16314 | 9437 | 16622 |  |  |
| BG | 1087 | 1929 | 1144 | 1880 | 1288 | 2091 | 1451 | 2443 | 1446 | 2463 |
| CZ | 4483 | 8364 | 4205 | 8584 | 4565 | 9536 | 5633 | 11148 | 5949 | 11222 |
| DK | 4383 | 9300 | 4379 | 9612 | 4771 | 9450 | 5591 | 10091 | 5919 | 10151 |
| DE | : | : | 44797 | 129434 | 44812 | 127020 | 52272 | 122351 | 57978 | 126395 |
| EE | 1607 | 2100 | 1696 | 2066 | 1726 | 2118 | 1583 | 2035 | 1763 | 2183 |
| IE | 3180 | 5164 | 3256 | 5344 | 3333 | 5600 | 3630 | 5870 | 3862 | 6216 |
| EL | : | : | 7567 | 12940 |  |  | 9106 | 14878 |  |  |
| ES | 37388 | 63631 | 38670 | 63902 | 39573 | 65820 | 41376 | 67447 | 43318 | 69757 |
| FR | 32811 | 66543 | 34835 | 67275 | 35151 | 68629 | 36704 | 70652 | 37538 | 71225 |
| IT | 17590 | 39943 | 17371 | 39109 | 17938 | 39463 | 24311 | 45876 | 25721 | 46683 |
| CY | 136 | 310 | 172 | 383 | 231 | 472 | 270 | 537 | 276 | 554 |
| LV | 2199 | 2013 | 2181 | 1970 | 2363 | 2089 | 2259 | 2109 | 2533 | 2412 |
| LT | 3504 | 3801 | 4040 | 4264 | 4568 | 4726 | 4524 | 4600 | 4632 | 4604 |
| LU | : | : | 21 | 28 | : | : | 54 | 151 | : | : |
| HU | 6576 | 12072 | 6976 | 11995 | 6904 | 12140 | 6979 | 12107 | 6928 | 12000 |
| MT | 143 | 466 | 155 | 484 | 156 | 492 | 181 | 495 | 191 | 523 |
| NL | 3690 | 8963 | 3589 | 8809 | : | : | 3747 | 9197 | : | : |
| AT | 5216 | 12198 | : | : | 6841 | 14047 | : | : | 8190 | 15419 |
| PL | : | : | 28758 | 42211 | 29099 | 42807 | 29652 | 42609 | 29171 | 41160 |
| PT | 8467 | 10124 | 9143 | 10763 | 9584 | 11061 | 10025 | 11359 | : | : |
| RO | 3061 | 4483 | 3841 | 5685 | 4439 | 6779 | 4701 | 6791 | 6789 | 9293 |
| SI | 1047 | 2009 | 824 | 1677 | 877 | 1694 | 1291 | 2273 | 1374 | 2235 |
| SK | 4117 | 5984 | 4558 | 6444 | 5284 | 7130 | 5268 | 6981 | 5832 | 7547 |
| FI | : | : |  | : | 7794 | 10387 | 8088 | 10407 | 9226 | 11141 |
| SE | : | : | 16439 | 21141 | : | : | 16882 | 18060 | : | : |
| HR | 2544 | 3833 | 2682 | 3954 | 2943 | 4247 | 2884 | 3724 | 2857 | 3727 |
| TR | 23040 | 39167 | 23975 | 39886 | 25014 | 40521 | 25968 | 41536 | 27770 | 43249 |
| IS | : | : | 467 | 617 | : | : | 543 | 706 | : | : |
| NO | : | : | 6099 | 10117 | : | : | 7121 | 10966 | . | : |
| CH | 7330 | 18600 | : | : | 8370 | 19925 | : | : | ; | : |
| JP | 56115 | 225189 | 57989 | 226341 | 61425 | 229722 | 63407 | 232069 | . | : |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Provisional data: NL (2005)
Data estimated: LU (2003), NL (2005), PT (2002-2004), CH (2002-2004)

Annex 1.3: Number of researchers in the Government Sector (GOV) by sex, HC, 2002-2006

|  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 633 | 1486 | 657 | 1523 | 757 | 1674 | 789 | 1722 | : |  |
| BG | 3188 | 3138 | 3235 | 3142 | 3291 | 3183 | 3263 | 3209 | 3308 | 3185 |
| CZ | 2582 | 5268 | 2611 | 5386 | 2787 | 5170 | 2970 | 5391 | 3252 | 5729 |
| DK | 1055 | 2063 | 1142 | 2127 | 1191 | 2162 | 1131 | 1973 | 1256 | 2026 |
| DE | : | : | 11895 | 32040 | 13416 | 33910 | 12795 | 32103 | 14223 | 33560 |
| EE | 363 | 242 | 379 | 258 | 371 | 265 | 370 | 252 | 443 | 293 |
| IE | 185 | 402 | 204 | 462 | 182 | 406 | 162 | 295 | 204 | 341 |
| EL | : | : | 1176 | 1851 | : | : | 1190 | 1726 | : | : |
| ES | 7686 | 10451 | 9548 | 11919 | 11026 | 13219 | 13135 | 15077 | 13019 | 14938 |
| FR | 8325 | 17648 | 8449 | 17927 | 8710 | 17935 | 9112 | 18559 | 9094 | 18347 |
| IT | 6190 | 9896 | 6721 | 10668 | 7204 | 10613 | 7500 | 11318 | 10207 | 12964 |
| CY | 72 | 147 | 87 | 130 | 88 | 124 | 98 | 124 | 99 | 135 |
| LV | 408 | 336 | 366 | 294 | 331 | 291 | 427 | 346 | 569 | 693 |
| LT | 919 | 947 | 874 | 862 | 894 | 859 | 997 | 881 | 934 | 825 |
| LU | 111 | 220 | 104 | 261 | : | : | 132 | 299 | : | : |
| HU | 2189 | 3546 | 2323 | 3499 | 2284 | 3637 | 2371 | 3842 | 2367 | 3850 |
| MT | 17 | 16 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 20 | 24 |
| NL | : | : | 2138 | 6489 | 2490 | 6043 | 2299 | 5508 | : | : |
| AT | 820 | 1548 | : | : | 839 | 1476 | : | : | 1095 | 1694 |
| PL | : | : | 6150 | 8814 | 5906 | 8471 | 5691 | 8403 | 6002 | 8509 |
| PT | 2915 | 2204 | 2909 | 2118 | 3039 | 2276 | 3168 | 2434 | : | : |
| RO | 2997 | 3085 | 3083 | 3187 | 3237 | 3349 | 4077 | 3190 | 2923 | 2941 |
| SI | 839 | 1100 | 507 | 714 | 539 | 774 | 795 | 1051 | 858 | 1115 |
| SK | 1201 | 1521 | 1286 | 1558 | 1154 | 1552 | 1215 | 1630 | 1262 | 1677 |
| FI | : | : |  | : | 2142 | 3184 | 2356 | 3266 | 2443 | 3260 |
| SE | : | : | 1237 | 2162 | : | : | 1775 | 2996 | : | : |
| UK | 3128 | 6720 | 3227 | 6789 | 3289 | 6715 | 3456 | 6732 | 3149 | 6598 |
| HR | 1667 | 1824 | 1787 | 2109 | 1983 | 2738 | 1399 | 1442 | 1426 | 1499 |
| TR | 1047 | 2757 | 1284 | 3285 | 1355 | 3379 | 1481 | 3919 | 1606 | 3862 |
| IS | : | : | 430 | 592 | : | : | 446 | 580 | : | : |
| NO | : | : | 1540 | 2790 | : | : | 1699 | 2843 | : | : |
| CH | 230 | 755 |  | : | 245 | 715 | : | : | 280 | 700 |
| JP | 4138 | 31914 | 4233 | 32035 | 4492 | 32233 | 4600 | 32075 | : | : |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Data unavailable: IL
Break in series: DK (2002), FR (2002), HU (2004), NL (2003), SE (2005)
Provisional data: UK (2006 - total)
Data estimated: PT (2002-2004)
':': not available
Head count

Annex 1.4: Number of researchers in the Business Enterprise Sector (BES) by sex, HC, 2002-2006

|  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 3862 | 15621 | 3873 | 15856 | 3844 | 15584 | 4080 | 15847 | 4265 | 16251 |
| BG | 548 | 527 | 658 | 727 | 652 | 793 | 611 | 640 | 551 | 949 |
| CZ | 1926 | 7862 | 2030 | 8387 | 2354 | 9634 | 2186 | 10114 | 2064 | 11348 |
| DK | 4423 | 16371 | 4541 | 13979 | : | : | 6048 | 18216 | : | : |
| DE | : |  | 20757 | 158207 | . |  | 21666 | 165066 |  |  |
| EE | 170 | 555 | 226 | 727 | 264 | 839 | 338 | 1064 | 358 | 1042 |
| IE | 1321 | 5260 | 1341 | 5270 | 1470 | 5650 | 1557 | 6139 | : | : |
| EL | : | : | 1585 | 2800 | : | : | 1780 | 4577 | : |  |
| ES | 7547 | 22856 | 9080 | 25025 | 10599 | 29409 | 11712 | 31915 | 14190 | 37083 |
| FR | 21273 | 80417 | 21813 | 85588 | 23688 | 91242 | 22747 | 89519 | 24159 | 97692 |
| IT | 6110 | 25937 | 5872 | 24628 | 6165 | 25511 | 6392 | 25093 | 6904 | 28446 |
| CY | 70 | 221 | 57 | 199 | 58 | 199 | 71 | 246 | 76 | 269 |
| LV | 550 | 590 | 379 | 323 | 278 | 272 | 277 | 329 | 316 | 676 |
| LT | 113 | 233 | 187 | 325 | 196 | 393 | 277 | 639 | 360 | 658 |
| LU | : | : | 228 | 1381 | : | : | 259 | 1548 | : | : |
| HU | 1274 | 4107 | 1348 | 4151 | 1296 | 4159 | 1381 | 4727 | 1678 | 5963 |
| MT | : | : | : | : | 43 | 178 | 59 | 203 | 62 | 225 |
| NL | : | : | 2125 | 22404 | : | : | 2934 | 26294 | : | : |
| AT | 2012 | 17383 |  | : | 2591 | 17996 | : | : | 3109 | 19806 |
| PL | : | : | 2128 | 6324 | 2561 | 7628 | 3029 | 8374 | 2830 | 8578 |
| PT | 1546 | 3818 | 1811 | 4291 | 1724 | 4420 | 1636 | 4550 | : | : |
| RO | 4828 | 6182 | 4215 | 5871 | 3844 | 5420 | 4515 | 6129 | 3269 | 4767 |
| SI | 534 | 1324 | 412 | 1257 | 477 | 1436 | 569 | 1634 | 680 | 1980 |
| SK | 765 | 1792 | 696 | 1559 | 704 | 1477 | 782 | 1632 | 759 | 1723 |
| FI | : | : | : | : | 4619 | 22563 | 4630 | 21492 | 4849 | 21817 |
| SE | : |  | 7715 | 22898 | : | : | 10701 | 31775 | : | : |
| UK | : | : |  | : | : | : | 18312 | 77349 | 18336 | 77453 |
| HR | 430 | 838 | 374 | 558 | 478 | 750 | 333 | 573 | 311 | 605 |
| TR | 1320 | 3957 | 1479 | 4611 | 1706 | 5135 | 2790 | 8162 | 3310 | 10321 |
| IS | : | : | 420 | 854 | : | : | 464 | 975 | : | : |
| NO | : | : | 2866 | 12288 | 2977 | 11880 | 2920 | 11449 | : | : |
| CH | : | : |  | : | 2940 | 11025 | : | : | : | : |
| JP | 27204 | 432849 | 32596 | 465024 | 31541 | 459010 | 33791 | 485569 | : | : |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Data unavailable: IL
Break in series: DK (2002), ES (2002), MT (2004), SE (2005)
Provisional data: BE (2006), IE (2006 - total)
Data estimated: PT (2002-2004), LU (2003 - women), UK (2005-2006)
':': not available
Head count

Annex 2.1: Number of ISCED 6 graduates by sex, 2002-2006

|  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 506 | 907 | 509 | 923 | 501 | 978 | 589 | 1012 | 656 | 1062 |
| BG | 204 | 181 | 207 | 194 | 199 | 193 | 255 | 273 | 309 | 274 |
| CZ | 455 | 872 | 545 | 1001 | 616 | 1116 | 657 | 1251 | 722 | 1301 |
| DK | 375 | 589 | 315 | 544 | 283 | 505 | 393 | 562 | 397 | 513 |
| DE | 8672 | 15166 | 8724 | 14319 | 9030 | 14108 | 10272 | 15680 | 10284 | 14662 |
| EE | 112 | 76 | 132 | 94 | 130 | 79 | 58 | 73 | 82 | 61 |
| IE | 209 | 311 | 338 | 330 | 312 | 371 | 366 | 444 | 455 | 524 |
| EL | : | : | : | : | 494 | 801 | 444 | 804 | : | : |
| ES | 3136 | 3769 | 3384 | 4095 | 3878 | 4290 | 3221 | 3681 | 3347 | 3812 |
| FR | : | : | 3514 | 4906 | : | : | 3939 | 5639 | 4067 | 5751 |
| IT | 2303 | 2153 | 3231 | 3120 | 4364 | 4102 | 4965 | 4639 | : | : |
| CY | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 19 | 10 |
| LV | 37 | 15 | 43 | 21 | 49 | 35 | 67 | 47 | 54 | 52 |
| LT | 218 | 169 | 155 | 97 | 173 | 128 | 188 | 133 | 191 | 135 |
| HU | 440 | 543 | 458 | 609 | 383 | 510 | 458 | 611 | 448 | 564 |
| MT | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | : | : | 0 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| NL | 984 | 1572 | 1063 | 1521 | 1056 | 1623 | 1098 | 1781 | 1157 | 1836 |
| AT | 799 | 1326 | 891 | 1306 | 989 | 1454 | 973 | 1255 | 896 | 1262 |
| PL | 1957 | 2443 | 2434 | 3016 | 2563 | 2897 | 2704 | 3018 | 2931 | 2986 |
| PT | 1589 | 1402 | 2085 | 1638 | 2166 | 1797 | 2347 | 1803 | 3213 | 2129 |
| RO | : | : | 1092 | 1488 | 1321 | 1359 | 1897 | 1974 | 1487 | 1693 |
| SI | 144 | 174 | 152 | 215 | 144 | 211 | 176 | 193 | 196 | 199 |
| SK | 298 | 436 | 1172 | 954 | 384 | 470 | 476 | 546 | 576 | 642 |
| FI | 838 | 913 | 857 | 902 |  | : | 912 | 1045 | 893 | 1005 |
| SE | 1429 | 2088 | 1522 | 2036 | 1632 | 2202 | 1230 | 1548 | 1639 | 2142 |
| UK | 5925 | 8307 | 6192 | 8743 | 6575 | 8682 | 6829 | 8949 | 7134 | 9332 |
| HR | : | : | 125 | 196 | 150 | 207 | 174 | 211 | 213 | 226 |
| TR | 833 | 1639 | 1055 | 1760 | 1019 | 1661 | 1147 | 1691 | 1049 | 1545 |
| IS | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| NO | 296 | 443 | 280 | 443 | 307 | 475 | 343 | 512 | 346 | 558 |
| CH | 948 | 1852 | 999 | 1743 | 1088 | 1864 | 1194 | 2109 | 1309 | 2072 |
| IL | : | : | : | : |  | : | : | : | 5285 | 9972 |
| JP | 3157 | 10485 | 3611 | 10901 | 3776 | 11384 | 4009 | 11277 | 4272 | 11707 |
| US | 20452 | 23708 | 21644 | 24350 | 23055 | 25323 | 25658 | 26973 | 27433 | 28634 |

Source: Education Statistics (Eurostat), Central Bureau of Statistics (Israel), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education

Data unavailable: LU
':': not available

Most tertiary students study abroad and are not included: CY
Most PhD (ISCED 6) graduates study abroad and are not included: IS

Annex 2.2: Number of ISCED 6 graduates by broad field of study and sex, 2006

|  | Education |  | Humanities \& arts |  | Social sciences, business \& law |  | Science, mathematics \& computing |  | Engineering, manufacturing \& construction |  | Agriculture \& veterinary |  | Health \& welfare |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 12 | 12 | 60 | 126 | 99 | 162 | 225 | 334 | 73 | 213 | 31 | 58 | 143 | 149 |
| BG | 23 | 21 | 69 | 33 | 57 | 42 | 48 | 38 | 42 | 84 | 20 | 17 | 43 | 34 |
| CZ | 52 | 32 | 81 | 111 | 120 | 170 | 190 | 293 | 105 | 413 | 56 | 80 | 92 | 120 |
| DK | 0 | 0 | 68 | 69 | 57 | 68 | 57 | 109 | 58 | 173 | 41 | 26 | 116 | 68 |
| DE | 337 | 295 | 1156 | 1120 | 1628 | 2823 | 2194 | 4161 | 296 | 1891 | 563 | 371 | 4043 | 3905 |
| EE | 2 | 0 | 20 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 22 | 25 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 6 |
| IE | 18 | 10 | 68 | 62 | 65 | 50 | 185 | 225 | 40 | 115 | 14 | 9 | 60 | 46 |
| EL | 51 | 57 | 62 | 58 | 31 | 63 | 160 | 359 | 62 | 189 | 24 | 65 | 51 | 8 |
| ES | 153 | 114 | 491 | 527 | 623 | 719 | 1055 | 1148 | 132 | 399 | 112 | 141 | 633 | 532 |
| FR | 70 | 49 | 867 | 745 | 931 | 1000 | 1772 | 3018 | 272 | 751 | 17 | 9 | 105 | 123 |
| IT | 82 | 39 | 792 | 549 | 945 | 873 | 1311 | 1224 | 657 | 1170 | 309 | 257 | 850 | 513 |
| CY | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LV | 4 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 11 |
| LT | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 52 | 25 | 54 | 32 | 25 | 38 | 9 | 3 | 25 | 11 |
| HU | 33 | 21 | 135 | 143 | 86 | 79 | 71 | 110 | 15 | 37 | 34 | 42 | 70 | 109 |
| MT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NL | : | : | 91 | 139 | 247 | 319 | 141 | 338 | 109 | 426 | 95 | 155 | 474 | 459 |
| AT | 55 | 31 | 131 | 159 | 335 | 349 | 180 | 296 | 90 | 343 | 44 | 36 | 41 | 27 |
| PL | 5 | 31 | 780 | 654 | 377 | 368 | 1132 | 850 | 232 | 723 | 313 | 268 | 87 | 73 |
| PT | 918 | 285 | 527 | 254 | 950 | 624 | 795 | 640 | 283 | 438 | 56 | 46 | 308 | 136 |
| RO | 9 | 21 | 160 | 178 | 294 | 325 | 173 | 108 | 155 | 285 | 370 | 430 | 326 | 346 |
| SI | 9 | 3 | 27 | 14 | 41 | 35 | 54 | 36 | 18 | 64 | 8 | 6 | 33 | 37 |
| SK | 49 | 42 | 79 | 92 | 105 | 97 | 93 | 119 | 73 | 147 | 18 | 29 | 145 | 79 |
| FI | 80 | 27 | 121 | 101 | 187 | 156 | 164 | 252 | 98 | 319 | 26 | 25 | 200 | 109 |
| SE | 44 | 32 | 134 | 115 | 147 | 205 | 313 | 542 | 327 | 812 | 36 | 43 | 635 | 392 |
| UK | 343 | 240 | 1051 | 1152 | 1530 | 1448 | 1948 | 3188 | 526 | 1871 | 148 | 161 | 1559 | 1262 |
| HR | 7 | 4 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 31 | 53 | 38 | 25 | 40 | 20 | 28 | 37 | 47 |
| TR | 123 | 177 | 116 | 220 | 185 | 308 | 152 | 245 | 133 | 237 | 98 | 159 | 222 | 179 |
| IS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| NO | 15 | 8 | 37 | 52 | 64 | 89 | 75 | 167 | 22 | 75 | 23 | 21 | 118 | 107 |
| CH | 20 | 10 | 117 | 122 | 231 | 371 | 358 | 725 | 70 | 306 | 111 | 53 | 372 | 432 |
| JP | 151 | 183 | 727 | 711 | 586 | 1100 | 576 | 2039 | 412 | 3267 | 275 | 781 | 1421 | 3499 |
| US | 4920 | 2664 | 3509 | 4132 | 6221 | 4691 | 4877 | 8096 | 1601 | 6071 | 376 | 551 | 5410 | 2043 |

Source: Education Statistics (Eurostat)

## ':': not available

Annex 2.3: Number of ISCED 6 graduates by narrow field of study and sex in natural science and engineering ( 400 \& 500 fields), 2006

|  | 400 Science, Mathematics \& Computing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500 Engineering, Manufacturing \& Construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Life science |  | Physical science |  | Mathematics \& statistics |  | Computing |  | Engineering \& engineering trades |  | Manufacturing \& processing |  | Architecture \& building |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 127 | 122 | 72 | 120 | 21 | 31 | 5 | 61 | 59 | 189 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 18 |
| BG | 27 | 7 | 17 | 22 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 72 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 4 |
| CZ | 107 | 70 | 55 | 126 | 20 | 55 | 8 | 42 | 70 | 339 | 16 | 14 | 19 | 60 |
| DK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DE | 1140 | 999 | 861 | 2281 | 126 | 382 | 67 | 499 | 161 | 1467 | 31 | 133 | 104 | 291 |
| EE | 8 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| IE | 88 | 56 | 29 | 58 | 4 | 15 | 13 | 40 | 10 | 51 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| EL | 111 | 251 | 38 | 68 | 9 | 15 | 2 | 25 | 31 | 131 | 12 | 31 | 19 | 27 |
| ES | 499 | 342 | 454 | 572 | 71 | 91 | 31 | 143 | 99 | 303 | 13 | 22 | 20 | 74 |
| FR | 852 | 714 | 740 | 1597 | 89 | 255 | 91 | 451 | 231 | 673 | 9 | 18 | 31 | 60 |
| IT | 582 | 288 | 572 | 713 | 126 | 149 | 31 | 74 | 87 | 308 | 182 | 486 | 388 | 376 |
| CY | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LV | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LT | 24 | 5 | 25 | 22 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 23 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| HU | 29 | 33 | 32 | 52 | 5 | 19 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 4 | 12 |
| MT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NL | 0 | 0 | 188 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 370 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AT | 104 | 72 | 56 | 138 | 11 | 34 | 9 | 52 | 73 | 274 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 63 |
| PT | 307 | 112 | 297 | 168 | 183 | 125 | 68 | 253 | 154 | 297 | 53 | 34 | 79 | 110 |
| RO | 173 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| SI | 41 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 52 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| SK | 54 | 38 | 25 | 47 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 17 | 38 | 106 | 16 | 20 | 19 | 21 |
| FI | 70 | 48 | 68 | 120 | 11 | 33 | 15 | 51 | 73 | 276 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 29 |
| SE | 126 | 122 | 130 | 215 | 27 | 89 | 30 | 116 | 256 | 698 | 31 | 69 | 40 | 45 |
| UK | 866 | 810 | 801 | 1498 | 121 | 329 | 161 | 551 | 333 | 1388 | 78 | 161 | 116 | 323 |
| HR | 29 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 34 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| TR | 43 | 55 | 72 | 129 | 29 | 44 | 8 | 17 | 36 | 138 | 45 | 44 | 52 | 55 |
| IS | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 75 |
| CH | 201 | 211 | 133 | 403 | 14 | 42 | 10 | 69 | 56 | 267 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 29 |
| US | 2842 | 2933 | 1346 | 3143 | 382 | 911 | 307 | 1109 | 1113 | 4655 | 241 | 707 | 247 | 709 |

Source: Education Statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: IE, EL, IT: 2005; NL: 2004 Data unavailable: PL, LU, IL

Most tertiary students study abroad and are not included: CY
Most PhD (ISCED 6) graduates study abroad and are not included: IS

Annex 2.4: Number of researchers in the Higher Education Sector (HES) by field of science and sex, 2006

|  | Natural sciences |  | Engineering and technology |  | Medical sciences |  | Agricultural Sciences |  | Social sciences |  | Humanities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BG | 188 | 153 | 347 | 1256 | 199 | 175 | 114 | 166 | 459 | 612 | 139 | 101 |
| CZ | 484 | 1449 | 1406 | 4163 | 1985 | 2337 | 615 | 1192 | 1405 | 2043 | 598 | 991 |
| DK | 714 | 2151 | 436 | 1740 | 2506 | 2875 | 276 | 341 | 1299 | 1932 | 688 | 1112 |
| DE | 10827 | 34100 | 4620 | 25178 | 18698 | 26995 | 2498 | 3482 | 7576 | 17993 | 13759 | 18647 |
| EE | 562 | 881 | 233 | 576 | 184 | 135 | 75 | 102 | 417 | 340 | 516 | 299 |
| IE | 687 | 1826 | 505 | 1737 | 891 | 670 | 69 | 96 | 1022 | 1170 | 670 | 695 |
| ES | 9757 | 15400 | 8498 | 16123 | 6792 | 10272 | 1066 | 1681 | 10340 | 15852 | 6865 | 10429 |
| IT | 6833 | 11782 | 2562 | 8054 | 4631 | 9808 | 923 | 1832 | 5524 | 9312 | 5081 | 5701 |
| CY | 96 | 214 | 21 | 100 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 175 | 56 | 57 |
| LV | 506 | 714 | 275 | 637 | 201 | 132 | 185 | 158 | 755 | 501 | 611 | 270 |
| LT | 752 | 978 | 493 | 1285 | 655 | 549 | 139 | 162 | 1333 | 828 | 1260 | 802 |
| LU | 10 | 29 | 15 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 35 | 11 | 20 |
| HU | 626 | 1684 | 593 | 2612 | 1487 | 1907 | 311 | 641 | 1500 | 2475 | 2411 | 2681 |
| MT | 7 | 43 | 8 | 95 | 76 | 170 | 3 | 9 | 60 | 129 | 25 | 82 |
| AT | 1658 | 4713 | 600 | 2769 | 2267 | 3464 | 335 | 342 | 1901 | 2462 | 1429 | 1669 |
| PL | 4719 | 7409 | 3083 | 11506 | 7110 | 5950 | 2717 | 2709 | 7643 | 8664 | 3899 | 4922 |
| PT | 2976 | 3182 | 1483 | 3080 | 943 | 809 | 546 | 549 | 2423 | 2175 | 1654 | 1564 |
| RO | 712 | 839 | 2373 | 3765 | 1004 | 963 | 695 | 1588 | 1951 | 2028 | 54 | 110 |
| SI | 89 | 227 | 237 | 857 | 396 | 395 | 230 | 204 | 197 | 294 | 225 | 258 |
| SK | 1107 | 1745 | 1225 | 2516 | 1206 | 799 | 314 | 498 | 2023 | 1801 | 302 | 382 |
| SE | 2191 | 4220 | 1637 | 5555 | 3086 | 2744 | 686 | 758 | 4339 | 4690 | 2426 | 2584 |
| HR | 209 | 286 | 546 | 1251 | 758 | 707 | 312 | 407 | 554 | 657 | 478 | 419 |
| TR | 2834 | 3979 | 3695 | 8334 | 10403 | 12857 | 1050 | 2856 | 6053 | 10052 | 3735 | 5171 |
| NO | 878 | 2163 | 476 | 1724 | 3115 | 2763 | 182 | 184 | 2261 | 2871 | 1369 | 1715 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat), Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education, WiS database for Sweden (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, EE, MT, SK, SE, NO: 2007; LU, PT: 2005
Data unavailable: BE, EL, FR, NL, FI, UK, IS, CH, IL
Provisional data: MT (2007)

Annex 2.5: Number of researchers in the Government Sector (GOV) by field of science and sex, 2006

| ( |
| :--- |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, EE, IE, MT, SK: 2007; BE, LU, PT, NO: 2005; TR: 2004 Data unavailable: EL, FR, NL, FI, UK, CH, IL, SE, IS

Provisional data: IE (2007), MT (2007)
Head count

Annex 2.6: Number of researchers in the Business Enterprise Sector (BES) by economic activity (NACE) and sex, 2006

|  | Total man (incl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ring - D } \\ & \text { 24) } \end{aligned}$ | Nace code 24.4 - <br> Pharmaceuticals |  | Nace code 24 (-24.4) - <br> Chemicals and chemical products (less pharmaceuticals) |  | Nace code 24 - Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products |  | Real estate, renting and business activities - K |  | Other nace codes (except K \& D) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 3223 | 11668 | 1428 | 1495 | 579 | 1441 | 2007 | 2936 | 637 | 3044 | 405 | 1539 |
| BG | 305 | 229 | 197 | 65 | 23 | 21 | 220 | 86 | 112 | 226 | 194 | 185 |
| CZ | 818 | 6538 | 135 | 149 | 118 | 291 | 252 | 440 | 849 | 4701 | 579 | 1330 |
| DK | 2810 | 8012 | 1293 | 1507 | 245 | 375 | 1538 | 1883 | 2533 | 8385 | 705 | 1819 |
| DE | 17465 | 141477 | 1976 | 4310 | 1885 | 4987 | 3861 | 9297 | 3156 | 18984 | 1045 | 4605 |
| EE | 121 | 300 | 8 | 3 | 29 | 23 | 37 | 26 | 146 | 562 | 71 | 202 |
| IE | 819 | 3320 | 214 | 289 | 53 | 220 | 267 | 509 | 654 | 2618 | 84 | 201 |
| EL | 583 | 1658 | : | : | : | : | 166 | 201 | 857 | 2153 | 340 | 766 |
| ES | 5362 | 16586 | 1363 | 1056 | 768 | 1280 | 2131 | 2335 | 6191 | 13354 | 2637 | 7143 |
| FR | 19323 | 69996 | 6037 | 5088 | 1865 | 2951 | 7902 | 8039 | 1920 | 12409 | 2445 | 8837 |
| IT | 3261 | 16814 | 863 | 864 | 463 | 1401 | 1326 | 2265 | 2109 | 6017 | 1022 | 2262 |
| CY | 36 | 92 | 17 | 13 | 6 | 18 | 23 | 31 | 27 | 113 | 13 | 64 |
| LV | 138 | 101 | : | : | : | : | 118 | 67 | 125 | 567 | 53 | 8 |
| LT | 187 | 324 | : | : | : | : | 69 | 37 | 78 | 160 | 95 | 174 |
| LU | 128 | 633 | : | : | : | : | : | : | 42 | 490 | 58 | 258 |
| HU | 1089 | 3395 | 616 | 576 | 91 | 157 | 707 | 733 | 288 | 1178 | 301 | 1390 |
| MT | 53 | 154 | 29 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 18 | 3 | 40 | 6 | 31 |
| NL | 1528 | 14945 | 260 | 1307 | 554 | 2934 | 814 | 4241 | 852 | 6920 | 554 | 4429 |
| AT | 1508 | 12754 | 419 | 432 | 129 | 428 | 548 | 860 | 1148 | 5476 | 453 | 1576 |
| PL | 1264 | 4454 | 502 | 182 | 204 | 128 | 706 | 310 | 1324 | 3550 | 242 | 574 |
| PT | 745 | 2232 | : | : | : | : | : | : | 522 | 1526 | 369 | 792 |
| RO | 1760 | 2665 | 81 | 36 | 210 | 91 | : | : | 496 | 809 | 1013 | 1293 |
| SI | 531 | 1402 | 198 | 140 | 92 | 104 | 290 | 244 | 140 | 535 | 9 | 43 |
| SK | 141 | 543 | : | : | : | : | 48 | 41 | 581 | 992 | 60 | 97 |
| FI | 3585 | 16155 | : | : | : | : | 660 | 630 | 950 | 4649 | 314 | 1013 |
| SE | 5375 | 16790 | 1656 | 1524 | 355 | 533 | 2011 | 2057 | 1517 | 5066 | 823 | 1042 |
| UK | 13395 | 56398 | 3286 | 13833 | 1011 | 4256 | 4296 | 18090 | 3917 | 16494 | 1000 | 4457 |
| HR | 149 | 187 | 31 | 7 | 22 | 4 | 53 | 11 | 81 | 208 | 81 | 210 |
| TR | 1861 | 5873 | : | : | : | : | : | : | 998 | 3403 | 451 | 1045 |
| NO | 1031 | 4220 | 192 | 156 | 134 | 280 | 325 | 436 | 1225 | 5237 | 664 | 1992 |
| CH | 2170 | 8205 | 1120 | 2300 | 200 | 810 | : | : | : | : | 770 | 2820 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ: 2007; BG, DK, DE, EE, IE, EL, IT, NL, PT, SK, UK, NO: 2005;
FR, CH: 2004; LU, SE: 2003
Data unavailable: IS, IL
Provisional value: BE (2006)

Annex 3.1: Number of academic staff by grade and sex, 2007

|  | Grade A |  | Grade $\mathbf{B}$ |  | Crade C |  | Grade D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 246 | 2047 | 657 | 1997 | 1475 | 3341 | 4175 | 4548 |
| BG | 551 | 1792 | 2776 | 4480 | : | : | 7324 | 6284 |
| CZ | 267 | 1832 | 2669 | 5862 | 253 | 566 | 3304 | 3915 |
| DK | 150 | 1107 | 917 | 2753 | 672 | 1128 | 1783 | 2271 |
| DE | 1509 | 11138 | 4854 | 21747 | 1618 | 3311 | 49342 | 81434 |
| EE | 94 | 454 | 372 | 630 | 966 | 740 | 653 | 328 |
| IE | 58 | 544 | 458 | 690 | 589 | 663 | 720 | 857 |
| EL | 216 | 1699 | 431 | 1468 | 753 | 1608 | 1481 | 2280 |
| ES | 2041 | 9034 | 24926 | 43754 | 2200 | 2368 | 37682 | 35059 |
| FR | 6069 | 26084 | 30870 | 48522 | 3036 | 5781 | 8523 | 11685 |
| IT | 3631 | 15994 | 6280 | 12453 | 10658 | 12913 | - | : |
| CY | 6 | 57 | 21 | 85 | 142 | 166 | 107 | 246 |
| LV | 157 | 382 | 254 | 346 | 2631 | 1683 | : | : |
| LT | 106 | 628 | 925 | 1297 | 1135 | 979 | 3246 | 1879 |
| LU | 5 | 49 | 12 | 30 | 50 | 113 | - | : |
| HU | 502 | 2166 | 1571 | 3379 | 4006 | 4918 | 778 | 1225 |
| MT | 1 | 43 | 193 | 415 | 23 | 139 | 2 | 6 |
| NL | 318 | 2552 | 422 | 1938 | 1586 | 3413 | 6453 | 9050 |
| AT | 309 | 1847 | 615 | 2708 | 2579 | 3930 | 3972 | 5641 |
| PL | 1940 | 7628 | 3254 | 8280 | 19219 | 24982 |  | : |
| PT | 303 | 1148 | 917 | 1750 | 2751 | 3581 | 2349 | 2312 |
| RO | 3644 | 7869 | 8935 | 9209 | X | $\times$ | 1260 | 1047 |
| SI | 214 | 1073 | 307 | 718 | 994 | 1182 | 275 | 336 |
| SK | 350 | 1388 | 869 | 1631 | 3520 | 3497 | 514 | 425 |
| FI | 609 | 1991 | 1660 | 1723 | 773 | 608 | 3804 | 4717 |
| SE | 841 | 3811 | 10848 | 12260 | 416 | 578 | 4647 | 4518 |
| UK | 2885 | 13601 | 12374 | 21273 | 24591 | 27340 | 16816 | 19927 |
| HR | 148 | 416 | 444 | 549 | 106 | 97 | 715 | 640 |
| TR | 3675 | 9541 | 8037 | 15380 | 3754 | 4336 | 16188 | 18209 |
| IS | 44 | 192 | 74 | 158 | 128 | 112 | - | . |
| NO | 537 | 2427 | 1863 | 3548 | 1109 | 1291 | 4841 | 4197 |
| CH | 1304 | 4708 | 626 | 2001 | 7837 | 12997 | 1294 | 1402 |
| IL | 184 | 1267 | 232 | 835 | 434 | 782 | 273 | 324 |

Source: WiS database (DG Research); Higher Education Authority for Ireland (Grade A)

Exceptions to the reference year: HR: 2008; UK: 2007/2006; DK, IE (except for grade A: 2002-2003), FR, CY, LU, AT, IL: 2006; EE, MT: 2004; PT: 2003; EL: 2000
Data unavailable: Grade C unavailable: BG, RO (included in B); Grade D unavailable: BE (French-speaking community), IT, LV, LU, PL, IS
Provisional data: ES
Data estimated: SI

Head count
Data for Ireland on Grade A professors does not include the Institutes of Technology Some differences exist in coverage and definitions between countries ' $x$ ': data included in another cell; ' $\because$ ': not available

Annex 3.2: Number of senior academic staff (Grade A) by field of science and sex, 2007

|  | Natural sciences |  | Engineering and technology |  | Medical sciences |  | Agricultural Sciences |  | Social sciences |  | Humanities |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 52 | 435 | 17 | 310 | 49 | 460 | 2 | 53 | 83 | 508 | 42 | 267 | 1 | 8 |
| CZ | 29 | 200 | 40 | 623 | 93 | 342 | 23 | 209 | 46 | 273 | 36 | 185 | : | : |
| DK | 27 | 283 | 7 | 170 | 18 | 138 | 10 | 51 | 64 | 357 | 24 | 108 | : | : |
| DE | 231 | 2910 | 74 | 1416 | 100 | 1326 | 36 | 288 | 221 | 2026 | 828 | 3027 | 19 | 145 |
| ES | 618 | 2980 | 113 | 1274 | 192 | 867 | 53 | 276 | 582 | 2324 | 444 | 1203 | 39 | 110 |
| FR | 777 | 5527 | 158 | 2278 | 1136 | 6300 | $\times$ | X | 557 | 2718 | 1104 | 2567 | : | : |
| IT | 871 | 4028 | 257 | 2804 | 294 | 2341 | 139 | 919 | 786 | 3510 | 1284 | 2392 | : | : |
| CY | 4 | 20 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 11 | : | : |
| LV | 0 | 37 | : | : | 5 | 8 | : | : | 11 | 17 | 8 | 14 | 54 | 233 |
| LT | 10 | 137 | 8 | 171 | 21 | 72 | 3 | 26 | 24 | 111 | 40 | 111 | : | : |
| MT | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 21 | : | : |
| NL | 33 | 453 | 27 | 483 | 9 | 92 | 10 | 101 | 158 | 1016 | 73 | 358 | 8 | 49 |
| AT | 28 | 466 | 14 | 256 | 32 | 258 | 6 | 45 | 78 | 439 | 151 | 383 | : | : |
| PL | 354 | 1712 | 145 | 1456 | 386 | 938 | 210 | 614 | 351 | 1214 | 494 | 1694 | : | : |
| PT | 100 | 264 | 12 | 230 | 49 | 138 | 31 | 84 | 111 | 432 | X | X | : | : |
| SI | 7 | 103 | 23 | 243 | 38 | 126 | 13 | 45 | 50 | 206 | 79 | 309 | 4 | 41 |
| SK | 25 | 145 | 33 | 369 | 66 | 238 | 7 | 74 | 196 | 497 | 23 | 65 | : | : |
| FI | 62 | 460 | 24 | 351 | 115 | 361 | 33 | 55 | 222 | 505 | 153 | 259 | : | : |
| SE | 111 | 799 | 80 | 889 | 168 | 796 | 37 | 152 | 178 | 663 | 181 | 444 | 86 | 68 |
| UK | 279 | 2470 | 167 | 2204 | 784 | 2597 | 24 | 155 | 563 | 1925 | 19 | 82 | : | : |
| HR | 22 | 80 | 56 | 181 | 28 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 41 | 11 | 47 | : | : |
| TR | 328 | 1039 | 433 | 1818 | 1828 | 3356 | 195 | 844 | 587 | 1587 | 304 | 897 | : | : |
| NO | 76 | 550 | 22 | 347 | 114 | 395 | 11 | 60 | 168 | 618 | 146 | 457 | : | : |
| CH | 82 | 694 | 165 | 1244 | 163 | 604 | 8 | 82 | 671 | 1519 | 174 | 481 | 41 | 84 |
| IL | 39 | 560 | 10 | 197 | 21 | 105 | 0 | 33 | 37 | 236 | 52 | 224 | : | : |

## Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: HR: 2008; UK: 2007/2006; DK, CY, AT: 2006; MT: 2004; PT: 2003; IL: 2001; FR, LV: 2000
Data unavailable: BG, EE, IE, EL, HU, RO, LU, IS
Provisional data: ES
Data estimated: SI

Head count
Medical sciences exclude female professors at university hospitals for Denmark
FR: NS includes AS; PT: SS includes H
Some differences exist in coverage and definitions between countries
'x': data included in another cell; ' $:$ ': not available

Annex 3.3: Number of academic staff (Grade A) by age group and sex, 2007

|  | $<35$ |  | 35-44 |  | 45-54 |  | $55+$ |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 0 | 2 | 38 | 226 | 112 | 744 | 95 | 1055 |  | : |
| BG | 1 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 72 | 205 | 473 | 1579 |  | : |
| DE | 22 | 57 | 427 | 2073 | 678 | 4228 | 382 | 4778 | 0 | 2 |
| IT | 0 | 3 | 193 | 764 | 873 | 3412 | 2565 | 11815 | : | : |
| LT | 0 | 1 | 4 | 26 | 25 | 122 | 77 | 479 | : | : |
| AT | 7 | 11 | 81 | 251 | 123 | 507 | 98 | 1078 | : | : |
| PL | 0 | 0 | 19 | 70 | 201 | 952 | 1719 | 6603 | : | : |
| RO | 35 | 89 | 844 | 1036 | 1190 | 2339 | 1321 | 3780 |  | : |
| SK | 0 | 0 | 14 | 51 | 98 | 353 | 238 | 984 | : | : |
| FI | 11 | 35 | 92 | 349 | 260 | 669 | 246 | 938 | : | : |
| SE | 0 | 4 | 62 | 322 | 255 | 1222 | 524 | 2263 | : | : |
| UK | 12 | 67 | 469 | 2235 | 1284 | 5081 | 1120 | 6218 | : | : |
| HR | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 45 | 95 | 92 | 305 | : | : |
| TR | 3 | 4 | 599 | 1411 | 1775 | 4019 | 1298 | 4107 | : | : |
| IS | 1 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 21 | 75 | 19 | 102 | : | : |
| NO | 3 | 4 | 60 | 237 | 176 | 766 | 298 | 1420 | : | : |
| CH | 118 | 181 | 468 | 1236 | 467 | 1761 | 222 | 1485 | 29 | 45 |

Source: WiS database (DG Research)
Exceptions to the reference year: BE (French-speaking community), HR: 2008; RO, UK: 2006/2007; AT: 2006
Data unavailable: CZ, DK, EE, IE, EL, ES, FR, CY, LV, LU, HU, MT, NL, PT, SI, IL
Head count
':': not available

Annex 3.4: Number of R\&D personnel across occupations for the Higher Education Sector (HES) by sex, 2006

|  | Researchers |  | Technicians |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 9437 | 16622 | 3289 | 2698 | 2351 | 1118 |
| BG | 1446 | 2463 | 409 | 416 | 139 | 103 |
| CZ | 6493 | 12175 | 3118 | 2621 | 1229 | 526 |
| DK | 5919 | 10151 | 2579 | 1485 | 1770 | 591 |
| DE | 57978 | 126395 | 13122 | 13732 | 31690 | 9411 |
| EE | 1987 | 2333 | 398 | 265 | 253 | 67 |
| IE | 3862 | 6216 | 354 | 748 | 2706 | 985 |
| EL | 9106 | 14878 | 4629 | 4762 | 4012 | 3099 |
| ES | 43318 | 69757 | 5996 | 6712 | 12907 | 10303 |
| IT | 25721 | 46683 | 37186 | 29627 | x | x |
| CY | 276 | 554 | 20 | 27 | 28 | 13 |
| LV | 2533 | 2412 | 491 | 356 | 298 | 227 |
| LT | 4632 | 4604 | 436 | 256 | 1355 | 469 |
| LU | 54 | 151 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| HU | 6928 | 12000 | 2423 | 964 | 3660 | 1190 |
| MT | 191 | 523 | 9 | 61 | 109 | 29 |
| NL | 3747 | 9197 | 4109 | 4023 | 6794 | 7190 |
| AT | 8190 | 15419 | 3223 | 1731 | 2935 | 1217 |
| PL | 29171 | 41160 | 2912 | 2182 | 3530 | 1207 |
| PT | 10025 | 11359 | 363 | 257 | 336 | 172 |
| RO | 6789 | 9293 | 468 | 287 | 954 | 1115 |
| SI | 1374 | 2235 | 450 | 331 | 275 | 73 |
| SK | 6177 | 7741 | 490 | 185 | 129 | 55 |
| SE | 19429 | 17109 | 4036 | 3240 | 1484 | 6167 |
| HR | 2857 | 3727 | 842 | 675 | 597 | 209 |
| IS | 543 | 706 | 80 | 64 | 40 | 39 |
| CH | 7330 | 18600 | 205 | 755 | 8265 | 6800 |
| JP | 63407 | 232069 | 5134 | 5154 | 24151 | 19119 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, EE, SK: 2007; BE, EL, LU, NL, PT, IS, JP: 2005; CH: 2002; SE: 2001
Data unavailable: FR, FI, UK, NO, TR, IL; Other for IT (included in Technicians)
Provisional data: NL
Data estimated: CH; NL

## Head count

' $x$ ': data included in another cell
Individual information for technicians and other occupations is not available for Italy

Annex 3.5: Number of R\&D personnel across occupations for the Government Sector (GOV) by sex, 2006

|  | Researchers |  | Technicians |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 789 | 1722 | 397 | 571 | 250 | 299 |
| BG | 3308 | 3185 | 1792 | 840 | 1242 | 545 |
| CZ | 3266 | 5674 | 2071 | 1106 | 1288 | 899 |
| DK | 1256 | 2026 | 773 | 454 | 358 | 135 |
| DE | 14223 | 33560 | 5996 | 6133 | 17510 | 15294 |
| EE | 444 | 296 | 118 | 58 | 117 | 64 |
| IE | 204 | 341 | 108 | 211 | 237 | 274 |
| EL | 1190 | 1726 | 790 | 1057 | 1174 | 1924 |
| ES | 13019 | 14938 | 5276 | 4177 | 4521 | 4794 |
| IT | 10207 | 12964 | 7758 | 8523 | 6578 | 4490 |
| CY | 99 | 135 | 107 | 139 | 110 | 123 |
| LV | 569 | 693 | 384 | 128 | 283 | 85 |
| LT | 934 | 825 | 490 | 195 | 375 | 247 |
| LU | 132 | 299 | 44 | 54 | 78 | 34 |
| HU | 2367 | 3850 | 1493 | 824 | 1959 | 1005 |
| MT | 20 | 24 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 1 |
| NL | 2299 | 5508 | 1206 | 2735 | 1035 | 1358 |
| AT | 1095 | 1694 | 537 | 592 | 842 | 751 |
| PL | 6002 | 8509 | 2258 | 1978 | 2524 | 1473 |
| PT | 3168 | 2434 | 517 | 467 | 518 | 256 |
| RO | 2923 | 2941 | 1037 | 695 | 608 | 502 |
| SI | 858 | 1115 | 351 | 374 | 251 | 139 |
| SK | 1460 | 1839 | 679 | 262 | 342 | 179 |
| UK | 3456 | 6732 | 1114 | 3164 | 4134 | 3692 |
| HR | 1426 | 1499 | 768 | 698 | 279 | 146 |
| TR | 1606 | 3862 | 236 | 1199 | 850 | 3847 |
| IS | 446 | 580 | 212 | 205 | 109 | 164 |
| CH | 280 | 700 | 125 | 230 | 105 | 105 |
| JP | 4600 | 32075 | 4751 | 3595 | 10282 | 17196 |

[^6]Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, EE, SK: 2007; BE, EL, LU, NL, PT, UK, IS, JP: 2005
Data unavailable: FR, FI, SE, NO, IL
Head count

Annex 3.6: Number of R\&D personnel across occupations for the Business Enterprise Sector (BES) by sex, 2006

|  | Researchers |  | Technicians |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 4080 | 15847 | 3202 | 10729 | 2016 | 2517 |
| BG | 551 | 949 | 442 | 620 | 144 | 254 |
| CZ | 2246 | 12569 | 3204 | 9478 | 1837 | 3046 |
| DK | 6048 | 18216 | 4461 | 5809 | 2286 | 2623 |
| DE | 21666 | 165066 | 23340 | 62325 | 18674 | 50760 |
| EE | 358 | 1042 | 237 | 497 | 205 | 247 |
| IE | 1557 | 6139 | 681 | 2414 | 841 | 1989 |
| EL | 1780 | 4577 | 1028 | 2994 | 1315 | 1202 |
| ES | 14190 | 37083 | 11074 | 30583 | 6867 | 13353 |
| IT | 6904 | 28446 | 7018 | 38834 | 6515 | 17640 |
| CY | 76 | 269 | 46 | 151 | 85 | 42 |
| LV | 316 | 676 | 359 | 499 | 219 | 206 |
| LT | 360 | 658 | 105 | 205 | 138 | 95 |
| LU | 259 | 1548 | 254 | 1420 | 243 | 433 |
| HU | 1678 | 5963 | 1461 | 1276 | 454 | 916 |
| MT | 62 | 225 | 24 | 183 | 31 | 60 |
| NL | 2934 | 26294 | 3160 | 21492 | 2241 | 8449 |
| AT | 3109 | 19806 | 2972 | 14757 | 1595 | 3097 |
| PL | 2830 | 8578 | 1188 | 3006 | 1077 | 1515 |
| PT | 1636 | 4550 | 550 | 1591 | 367 | 729 |
| RO | 3269 | 4767 | 1318 | 1054 | 1733 | 2297 |
| SI | 680 | 1980 | 842 | 1556 | 242 | 367 |
| SK | 549 | 1595 | 422 | 890 | 218 | 205 |
| UK | 18336 | 77453 | 8273 | 20439 | 10927 | 18393 |
| HR | 311 | 605 | 567 | 828 | 150 | 142 |
| TR | 3310 | 10321 | 1143 | 4907 | 496 | 2236 |
| IS | 464 | 975 | 202 | 351 | 167 | 206 |
| CH | 2940 | 11025 | 3310 | 15265 | 2280 | 3000 |
| JP | 33791 | 485569 | 16619 | 48514 | 28723 | 70488 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)
Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, SK: 2007; BE, DK, DE, IE, EL, LU, NL, PT, IS, JP: 2005
Data unavailable: $\mathrm{FR}, \mathrm{FI}, \mathrm{SE}, \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{IL}$
Data estimated: UK
Head count

Annex 4.1: Number of heads of institutions in the Higher Education Sector (HES) by sex, HC, 2007

|  | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BE | 3 | 25 |
| BG | 6 | 74 |
| CZ | 11 | 62 |
| DK | 3 | 52 |
| DE | 26 | 315 |
| EE | 7 | 29 |
| IT | 93 | 363 |
| CY | 6 | 38 |
| LV | 2 | 15 |
| LT | 3 | 32 |
| LU | 0 | 1 |
| HU | 6 | 64 |
| NL | 1 | 13 |
| AT | 5 | 73 |
| PL | 56 | 383 |
| RO | 7 | 96 |
| SK | 2 | 31 |
| FI | 12 | 36 |
| SE | 7 | 19 |
| HR | 17 | 118 |
| TR | 11 | 116 |
| IS | 2 | 9 |
| NO | 15 | 32 |
| CH | 5 | 34 |
| IL | 11 | 59 |

Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: IT: 2009; BE (Dutch-speaking community), DE, EE, HU, AT, PL, SK, FI, SE, HR, CH, IL: 2008; DK, CY: 2008/2007; RO: 2007/2006 Data unavailable: BE (French-speaking community), IE, EL, ES, FR, MT, PT, SI, UK

BE data refer to Dutch-speaking community

Annex 4.2: Number of applicants and beneficiaries of research funding by sex, 2002-2007

|  | BENEFICIARIES |  |  |  | APPLICANTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 2007 |  | 2002 |  | 2007 |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| BE | 217 | 351 | 364 | 428 | 551 | 799 | 837 | 971 |
| CZ | : | : | 571 | 2747 | : | : | 744 | 3480 |
| DK | 255 | 708 | 247 | 747 | 796 | 2023 | 964 | 2378 |
| DE | 1557 | 11646 | 2042 | 8045 | 2713 | 18611 | 3339 | 13088 |
| EE | 194 | 588 | 189 | 442 | 232 | 670 | 256 | 535 |
| IE | : | : | 214 | 292 | : | : | 1451 | 1778 |
| EL | 374 | 330 | 222 | 229 | 1135 | 894 | 888 | 745 |
| ES | : | : | 1202 | 1432 | : | : | 3932 | 4079 |
| IT | 117 | 740 | 154 | 733 | 374 | 2044 | 796 | 3003 |
| CY | 8 | 29 | 10 | 21 | 27 | 123 | 10 | 40 |
| LV | 231 | 471 | 239 | 460 | 285 | 573 | 244 | 510 |
| LT | 28 | 42 | 51 | 96 | 84 | 132 | 172 | 292 |
| LU | 23 | 37 | 6 | 29 | 29 | 43 | 16 | 79 |
| HU | 178 | 506 | 99 | 389 | 315 | 844 | 291 | 920 |
| NL | 312 | 1253 | 339 | 1146 | 774 | 2852 | 1062 | 3136 |
| AT | 176 | 1465 | 399 | 2279 | 341 | 2564 | 796 | 3830 |
| PL | 707 | 2100 | 990 | 2297 | 2556 | 6439 | 2920 | 6081 |
| PT | 453 | 391 | 621 | 560 | 828 | 753 | 1365 | 1228 |
| SI | 219 | 215 | 185 | 422 | 446 | 527 | 387 | 893 |
| SK | 24 | 110 | 22 | 113 | 45 | 189 | 148 | 582 |
| FI | 127 | 271 | 285 | 442 | 481 | 1178 | 1138 | 1942 |
| SE | 233 | 1677 | 472 | 1827 | 599 | 3663 | 1206 | 4039 |
| UK | 704 | 2832 | 822 | 2768 | 2663 | 9406 | 2970 | 9848 |
| HR | 2 | 10 | 264 | 269 | 13 | 39 | 216 | 310 |
| IS | 187 | 303 | 176 | 330 | 330 | 540 | 305 | 606 |
| NO | 460 | 1477 | 528 | 1499 | 1285 | 4258 | 1432 | 3772 |
| CH | 310 | 1138 | 475 | 1507 | 538 | 1770 | 829 | 2341 |
| IL | 71 | 435 | 40 | 86 | 236 | 1119 | 182 | 385 |

Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year (s): 2007 CZ, IE, LV: 2003; EL, PT: 2002; SE: 1999; 2002 UK, HR: 2005; NL, SK: 2003; LV, SI: 2001; IL: 2000; EL, PT: 1999; SE: 1995
Data unavailable: BE (French-speaking community), BG, CZ (2002), IE (2002), ES (2002), FR, MT, RO, TR

Break in series: DK (2004), AT (2007): incl. ÖAW

There is no common definition of funds
The total number of funds varies considerably between countries and over the period considered
BE data refer to Dutch-speaking community
' $:$ ': not available

Annex 4.3: Number of applicants and beneficiaries of research funding by sex and field of science, 2007

|  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities | Unknown | Total | Natural sciences | Engineering and technology | Medical sciences | Agricultural Sciences | Social sciences | Humanities | Unknown | Total |
| CZ | Beneficiaries | 92 | 162 | 85 | 158 | 74 | : | : | 571 | 926 | 915 | 214 | 428 | 264 | : | : | 2747 |
|  | Applicants | 125 | 197 | 108 | 224 | 90 | : | : | 744 | 1190 | 1124 | 276 | 571 | 319 | : |  | 3480 |
| DE | Beneficiaries | 313 | 131 | 843 | : | 755 | x | 0 | 2042 | 2308 | 1588 | 2502 | : | 1647 | X | 0 | 8045 |
|  | Applicants | 502 | 203 | 1381 | : | 1251 | X | 2 | 3339 | 3523 | 2580 | 4143 |  | 2837 | X | 5 | 13088 |
| EE | Beneficiaries | 56 | 17 | 34 | 17 | 28 | 37 | : | 189 | 216 | 69 | 48 | 27 | 35 | 47 | : | 442 |
|  | Applicants | 82 | 20 | 52 | 19 | 41 | 42 | : | 256 | 261 | 83 | 59 | 29 | 48 | 55 | : | 535 |
| IT | Beneficiaries | 46 | 10 | 28 | 18 | 18 | 34 | : | 154 | 229 | 117 | 121 | 55 | 120 | 91 | : | 733 |
|  | Applicants | 262 | 83 | 138 | 77 | 85 | 151 | : | 796 | 871 | 607 | 535 | 257 | 421 | 312 | : | 3003 |
| CY | Beneficiaries | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | : | 10 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | : | 21 |
|  | Applicants | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | : | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 2 | : | 40 |
| LV | Beneficiaries | 82 | 22 | 44 | 14 | 53 | 24 | : | 239 | 228 | 71 | 55 | 44 | 43 | 19 | : | 460 |
|  | Applicants | 82 | 23 | 46 | 14 | 53 | 26 | : | 244 | 244 | 81 | 69 | 46 | 48 | 22 | : | 510 |
| LT | Beneficiaries | 8 | 1 | 25 | 2 | 7 | 8 | : | 51 | 29 | 19 | 38 | 0 | 7 | 3 | : | 96 |
|  | Applicants | 31 | 11 | 89 | 2 | 20 | 19 | : | 172 | 93 | 58 | 108 | 6 | 19 | 8 | : | 292 |
| HU | Beneficiaries | 32 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 21 | 22 | : | 99 | 187 | 28 | 63 | 40 | 36 | 35 | : | 389 |
|  | Applicants | 110 | 3 | 54 | 32 | 46 | 46 | : | 291 | 432 | 59 | 165 | 107 | 79 | 78 | : | 920 |
| PL | Beneficiaries | 268 | 173 | 204 | 117 | 139 | 89 | : | 990 | 612 | 836 | 285 | 154 | 233 | 177 | : | 2297 |
|  | Applicants | 825 | 510 | 595 | 304 | 419 | 267 | : | 2920 | 1532 | 2271 | 728 | 454 | 625 | 471 | : | 6081 |
| PT | Beneficiaries | 243 | 96 | 88 | 22 | 172 | x | 0 | 621 | 239 | 125 | 31 | 24 | 141 | x | 0 | 560 |
|  | Applicants | 403 | 247 | 183 | 120 | 407 | X | 5 | 1365 | 400 | 286 | 75 | 102 | 361 | x | 4 | 1228 |
| SI | Beneficiaries | 44 | 40 | 20 | 31 | 17 | 23 | 10 | 185 | 103 | 190 | 29 | 22 | 36 | 37 | 5 | 422 |
|  | Applicants | 145 | 55 | 37 | 57 | 38 | 55 | 0 | 387 | 233 | 318 | 86 | 90 | 72 | 88 | 6 | 893 |
| SK | Beneficiaries | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 22 | 36 | 35 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 113 |
| SK | Applicants | 42 | 36 | 20 | 19 | 3 | 11 | 17 | 148 | 142 | 224 | 42 | 68 | 16 | 29 | 61 | 582 |
| SE | Beneficiaries | 77 | 10 | 184 | 63 | 54 | : | 84 | 472 | 464 | 156 | 739 | 202 | 102 | : | 164 | 1827 |
|  | Applicants | 200 | 49 | 298 | 196 | 265 | : | 198 | 1206 | 1036 | 612 | 1070 | 538 | 504 | : | 279 | 4039 |
| UK | Beneficiaries | 216 | 135 | 144 | 8 | 167 | 152 | : | 822 | 1080 | 928 | 278 | 55 | 177 | 250 | : | 2768 |
|  | Applicants | 738 | 491 | 579 | 38 | 587 | 537 | : | 2970 | 3715 | 3236 | 1062 | 190 | 717 | 928 | : | 9848 |
| HR | Beneficiaries | 38 | 104 | 69 | 0 | 38 | 15 | : | 264 | 18 | 131 | 72 | 0 | 28 | 20 | : | 269 |
|  | Applicants | 41 | 43 | 68 | 0 | 39 | 25 | : | 216 | 91 | 91 | 74 | 1 | 28 | 25 | : | 310 |
| IS | Beneficiaries | 32 | 15 | 40 | 16 | 48 | 14 | 11 | 176 | 82 | 45 | 52 | 46 | 44 | 24 | 37 | 330 |
|  | Applicants | 60 | 33 | 67 | 28 | 73 | 33 | 11 | 305 | 143 | 113 | 95 | 96 | 65 | 43 | 51 | 606 |
| NO | Beneficiaries | 68 | 196 | 49 | 44 | 121 | 50 | : | 528 | 242 | 759 | 106 | 104 | 208 | 80 | : | 1499 |
|  | Applicants | 300 | 321 | 213 | 81 | 322 | 195 | : | 1432 | 973 | 1342 | 404 | 217 | 587 | 249 | : | 3772 |
| CH | Beneficiaries | 146 | 10 | 89 | 2 | 128 | 100 | 0 | 475 | 670 | 155 | 246 | 4 | 235 | 197 | 0 | 1507 |
|  | Applicants | 218 | 25 | 182 | 5 | 229 | 158 | 12 | 829 | 883 | 229 | 481 | 5 | 426 | 305 | 12 | 2341 |
| IL | Beneficiaries | 6 | : | 25 | : | : | 20 | 20 | 71 | 140 | : | 107 | : | : | 54 | 134 | 435 |
|  | Applicants | 30 | : | 100 | : | : | 73 | 33 | 236 | 425 | : | 357 | : | : | 148 | 189 | 1119 |

Source: WiS database (DG Research)

Exceptions to the reference year: CZ, LV: 2003; PT: 2002; IL: 2000; SE: 1999 Data unavailable: BE, BG, DK, EL, ES, IE, FR, LU, MT, NL, AT, FI, RO, TR DE, PT: SS includes H; DE: MS includes biology

There is no common definition of funds
The total numbers of funds varies considerably over countries and period considered ' $x$ ': data included in another cell; ':' not available

Annex 4.4: Total intramural R\&D expenditure (GERD) for all sectors (BES, GOV, HES) in million PPS, 2006

|  | BES | GOV | HES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BE | 3515 | 432 | 1153 |
| BG | 81 | 204 | 31 |
| CZ | 1929 | 512 | 463 |
| DK | 2624 | 257 | 1016 |
| DE | 37344 | 7601 | 8909 |
| EE | 106 | 31 | 97 |
| IE | 1103 | 124 | 456 |
| EL | 427 | 296 | 680 |
| ES | 7235 | 2174 | 3603 |
| FR | 21633 | 5657 | 6584 |
| IT | 7997 | 2822 | 4961 |
| CY | 16 | 20 | 29 |
| LV | 100 | 30 | 69 |
| LT | 99 | 81 | 174 |
| LU | 426 | 58 | 11 |
| HU | 731 | 384 | 369 |
| MT | 32 | 2 | 13 |
| NL | 5130 | 1180 | 2355 |
| AT | 4206 | 312 | 1440 |
| PL | 827 | 971 | 813 |
| PT | 891 | 216 | 614 |
| RO | 431 | 287 | 157 |
| SI | 391 | 159 | 98 |
| SK | 510 | 388 | 286 |
| FI | 3516 | 461 | 924 |
| SE | 7280 | 437 | 2011 |
| UK | 18647 | 3022 | 7901 |
| HR | 171 | 124 | 171 |
| TR | 1577 | 497 | 2184 |
| NO | 1662 | 481 | 927 |
| CH | 4640 | 67 | 1441 |
| IS | 124 | 57 | 53 |
| US | 175249 | 30949 | 36253 |
| JP | 82403 | 8936 | 14448 |

Source: S\&T statistics (Eurostat)

Exceptions to the reference year: BE, DE, IE, IS, JP: 2005; CH, US: 2004
Data unavailable: IL
Provisional data: FR, NL (HES), SE (HES)
Data estimated: EL, PT, NL (HES)

These notes are intended to provide a quick reference guide for the reader about the coverage and identification of groups, units and concepts presented in this booklet.

## Statistical terms \& classifications

## 1. Students and Graduates

The International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED-97) categorises education programmes by level. Tertiary Education or Higher Education involves 2 stages: the first includes largely theoretically-based programmes to provide sufficient qualifications for gaining entry to advanced research programmes and professions with high skills requirements (ISCED 5A) and programmes generally more practical/technical/occupationally specific than ISCED 5A (ISCED 5B). The second stage leads to the award of an advanced research qualification (e.g. PhD, Doctorate). The programmes are devoted to advanced study and original research (ISCED 6).
The number of graduates refers to those graduating in the reference year and not to the number of graduates in the population. The number of graduates also refers to nonnationals graduating in the country, but does not include nationals graduating abroad. In some countries, France and Portugal, for example, non-PhD programmes with an advanced research component are included in ISCED 6.
2. Human Resources in Science and Technology (HRST)

This methodology is based upon identifying individuals
from the European Union Labour Force Survey case data, according to educational attainment and occupation, and is proposed by the Canberra Manual (OECD, 1994). The types of HRST presented in this publication are:

- HRST people who fulfil one or the other of the following conditions:
- Successfully completed education at the third level in an S\&T (Science and Technology) field of study (see S\&T fields of study below).
- Not formally qualified as above but employed in a S\&T occupation (ISCO-2 "Professionals" and ISCO-3 "Technicians") where the above qualifications are normally required.
HRSTE: HRST Education - People who have successfully completed tertiary education in a S\&T field of study (see S\&T fields of study below).
- HRSTO: HRST Occupation - People who are employed in a S\&T occupation (ISCO '88 COM, codes 2 "Professionals" and 3 "Technicians") (see ISCO 88 definitions for explanation of S\&T occupations).
HRSTC: HRST Core - People who are both HRSTE and HRSTO.


## 3. S\&T (Science and Technology) fields of study

ISCED distinguishes twenty-one main fields of study. For macro-measurement of HRST, it is recommended that they are regrouped into the following seven broad fields of study in S\&T: natural sciences; engineering and technology; medical sciences; agricultural sciences; social sciences; humanities; other fields (Canberra manual §71). In other words all fields of study are included in the HRST population presented in this publication.

## 4. ISCO-88 definitions

Two of the ISCO-88 major groups are used in the definition of HRST, HRSTO and HRSTC. They are:
Major group 2 - "Professionals" (ISCO-2): "This major group includes occupations whose main tasks require a high level of professional knowledge and experience in the fields of physical and life sciences, or social sciences and humanities. The main tasks consist of increasing the existing stock of knowledge, applying scientific and artistic concepts and theories to the solution of problems, and teaching about the foregoing in a systematic manner".

## Researchers are classified as ISCO-2.

Major group 3 - "Technicians and associate professionals" (ISCO-3): "This major group includes occupations whose main tasks require technical knowledge and experience in one or more fields of physical and life sciences, or social sciences and humanities. The main tasks consist of carrying out technical work connected with the application of concepts and operational methods in the above-mentioned
fields, and in teaching at certain educational levels."

## 5. Scientists and Engineers (S\&E) in employment

- Physical, mathematical and engineering occupations (ISCO '88 COM code 21).
- Life science and health occupations (ISCO '88 COM code 22).


## 6. Researchers and R\&D personnel

The Frascati Manual (Proposed standard practice for Surveys on Research and Experimental Development, OECD, 2002) provides an international definition for R\&D personnel, §294: "All persons employed directly on R\&D should be counted, as well as those providing direct services such as R\&D managers, administrators, and clerical staff".

R\&D personnel is composed of three categories:

- Researchers §301: "Researchers are professionals engaged in the conception or creation of new knowledge, products, processes, methods and systems and also in the management of the projects concerned".
- Technicians and equivalent staff §306: "Technicians and equivalent staff are persons whose main tasks require technical knowledge and experience in one or more fields of engineering, physical and life sciences or social sciences and humanities.

They participate in R\&D by performing scientific and technical tasks involving the application of concepts and operational methods, normally under the supervision of researchers. Equivalent staff performs the corresponding R\&D tasks under the supervision of researchers in the social sciences and humanities".

- Other supporting staff (Others) §309: "Other supporting staff includes skilled and unskilled craftsmen, secretarial and clerical staff participating in R\&D projects or directly associated with such projects".


## 7. Main fields of science

The Frascati Manual (OECD 2002) also provides definitions for the six main fields of science (page 67), which are adhered to in this publication, unless indicated otherwise. The following abbreviations have been used:

- NS: Natural sciences
- ET: Engineering and Technology
- MS: Medical sciences
- AS: Agricultural sciences
- SS: Social sciences
- H: Humanities

The breakdown of researchers by field of science is according to the field in which they work and not according to the field of study of their qualification.

## 8. NACE categories

Researchers in the Business Enterprise Sector are categorised using the Statistical Classification of Economic

Activities in the European Community, Rev. 1.1 (NACE Rev.1.1) as recommended by the Frascati Manual §169.

For a full listing of the NACE Rev.1.1 categories see Table 3.1 of the Frascati Manual which can be accessed through the OECD website http://www.oecd.org

## 9. Sectors of the economy

The Frascati Manual (OECD 2002) identifies and defines four sectors of the economy (§156):

- HES (\$206): Higher Education Sector which includes all universities, colleges of technology and other institutes of post-secondary education, whatever their source of finance or legal status. It also includes all research institutes, experimental stations and clinics operating under the direct control of or administered by or associated with higher education institutions.
- GOV (§184): Government Sector which includes all departments, offices and other bodies, which furnish but normally do not sell to the community those common services, other than higher education, which cannot otherwise be conveniently and economically provided and administer the state and the economic and social policy of the community (public enterprises are included in the Business Enterprise Sector) as well as non-profit institutes (NPIs) controlled and mainly financed by government.
- BES (§163): Business Enterprise Sector which includes all firms, organisations and institutions whose primary activity is the market production of goods or services (other than higher education) for sale to the general public at an economically significant price. It includes the private non-profit institutes mainly serving them.
- PNP (§194): Private Non-Profit Sector which covers non-market, private non-profit institutions serving households (i.e. the general public) but also private individuals or households.

The sector entitled "Abroad" is not referred to in this booklet.

## 10. Units (Head count \& Full-time equivalence)

The units of measurement of personnel employed on R\&D as proposed by the Frascati Manual are:

- HC (§329): Head count. The number of persons engaged in R\&D at a given date or the average number of persons engaged in R\&D during the (calendar) year or the total number of persons engaged in R\&D during the (calendar) year.
- FTE (§333): Full-time equivalence. One FTE corresponds to one year's work by one person.

Data are presented in HC unless indicated otherwise.

## 11. R\&D expenditure

The Frascati Manual defines Intramural expenditures on R\&D (§358) as all expenditures for R\&D performed within a statistical unit or sector of the economy during a specific period, whatever the source of funds. It recommends using
purchasing power parities (PPP) to express R\&D statistics in monetary terms (§36).
PPPs are defined as currency conversion rates that both convert to a common currency and equalise the purchasing power of different currencies. They eliminate the differences in price levels between countries in the process of conversion of economic indicators expressed in a national currency to an artificial common currency, called Purchasing Power Standard (PPS).

## 12. Gender Pay Gap

GPG (unadjusted) = The unadjusted Gender Pay Gap (GPG) represents the difference between the average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees and of female paid employees as a percentage of the average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees.

The target population consists of all paid employees aged 15-64 having worked at least 30 weeks during the reference year. The statistics refer to enterprises with at least 10 employees in the areas of economic activity defined by sections C- K of NACE Rev.1.1.

Five gender pay gaps are presented in this publication:

- Gender pay gap in \% for total economy
- Gender pay gap in \% by selected occupations for employees in private enterprise
- Gender pay gap in \% by selected occupations for employees in public enterprise
- Gender pay gap in \% by selected occupations in private and public enterprise
- Gender pay gap in \% by age group for employees in private and public enterprise for ISCO occupations 100, 200 and 300 combined


## 13. Compound Annual Growth Rates

The average annual rate of growth g of I between an initial year (year $a$ ) and a final year (year b) in percent is given by: $\mathrm{g}=\left[(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{la})^{1 /(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})}-1\right] \times 100$

## 14. Seniority grades / Academic staff

The statistics on the seniority of academic staff are collected at the national level through Higher Education and R\&D Surveys or directly from higher education institutions as part of their own monitoring systems and administrative records. It is important to note that these data are not always completely cross-country comparable as the seniority grades are not yet part of a formal international classification. Furthermore it is not always possible to distinguish research staff from teaching staff, although the target population for 'academic staff' in the Women and Science Questionnaire has been defined as researchers in higher education institutions (excluding staff involved only in teaching or administration and not at all in research).
The grades presented in this publication are based upon national mappings according to the following definitions:

A: The single highest grade/post at which research is normally conducted.
B: Researchers working in positions not as senior as top position (A) but more senior than newly qualified PhD holders (ISCED 6).

C: The first grade/post into which a newly qualified PhD graduate would normally be recruited.
D: Either postgraduate students not yet holding a PhD degree who are engaged as researchers, or researchers working in posts that do not normally require a PhD.
A complete list of the grades reported for each country is included later in this Annex.

## Data sources

Data for ISCED 6 graduates come from the UOE (UNESCO-UIS/OECD/Eurostat) questionnaire on education and have been downloaded from Eurostat's online database NewCronos, except for Israel which directly provided data to the Scientific Culture and Gender Issues Unit's WiS (Women in Science) database. The reference year is the calendar year in which the academic year began. Eurostat data represent the numbers of people who are studying in the reference country but exclude nationals studying abroad.

Data on researchers, R\&D personnel and R\&D expenditure come from the R\&D survey and have been extracted from NewCronos.

Data referring to the labour force are drawn from the European Union Labour Force Survey (EU LFS) in different ways. The HRST and Scientists \& Engineers in the total labour force data have been extracted from NewCronos.

Data on the Gender Pay Gap come from the Structure of Earnings Surveys 2002 and 2006 and were supplied to the DG Research by Eurostat.

The Statistical Correspondents of the Helsinki Group on Women and Science report data on academic staff (see Seniority grades/ Academic staff above), on the applicants and beneficiaries of research funding, the sex-composition of scientific boards and heads of Institutions in the HES and in universities or assimilated institutions by sex to the WiS database on a goodwill basis. A complete list of the source institutions can be found at the end of this Annex.

## Other data considerations

## Age Groups

Data referring to the labour force refer to all persons aged $15+$ living in private households and include the employed and unemployed populations. Data referring to HRST refer to the age group 25-64.

## Small numbers

For some countries with small populations, raw data relating to small numbers of people have been reported here. The percentages and indicators have not always been included (mostly growth rates) and this is identified in the footnotes to the indicators. The reader is therefore asked to bear this in mind when interpreting the most disaggregated data, in particular for Luxembourg, Cyprus and Malta, and, in some cases, for Estonia, Iceland and Latvia.

## EU estimates

EU totals estimated by DG Research (as noted in the footnotes) are based upon existing data for the reference year in combination with the next available year if the reference year is unavailable, in the following sequence ( $n-1, n+1, n-2, n+2$ etc...).
The aggregates were estimated by DG Research only when at least $60 \%$ of the EU population on a given indicator was available. This rule is often applied by Eurostat. These estimates are not official, but are intended as a guide to the reader.

## Rounding Error

In some cases, the row or column totals do not match the sum of the data. This may be due to rounding error.

## Decimal places

All the data in the figures have been calculated and presented to one decimal space. However the values have been rounded up so that all the values can fit on each figure. This explains why in some cases the same number can be displayed slightly different.

## Cut-off date

The cut-off date for data downloaded from Eurostat's NewCronos database was May 2009.

## Country Codes

Country names have been abbreviated in accordance with the ISO Alpha-2 codes in the footnotes with the exceptions of Greece and the United Kingdom, as follows:
EU Member States
AT Austria
BE Belgium
BG Bulgaria
CY Cyprus
CZ Czech Republic
DE Germany
DK Denmark
EL Greece
EE Estonia
ES Spain
FI Finland
FR France
HU Hungary
IE Ireland
IT Italy
LT Lithuania
LV Latvia
LU Luxembourg
MT Malta
NL The Netherlands

| PL | Poland |
| :--- | :--- |
| PT | Portugal |
| RO | Romania |
| SE | Sweden |
| SI | Slovenia |
| SK | Slovakia |
| UK | United Kingdom |

Associated Countries
This term refers to the following countries:
HR Croatia
TR Turkey
CH Switzerland
IS Iceland
IL Israel
NO Norway
Other Countries
JP Japan
US United States of America
Countries listed in the tables and figures throughout this booklet are displayed in one of the following ways:

- Ranked according to the data on women.
- Country codes listed in alphabetical order according to the abbreviations listed above (EU-27 presented first, followed by non-EU-27, followed by JP and US).


## Flags

The following flags have been used, where necessary:

- $\quad=$ data item not applicable
$0 \quad=$ real zero or $<0.5$ of the unit
: $\quad=$ data not available
$x \quad=$ data included in another cell
For more detailed methodological notes on the data presented in She Figures 2009 please access Eurostat's online database NewCronos at:
http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/ eurostat/home/

Or the statistics and indicators page of the Women and Science website at:
http://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society /index.cfm?fuseaction=public.topic\&id=27
Or the She Figures 2009 CD-ROM that accompanies the publication.

## Academic staff

## AUSTRIA

A
(Ordentliche/r) Universitätsprofessor/in
Professor/in gem. § 49 f-k VBG 1948 (neues Dienstrecht)
Vertragsprofessor/in
Stiftungsprofessor/in
Gastprofessor/in mit F\&E-Tätigkeit
Emeritierte/r Universitätsprofessor/in mit F\&E-Tätigkeit
Professor/in in Ruhestand mit F\&E-Tätigkeit

## B

Universitätsdozent/in (im öffentl.-rechtl. DV zum Bund, Amtstitel: Ao.Univ.Prof.)
Vertragsdozent/in (im Angestelltenverhältnis zur Universität, Funktionsbez.: Ao.Univ.Prof.)

## C

Assistenzprofessor/in
Universitätsassistent/in
Assistent/in gem. § 49 I VBG 1948 (Funktionsbez. Univ.Ass. bzw. Ass.Arzt) (neues Dienstrecht)
Staff Scientist
Vertragsassistent/in
Wissenschaftl./Künstl. Mitarbeiter/in (in Ausbildung) gem. §
6 UniAbgG (neues Dienstrecht)
Oberarzt, Oberärztin
Assistenzarzt, Assistenzärztin

Arzt, Ärztin in Ausbildung
Arzt, Ärztin für Allgemeinmedizin
Facharzt, Fachärztin
Zahnarzt, Zahnärztin
Ärztl. Mitarbeiter/in mit DV zum Krankenanstaltenbetreiber (KAGes, KAV, TILAK)

## D

Forschungsassistent/in
Bundeslehrer/in und Vertragslehrer/in
Wissenschaftliche/r Beamter, Beamtin
Wissenschaftliche/r Vertragsbedienstete/r
Studienassistent/in
Demonstrator/in
Sonstiges wissenschaftliches Personal
Comment: Data relate to the Public Universities incl. University hospitals and Universities of Arts.

## DUTCH-SPEAKING COMMUNITY IN BELGIUM

A
ZAP1 - Gewoon/buitengewoon hoogleraar
ZAP2 - Hoogleraar
B
ZAP3 - Hoofddocent
ZAP4 - Docent
ZAP5 - Other

## C

AAP2 - Doctor-assistant
WP3 - Postdoctoral of unlimited duration
WP4 - Postdoctoral of limited duration
Unpaid researchers (postdoctoral)
D
AAP1 - Assistant
AAP3 - Other
WP1 - Pre-doctoral of unlimited duration
WP2 - Pre-doctoral of limited duration
Unpaid researchers (pre-doctoral)
FRENCH-SPEAKING COMMUNITY IN BELGIUM
A
Professeur extraordinaire
Professeur ordinaire

## B

Professeur
C
Chargé(e) de cours

## BULGARIA

A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
D
Assistant

Lecturer
Research associate

## CYPRUS

A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
Lecturer
Teaching Support Staff
D
Research associate and other staff

## CZECH REPUBLIC

A
Since 2005 Professor - Researcher
Before 2005 Professor
B
Since 2005 Researcher below A \& above C
Before 2005 Associate professor

## C

Since 2005 newly qualified PhDs
Before 2005 Senior assistant
D
Since 2005 Researcher below C
Before 2005 Assistant and lecture

## DENMARK

## A

Professor
Academic directors
Department directors

## B

Associate professor
Senior researchers
C
Assistant professor
Post docs
D
PhD student
Other researcher

## ESTONIA

A
Full and extraordinary professor

## B

Senior lecturer
Senior researcher
C
Lecturer
Senior teacher
Researcher
D
Teacher

Assistant
Other

FINLAND
A
Professor
B
Lecturer
Senior assistant
C
Assistant
Full-time teacher
D
Researcher

FRANCE
A
Directeur de Recherche
Professeur d'université
B
Chargé de recherche
Maître de conférence
C
Ingénieur de recherche
D
Boursiers de thèse

## GERMANY

A
C4 an allen Hochschularten
W3 an allen Hochschularten
B
C3 an allen Hochschularten
C2 auf Dauer an allen Hochschularten
C2 auf Zeit an allen Hochschularten
Hochschuldozenten, R1, C2, C3, A9-A15, BAT I-IIa, III, AT
Universitätsdozenten, H1-H3, BAT la, lb, AT
Oberassistenten, $\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{~A} 14$, BAT Ia-Ila
Oberingenieure, $\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{~A} 14$, BAT lb
W2
C
Hochschulassistenten, C1, H2, BAT Ia-lla
Wissenschaftliche und künstlerische Assistenten, $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{H} 1$, A13-A14, BAT Ib, Ila
Akademische (Ober)Räte -auf Zeit-, A13, A14
Akademische Räte, Oberräte und Direktoren, A13-A16,
C1-C3, R1, R2, H1-H3, BAT I-Ila, AT
W1 (Juniorprofessuren)

## D

Wissenschaftliche und künstlerische. Mitarbeiter im Angestelltenverhältnis. BAT I-IVb, Va, AT, Verg. entspr. A13
Ärzte im Praktikum, Tarif für AIP
Wissenschaftliche Mitarbeiter im unbefristeten
Arbeitsverhältnis 7), WM 2-6, BAT I-Ila

Studienräte, -direktoren im Hochschuldienst, A13-A16, BAT I-IIb
Fachlehrer, Technische Lehrer, A9-A13, AT
Lektoren, A13-A14, BAT I-II, AT
Sonstige Lehrkräfte für besondere Aufgaben, A9-A13, BAT
I-Vc, Kr. VIII-XIII, AT
Lektoren, WM 3, BAT Ila
Lehrer im Hochschuldienst, WM 4-6, BAT Ila, Ilb

## GREECE

A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
D
Assistant staff
Lecturer
Post-graduate scholars
Temporary teaching staff

## HUNGARY

A
Professor
B
Assistant professor

## C

## Full Professor

Lecturer
D
Researchers
IRELAND
A
Academic staff

## B

Post Doctoral Fellows
C
Contract lecturer
D
Other contract researchers
Comment: A Grade data in the publication refers to Professor and Associate professor. The source is Higher Education Authority.

## ITALY

A
Full professor
B
Associate professor
C
Academic researcher

## LATVIA

A

B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
Assistant
Lecturer
Researcher

LITHUANIA
A
Professor
B
Associate professor

## C

Assistant professor
D
Other teaching staff

## LUXEMBOURG

A
Professor
B
Associate professor
C
Autres

## MALTA

## A

Professor
B
Associate Professor

## C

Senior Lecturer
D
Researcher assistant

NETHERLANDS
A
Full Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
D
Other scientific personnel
Postgraduate
Comment: Student assistants are excluded.

POLAND
A
Full Professor
B
Doctor hab.

Professor of high school
C
Doctor

PORTUGAL
A
Reitor
Vice Reitor
Professor Catedrático
B
Professor Associado
Professor Coordenator
C
Professor Auxiliar
Professor Adjunto
D
Assistente
Assistente Politecnico
Leitor
Assistente estagiaro
ROMANIA
A
Professor
B
Lectures
Assistant professor
Assistant

```
D
Teaching assistant
Comment: Grade C is included in B.
SLOVAKIA
A
Full Professor
B
Associate professor
C
Lecturer
D
Assistant lecturer
Lector
SLOVENIA
A
Full Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
D
Young researcher
SPAIN
A
Head of Department
```


## B

Permanent and part-time professor
Emeritus professor
Visiting professor
C
Assistant Professor

## D

PhD student

## SWEDEN

A
Professor
B
Residual grade
For 2004, 2003 and 2002: Senior lecturer and other research and teaching staff
C
Post-doctoral fellow
D
Postgraduate student (not yet holding a PhD), having a
university post
For 2004, 2003 and 2002: residual grade
UNITED KINGDOM
A
Professor
B
Senior lecturer
Senior researcher
C ..... C

## C

Lecturer

D
Researcher

## CROATIA

A
Full professor
Scientific advisor
B
Associate professor
Senior research associate
Assistant professor
Research associate
C
Senior assistant

## D

Assistant
Professional Associate
Professional Advisor
Junior Researcher
ICELAND
A
Full professors
B
Associate Professor

Assistant Professor

## ISRAEL

A
Full professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Senior Lecturer
D
Lecturer

NORWAY
A
Full Professor
B
Associate Professor
Department chief physician, chief physician Senior lecturer
College reader
Senior researcher
C
Post.doc. fellowshipholder
Researcher
D
Assistant Professor
PhD student

| University/college lecturer | D |
| :--- | :--- |
| Assistant physician | No university degree - Category X |
| Research assistant |  |
|  | TURKEY |
| SWITZERLAND | A |
| A | Professor |
| Doctorate with experience - Category I, II | B |
| B | Associate professor |
| Doctorate with experience - Category III, IV | Assistant professor |
| University degree - category V | C |
| Independent Professor - Category VI | Instructor |
| C | D |
| Doctorate - Category VII, VIII | Research Assistant |
| University degree Category IX |  |

## Research Funds

The following list details each of the national funding bodies which have provided data for both applicants and beneficiaries of research funds.
For the funding success rate, only those funds that have data available for both applicants and beneficiaries have been used in the calculation.

## AUSTRIA

Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung (FWF - 2000-2007)
Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften (ÖAW - 20032007)

Österreichische Forschungsförderungsgesellschaft (FFG -2000-2007)

DUTCH-SPEAKING COMMUNITY IN BELGIUM
Fund for scientific research Flanders (FWO)
Funds for industrial research (IWT)

FRENCH-SPEAKING COMMUNITY IN BELGIUM
Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS)
Fonds de la Recherche Fondamentale Collective (FRFC)
Fonds pour le Formation à la Recherche dans I'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA)
Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique Médicale (FRSM) Institut Interuniversitaire des Sciences Nucléaires (IISN)

BULGARIA
Ministry of Education and Science
CYPRUS
Research Promotion Foundation (RPF)

## CZECH REPUBLIC

Grant Agency of Academy of Science

## DENMARK

From 2004 and onwards
The Danish Council for Research Policy - Advisory Council (DCRP)
The Danish Councils for Independent Research (DCIR)
The Danish Council for Strategic Research (DCSR)
The Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF)
Before 2004
The Danish Research Council for the Humanities (SHF)
The Danish Agricultural and Veterinary Research Council (SJVF)
The Danish Natural Science Research Council (SNF)
The Danish Social Science Research Council (SSF)
The Danish Medical Research Council (SSVF)
The Danish Technical Research Council (STVF)
European Space Agency-related research (ESA)

```
ESTONIA
Estonian Science Fund
MIUR
Universities
FINLAND
Academy of Finland
FRANCE
Ministère de l'Education Nationale (MEN)
Ministère de l'enseignement supérieur et de la Recherche
(MESR)
GERMANY
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)
GREECE
Hellenic Public Foundation for Grants (IKY)
HUNGARY
The Hungarian Scientific Research Fund Office (OTKA)
IRELAND
Enterprise Ireland
Teagasc
IRCSET
IRCHSS
HEA
HRB
IDA Ireland
```

ITALY
Estonian Science Fund MIUR
Universities

LATVIA
Latvian Council of Science

LITHUANIA
Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Lithuania

Lithuanian State Science and Studies Foundation

LUXEMBOURG
Fonds National de la Recherche

NETHERLANDS
Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences council (KNAW)

The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research Council (NOW)

POLAND
Government

PORTUGAL
PRAXIS XXI
POCTI
POSI

## SLOVAKIA

Ministry of Education
Research and Development Agency

## SLOVENIA

Ministry of Science and Technology
Slovenian Research Agency

## SPAIN

Ministry of Science and Innovation

## SWEDEN

Swedish Council for Forestry and Agricultural Research
Swedish Council for Planning and Coordination of Research Swedish Council for Research in the Humanities and Social Sciences
Swedish Medical Research Council
Swedish Natural Science Research Council

UNITED KINGDOM
Since 2005
Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC)
Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC)
Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC)

Medical Research Council (MRC)
Natural Environment Research Council (NERC)
Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC)
Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC)
Before 2004
Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC)
Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC)
Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC)
Medical Research Council (MRC)
Natural Environment Research Council (NERC)
Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council (PPARC)
Royal Academy of Engineering (RAE)
Royal Society (RS)
CROATIA
Ministry of Science, Education and Sport (MSES)
The National Foundation for Science, Higher Education and
Technological Development (NZZ)
Unity through Knowledge Fund (UKF)
Hrvatske vode
Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Rural Development
The National Institutes of Health (NIH), (the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services)
FP6

| ICELAND | ISRAEL |
| :--- | :--- |
| Graduate Research Fund | Bilateral (US-Israel) Science foundation (BSF) <br> Programme for Information technology and Environmental <br> Sciences |
| Israel Science Foundation (ISF) |  |
| The Science Fund | NORWAY |
| The Technology fund | The Research Council of Norway (RCN) |
| The Research Fund |  |
| The Research Development Fund | SWITZERLAND |
| The Fund for Research Equipment |  |
| The Research Fund of the University of Iceland |  |
| The Christianity Millennium Fund |  |
| AVS R\&D Fund of Ministry of Fisheries in Iceland |  |
| The Research Fund of the University of Akureyri |  |

## Boards

The following lists the boards to which reference is made in chapter 4.

## FRENCH-SPEAKING COMMUNITY IN BELGIUM

Commission scientifique (FNRS)
Commission scientifique (FRIA)

## BULGARIA

Scientific and Expert Commission at the Ministry of Education and Science

## CYPRUS

Agricultural Research and Development Board
Research Promotion Foundation Board of Directors
University of Cyprus Council
University of Cyprus Research Committee
University of Cyprus Senate
CZECH REPUBLIC
Academy Assembly (ASCR)
Academy Council (ASCR)
R\&D Council
Czech Rectors Conference

## DENMARK

The Danish Council for Research Policy
The Danish Councils for Independent Research

The Danish Social Science Research Council
The Danish Research Council for Technology and Production Sciences
The Danish Research Council for the Humanities
The Danish Natural Science Research Council
The Danish Medical Research Council
The Danish Council for Strategic Research
The Danish Council for Strategic Research, subcommittees
The Danish National Research Foundation

## ESTONIA

General Assembly of the Estonian Academy of Sciences
Estonian Science Foundation Council and its 11 Expert commissions
Research Council of 23 universities or scientific institutes
Council of 21 universities or academy
Senate of the Estonian Business School
Senate of the Tallinn University
Council of the Research Competency of the Ministry of
Education and Research

FINLAND
Academy Board
Academy of Finland Research councils
Council of Finland Science and Technology Policy
National Technology Agency of Finland Board

## FRANCE

Board of Trustees
Scientific strategic council
Scientific Committees

## GERMANY

Higher Education Institutions
Public Research Institutions
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, German Research Foundation (DFG)
German Science Council (Wissenschaftsrat)

## HUNGARY

The Hungarian Scientific Research Fund Office (OTKA)

## IRELAND

Board lascaigh Mhara
Central and Regional Fisheries Board
Central Bank
National Council for Forest Research and Development (COFORD)
Dublin Institute of Advanced Education (DIAS)
Enterprise Ireland
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
Economic \& Social Research Institute (ESRI)
Training and Employment Authority (FAS)
Policy advisory and co-ordination board for industrial development and science and technology in Ireland (Forfas)

Health Research Board
Higher Education Authority (HEA)
Industrial Development Authority (IDA Ireland)
Irish Research Council for Science Technology and Innovation (ICSTI)
Marine Institute
National Roads Authority
Department of the Taoiseach (NESC)
National Economic and Social Council
Tyndall Institute National Microelectronics Research Centre
Industry Board
Scientific Board
Radiological Protection Institute
Royal Irish Academy
SFI
Agriculture and Food Development Authority (Teagasc)
Agency to encourage the preservation and extinction of the Irish language (Udaras)

## ITALY

Consortium for Scientific and Technological Research Area of Trieste (AREA)
Italian Space Agency (ASI)
Italian Aerospace Research Centre (CIRA)
Italian Centre on Early Middle Ages Studies (CISAM)
National Research Council (CNR)
Tropical Herbarium of Florence
National Institute for the S\&T of the Mountains (IMONT)

National Institute for Meteorological Research (INRIM) Astrophysics National Institute (INAF)
"Francesco Severi" National Institute of High Mathematics (INDAM)
National Institute for the Physics of Matter (INFM)
National Institute of Nuclear Physics (INFN)
National Institute of Geophysics and Vulcanology (INGV)
National Institute of Applied Optics (INOA)
Papyrologic Institute "G. Viteli"
Museum of the Physics and Centre of Studies and Researches
National Institute of Oceanography and Experimental Geophysics (OGS)
"A. Dohrn" Zoological Station (SZN)
Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC)
National Council of Economy and Labour (CNEL)
Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Environment (ENEA)
Italian National Institute of Health (ISS)
Muscular dystrophy research (TELETHON ITALY)
Italian National Statistical Institute (ISTAT)
University boards
Research Programmes of National Interest
Excellence centres for university research

## LATVIA

LITHUANIA
Lithuanian Science Council

## LUXEMBOURG

Centre de Recherche Public Gabriel Lippmann Centre de Recherche Public Henri Tudor
Centre de Recherche Public Santé
Centre d'Études de Populations, de Pauvreté et de Politiques
Socio-économiques
Centre Universitaire de Luxembourg
Fonds National de Recherche

## NETHERLANDS

Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences council (KNAW)
The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research Council (NWO)
TNO
University/ university board

POLAND
Governmental bodies

## PORTUGAL

R\&D Units

SLOVAKIA
The Council of Government of the Slovak Republic for Science and Technology

The Council of the national R\&D program Slovak Research and Development Agency
Council of Universities of the Slovak Republic
Slovak Rector's Conference
Slovak Academy of Sciences
Board of the national R\&D programmes

## SLOVENIA

Council for Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia
National Scientific Research Council; since 2005 Scientific Council of the Slovenian Research Agency
Scientific research councils for individual fields; since 2006
inside expert system of the Slovenian Research Agency

## SWEDEN

The Swedish Research Council
Scientific councils
Swedish council for working life and social research
Swedish Agency for Innovation Systems

## UNITED KINGDOM

Agriculture and Fishery Research Council (AFRC) Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC)
Council for the Central Laboratory of the Research Councils (CCLRC )
Council for Sciences and Technologies (CST)

Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC)
Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC)
Medical Research Council (MRC)
Natural Environment Research Council (NERC)
Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council (PPARC)
Department of Culture, Media and Sport (DCMS)
Department of Trade and Industry (DTI)
Department for the Environment, Transport and the Regions
(DETR)
Department for Education and Employment (DfEE)
Department of Health (DoH)
Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF)
Ministry of Defence (MOD)
Northern Ireland Office (NIO)
Scottish Office (SO)
Welsh Office (WO)
Research Career Awards (RCA)
Training and Career Development Board (T\&CDB)
Other boards

## CROATIA

The National Science Council
The National Council for Higher Education
The Board for Financing Scientific Activity and Higher Education
The Rectors' Conference
The Ethics Committee
The Council of Polytechnics and Schools of Professional Higher Education

Scientific and Artistic Areas Councils and Field Committees
The Scientific Council
The Faculty council
University Senate
University Council
Management Council
The faculty council
The Academy council
University department
Other boards

ICELAND
Board of ICR (replaced in 2003 by Council for Science and Technology Policy)
Advisory Boards of ICR
Grant committee
Board of the Research Fund of the UI
Board of the Graduate Research Refund
University Councils
Boards of the governmental sectoral research institutions
Council for Science and Technology Policy
Science Board
Technology Board
Research Fund Board

Technology Development Fund
Fund for Research Equipment
Programme for IT and Environmental Sci.
The Research Fund of the University of Akureyri
Christianity Millennium Fund
AVS R\&D Fund of Ministry of Fisheries
Programme for Post Genomic Biomedicine and Nanotechnology

ISRAEL
Bilateral (US-Israel) Science Foundation (BSF)
GIF

NORWAY
The Research Council of Norway (RCN)
SWITZERLAND
National Research Council of the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF)
Heads of institutions in the Higher Education Sector Heads of universities or assimilated institutions
An institution is assimilated to a university if it is able to deliver PhD degrees.

Annex 6: List of Statistical Correspondents of the Helsinki Group on Women and Science

| Country | Contact Person | Department | Organisation | Fax | Email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria | Ms Gabriele SPÖRKER | Science, Technology \& Education Statistics | Statistics Austria | +431711287680 | gabriele.spoerker@statistik.gv.at |
| Belgium, French-speaking Community | Ms Elizabeth KOKKELKOREN | Relations extérieures | Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique FNRS | +3225140006 | kokkelkoren@fnrs.be |
|  | Mr Xavier FORET | IT service | Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique FNRS | +3225140006 | foret@cref.be |
| Belgium, Dutch-speaking Community | Mr Koen WAEYAERT | Economy, Science and Innovation | Ministry of Flanders | +3225536007 | koen.waeyaert@ewi.vlaanderen.be |
|  | Mr Kris MAISON | Economy, Science and Innovation | Ministry of Flanders | +3225536007 | kris.maison@ewi.vlaanderen.be |
| Bulgaria | Ms Svetoslava FILIPOVICH | Regional and Multi-domain statistics | National Statistical Institute of Bulgaria | +35929857640 | sfilipovich@nsi.bg |
| Cyprus | Mr Pantelis PROTOPAPAS | STI Statistics Section | Statistical Service of Cyprus | +35722661313 | pprotopapas@cystat.mof.gov.cy |
|  | Mr Georgios DOGORITIS | STI Statistics Section | Statistical Service of Cyprus | +35722661313 | gdogoritis@cystat.mof.gov.cy |
| Czech Republic | Ms Miluse KOPACKOVA | Department of Statistics Development of Society | Czech Statistical Office | +420274054130 | miluse.kopackova@czso.cz |
| Denmark | Mr Ebbe GRAVERSEN | The Danish Centre for Studies in Research and Research Policy, CFA | University of Aarhus | +4589422399 | ebbe.krogh.graversen@cfa.au.dk |
| Estonia | Mr Aavo HEINLO | Enterprise Statistics Department | Statistical Office of Estonia | +3726259370 | aavo.heinlo@stat.ee |
| Finland | Ms Marjut PIETILAINEN | Demographic and Gender Statistics | Statistics Finland | +358917342990 | Marjut.Pietilainen@stat.fi |
| France | Ms Claudette-Vincent NISSLE | Bureau des Etudes Statistiques sur la Recherche et l'Innovation | Ministère de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche | +33155557656 | claudette-vincent.nissle@education.gouv.fr |
| Germany | Ms Andrea LÖTHER | Center of Excellence Women and Science | GESIS | +4902282281550 | andrea.loether@gesis.org |
| Greece | Ms Paraskevi REPA | Programming \& Planning Directorate | General Secretariat of Research and Technology | +3017711427 | ekyb@gst.gr |
|  | Ms Maria KATI | International Science and Technology Directorate | General Secretariat for Research and Technology | +302107714153 | mika@gst.gr |
| Hungary | Ms Katalin JANAK | Social Services Statistics Department | Hungarian Central Statistical Office | +3613456751 | katalin.janak@ksh.hu |
| Ireland | Mr Andrew STOCKMAN | Policy and Planning, Science \& Technology Division | Forfas | +35316073260 | Andrew.stockman@forfas.ie |
| Italy | Ms Simonetta SAGRAMORA | MIUR, Ministero Istruzione Università e Ricerca | Ufficio di Statistica | +390697726178 | simonetta.sagramora@miur.it |
| Latvia | Ms Inga PRIBITOKA | Social Statistical Department | Central Statistical Bureau | +3717830137 | Inga.Pribitoka@csb.gov.lv |


| Country | Contact Person | Department | Organisation | Fax | Email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lithuania | Ms Gaile DAPSIENE | Education and Culture Statistics | Statistics Lithuania | +37052364911 | gaile.dapsiene@stat.gov.lt |
| Luxembourg | Mr Vincent DAUTEL | Département Entreprises | CEPS/INSTEAD | +352585560 | vincent.dautel@ceps.lu |
| Malta | Ms Nicola VELLA HABER | Social Statistics and Information Society | National Statistics Office | +35625997205 | nicola.vella-haber@gov.mt |
| Netherlands | Mr Jan VAN STEEN |  | Ministry of Education, Culture and Science | +31704122080 | j.c.g.vansteen@minocw.nl |
| Poland | Ms Dorota MACIEJKO |  | Information Processing Centre | +48228253319 | dorota.maciejko@opi.org.pl |
| Portugal | Ms Joana DUARTE | R\&D Statistics Department | OCES Observatory for Science and Higher Education | +351213950979 | JDuarte@oces.mctes.pt |
| Romania | Ms Camelia COSCA | Department of short term economic statistics indicators | National Institute of Statistics | +40213181866 | camelia.cosca@insse.ro |
|  | Mr Sergiu PARVAN | Department of short term economic statistics indicators | National Institute of Statistics | +40213112389 | ciu@insse.ro |
| Slovak Republic | Ms Marta MOSNA | Division of Science \& Technology | Ministry of Education | +421269252203 | marta.mosna@minedu.sk |
| Slovenia | Ms Tatjana ŠKRBEC | Social services statistics department | Statistical Office, Republic of Slovenia | +38612415344 | tatjana.skrbec@gov.si |
|  | Ms Darja URBANCIC | Social services statistics department | Statistical Office, Republic of Slovenia | +38612415344 | darja.urbancic@gov.si |
| Spain | Ms Marta Cangas FERNANDEZ | Subdirección General de Estadísticas de los Servicios | Instituto Nacional de Estadística |  | mcangas@ine.es |
|  | Ms Belén GONZALEZ OLMOS | Subdirección General de Estadísticas de las Empresas | Instituto Nacional de Estadística | +915830849 | bgolmos@ine.es |
| Sweden | Ms Anna BENGTSSON NOTSTRAND | Higher Education Statistics | Statistics Sweden | +4619177082 | anna.bengtsson@scb.se |
| United Kingdom | Ms Stephanie ROBSON | Science and Innovation unit | Office of Science \& Technology/DTI |  | stephanie.robson@dti.gsi.gov.uk |
| Croatia | Ms Emira BECIC | Directorate for Science | Ministry of Science, Education and Sports (MSES) | +38514594429 | emira.becic@mzos.hr |
| Turkey | Ms Hediye ILOGLU TEKIN | Industry \& Business Statistics Department, Sectoral Statistics Group, Science and Technology | Turkish Statistical Institute |  | hediye.iloglutekin@tuik.gov.tr |
| Switzerland | Ms Elisabeth PASTOR | Federal Department of Home Affairs | Federal Statistical Office | +41327136857 | elisabeth.pastor@bfs.admin.ch |
| Iceland | Ms Sigridur VILHJALMSDOTTIR | Labour market and social statistics | Statistics Iceland | +3545281199 | sigridur.vilhjalmsdottir@hagstofa.is |
| Norway | Ms Kaja WENDT |  | NIFU STEP Norwegian Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education | +4722595101 | kaja.wendt@nifustep.no |
| Israel | Ms Mina TEICHER |  | Ministry of Science \& Technology | +97236357364 | teicher@macs.biu.ac.il |
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