## COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES



Brussels, 23.02.1999 COM (1999) 83 final

99/0053 (CNS)

# Proposal for a

## **COUNCIL REGULATION (EC)**

amending Regulation (EEC) No 1442/88 on the granting, for the 1988/89 to 1998/99 wine years, of permanent abandonment premiums in respect of wine-growing areas

(presented by the Commission)

### **EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM**

The purpose of this proposal for a Regulation is to facilitate application of the Community scheme for the permanent abandonment of wine-growing land in the specific case of the Charentes region, which is undergoing an over-production crisis. The derogation was also applied throughout the Member States in the 1996/97 and 1997/98 wine years.

#### Proposal for a

#### **COUNCIL REGULATION (EC)**

## amending Regulation (EEC) No 1442/88 on the granting, for the 1988/89 to 1998/99 wine years, of permanent abandonment premiums in respect of wine-growing areas

#### THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 43 thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission<sup>1</sup>,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Parliament<sup>2</sup>,

Having regard to the opinion of the Economic and Social Committee<sup>3</sup>,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1442/88 of 24 May 1988 on the granting, for the 1988/89 to 1998/99 wine years, of permanent abandonment premiums in respect of wine-growing areas<sup>4</sup>, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1630/98<sup>5</sup>,

Whereas, as a result of the over-production crisis throughout the Cognac production area in the French region of Charentes and pending adoption of the reformed common organisation of the market in wine, a new deadline should be set for the submission of applications for the permanent abandonment premium so as to facilitate maximum use of that scheme in the above region,

#### HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article1

In Article 4 of Regulation (EEC) No 1442/88, the following paragraph 7 is added:

"7. For the 1998/99 wine year, the last date referred to in paragraph 1 for the submission of applications for the payment of the premium shall be 31 March 1999 for the Charentes region."

OJ C ..., p. ...

Opinion delivered on .....(not yet published in the Official Journal).

Opinion delivered on .....(not yet published in the Official Journal).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> OJ L 132, 28.5.1988, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> OJ L 210, 28.7.1998, p. 12.

## Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Communities*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

For the Council The President

# FINANCIAL STATEMENT

1.	BUDGET HEADING: 164			APPROPRIATIONS: EUR 20 million				
2.	TITLE:  Proposal for a Council Regulation amending Regulation (EEC) No 1442/88 on the granting, for the 1988/89 to 1998/99 wine years, of permanent abandonment premiums in respect of wine-growing areas.							
3.	LEGAL BASIS: Article 43 of the Treaty							
4.	AIMS OF PROJECT:							
	To extend by three months the last date of 31.12.1998 set for the submission of applications for payment of the premium for the Charentes region.							
5.	FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:	PERIOD O 12 MONTH	IS	CURRENT FINANCIAL YEAR (1999)		FOLLOWING FINANCIAL YEAR (2000)		
		(EUR million)		(EUR million)		(EUR million)		
5.0	EXPENDITURE  - CHARGED TO THE EC BUDGET (REFUNDS/INTERVENTION)  - NATIONAL ADMINISTRATION  - OTHER	p.m.		p.m.		p.m.		
5.1	REVENUE - OWN RESOURCES OF THE EC (LEVIES/CUSTOMS DUTIES) - NATIONAL							
		2001	200	2	2003		2004	
5.0.1 5.1.1	ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ESTIMATED REVENUE	p.m.						
5.2	METHOD OF CALCULATION:							
6.0	CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE CURRENT BUDGET?						<del>YES/NO</del>	
6.1	CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET?						YES/NO	
6.2	IS A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET NECESSARY?						YES/NO	
6.3	WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY?						YES/NO	
OBSE	ERVATIONS:							
The n	neasure could result in an increase in the land involved and the in	mplications of th	nis cannot	at pre	sent be calc	culate	ed.	