

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR AGRICULTURE

EUROPEAN COMMUNITY

FOREST HEALTH REPORT 1987-1988



COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR AGRICULTURE

EUROPEAN COMMUNITY

FOREST HEALTH REPORT 1987-1988

This publication is also available in:

ES ISBN 92-826-0945-6 DA ISBN 92-826-0946-4 DE ISBN 92-826-0947-2 GR ISBN 92-826-0948-0 FR ISBN 92-826-0950-2 IT ISBN 92-826-0950-2 IT ISBN 92-826-0952-9 PT ISBN 92-826-0953-7

Cataloguing data can be found at the end of this publication

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 1989 ISBN 92-826-0949-9

Catalogue number: CH-56-89-877-EN-C

© ECSC-EEC-EAEC, Brussels · Luxembourg, 1989

Reproduction is authorized, except for commercial purposes, provided the source is acknowledged.

Printed in Belgium

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Background	1
Summary findings	2
Conclusions	3

PART 1 Community forest damage survey 1987 and 1988

Annexes:

1. The research into effects of air pollution on forests in the framework of the Community's Research and Development Programmes

2. Initiatives at Community level in relation to reduction of atmospheric pollution

3. Common survey census form

Background

This report gives the results of national forest health reports and the Community forest damage survey in 1987 and 1988. The aim of the report is to give an overview of the state of forest health in the European Community.

The report is a result of the application for two years of Council Regulation (EEC) n° 3528/86 of 17 November 1986 on protection of the Community's forests against atmospheric pollution. Member States have set up a Community wide forest damage inventory and forwarded annual forest health reports to the Commission since 1987.

Under the same Regulation the Commission has granted Community financial aid for the completion of pilot projects and experiments to improve knowledge of air pollution in forests and its effects, to improve methods of observing and measuring damage to forests and to devise methods of maintaining and restoring damaged forests.

For the purpose of making the forest damage survey and national reports, a common methodology was used as laid dawn by Commission Regulation (EEC) n° 1696/87 of 10 June 1987. This methodology is based on guidelines for harmonized sampling, assessment, monitoring and analysis of the effects of air pollution on forests, as adopted by the parties to the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution participating in the International Cooperative Programme for Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests.

The Community's forest damage inventory is the first large scale transboundary inventory of its kind to be carried out in accordance with a common method, involving a unified sampling system and centralized data treatment. It enabled comparable data to be collected in respect of more than 37,000 sample trees throughout the Community.

The appearance of widespread forest decline, generally attributed to atmospheric pollution in many regions of the Community since the beginning of the 1980's, as well as the rapid spread of forest damage, were at the origin of the Community's action for the protection of forests against atmospheric pollution.

Summary findings

The results of the two years survey provide data on the extent and regional distribution of forest damage. Damage was observed both in the northern part of the Community and in the Mediterranean regions. Cartographic representations of the observed defoliation, plot by plot, provided an overview of regional distribution and evolution of damage. It appears that plots with high average defoliation were particularily evident in the eastern and south-western parts of the Federal Republic of Germany, Scotland, the Netherlands, north-east and south-east of France, northern and central regions of Greece, the south of Spain and in north-west Italy.

Observation of 19,651 trees in 1987 and in 1988 showed that 14.75 % and 12.86 % respectively had more than 25% defoliation in these two years. The results in 1988 from observation of a much larger sample, including notably many additional Mediterranean sample trees (total sample: 37,600 trees), showed that 10.15 % of trees were clearly defoliated that year. Discolouration was less pronounced with 3.75 % and 2.56 % of trees with moderate or severe discolouration in 1987 and 1988 respectively.

Both conifers and broadleaves showed reduced vitality but conifers were more damaged than broadleaves. The tendency as regards the vitality of trees between 1987 and 1988 was assessed on the results of observations made of 19,651 sample trees in both years. Globally there was a slight decrease of the percentage of trees with moderate or severe defoliation (-1.9%). However, whereas the improvement was significant for broadleaves (-3% of trees with moderate or severe defoliation, +2% of trees without anydefoliation), it was limited for conifers to a slight transfer of sample trees from defoliation class "moderate" to defoliation class "slight". At the same time the percentage of conifers without any defoliation decreased by 3%.

For all species together, the percentage of trees showing some discolouration decreased by nearly 2% between 1987 and 1988. However, whereas conifers showed no significant variation, the percentage of broadleaves without any discolouration increased by nearly 4%.

Silver fir, Norway spruce, Beech and deciduous Oaks belong to the most damaged tree species. Whereas the vitality of the three first named species improved between 1987 and 1988, the condition of deciduous oaks deteriorated.

Easily identifiable damage due to known causes have been observed in 1988 on one third of all sample trees and on 62% of all sample plots. Insect attacks were particularily widespread. Trees without presence of identifiable damage were generally significantly less defoliated than trees with presence of such damage.

Damage showed a general tendency to increase with the age of sample trees. The survey results further confirmed the existence of correlations between site factors such as altitude and water availability on the one hand and observed defoliation or discolouration on the other.

Conclusions

Because of the non-specific character of the observed damage symptoms the inventory results are not sufficient in themselves to draw conclusions on the causes of the observed damage. They however confirm that the vitality of the forests is clearly reduced in many regions of the Community.

It has been generally admitted in scientific circles that this reduced vitally of forests, which has been observed in many parts of Europe since the beginning of the 1980's, is caused by a complex of blotic, abiotic and anthropogenic factors among which atmospheric pollution plays a significant role as a destabilizing factor of forest ecosystems. The influence of atmospheric pollution is regionnally variable. It may have direct and indirect effects on forest trees as well as on other parts of the forest ecosystem.

In order to improve the vitality of forests and in the same time their resistance to wide spread biotic damage factors and extreme climatic events, the present levels of atmospheric pollution should be reduced. Their continuation or increase may threaten the survival of forests in many regions of Europe.

Where necessary, forest management should be adapted and appropriate sylvicultural techniques applied in order to maintain or restore damaged forests.

The observed damage situation indicates a need for continued monitoring. The observation of the vitality of forest trees should be continued following the common methodology which has already proved its effectiveness. This will enable the evolution of forest damage to be followed over time. Furthermore, the visual observations of sample trees should be completed by a large scale coordinated forest ecosystem monitoring, including systematic identification of atmospheric deposits, soil conditions, chemical composition of leaves and needles and tree growth. PART 1 THE COMMUNITY FOREST DAMAGE SURVEY 1987 & 1988

LEGISLATIVE BASIS

On 17 November 1986 the Council of Ministers of the E.C. adopted Regulation (EEC) No 3528/86 on the protection of the Community's forests against atmospheric pollution, which took effect from 1 January 1987 (1). Within the Regulation, a Community scheme is provided for establishing a periodic Community Inventory of damage caused to forests and the drawing up by the Member States of a periodic forest health report. It also provides for the development of pilot projects and field experiments in order to improve the understanding of atmospheric pollution in forests and its effects, to improve methods of observing and measuring damage and to establish methods for the restoration of damaged forests.

Under Article 2, with respect to the Community inventory, the scheme in the above Regulation provides for :

- establishing on the basis of a common method a periodic inventory of damage caused to forests in particular by atmospheric pollution;
- establishing or extending, in a coordinated and harmonious way, the network of observation points required to draw up this inventory.

Following the inventory each Member State forwards to the Commission the data gathered at the observation points of the network.

In addition, in accordance with Article 3 of the above Regulation, each Member State draws up and forwards to the Commission a periodic forest health report based in particular on the inventory data referred to in Article 2.

In accordance with the opinion of the Committee on Forest Protection, established by the same Regulation (No 3528/86), the detailed rules of implementation of the inventory, and in particular the common methodology and the format of presentation of the national forest health reports have been adopted and are laid out in Commission Regulation (EEC) No 1696/87 of 10 June 1987 (2). This common method takes account of the recommendations of the ECE manual (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution - International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests).

(1) OJ no. L 326, 21.11.1986, p. 2
(2) OJ no. L 161, 22.06.1986, p. 1

INVENTORY METHOD

The common method for establishing a periodic inventory of damage caused to forests, as described in Annex I of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 1696/87 of 10 June 1987 laying down certain detailed rules for the implementation of Council Regulation (EEC) No 3528/86, applies to both the Community inventory of forest damage and to the denser grid networks that might be used by the Member States to draw up their forest health reports to be forwarded to the Commission.

The common inventory methodology requires that a network of observation points should be established following a systematic grid covering the entire forest area of the Community. As regards the Community inventory the grid has a density of 16 km x 16 km for which the latitude and longitude coordinates of each point have been provided by the Commission to each Member State. Member States are however encouraged to collect additional information from denser networks using the common methodology, in order to obtain representative data at national or regional level to be included in their annual reports as foreseen by Council regulation (EEC) No 3528/86.

At each grid intersection point falling in a forest, a sample of 20-30 trees is selected for assessment according to a stringently defined, objective and unbiased statistical procedure. The sample includes all tree species provided the sample trees have a minimum height of 60 cm. Only predominant, dominant and co-dominant trees, according to the system of Kraft, qualify as sample trees.

At each observation plot the tree sample is assessed for defoliation and discolouration following the European classification (i.e. **Defoliation** : class 0-not defoliated (0-10%), class 1-slightly defoliated (11-25%), class 2-moderately defoliated (26-60%), class 3-severely defoliated (more than 60%), class 4-dead. Defoliation is estimated relatively to a tree with full foliage, the reference being a healthy tree in the vicinity or a photograph of a tree with full foliage, suitable for the region of investigation. **Discolouration** : class 0-no or negligible discolouration (0-10%), class 1-slightly discoloured (11-25%), class 2-moderately discoloured (26-60%), class 3-severely discoloured (more than 60%)).

Defoliation of trees or crown density is the basic index used in all surveys of forest health carried out throughout Europe in the framework of the Convention on long-range transboundary air pollution. It is influenced by a number of factors, of which pollution is one. The same is true for discoloration of foliage, another index used for evaluating the vitality of trees. Consequently, there is a major problem in separating any changes in crown density or coloration attributable to pollution from those attributable to other factors. However, research in some countries indicates that air pollution plays a significant role in forest decline. In many cases the existence and extend of forest damage cannot be exlained without considering the influence of air pollution.

In addition, for each sample plot, data are collected for the following parameters and classified into common categories laid down in Regulation 1696/87. These parameters include : country, actual latitude and longitude coordinates, observation point number, altitude, aspect, availability of water to principal species, humus type, mean age of dominant storey, date of observation, tree number, tree species and observations of easily identifiable damages.

For the Community network these data are collected on common census forms (see Annex 1) which are forwarded to the Commission.

1987 AND 1988 COMMUNITY INVENTORY OF DAMAGE CAUSED TO FORESTS

Completition

The aim of the Community scheme, provided for under Article 2 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 3528/86, is to establish a periodic inventory of the health status of forests in the Member States of the EEC by collecting representative and comparable data on the extent and intensity of forest damage and to monitor its development.

The installation of the Community network of observation plots began in 1987 and the first observations of forest damage were carried out during the summer. The Commission has received information from 1 009 Community observation plots and for 26 390 sample trees in 1987 and from 1526 plots and 37607 trees in 1988. In this two initial years total coverage of the Community's forests has not yet been accomplished; approximately 300 forest observation plots are still to be established. In 1988, about 85 % of the Community's forests were covered by the inventory.

The table hereafter gives the numbers of sample trees and plots by Member States for 1988.

In 1988 the grid network has been entirely established over the total forest territories of all member states except France and Italy. As regards Italy, most of the territory has been covered and damage data have been received for all regions except for Sardegna and Sicilia. Only about half of the french forests have been covered by the network. In 1987 the Community inventory did not cover a large part of the forested area of the Community and the 1987 results may therefor not be considered entirely representative of the Community's forests as a whole.

The representativity of the survey has been largely extended in 1988, most of the Member States having by now completed their network.

Main characteristics of sample trees

Within the sample of trees assessed in 1988, the following species, mentioned in declining order, are the most represented : <u>Picea abies</u> (12,4%), <u>Pinus</u> <u>sylvestris</u> (10%), <u>Fagus sylvatica</u> (9,1%) <u>Pinus pinaster</u> (7,6%), <u>Quercus ilex</u> 5,8%), <u>Pinus halepensis</u> (4,2%), <u>Quercus suber</u> (3,9%), <u>Quercus robur</u> (3,8%), <u>Pinus nigra</u> (3,6%), <u>Abies alba</u>, <u>Quercus petraea</u>, <u>Quercus cerris</u> ... The total proportion of broadleaves and conifers is 44.92% and 55.08% respectively for the 1987 survey and 49,7% and 50,3% respectively for the larger and more representative sample of 1988.

In 1988 the great majority of sample trees was situated on plots which have been classified in the <u>water availability</u> class "sufficient" (86%), 12% in "insufficient" and 2% in "excessive".

As far as <u>humus type</u> is concerned, 37.5% of the trees were on mull type humus, 39% on moder, 13% on mor and only 0,5% and 1.5% respectively on anmor and peat. For 8% of all sample trees the humus type was not defined.

As to <u>altitude</u>, 52,9% of the total tree sample was situated at less than 500m, 30,3% between 500 and 1000m and 16,8% above 1000m. As to <u>aspect</u> the plots were generally fairly equally distributed among the classes except for class 9 (flat) which incudes the majority of sample-trees. There was a very slightly higher proportion of north-facing plots. As regards <u>age classes</u>, 59% of sample trees were located in less than 60 years old stands and 29,5% in standsof 60 years of age or more. 11,5% of trees were observed in stands with irregular age distribution.

Presentation and definitions

The damage results are presented in terms of the percentage of the tree sample assessed falling into each defoliation class. In addition certain tables also indicate the percentage of observed plots within each category; these figures indicate the percentage of all the plots for which <u>at least one sample tree</u> has been classified into the category in question. It is however unlikely that all sample trees within a plot fall into the same class and therefore the plot will be represented in more than one class and the total % of plots for all the classes will add to more than 100%. For this form of presentation the percentage of plots in the sence above is useful for obtaining an indication of whether the trees of a certain defoliation class are widely distributed or concentrated within a limited number of plots.

A distinction between the first two defoliation classes is often considered subjective and it is debatable whether a tree in the defoliation class 1 can really be described as "damaged" as this may be a natural state for many trees under certain conditions.

However, before trees reach higher defoliation classes, they pass at a certain phase of development the stage of defoliation class 1. This class may therefore be interpreted as a "warning class". Time trends of defoliation class 1 established on the base of recurring inventories can be highly indicative in this respect.

To facilitate interpretation of the results, defoliation class 0 "not defoliated " (10% or less)" and class 1 "slightly defoliated"(10-25%) have been combined for some tables and interpretations.

Defoliation classes 2,3 and 4 represent <u>considerable</u> <u>defoliation</u>. (Crown density less than 75% of what would be considered as normal) The total percentage of sample trees classified in those three defoliation classes gives a reliable measure for the presence of significant damage. Per definition trees classified in defoliation classes 2, 3 or 4 will be considered hereafter as "<u>clearly damaged trees</u>". A sample plot will in this report be considered as "damaged" if the weighted average defoliation class of the sample trees of this plot is 2, 3 or 4.

Comparability of 1987 and 1988 results - warning:

As the number of sample trees was largely increased in 1988 compared to 1987 (26390 sample trees in 1987, 37607 sample trees in 1988) the global results of these two first years are not fully comparable.

The increase of the number of sample trees is mainly due to the extension of the inventory grid in Spain, Portugal and Greece.

However in order to allow certain <u>comparisons to be made between 1987</u> and 1988 results, a sub-sample has been defined which consists of those sample trees which have been observed in 1987 and in 1988. This sub-sample contains 19.651 trees referred to hereafter as <u>common sample trees 1987/1988</u> (CST's). <u>All</u> the comparisons between 1987 and 1988 observations given hereafter are based on this sub-sample.

The global results (total sample) of 1988 are largely representative for the Community's forests of which they give a highly interesting picture of their composition and health condition .

1987 and 1988 RESULTS

The following table gives the main results concerning defoliation for all species jointly, conifers and broadleaves. These results are based on common sample trees (CST's) for 1987 and 1988.

DEFOLIATION OF ALL TREE SPECIES BASED ON COMMON 1987/1988 TREE SAMPLE

Number of sample trees = 19.651 percentage of trees: Defoliation classes: 1987 1988 57,72 not 58,67 26,57 29,42 slightly 13,56 11,39 moderately 1,07 1,12 severely dead 0,12 0,35

(see also FIG. 06)

DEFOLIATION OF BROADLEAVES BASED ON COMMON 1987/1988 TREE SAMPLE

Number of sample trees = 8.809	percentage	of trees:
Defoliation classes:	1987	1988
not	64,71	66,09
slightly	22,72	24,26
moderately	11,76	8,56
severely	0,60	0,74
dead	0,22	0,35

(see also FIG. 07)

DEFOLIATION OF CONIFERS BASED ON COMMON 1987/1988 TREE SAMPLE

Number of sample trees = 10.842	percentage	of trees:
Defoliation classes:	1987	1988
not	53,76	50,92
slightly	29,71	33,61
moderately	15,02	13,69
severely	1,46	1,44
dead	0,05	0,34

(see also FIG. 08)

Defoliation of sample trees

(See Tables TAB. 01, 03 and figures FIG 06, 07 and 08)

Within the Community inventory of damage caused to forests, the percentage of observed all trees classified into defoliation classes 2, 3 or 4 (moderately or severely defoliated or dead) was 14,61% in 1987 and 10.15% in 1988. If only the common sample trees are compared, those two values are respectively 14.75% and 12.86%.

The difference between the two first values in the paragraphe above (total samples) is strongly influenced by the increase of the sample size between 1987 and 1988, whereas the difference between the two last values (CST's) gives an indication on the evolution of damage between 1987 and 1988 based on 19.651 common sample trees.

Comparison of the observed defoliation of all tree species jointly between 1987 and 1988 reflects a slight decrease of the percentage of considerably defoliated trees: - 1.91%. This difference is statistically significant. In 1988 there were however 2.85 % more trees in the "warning" class (class 1) than the year before. Most of the defoliation in the joined classes 2, 3 and 4 refered to here above was "moderate" (13.56% in 1987 and 11.39% in 1988, percentages of CST's).

Between 1987 and 1988 the percentage of sample trees, <u>all species</u> taken together, showing less than 10 % or no defoliation (class 0) decreased by 0.95 % (CST's). For <u>conifers</u> this percentage decreased by 2.85%. The percentage of <u>broadleaves</u> showing less than 10 % or no defoliation however increased by 1.38 %. This last interpretation thus shows a slight **deterioration** of the vitality off trees (all species together), a slight **deterioration** of the vitality of conifers and a slight **improvement** of the vitality of broadleaves.

For both, broadleaves and conifers, the percentage of trees classified in damage classes 2, 3 and 4 decreased during this periode, but whereas the percentage of trees in defoliation class 0 increased for broadleaves, it decreased for conifers. This means that the improvement in the situation for conifers exclusively consisted of a passage of trees from defoliation class 2 to defoliation class 1, and that the situation for conifers slightly worsened between 1987 and 1988 if the warning class is taken into consideration. (see figures FIG 06, 07 and 08). The <u>distribution</u> of the sample trees of different defoliation classes in <u>all</u> surveyed <u>plots</u> in 1987 and 1988 was as follows (total sample):

percentage of <u>observed plots</u> with <u>presence of at least one tree</u> in the corresponding defoliation class:

	1987	1988
defoliation classes:		
none	87.22	93.51
slightly	66.70	65.92
moderately	47.67	41.28
severely	11.30	10.48
dead	2.87	3.41
Total Number of plots:	1,009	1,526

As this table shows, moderate and severe defoliation was observed on fewer plots in 1988 than in 1987. While interpreting these results the increase in the number of sample plots between 1987 and 1988, notably in mediterranean regions, must be taken into consideration.

In 1987 there were 129 plots without any tree classified in defoliation class 0 (12.78% of observed plots), whereas in 1988 only 99 plots had no single tree showing less than 10% defoliation (6.49% of observed plots).

Discoloration of sample trees

(see tables TAB. 02, 04 and figures 06, 07 and 08)

With regard to discolouration within the <u>total tree sample</u>, 86.03% showed no or negligible discolouration in 1987. This was the case for 86.81% in 1988. Comparing discoloration observed on <u>common sample trees</u>, these percentages are respectively 85.6 and 87.4%.

10% of <u>CST's</u> showed slight discoloration in both years whereas the percentage of CST's showing moderate or severe discoloration was respectively 3.7% and 2.5% in 1987 and 1988.

In 17.64% of the <u>plots</u> observed in 1987 and in 16.12% of all plots observed in 1988, at least one tree was moderately or severely discoloured.

The percentage of trees showing discoloration decreased for broadleaves but not for conifers (see TAB 20 and 21).

The global results indicate a slight but significant improvement of the situation between 1987 and 1988.

- 10 -

<u>Table TAB 28</u> indicates the presence of easily identifiable damages for the total 1988 sample.

These have been divided into eight categories : game and grazing (damage to trunk, bark ...), insects, fungi, abiotic agents (wind, drought, snow etc.), direct action of man (poor silvicultural practices, logging, ...), fire, known local/regional pollution (this does not include long-range air pollution), other.

For these categories, only the <u>presence</u> of such damage is indicated and is presented in terms of the percentage of the total tree/plot sample affected; there is no indication of the intensity of the damage. It is quite possible for a tree to show signs of more than one type of damage identifiable to a known cause and therefore to be represented more than once in the damage table.

25.97% of the <u>total</u> tree sample had one or more identifiable causes of damage attributed to it in <u>1987</u> which corresponds to **52.76%** of the plots having at least one tree affected. These two percentages were respectively **33.39%** and **62.19%** in <u>1988</u>.

As these results show, easily identifiable damage factors were frequently observed on sample trees. Among them, the proportion of <u>insect attack</u> was particulary high with respectively **16.80%** and **19.42%** of the sample trees (36.13% resp. 40.10% of plots) affected in 1987 and in 1988..

For 6.49% resp. 4.64% of the sample <u>fungi</u> was present (17.71% resp. 15.6% of plots). For the remaining parameters the frequency percentages are all under 5%. For 0.48% of all sample trees observed in 1987 and 0.32% of those observed in 1988, damage could be attributed to a <u>local</u> <u>pollution source</u>; the percentage of plots affected was 0.72% resp. 0.66% which suggests that the damage was relatively concentrated.

In both 1987 and 1988 the defoliation pattern of all trees not showing any identifiable damage is quite different of the defoliation pattern of all trees showing some kind of identifiable damage:

> percentage of damaged sample trees: (defoliation classes 2, 3 and 4)

	1987	1988
trees with presence of some identifiable damage	21.46 X	15.15 %
trees without any identifiable damage	11.84 %	7.65%
abiotic agents	27.32%	26.33%
insects	23.87%	16.77%
fungi	24.02%	15.18%
game and grazing	41.24%	13.18%
action of man	20.52%	9.18%
fire	26.05%	13.55%
known pollution	26.99%	13.12%
other damage	27.44%	13.85%
multiple ident. damage	30.95%	17.98%
total number of sample trees:	26.390	37.607

(see also tables TAB 29 and TAB 30)

Differences between 1987 and 1988 may be due to a large extend to the extension of the survey notably in mediterranean regions between these two years. Nevertheless there appears in both years a significant difference in the percentages of damaged trees if one considers only sample trees with presence of some identifiable damage cause or if only sample trees without any such damage cause are considered.

The percentage of trees showing damage due to known air pollution and classfied in defoliation class 1 (sligthly defoliated) is particulary high compared to sample trees showing no such damage, wheras the percentage of considerably defoliated trees (defoliation classes 2,3 and 4) is not significantly higher among trees showing damage due to known pollution than among sample trees showing damage due to other identifiable causes :

> percentage of sample trees in defoliation class 1

> > 1988:

	<u>1987</u>	<u>1988</u>
no ident. damage	23.47%	19.93%
any ident. damage	33.78%	32.23%
known pollution damage	43.65%	43.44%

Comparison of broadleaves and conifers regarding defoliation

percentages of **common** sample trees in each defoliation class:

	broadieaves	<u>conifers</u>
	1987 / 1988	1987 / 1988
defoliation classes:		
none	64.7 / 66.1	53.7 / 50.9
slight	22.7 / 24.3	29.7 / 33.6
moderate	11.8 / 8.6	15.0 / 13.7
severe	0.6 / 0.7	1.5 / 1.5
dead	0.2 / 0.3	0.1 / 0.3
classes 2,3 and 4:	12.6 / 9.6	16.6 / 15.5
total number of common sample trees:	8.809	10.842
Evolution of the percent broadleaves:	age of damaged trees - 3.0 % conifers:	between 1987 and - 1.1%

Evolution of the percentage of trees showing defoliation : broadleaves: - 1.4 % conifers: + 2.8%

As the table hereabove shows, conifers were globally more defoliated than broadleaves in 1987 and in 1988.

Vitality of both conifers and broadleaves improved between these two years but only the condition of the broadleaves improved significantly. The improvement of the vitality of conifers was however limited to a move of trees from defoliation class 2 to defoliation class 1.

The percentage of coniferous sample trees in defoliation class 0 "no defoliation" decreased by 2.8%, which means that the vitality of conifers between the two years could as well be interpreted as deteriorating.

For both years the percentage of trees with more than 10 % defoliation was high, as well for broadleaves (more than one third affected), as for conifers (nearly half of the sample trees affected):

conifers: 46.3 % in 1987 and 49.1 % in 1988

broadleaves: 35.3 % in 1987 and 33.9 % in 1988

It should however be noted that about two thirds of all these trees showing some defoliation, as well conifers as broadleaves, have been classified in defoliation class 1, "slightly defoliated". Most of the trees considerted as "damaged" were classified in defoliation class 2, "moderately defoliated".

(Figures FIG. 06, 07, 08 and tables TAB 05, 12, 17, 18 give detailed results)

Comparison of broadleaves and conifers regarding discolouration

						1987		1988	
Percentage (CST's)	of	broadleaves	showing	discoloration	:	15.57	%	11.56	%

Percentage of <u>conifers</u> showing discoloration : 13.46 % 13.53 % (CST's)

Wheras in 1987 discolouration was more frequently observed on broadleaves than on conifers, the opposite was true in 1988. Wheras discolouration remained unchanged on conifers, it significantly regressed on broadleaves.

Figures FIG 07, 08 and tables TAB 06, 19, 20, 21, 27 contain more details.

Defoliation and discolouration by species

In the field the species of each sample tree was identified and coded following a list of more than 100 species provided by the Commission. For this report, the species have been grouped and those most frequent within the sample are presented hereafter.

Three categories of oak are included in the following table : deciduous oak, <u>Quercus ilex</u> and <u>Quercus suber</u>. The latter two species are mentioned separately due to their importance in the Mediterranean region and the relative lack of information on the health status of these species. Although <u>Quercus suber</u> is relatively well represented in the total tree sample established for the inventory, only 261 trees had been assessed in 1987 because Portugal was unable to carry out the observations that year. In 1988 a total of 1.478 sample trees of this species were assessed, from which 1.160 were situated in Portugal and 317 in Spain. A fourth category of "other evergreen oak" was abandoned due to poor representation within the sample.

Detailed results are given by following tables:

TAB 12: Defoliation by species group, total sample 1988
TAB 13, 14, 15 and 16: Defoliation by species group and climatic regions for 1988
TAB 17 and 18: Defoliation by species group CST's for 1987 and 1988
TAB 19: Discolouration by species group, total sample 1988
TAB 20 and 21: Discolouration by species group CST's 1987 and 1988
TAB 26: Defoliation by species, total sample 1988
TAB 27: Discolouration by species, total sample 1988

Defoliation by species groups for 1987 and 1988 Survey results for common sample trees

Defoliation

	none	<u>slight</u>	moderate	severe	dead
	%	%	%	%	%
	1987/1988	1987/1988	1987/1988	1987/1988	1987/1988
Castanea sativa Nb.= 602	72.6/77.7 -	20.9/14.95	- 5.98/ 4.98 -	0.33/1.50 -	0.17/0.83
Eucalyptus sp. Nb.= 274	58.8/94.2 -	25.2/ 4.74	- 16.06/ 0.73 -	0.00/0.00 -	0.00/0.36
Fagus sp. Nb.= 2.226	54.9/58.5 -	30.0/29.25	- 14.29/11.50 -	0.76/0.63 -	0.00/0.09
Quercus sp.(dec.) Nb.= 2.129	71.9/66.6 -	16.1/20.10	- 11.27/11.98 -	0.52/0.89 -	0.23/0.42
Quercus ilex Nb. = 1.248	53.8/59.1 -	28.7/33.49	- 16.67/ 6.89 -	0.80/0.40 -	0.00/0.08
Quercus suber Nb. = 207	33.8/43.5 -	42.5/45.41	- 23.19/ 9.66 -	0.48/1.45 -	0.00/0.00
Other broadl. Nb. = 2.123	75.7/72.9 -	16.4/20.87	- 6.69/ 4.95 -	0.57/0.71 -	0.61/0.61
Abies sp. Nb.= 476	45.4/47.7 -	20.8/21.85	- 27.31/27.10 -	5.88/2.10 -	0.63/1.26
Larix sp. Nb. = 501	66.5/61.5 -	28.1/28.54	- 5.19/ 9.58 -	0.00/0.00 -	0.20/0.40
Picea sp. Nb.= 4.180	45.7/43.8 -	33.6/36.99	- 19.50/17.87 -	1.17/1.24 -	0.00/0.05
Pinus sp. Nb. = 5.311	59.5/55.8 -	27.9/32.59	- 11.22/ 9.40 -	1.37/1.68 -	0.02/0.49
Other conifers Nb.= 374	55.9/50.0 -	25.4/32.09	- 16.58/16.31 -	2.14/1.34 -	0.00/0.27
All broadl. Nb.= 8.809	64.7/66.1 -	22.7/24.26	- 11.76/ 8.56 -	0.60/0.74 -	0.22/0.35
All conifers Nb.= 10.842	53.8/50.9 -	29.7/33.61	- 15.02/13.69 -	1.46/1.44 -	0.05/0.34
All species Nb.= 19.651	58.7/57.7 -	26.6/29.42	- 13.56/11.39 -	1.07/1.12 -	0.12/0.35

TABLE DEFOL TAB 26 gives the detailed results for the total 1988 sample for the different species taken separately

The comparison of the results for the main forest tree species in 1987 and 1988 allows following statements:

Ables sp. showed the highest defoliation in both years with resp. 33.82and 30.46 % of CST's in defoliation classes 2+3+4 joined. If the total sample of 1988 containing 1188 trees is considered, the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2+3+4 joined is 21.13%. The observed defoliation of Ables sp. is mainly due to the poor vitality of Ables alba for which 27.89 % of the total 1988 sample was classified as moderately or severely defoliated. Furthermore, Ables sp. had the highest proportion of dead trees in 1987 and in 1988: resp. 0.63 and 1.26 % of CST's.

Picea sp. showed considerable defoliation with resp. 20.67 and 19.16 % of common 87/88 sample trees in defoliation classes 2+ 3 + 4 joined. Of <u>all</u> sample trees observed in 1988 the percentages in these defoliation classes joined were as follows for the two most represented species of Picea:
Picea ables: 15.73 % (total number of sample trees: 4.677)
Picea sitchensis: 21.43% (total number of sample trees: 843)
The vitality of both Ables sp. and Picea sp. slightly improved between

The vitality of both Ables sp. and Picea sp. slightly improved beth 1987 and 1988.

Pinus sp. and **Larix sp.** were less defoliated, but for both species groups the percentage of defoliated sample trees increased between 1987 and 1988.

Among the different species of Pinus, **P. contorta** showed the highest proportion of trees in the classes 2+3+4 joined in 1988 with 18.99% followed by **P. pinea** with 14.47%. 11.21% of **P. sylvestris** were classified in these three classes in 1988. 100% of P. mugo sample trees showed moderate or severe defoliation in 1988, but the sample size of only 24 trees of this species leads us to ignore that score in this context.

Among broadleaves, Quercus suber showed in 1987 the highest proportion of damaged trees with 23.67% of CST's damaged. This proportion however strongly decreased in 1988 to reach 11.11%. All those common 87/88 sample trees of the species Q. suber were situated in Spain. In 1988 the number of sample tres of Q. suber was strongly increased by the extension of the survey to Portugal (+1160 trees). 97% of those new sample trees were classified in defoliation class 0. As a consequence, only 2.09% of the total 1988 sample of Q. suber (1.478 trees) was classified in defoliation classes 2, 3 or 4.

Fagus sp. and deciduous species of Quercus were in 1988 among broadleaves the two species presenting communitywide the highest proportion of damage with respectively 12.22 and 13.29 % of common sample trees classified in defoliation classes 2, 3 or 4. However, between 1987 and 1988 Fagus sp. showed a net tendency to improvement, whereas deciduous Oaks deteriorated:

+ 3.6% of sample trees in defoliation class 0 in 1988 for Fagus sp.
- 5.3% in defoliation class 0 in 1988 for deciduous Quercus sp.
Quercus frainetto showed a particulary high defoliation score in 1988 with 28.93% of sample trees in defoliation classes 2, 3 or 4, and 51.2 % in defoliation class 1.
Q. petraea and Q. robur had resp. 14.41% and 16.3% in defoliation

classes 2, 3 or 4 in 1988 (total sample).

Eucalyptus sp. which showed considerable defoliation in 1987 completely recovered in 1988. The common sample trees referred to were all observed in **Spain** where favorable wheather conditions in 1988 are considered as having caused this recovery. This result is confirmed by the 1988 survey including Portugese sample trees: 0.51% of all 979 sample trees classified in defoliation classes 2, 3 or 4 and 3.78% in class 1.

Discolouration by species groups for 1987 and 1988 Survey results for common sample trees

Discolouration

	none	<u>slight</u>	moderate	severe	dead
	%	%	%	%	%
	1987/1988	1987/1988	1987/1988	1987/1988	1987/1988
Castanea sativa Nb.= 602	75.2/80.7 -	18.6/16.78 -	5.81/ 1.66 -	- 0.17/0.66 -	0.17/0.83
Eucalyptus sp. Nb.= 274	65.7 /97.8 -	32.5 / 1.46 -	1.82/ 0.36 -	- 0.00/0.00 -	0.00/0.36
Fagus sp. Nb. = 2.226	93.1/91.3 -	5.44/ 6.20 -	1.30/ 2.20 -	- 0.18/0.22 -	0.00/0.09
Quercus sp.(dec.) Nb.= 2.129	92.6/89.4 -	3.33/ 7.05 -	3.43/ 2.91 -	- 0.38/0.19 -	0.23/0.42
Quercus ilex Nb. = 1.248	63.9 /88.2 -	26.5/ 11.62 -	8.81/ 0.00 -	- 0.72/0.08 -	0.00/0.08
Quercus suber Nb.= 207	51.7/73.4 -	41.1/25.60 -	7.25 / 0.97 -	- 0.00/0.00 -	0.00/0.00
Other broadl. Nb.= 2.123	87.5/87.2 -	8.1/ 9.75 -	3.49/ 2.17 -	- 0.38/0.24 -	0.61/0.61
Abies sp. Nb.= 476	89.9/ 78.8 -	8.6/ 17.86 -	0.84/ 2.10 -	- 0.00/0.00 -	0.63/1.26
Larix sp. Nb.= 501	87.0/88.4 -	11.0/ 9.98 -	1.40/ 1.20 -	- 0.40/0.00 -	0.20/0.40
Picea sp. Nb.= 4.180	91.4/91.1 -	6.8/ 5.81 -	1.36/ 2.70 -	- 0.36/0.38 -	0.00/0.05
Pinus sp. Nb.= 5.311	81.9/83.3 -	13.3/14.27 -	4.22/ 1.68 -	- 0.60/0.24 -	0.02/0.49
Other conifers Nb.= 374	93.3/87.2 -	6.2/12.57 -	0.53/0.00 -	0.00/0.00 - 0	0.00/0.27
All broadl. Nb.= 8.809	84.5/88.4 -	11.1/ 9.06 -	3.87/ 1.93 -	- 0.34/0.22 -	0.22/0.35
All conifers Nb.= 10.842	86.5/86.5 -	10.3/10.91 -	2.71/ 2.01 -	- 0.45/0.27 -	0.05/0.34
All species Nb.= 19.651	85.6/87.4 -	10.6/10.08 -	3.23/ 1.97 -	- 0.40/0.24 -	0.12/0.35

TABLE TAB 27 gives the detailed results for the total 1988 sample for the different species taken separately

In Table hereabove, which presents discolouration by species groups for common 87/88 sample trees, considerable discolouration has been noted for Quercus suber, Quercus ilex and to a lesser extent for Castanea sativa.

If one considers the total 1988 sample including also Quercus suber from Portugal, discolouration of this species appears as being less important: 86.5% of all 1.478 sample trees in discolouration class 0.

Hybrides of Populus sp., Quercus frainetto, Platanus orientalis, Quercus pubescens, Quercus robur and Fagus sylvatica are other important broadleaves showing notable discolouration (see table TAB 27). Most of the observed discolouration was however classified as "slight". Hybrides of Populus sp. had the highest score in discolouration classes 2, 3 or 4 in 1988.

Eucalyptus sp. which showed a high percentage of trees in discolouration class 1 in 1987, fully recovered in 1988. This is confirmed by the results of the total 1988 sample where 99.3% were classified in discolouration class 0.

Very cautious interpretation of these results is required as the most affected species are mainly from the southern regions of the Community where dry climatic conditions play an important role in forest health.

A notable increase in discolouration between 1987 and 1988 was noted for Fagus sp. and Ables sp.

Hybrides of Populus sp., Quercus frainetto, Castanea sativa, Platanus orientalis, Quercus robur and Fagus sylvatica are other important broadleaves showing notable discolouration if the total 1988 sample is considered.

Among conifers, Abies cephalonica has with 14.86% the highest percentage of trees in discolouration classes 2, 3 and 4 (total sample 1988) followed by Picea sitchensis (7.94%) and Pinus contorta (7.58%)..

Possible relationship between discolouration and defoliation

Discolouration may be due to a number of causes such as nutrient deficiency, insects, atmospheric pollution and so on. The following table shows that discolouration, besides being a vitality indicator in itself, to some degree can serve as a predictor of the future development of defoliation.

While trees presenting discolouration in 1987 generally deteriorated in respect to defoliation, trees without discolouration in 1987 showed no evolution or a slight improvement:

Defoliation in 1988 as compared to 1987

Discolouration in 1987:	Improvement Nb. of trees	Constant Nb. of trees	Deterioration Nb. of trees
none	2.484	12.207	2.101
slight	263	1.124	697
moderate	77	292	257
severe	6	37	30

Defoliation and discolouration by age class for broadleaves and conifers

See Tables TAB 05, 06, 07 and figures FIG 01, FIG 02

A very pronounced tendency for the percentage of moderately or severely defoliated and dead trees to increase with age is apparent in figures 01 and 02. For trees younger than approximately 61-80 years the degree of defoliation is around 10% (defoliation classes 2+3+4) and above this age class defoliation begins to increase.

Broadleaved trees appear to deteriorate from 80 years upwards. For conifers the number of defoliated trees already increases from the age of 60 years and continues to worsen at a higher rate than broadleaves.

Table TAB 05 indicates the proportion of defoliation for broadleaves and conifers of less than 60 years and more than or equal to 60 years. The total defoliation percentages (classes 2+3+4) for broadleaves and conifers are 8.61% and 11.75% respectively (total sample 1988). For both broadleaves and conifers of less than 60 years the degre of defoliation is roughly of the same order (6.7 and 8.4%) but over 60 years there is a distinct difference with 19.57% of conifers in defoliation classes 2, 3 and 4 compared to 12.7% of broadleaves.

Discolouration is fairly similar for broadleaves and conifers under 60 years with 90.08% and 85.44% respectively showing no or negligible discolouration; the figures for moderate and severe discolouration are also of the same order. For broadleaves over 60 years there is approximately the same proportion of trees with signs of discolouration as those of the younger category but more of the older trees are slightly discoloured. Irregular stands appear to suffer somewhat more as only 80.03% of the broadleaves in this category have normal colour (Tables TAB 05 AND TAB 06).

Inventory results by climatic regions

In the Community survey certain site characteristics are collected at each sample plot, i.e. latitude, altitude, aspect, water availability and humus type. Another major site characteristic, the climate type, has been attributed to each plot in the data processing stage in function of the geographical localisation of the plot.

Four large climatic regions are distinguished (see MAP 07):

- Mediterranean
- Atlantic
- Sub-atlantic
- Mountainous

The <u>mediterranean</u> region comprises areas with rather dry summers and winter rain. Greece, the greater part of Italy, a small part of France, most of Spain and Portugal are covered by this zone. 44% of all plots belonged to this zone in 1988.

The <u>atlantic region</u> comprises a broad belt along the Atlantic coast, starting at the nothern border of Portugal, running across parts of France and Belgium and covering all of the Netherlands, Denmark, United Kingdom and Ireland. The climate in this region is generally moist and windy with moderate temperatures both in summer and winter and with long transitional seasons. 16 % of the sample plots were located in this region in 1988.

The <u>sub-atlantic</u> <u>region</u> comprises Luxembourg, the greater part of the Federal Republic of Germany part of Belgium and France and a small part of Italy.

The climate in this region generally shows bigger differences between summer and winter and less wind than in the Atlantic region. 35 % off the sample plots belonged to this region in 1988.

The <u>mountainous region</u> consists of plots that have been excluded from their original climatic region because of high altitude. In the southern part of the Community (up to the latitude running along the southern edge of the Alps and trough Lyon) plots situated more than 1500 m above sea level have been considered mountainous. North of this delimiting latitude, plots situated more than 1000 m above sea level have been considered mountainous.

5 % of the sample plots belonged to this region in 1988.

In this attempt to define climatic regions simplicity has been striven at in order to avoid excessive splitting up of the data material and to match the use and collecting method of the data. The table on page 20 shows **defoliation** observed in **1988** for the **total sample** and for conifers and broadleaves by large climatic regions. It also indicates the **sample sizes** for conifers and broadleaves in each region for 1988. More information is given by figure FIG 09 and tables TAB 08, 09, 10, 11

The 1988 results show little difference in **defoliation** between the **atlantic and sub-atlantic** regions if **all** species are taken together. **Mediterranean and mountainous** regions however show for all species

together significantly less defoliation than the two other regions.

(defoliation) and TAB 22, 23, 24, 25 (discolouration).

Conifers show the highest defoliation in the **sub-atlantic** region (51.79 % of sample trees with more than 10 % defoliation, against 45.18 % in the atlantic region), whereas **broadleaves** are the most defoliated in the **atlantic** region (42.11 % with more than 10 % of defoliation against 32.6 % in the sub-atlantic region).

Comparison of 1987 and 1988 results shows most evolution in the **atlantic** region with 5.3 % fewer common sample trees in defoliation class 0 in the second year (44.2 % of CST's showed some defoliation in 1987 and 49.5 % in 1988).

In the **mountainous** region the percentage of trees showing some defoliation climbed from 28.2 in 1987 to 31.4 % in 1988.

In the **mediterranean** region the total percentage of CST's with defoliation showed no variation between 1987 and 1988, however the percentage of CST's with more than 25 % defoliation (classes 2, 3 and 4) decreased from 11.3 to 7.4 %.

The percentage of common sample trees in the **sub-atlantic** region showing **no** defoliation (class 0) slightly decreased between 1987 and 1988 (from 50.8 to 49.5 %) but the percentage of CST's showing moderate or severe defoliation decreased too (from 17.83 to 15.8 %).

As regards **discolouration**, this was in 1987 most frequently observed in the **mediterranean** region with 24.0 % of common sample trees showing some discolouration (classes 1+2+3+4). This percentage decreased to 17.3 % in 1988.

The situation in the **atlantic** region also improved between 1987 and 1988: 16.6 % of the common sample trees (CST's) showed signs of discolouration in 1987 and 14.2 % in 1988. Between 1987 and 1988 however that percentage increased in the **mountainous** region (from 18.4 % to 22.4 %) and in the **sub-atlantic region** (from 4.8 % to 6.2 %). In 1988 the highest percentage of trees showing discolouration was observed in the mountainous region.

climatic region :

variation between 1987 and 1988:

	defoliation	discolouration
atlantic	+	_
sub-atlantic	+	+
mediterrainean	_	-
mountainous	+	+

Defoliation and discolouration by large climatic regions

1988

Species group: Climatic region :		DEFOLIATION	(% of sample	trees):	san t	nple size: otal nb.
	none	slight	moderate	severe	dead	
ATLANTIC						
Broadleaves Conifers	57.89 54.82	30.69 28.98	9.85 12.90	1.36 2.69	0.21 0.60	2.356 3.495
All species	56.06	29.67	11.67	2.15	0.44	5.851
SUB-ATLANTIC						
Broadleaves	67.40	22.23	9.76	0.50	0.12	6 [.] .033
Conifers	48.21	35.70	15.26	0.68	0.15	6.613
All species	57.36	29.27	12.64	0.59	0.13	12.646
MEDITERRANEAN						
Broadleaves	74.96	18.00	5.79	0.98	0.27	9.785
Conifers	74.68	19.12	5.25	0.82	0.12	7.276
All species	74.84	18.47	5.56	0.91	0.21	17.061
MOUNTAINOUS						
Broadleaves	87.08	10.76	2.15	0.00	0.00	511
Conifers	65.02	25.68	8.71	0.33	0.26	1.538
AII SPECIES	/0.52	21.96	7.08	U.24	0.20	2.049
EEC						
Broadleaves	70.70	20.77	7.49	0.85	0.20	18.685
Conifers	60.98	27.27	10.44	1.08	0.23	18.922
All species	65.81	24.04	8.97	0.96	0.22	37.607

•.

Defoliation and discolouration by altitude

Figures 03, 04, 05 indicate defoliation (classes 2+3+4) in relation to altitude which is presented graphically with the application of a 150m moving average to identify the general trend. In general, the lower altitude classes (550m) are the most represented in the total sample and the number of trees in each class then gradually declines with increasing altitude. The relation altitude-defoliation is represented for three climatic regions separately.

High altitude has frequently been considered as a contributing factor in reducing resistance of stands against damaging agents including atmospheric pollution. The results of the Community inventory in fact show a positive correlation between altitude and defoliation in the sub-atlantic climatic region as defined above. This increase in defoliation is clearly observed up to 900 m. The same trend is observed in the mediterranean region but here the increase of defoliation with altitude is less pronounced.

However, if the **atlantic** climatic region is considered, the observed trend is opposite, defoliation showing here a negative correlation with altitude. This fact has not yet been mentioned in the literature and surely merits further investigations in the context of research on cause-effect relations. The above described correlations may be influenced by multiple factors, some being linked to regional differences in age- and species distributions or the confinement of high altitude sample plots to relatively few regions.

The inventory results for the **sub-atlantic** region further indicate a tendency of defoliation to decrease with altitude at levels higher than 900 m above sea level.

Altitude	Atlant	ic region	<u>Sub-atla</u>	ntic region	Mediterr	anean region
	%	sample size	%	sample size	%	sample size
0- 150 m	16,1	3.263	7.7	402	5.1	1.756
151- 3 00 m	14.4	1.227	10.1	2.406	2.1	2.736
301- 450 m	11.7	571	12.9	3.388	5.0	2.215
451- 600 m	8.1	3 22	16.6	2.888	8.0	1.727
601- 750 m	10.1	256	17.0	1.522	7.9	2.091
751- 900 m	12.1	116	18.5	868	8.2	1.928
901-1050 m	0.0	72	11.5	715	8.1	1.636
1051-1200 m	-	0	7.3	591	12.6	1.382
1201-1350 m	_	0	11.9	444	7.2	900
1351-1500 m	0	24	4.7	170	7.3	660
above 1500 m	-	0	4.2	3 81	5.0	900
ALI	14.3	5,851	13.0	13,775	6.6	17,931

Percentages of sample trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 jointly by altitude and climatic regions

The highest levels of defoliation were observed in the sub-atlantic region of the Community between 450 and 900 m altitude with up to 18.5 % of sample trees showing a defoliation of more than 25% (defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 jointly).

A relatively high percentage of moderately or severely defoliated trees was also observed in the atlantic region at altitudes lower than 300 m with maximum defoliation below 150 m. (16.1%).

Percentages of sample trees in discolouration classes 1, 2, 3 and 4 by altitude and climatic regions

Altitude	Atl	antic zone	<u>Sub-at</u>	lantic zone	Mediter	<u>ranean</u> zone
	%	sample size	%	sample size	%	sample size
0- 150 m	13.0	3.262	3.6	394	12.9	1.756
151- 300 m	21.9	1.223	10.7	2.398	7.2	2.736
301- 450 m	20.7	571	6.8	3.378	11.7	2.215
451- 600 m	19.9	321	9.1	2.886	17.6	1.727
601- 750 m	9.8	256	13.2	1.520	20.2	2.090
751- 900 m	12.9	116	16.0	867	20.8	1.923
901-1050 m	0.0	72	13.4	714	15.9	1.636
1051-1200 m	-	0	9.5	591	14.0	1.382
1201-1350 m	-	0	13.6	441	18.1	899
1351-1500 m	0	24	12.4	169	17.3	660
above 1500 m	-	0	12.6	381	24.9	900
All	15.6	5,845	10.1	13,739	15.4	17,924

As table hereabove shows, the highest percentages of trees showing discolouration are observed

 in the mediterranean region at altitudes higher than 450 m with two peaks, one between 600 and 900 m and another above 1500 m,

- in the atlantic region between 150 and 600 m.

In the sub-atlantic region the observed percentages of trees showing discolouration are somewhat lower with a peak between 750 and 900 m.

The general variation of discolouration with altitude is similar to that observed for defoliation, except for the lower altitudes in the atlantic region, where discolouration seems to be less frequently observed than in higher altitudes in the same zone. Defoliation and discolouration by water availability

Inventory results of 1987 and 1988 indicate higher defoliation on sites with insufficient or excessive water availability than on sites with sufficient water availability.

On plots with sufficient water availability (the most represented water availability class within the sample), 9.39% of the trees had in <u>1988</u> a degree of defoliation greater than 25%. On plots with "excessive" and "insufficient" water supply this percentage was respectively 19.10% and 14.61% (See TAB 31).

A similar tendency was observed in 1987.

Percentage of sample trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 jointly

Water availabiiity:	1987 (total sample)	1988 (total sample)
Sufficient	14.06 %	9.39 %
Insufficient	18.48 %	14.61 %
Excessive	17.89 %	19.10 %

As regards **discolouration** as observed in 1988, again the most healthy trees may be observed where sufficient water is available. (Table TAB 32).

Percentage of sample trees showing some discolouration

Water availability:	1987 (total sample)	1988 (total sample)
Sufficient	13.08 %	12.40 %
Insufficient	18.25 %	17.90 %
Excessive	21.06 %	22.80 %

Defoliation and discolouration by humus type

Table TAB 33 presents defoliation in terms of humus type for 1988. In 1987 and in 1988 the lowest percentages of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 jointly were observed on mull and anmor. The anmor type however was not much represented in the total sample with only 4.9 % of sample trees having been observed on this type of soil in 1988.

	Percentage of sample tree 2 + 3 + 4	Percentage of sample trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 jointly		
Humus type:	1987 (total sample)	1988 (total sample)		
Mu I 1	10.58 %	6.36 %		
Moder	13.90 %	10.59 %		
Mor	13.63 %	13.28 %		
Anmor	3.64 %	1.92 %		
Peat	11.96 %	18.87 %		
Other	17.85 %	19.67 %		

The highest proportion of trees showing **discolouration** was observed on moder and peat, and the lowest on mull. Sample trees on anmoor showed even less discolouration, but as already mentioned, plots with this humus type were too few in number to allow any conclusions.

Percentage of sample trees showing some discolouration

Humus type:	1987 (total sample)	1988 (total sample)
Mult	8.72 %	9.83 %
Moder	22.32 %	15.95 %
Mor	15.35 %	14.53 %
Anmor	6.88 %	2.56 %
Peat	22.16 %	37.85 %
Other	15.87 %	9.82 %

Defollation and discolouration by aspect

In 1988 no significant difference in **defoliation** was observed between sample trees on different aspects. The percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 jointly varied between 8 and 11.7 %. (See TAB 35) A slight tendency of defoliation to be more pronounced on south, southeast and east facing plots however exists. In 1987, with a reduced sample, the observed range was somewhat higher: Defoliation then ranged from 9.18% to 19.44% (percentage of sample trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 jointly). In 1987 the southwest and southeast facing stands were somewhat less defoliated than those facing eastwards or on flat ground.

The percentage of trees showing **discolouration** varied between 9.33 (flat) and 18.98 % (east). As for defoliation there seems to exist a tendency of discolouration to be the highest on plots which are orientated between East and South.

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

No.

page

TAB.01 * 49 * Defoliation of sample trees 1988 TAB.02 * 49 * Discolouration of sample trees 1988 TAB.03 * 50 * Defoliation of sample trees and plots 1988 TAB 04 * 50 * Discolouration of sample trees and plots 1988 TAB.05 * 51 * Defoliation by age groups, broadleaves/conifers 1988 TAB.06 * 51 * Discolouration by age groups, broadleaves/conifers 1988 TAB.07 * 52 * Defoliation by small age groups, broadleaves/conifers 1988 FIG.01 * 54 * Figure: defoliation by age classes 1987 FIG 02 * 55 * Figure: defoliation by age classes 1988 TAB.08 * 56 * Defoliation by climatic zone total sample 1987 TAB.09 * 56 * Defoliation by climatic zone total sample 1988 TAB.10 * 57 * Defoliation by climatic zone CST 1987 TAB.11 * 57 * Defoliation by climatic zone CST 1988 TAB.12 * 58 * Defoliation by species group total sample 1988 TAB.13 * 59 * Defoliation by species group atlantic 1988, total sample TAB 14 * 60 * Defoliation by species group sub-atlantic 1988, total sample TAB 15 * 61 * Defoliation by species group mediterranean 1988, total sample TAB 16 * 62 * Defoliation by species group mountainous 1988, total sample FIG 03 * 63 * Figure: regression of atlantic defoliation and altitude FIG 04 * 64 * Figure: regression of sub-atlantic defoliation and altitude FIG 05 * 65 * Figure: regression of mediterranean defoliation and altitude TAB 17 * 66 * Defoliation by species group 1987 CST TAB 18 * 67 * Defoliation by species group 1988 CST TAB 19 * 68 * Discolouration by species group total sample 1988 TAB 20 * 69 * Discolouration by species group 1987 CST TAB 21 * 70 * Discolouration by species group 1988 CST TAB 22 * 71 * Discolouration by climatic zone 1987 total sample TAB 23 * 71 * Discolouration by climatic zone 1988 total sample TAB 24 * 72 * Discolouration by climatic zone 1987 CST TAB 25 * 72 * Discolouration by climatic zone 1988 CST TAB 26 * 73 * Defoliation by species 1988 TAB 27 * 81 * Discolouration by species 1988 TAB 28 * 89 * Presence of identifiable damage causes 1988 TAB 29 * 90 * Defoliation by identifiable damage causes, trees 1988 TAB 30 * 90 * Defoliation by identifiable damage causes, plots 1988 TAB 31 * 91 * Defoliation by water availability 1988 TAB 32 * 91 * Discolouration by water availability 1988 TAB 33 * 92 * Defoliation by humus type 1988 TAB 34 * 92 * Discolouration by humus type 1988 TAB 35 * 93 * Defoliation by aspect 1988 TAB 36 * 93 * Discolouration by aspect 1988 TAB 37 * 94 * Defoliation by altitude group 1988 TAB 38 * 95 * Discolouration by altitude group 1988 MAP 01 * 30 * Percentage of trees damaged 1987 MAP 02 * 31 * Percentage of trees damaged 1988 MAP 03 * 33 * Plot discolouration 1987 MAP 04 * 34 * Plot discolouration 1988 MAP 05 * 36 * Plot defoliation 1987 MAP 06 * 37 * Plot defoliation 1988 MAP 07 * 39 * Climatic zones across the community FIG 06 * 45 * Damage comparisons 1987/1988 for all species FIG 07 * 46 * Damage comparisons 1987/1988 for broadleaves FIG 08 * 47 * Damage comparisons 1987/1988 for conifers FIG 09 * 48 * Damage comparisons by climatic zones 1988 MAP 08 * 40 * Changes in plot damage classes MAP 09 * 42 * Changes in plot defoliation MAP 10 * 44 * Broadleaves and conifers over the Community

PERCENTAGE OF TREES DAMAGED THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY

MAP	01	1987
MAP	02	1988

Each spot represents one sample plot.

The spot colour indicates the percentage of trees which on the corresponding plot have been classified into defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 jointly. For example: a red point represents a plot on which more than 75% of the sample trees have been evaluated as moderately or severely defoliated or dead.

The circular diagram shows the proportion of sample plots having less than 10%, 11 to 25%, 26 to 50%, 51 to 75% or more than 75% of sample trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4.

PERCENTAGE OF TREES DAMAGED OVER THE COMMUNITY



Source: 1987 Community Inventory of Forest Damage
PERCENTAGE OF TREES DAMAGED OVER THE COMMUNITY



MAP 02 Source: 1988 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

PLOT DISCOLOURATION FOR THE COMMUNITY

MAP	03	1987
MAP	04	1988

Each spot represents one sample plot. Its colour reflects the <u>average plot discolouration class</u>. This has been obtained after attributing to each sample tree a discolouration percentage corresponding to the average discolouration of its class (class 0; 5%, class 1: 17.5%, class 2: 42%, class 3: 80%, class 4: 100%), adding these percentages for all sample trees of the plot and dividing the sum by the number of sample trees of the plot. Following the resulting percentage, an average plot defoliation class was attributed to the plot, according to the general definition of defoliation classes.

The circular diagram represents the proportion of plots classified into each discolouration class.

For example: in 1988 12% of all plots had an average discolouration class of 1, "slight" and 1% had an average discolouration evaluated as "moderate".

PLOT DISCOLOURATION FOR THE COMMUNITY



MAP 03 Source: 1987 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

PLOT DISCOLOURATION FOR THE COMMUNITY



MAP 04 Source: 1988 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

PLOT DEFOLIATION

MAP	05	1987
MAP	06	1988

Each spot represents one sample plot. Its colour indicates the "average plot defoliation class".

This has been obtained by attributing to each sample tree a defoliation percentage corresponding to the average defoliation of its class (class 0: 5%, class 1: 17.5%, class 2: 42%, class 3: 80%, class 4: 100%), adding these percentages for all sample trees of the plot and dividing the sum by the number of sample trees of the plot. Following the resulting percentage, an average plot defoliation class was attributed to the plot, according to the general definition of defoliation classes.

The circular diagram represents the proportion of plots classified into each defoliation class. For example: in 1988, 9% of all sample plots had an average defoliation evaluated as "moderate".

PLOT DEFOLIATION FOR THE COMMUNITY



MAP 05 Source: 1987 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

PLOT DEFOLIATION FOR THE COMMUNITY



MAP 06 Source: 1988 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

CLIMATIC REGIONS ACROSS THE COMMUNITY

MAP 07

Each spot represents one sample plot. Its colour indicates to which climatic region it was attributed.

CHANGES IN PLOT DAMAGE CLASSES THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY

MAP 08

Each spot represents one sample plot which has been observed in 1987 and in 1988.

For both years, "average plot defoliation classes" were attributed to each plot (see MAP 05 and 06).

The spot colour indicates whether the average defoliation class of the corresponding plot has evolved from

- damaged (average defoliation class 2, 3 or 4) to undamaged (average defoliation class 0 or 1): green spots

- undamaged to damaged: yellow spots

or whether no move of the average plot defoliation between these two defoliation class groups had occurred (blue and red spots).

The circular diagram shows the proportion of plots concerned by each type of evolution. For example: between 1987 and 1988 the average defoliation of 8% of plots passed from "damaged" to "undamaged", whereas the opposite was true for only 4% of the plots.

CLIMATIC ZONES ACROSS THE COMMUNITY



MAP 07 Source: 1988 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

CHANGES IN PLOT DAMAGE CLASSES OVER THE COMMUNITY



MAP 08 Source: Trees Common to the 1987 & 1988 Inventories of Forest Damage

CHANGES IN PLOT DEFOLIATION

MAP 09

Each spot represents one sample plot which has been observed in 1987 and 1988.

For both years an average plot defoliation class was attributed to each sample plot (see MAP 05 and 06).

The spot colour indicates whether on the corresponding sample plot the average plot defoliation increased or decreased between 1987 and 1988.

This map reflects for each plot the evolution of the absolute average defoliation whereas MAP 08 indicates for each plot the move of the average defoliation class between combined defoliation classes (0 + 1) and (2 + 3 + 4).

The circular diagram represents the proportion of plots showing no change, improvement or worsening of the average plot defoliation. For example: in 16% of all plots the average plot defoliation decreased between 1987 and 1988 whereas it increased in 14% of the plots.

CHANGES IN PLOT DEFOLIATION OVER THE COMMUNITY



MAP 09 Source: Trees Common to the 1987 & 1988 Inventories of Forest Damage

BROADLEAVES AND CONIFERS THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY - 1988

MAP 10

Each spot represents one sample plot. Its colour indicates whether broadleaves (green spots) or conifers (blue spots) dominate on the corresponding sample plot.

Example: conifers are considered as dominating on one plot when they represent more than 50% of the sample trees of that plot.

DAMAGE COMPARISION

FIGs. 06, 07, 08 AND 09

These diagrams allow comparison of the percentages of sample trees classified in the different defoliation and discoloration classes in 1987 and 1988.

FIG. 06 gives results for all species together FIG. 07 gives results for broadleaves FIG. 08 gives results for conifers.

The numbers at the top of each column give the corresponding sample sizes.

For each year defoliation and discoloration results are given separately for all observed sample trees (total samples) and for those sample trees which had been observed in 1987 and 1988 (common 1987/1988 sample trees, CSTs).

Results for the total samples are marked with a "T". Results for the common 1987/1988 samples are marked with a "C".

Comparison between 1987 and 1988 should be made <u>exclusively</u> on the "C" results based on comparable samples in 1987 and 1988.

BROADLEAVES AND CONIFERS OVER THE COMMUNITY



MAP 10 Source: 1988 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

DAMAGE COMPARISONS FOR TOTAL SPECIES

DISCOLOURATION



DEFOLIATION

FIG 06 Source: The 1987 and 1988 Community Inventories For Forest Damage

DAMAGE COMPARISONS FOR BROADLEAVES



DEFOLIATION

DISCOLOURATION

FIG 07 Source: The 1987 and 1988 Community Inventories For Forest Damage

DAMAGE COMPARISONS FOR CONIFERS



DEFOLIATION

DISCOLOURATION

FIG 08 Source: The 1987 and 1988 Community Inventories For Forest Damage

DAMAGE COMPARISONS BY CLIMATIC ZONES



DEFOLIATION

DISCOLOURATION

FIG 09 Source: 1988 Community Inventory For Forest Damage

TAB. 01 : DEFOLIATION OF SAMPLE TREES 1988

EUROPEAN COMMUNITY	!	OBSERVED	TREES !	PLOTS !
! !	!	NUMBER !	ž.	%
DEFOLIATION	+ ! !	+ ! !		
INDNE	!	24748!	65.81	93.51!
ISLIGHT	!	9040!	24.04	65.92!
MODERATE	!	3375!	8.97	41.28!
SEVERE	!	362!	0.96	10.48!
!DEAD	!	82!	0.22	3.41
! TOTAL	!	37607!	100.00	

TAB. 02 : DISCOLOURATION OF SAMPLE TREES 1988

! 0	OBSERVED TREES !					
! N	UMBER	!	× !			
+ ! 						
!	32648	11	86.81!			
!	4028	1!	10.71!			
!	756	!	2.01!			
!	93	!	0.25!			
!	82	!	0.22!			
!	37607	!	100.00!			
	! OI ! NI ! ' NI ! ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	! OBSERVE ! NUMBER ! 32648 ! 4028 ! 4028 ! 756 ! 93 ! 82 ! 82	! OBSERVED ! NUMBER ! ! 32648! ! 4028! ! 756! ! 93! ! 82! ! 37607!			

EUROPEAN COMMUNIT	ſŶ	!	! TYPE OF OBSERVATION					
: ! !	! ! !		VERAGE FOR TREES	INCLUDED IN PLOTS	AVERAGE FOR ! PLOTS !			
I NONE	! NUMBER	·+- !	24748	1427	476!			
!	PERCENT	!	65.81	93.51	51.13!			
!SLIGHTLY	! NUMBER	. !	9040	1006	299!			
!	PERCENT	!	24.04	65.92	32.12!			
MODERATELY	INUMBER	!	3375	630	156!			
! !	PERCENT	!	8.97	41.28	16.76!			
SEVERELY	INUMBER	!	362	160	0!			
!	PERCENT	!	0.96	10.48				
!DEAD	INUMBER	!	82	52	0!			
!	PERCENT	!	0.22	3.41	. !			

TAB. 03 : DEFOLIATION OF SAMPLE TREES AND PLOTS 1988

TAB. 04 : DISCOLOURATION OF SAMPLE TREES AND PLOTS 1988

!EUROPEAN	COMMUNITY			TYP	E OF	OBSERVAT	ION	
1			AVERAC	E FOR	INC!	LUDED IN PLOTS	! AVER ! P	AGE FOR LOTS
! NONE		NUMBER	!	32648	!	1490	!	794
! ! !	PERCENT	!	86.81	!	97.64	!	85.28	
!SLIGHT		NUMBER	1	4028	!	623	!	116
1		PERCENT	1	10.71	!	40.83	!	12.46
MODERATE		!NUMBER	!	756	!	203	!	20
1		PERCENT	!	2.01	!	13.30	!	2.15
SEVERE		!NUMBER	!	93	!	43	!	1
: !		PERCENT	!	0.25	!	2.82	1	0.11

TAB.	05	:	DEF(DLIATI	ON	ΒY	AGE	GROUPS,	BROADLEAVE	S/C	ONIF	ERS	19	88	3
------	----	---	------	--------	----	----	-----	---------	------------	-----	------	-----	----	----	---

!EUROPEAN C			!			
		NOT OR ! SLIGHTLY !	! MODERATELY!	! SEVERELY !	DEAD !	TOTAL !
: ! !		% !	Ma !	× !	× !	ž !
BROAD- LEAVES	MEAN AGE	!	!	!	!	!
	!< 60 years !	93.30	5.70!	0.73!	0.27!	100.00!
	!> 60 years	87.29	11.46!	1.15!	0.11!	100.00!
	!Irregular !Stands	92.82	6.31!	! 0.71!	! 0.16!	100.00!
!	SUB-TOTAL	91.39	7.55!	0.85!	0.21!	100.00!
CONIFERS	MEAN AGE	01 (1	 	!	!	100,001
!	!< 60 years !	91.61	! /.12! ++	1.09!	0.19!	!!
!	!> 60 years	80.43	! 18.21!	0.99!	0.37!	100.00!
1	!Irregular !Stands	. 89.56	!!! ! 9.01!	1.31	0.11	! 100.00!
i	SUB-TOTAL	88.25	10.44	1.08	0.23	100.00!
! TOTAL		. 89.80	9.01	0.97	0.22!	100.00!

TAB.D6 : DISCOLOURATION BY AGE GROUPS, BROADLEAVES/CONIFERS 1988

!EUROPEAN	COMMUNITY !						
1	!	NONE !	NONE ! SLIGHT ! MODERATE!		SEVERE !	DEAD	TOTAL !
! !	!	% !	2	! % !	* !	ž	× !
BROAD-	MEAN AGE	!		!!!	!		!
! ! ! ! !	<pre>!< 60 years!</pre>	90.80!	6.71	! 1.96!	0.25	0.27	100.00!
	!> 60 years!	84.43!	12.59	2.59!	0.28!	0.11	100.00
	!Irregular ! !Stands !	87.57!	10.27	!!!	! 0.55!	0.16	100.00!
1	SUB-TOTAL !	88.40!	9.00	2.09!	0.30!	0.21!	100.00!
CONIFERS	!MEAN AGE !			!!!	!	!	!
[!< 60 years!	85.44!	12.43	1.75!	0.19!	0.19!	100.00!
1	!> 60 years!	86.37!	10.81	2.33!	0.11!	0.37!	100.00!
1	!Irregular ! !Stands !	! 80.03!	17.40	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	! 01!	0.11!	!
i I	SUB-TOTAL !	85.20!	12.44	1.93!	0.20!	0.23!	100.00!
! TOTAL	!	86.79!	10.74	2.01!	0.25!	0.22!	100.00!

TAB. 07 : DEFOLIATION BY SMALL AGE GROUPS BROADLEAVES/CONIFERS 2988

EUROPEAN COMMUNITY			 !	 ! !		
: [! !	: ! !	NOT OR SLIGHTLY	! ! ! MODERATELY !	SEVERELY	DEAD	! TOTAL !
: ! !	: !	20 /2	! % !	EV /1	× !	NO. !
BROAD-	! MEAN AGE !		·			·: ! !
1 1	!0- 20 years!	94.51	4.34!	1.05	0.11!	2767 !
: ! !	!21- 40 ! !years !	92.38	6.52!	0.66	0.44	! 5016!
	!41- 60 ! !years !	93.78	5.58!	0.52	0.12	2508!
	!61- 80 ! !years !	92.54	6.69!	0.66	0.11	1810!
• ! !	!80-100 ! !years !	90.14	8.82	0.97	0.06	! ! !542
! !	!101-120 ! !years !	89.19	9.77!	0.81	0.23	! ! 860 !
! !	!>120 years !	76.60	21.20	2.13	0.07	1453!
- ! !	!Irregular ! !Stands !	92.82	6.31	0.71	0.16	2550
! !	SUB-TOTAL	91.39	7.55!	0.85	0.21	18506
CONIFERS	MEAN AGE					
: !	!0- 20 years!	92.39	6.23!	1.28	0.10	2968
: ! !	!21- 40 ! !years !	91.68	! ! 6.92!	1.10	0.29	5532
! !	!41- 60 ! !years !	90.78	8.25	0.89	0.09	3274
! !	!61- 80 ! !years !	90.10	8.56	0.91	0.43	2090

(CONTINUED)

•

!EUROPEAN COMMU	JNITY !		! !			
! !		NOT OR SLIGHTLY	MODERATELY	SEVERELY	DEAD	TOTAL
: !		*		7	*	NU. !
CONIFERS	AN AGE				••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	-100 ars	77.64	21.82	0.30	0.24	! 1673!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	1-120 ! ars !	76.30	21.90	1.58	0.23	886
! !>12	20 years	63.60	33.57	2.12	0.71	706!
! ! ! !Iri ! !Sta	regular ! ands !	89.56	9.01	1.31	0.11	1753!
! ! SUE	B-TOTAL	88.25	10.44	1.08	0.23	18882!
! TOTAL		89.80	9.01	0.97	0.22	37388!

TAB. 07 : DEFOLIATION BY SMALL AGE GROUPS, BROADLEAVES/CONIFERS 1988

EUROPEAN !			 ! !			
	NONE !	SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE	! DEAD	TOTAL
SPECIES !	+ % !	*	! % !	0/ /0	! %	
MEAN AGE	+ !		+	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		+ ! !
0- 20 years !	77.98!	15.43	! 5.32!	1.17	0.10	100.00
21- 40 years!	75.25!	16.77	! 6.73!	0.89	0.36	100.00
41- 60 years!	64.10!	27.98	! 7.09!	0.73	0.10	100.00
61- 80 years!	57.05!	34.18	. 7.69!	0.79	0.28	100.00
80-100 years!	46.38!	37.26	15.58!	0.62	0.16!	100.00
101-120 ! years !	! 47.37!	35.28	15.92!	1.20	0.23	100.00
>120 years !	37.93!	34.41	25.24!	2.13!	0.28!	100.00
Irregular ! Stands !	+ ! 71.49!	20.01	7.41	0.95	0.14	100.00
TOTAL !	 65.67!	24.13	9.01	0.97!	0.22!	100.00

· .

FIG. 01 : PERCENTAGE OF ALL TREES IN DEFOLIATION CLASSES 2+3+4 PRESENTED BY MEAN AGE

 $(0 = TOTAL \# = BROADLEAVES \times = CONIFERS)$



Source: 1987 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

FIG. 02 : PERCENTAGE OF ALL TREES IN DEFOLIATION CLASSES 2+3+4 PRESENTED BY MEAN AGE (0 = TOTAL # = BROADLEAVES x = CONIFERS)



Source: 1988 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

!EUROPEAN	 ! !					DEFOLIA	TION					 ! !	 ! !
1087	I NONE		!	! SLIGHT		MODEF	RATE	SEV	ERE	DE	AD	! TOTAL	
TOTAL SAMPLE	! NO.	!	 % !	N0.	! %	! NO.		NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO.	· * !
ICLIMATIC ZONE	!	! !	!		! !	1			!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		! !	1	
ATLANTIC	: ! 3003	s!5	9.1!	1326	!26.1	. 648	12.7	103	!2.03!	4	!0.08	! 5084	100!
SUB-ATLANTIC	! 483]	1!5	0.3	3114	132.4	! 1553	16.2	78	!0.81!	22	!0.23	9598	100!
! MOUNTAINOUS	! 1113	3!7	1.2	293	!18.7	! 138	8.83	17	!1.09!	2	!0.13	! 1563	100!
!MEDITERRANE- !AN	! ! 4486	! 6!6	8.7	1311	! !20.1	! 669	10.2	42	!	19	! !0.29	! ! 6527	100!
!TOTAL	!1343]	3!	59!	6044	126.5	! 3008	13.2	240	!1.05	47	!0.21	22772	100!

TAB.08 : DEFOLIATION BY CLIMATIC ZONE TOTAL SAMPLE 1987

TAB.09 : DEFOLIATION BY CLIMATIC ZONE TOTAL SAMPLE 1988

EUROPEAN	!	DEFOLIATION										
1988	! ! NONE		! SLIGHT		MODE	RATE !	SEV	ERE !	! DEAD		TOTAL	
TUTAL SAMPLE	! NO.	! %	! NO.	! %	NO.	! % !	NO.	!%!	NO.	! %	! NO. !	ž
ICLIMATIC ZONE	! !	! ! !	! !	!				!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		! ! !	!!!	
ATLANTIC	! 3280	: !56.1	! ! 1736	: !29.7!	683	! !11.7!	126	!2.15!	26	! !0.44	: : ! 5851!	100
SUB-ATLANTIC	1 7254	• !57.4	• ! 3702	129.3	1598	12.6	75	++	17	+ !0.13	++ ! 12646 !	100
MOUNTAINOUS	! 1445	!70.5	! 450	! 22	145	!7.08!	5	++ !0.24!	4	!0.20	++ ! 2049!	100
! MEDITERRANE - ! AN	! ! ! 12769	! ! !74.8	! ! 3152	+ ! !18.5	949	! 56	156	++ ! ! !0.91!	35	! ! !0.21	! ! ! ! 17061 !	100
! TOTAL	124748	!65.8	9040	! 24	3375	18.97	362	!0.96!	82	10.22	! 37607 !	100

•.

1	!	DEFOLIATION									
1987 ! CST ! !	NONE !		! SLI	SLIGHT !		MODERATE !		RE!	DEAD	! ! TOT#	AL.
	! NO.	! %	! NO.	! % !	NO.	+ ! % !	NO. !	× !	ND. ! %	+	*
CLIMATIC ZONE	+ ! !	! !	+ ! !	+		++ ! ! ! !	+ ! !	+ ! !	 ! !	+4 ! ! ! !	
ATLANTIC	! ! 2353 ·	! !55.8	! ! 1187	! !28.1!	579	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	94!	! 2.23!	! 4!0.09	! ! ! 4217!	100
SUB-ATLANTIC	! 3828	49.2	· 2570	+ ! 33!	1320	++ ! 17!	60!	0.77!	+ 5!0.06	+ ! 7783!	100
MOUNTAINOUS	! 1078	171.8	! 281	18.7	125	18.33!	16!	1.07!	1!0.07	! 1501!	100
MEDITERRANE-	! ! 4270	! ! !69.4	! ! 1184	++ ! ! !19.3!	641	++ ! ! !10.4!	+ ! 41!		! ! 14!0.23	! ! ! 6150!	100
TOTAL	!11529	158.7	+ ! 5222	++ !26.6!	2665	++ !13.6!	211!	1.07!	24!0.12	++ !19651!	100

TAB. 10 : DEFOLIATION BY CLIMATIC ZONE CST 1987

FOR 1987 FROM TREES COMMON TO 1987 AND 1988

TAB. 11 : DEFOLIATION BY CLIMATIC ZONE CET 1988

!				۵	DEFOLI	ATION						
1988 !	NOI	NE	! SLI	GHT !	MODE	RATE	SEVE	ERE !	DE	AD	TOTA	L
	N0.	! %	! NO.	! % !	N0.	! % !	NO.	! % !	N0.	! %	NO. !	ž
CLIMATIC ! ZONE !		+ ! !	-+ ! !	++ ! ! ! !		•		+ ! ! ! !		+ ! !	- + ! ! ! !	
ATLANTIC	2129	! ! 50 . !	! 5!1371	132.5	581	: !13.8!	110	2.61	26	: !0.62	4217	10 0
SUB-ATLANTIC	3933	+ !50.!	5! 2623	++ !33.7!	1172	115.1	43	0.55	12	!0. 15	7783!	100
MOUNTAINOUS !	1029	+ !68.0	6! 345	+ ! 23!	119	17 .93	4	10.27	4	10.27	1501	100
MEDITERRANE - 1	4252	+ ! !69.	! 1! 1442	! !23.4	366	! !5.95	64	! 1.04!	26	! !0.42	6150	100
TOTAL	11343	+ !57.	-+ 7! 5781	+	2238	!11.4	221	!1.12!	68	10.35	! 1 96 51 !	100

TAB.12 : DEFOLIATION BY SPECIES GROUP TOTAL SAMPLE 1988

! EUROPEAN		DI	FOLIATION	·		!
1988	NONE	SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE !	DEAD	TOTAL !
TOTAL SAMPLE		N A	. % !	%	%	NO. !
SPECIES	!					~! !
!Castanea sativa	76.82	13.43	5.17	3.98	0.60!	1005
!Eucalyptus sp.	95.71	3.78	0.41	. !	0.10	979!
!fagus sp.	59.67	28.23	11.56	0.48	0.06	3546!
Quercus (deciduous) sp.	64.08	22.81	11.52	1.32	0.27	4766!
Quercus ilex	61.99	31.29	6.13	0.54	0.05	2202!
!Quercus suber	87.55	10.35	1.89	0.20	. !	1478!
!Other broadleaves	77.98	16.52	4.69	0.49	0.32	4709!
TOTAL BROADLEAVES	. 70.70	20.77	7.49	0.85	0.20	18685!
!Abies sp.	. 52.78	26.09	18.86	1.52	0.76	1188!
!Larix sp.	! 65.47	26.82	. 7.43		0.28	727!
!Picea sp.	49.26	34.15	! 15.43!	1.10	0.05	5522!
!Pinus sp.	! 67.06	24.36	! 7.23	1.08	0.27	10727!
Other conifers	. 68.87	20.58	9.23	1.19	0.13	758!
!TOTAL CONIFERS	! 60.98	27.27	! 10.44	1.08	0.23	18922!
!TOTAL	! 65.81	24.04	? 8.9 7	. 0.96	0.22	37607!

.

•

TAB. 13 : DEFOLIATION BY SPECIES GROUP ATLANTIC 1988 TOTAL SAMPLE

ATLANTIC !		D	EFOLIATION		!	
	NONE !	SLIGHT !	MODERATE !	SEVERE !	DEAD !	TOTAL
! ! !	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~+ % !	~+ % !	+ <u>% !</u>	! /a !	NO.
SPECIES		+ !	+!	+	! !	
!! !Castanea sativa !	82.61!	13.04!	3.38!	! ! 0.97	.!	207
!+ !Eucalyptus sp. !	81.02!	17.52!	1.46!	+	·!	137
!Fagus sp. !	38.08!	45.35!	15.70!	0.87!	! .!	344
!Quercus (deciduous) sp.!	48.42!	32.32!	16.36!	2.51!	0.40!	758
Quercus ilex !	50.00!	43.75!	6.25!		· !	16
!Other broadleaves !	64.43!	29.53!	4.92!	0.89!	0.22!	894
TOTAL BROADLEAVES !	57.89!	30.69!	9.85!	1.36!	0.21	2356
Abies sp.	82.05!	12.82!	5.13!	• ! •	. !	39
!Larix sp. !	36.76!	50.00!	12.50!	 !	0.74!	136
Picea sp. !	52.92!	27.83!	15.42!	3.75!	0.08!	1200
Pinus sp.	58.32!	27.84!	10.42!	2.42!	1.00!	1900
!other conifers !	41.36!	35.00!	22.27!	1.36!	· · · ·	220
TOTAL CONIFERS !	54.82!	28.78!	12.90!	2.69!	0.60!	3495
!+ !TOTAL !	56.06!	29.67!	11.67!	2.15!	0.44!	5851

(CONTINUED)

TAB. 14 : DEFOLIATION BY SPECIES GROUP SUB-ATLANTIC 1988 TOTAL SAMPLE

SUB-ATLANTIC	!	D	EFOLIATION		!	
! !	! NONE !	SLIGHT !	MODERATE !	SEVERE !	DEAD !	TOTAL
! !	! % !	+ % !	*+ % !	**************************************	× !	NO.
! SPECIES	++ ! ! !	++ !	 ! !	+- ! !	·!	
!Castanea sativa	82.47!	9.79!	5.93!	1.55!	0.26!	388
! !Fagus sp.	! 53.03!	32.65!	13.80!	0.46!	0.05!	2159
!Quercus (deciduous) sp.	! 69.30!	21.52!	8.83!	0.17!	0.17!	1733
! !Other broadleaves !	1 79.86!	12.84!	6.56!	0.63!	0.11!	1753
TOTAL BROADLEAVES	! 67.40!	22.23!	9.76!	0.50!	0.12!	6033
! !Abies sp.	44.05!	22.74!	30.20!	2.31!	0.71!	563
!Larix sp.	! 77.49!	19.29!	3.22!	•!	: . !	311
! !Picea sp.	46.75!	37.00!	15.85!	0.37!	0.03!	3773
! !Pinus sp.	43.10!	42.70!	12.95!	1.02!	0.23!	1768
! !Other conifers	! 87.37!	11.11!	1.01!	+- .!	0.51!	198
TOTAL CONIFERS	++ ! 48.21!	35.70!	15.26!	0.68!	0.15!	6613
! TOTAL	++ ! 57.36!	29.27!	12.64!	0.59!	0.13!	12646

`

TAB. 15 : DEFOLIATION BY SPECIES GROUP MEDITERRANEAN 1988, TOTAL SAMPLE

MEDITERRANEAN		D	EFOLIATION		!	
:	NONE !	SLIGHT !	MODERATE !	SEVERE !	DEAD	TOTAL
! :	₩ !	÷+	× !	+- % !	! * !	NO.
SPECIES	 !	+ !	+- !		!	! !
Castanea sativa	68.541	! 17.07!	5.37!	! 7.80!	! ! 1.22	! 410 !
! !Eucalyptus sp. !	98.10!	1.54!	0.24!	• !	!! 0.12	842
!Fagus sp. !	75.11!	17.16!	7.03!	0.56!	0.14!	 711!
!Quercus (deciduous) sp.!	65.46!	20.28!	12.12!	1.83!	0.31!	2244
!Quercus ilex !	62.08!	31.20!	6.13!	0.55!	0.05!	2186
!!Quercus suber !	87.55!	10.35!	1.89!	0.20!	·!	1478
!Other broadleaves !	82.24!	13.90!	3.08!	0.21!	0.57!	1914
TOTAL BROADLEAVES	74.96!	18.00!	5.79!	0.98!	0.27	9785
!Abies sp. !	54.15!	34.85!	9.34!	1.04!	0.62!	482
!Larix sp. !	100.00!	+ • !	•!	•!	: .!	3!
Pinus sp.	76.11!	18.11!	4.93!	0.76!	0.09!	6467
!Other conifers !	76.54!	16.05!	5.56!	1.85!	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	324
TOTAL CONIFERS !	74.68!	19.12!	5.25!	0.82!	0.12!	7276
!TOTAL !	74.84!	18.47!	5. 56!	0.91!	0.21!	17061

(CONTINUED)

•

TAB. 16 : DEFOLIATION BY SPECIES GROUP MOUNTAINOUS 1988, TOTAL SAMPLE

MOUNTAINOUS !		D	EFOLIATION		!	
	NONE !	SLIGHT !	MODERATE !	SEVERE !	DEAD !	TOTAL
! ! !	+- % !	× !	× !	+ * !	! % !	NO.
SPECIES !		++ !	+· !	+· !	<u> </u> !	
!Fagus sp. !	92.17!	! 5.42!	2.41!	!	.!	332
!+ !Quercus (deciduous) sp.!	 54.84!	45.16!	•!	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	·!	~31
Other broadleaves !	82.43!	15.54!	2.03!	+· .!	! .!	148
TOTAL BROADLEAVES	87.08!	10.76!	2.15!	+ .!	·! . !	511
Abies sp.	82.69!	8.65!	6.73!	 . !	1.92!	104
!+ !Larix sp. !	65.70!	24.19!	9.75!	+ ! .	0.36!	277
Picea sp.	58.47!	28.42!	12.57!	0.36!	0.18!	549
Pinus sp.	67.74!	26.69!	5.07!	0.51!	! . !	59 2
Other conifers !	62.50!	31.25!	6.25!	•!	·	16
TOTAL CONIFERS !	65.02!	25.68!	8.71!	0.33!	0.26!	1538
! TOTAL !	70.52!	21.96!	7.08!	0.24!	0.20!	2049

(CONTINUED)

•

FIG. 03

REGRESSION OF ATLANTIC DEFOLIATION AND ALTITUDE

DATA OBTAINED FROM A MOVING AVERAGE OVER 150 METRES



Source: 1988 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

. ങ FIG. 04

REGRESSION OF SUB-ATLANTIC DEFOLIATION AND ALTITUDE DATA OBTAINED FROM A MOVING AVERAGE OVER 150 METRES



Source: 1988 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

64

FIG. 05

REGRESSION OF MEDITERRANEAN DEFOLIATION AND ALTITUDE DATA OBTAINED FROM A MOVING AVERAGE OVER 150 METRES



65

Source: 1988 Community Inventory of Forest Damage

TAB. 17 : DEFOLIATION BY SPECIES GROUP 1987 CST

!EUROPEAN	4007	 ! !	DI	FOLIATION	N	 !	 !
	1987	NONE	SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE	DEAD !	TOTAL !
: !	CSI's	! % !	×	! %	× !	× !	NO. 1
SPECIES	******						: ! !
!Castanea sa	tiva	72.59	20.93	5.98	0.33	0.17!	602!
!Eucalyptus	sp.	58.76	25.18	16.06	.!	 . !	274!
!Fagus sp.		54.94	30.01	14.29	0.76	.!	2226!
!Quercus (de	ciduous) sp.	71.86	16.11	11.27	0.52	0.23!	2129!
!Quercus ile	×	53.85	28.69	16.67	0.80	.!	1248!
!Quercus sub	er	. 33.82	42.51	23.19	0.48	.!	207 !
!Other broad	leaves	75.69	16.44	. 6.69	0.57	0.61!	2123!
TOTAL BROAD	LEAVES	64.71	22.72	11.76	0.60	0.22!	8809!
Abies sp.		45.38	20.80	27.31	5.88	0.63!	476!
!Larix sp.		66.47	28.14	5.19		0.20!	501!
!Picea sp.		45.74	33.59	19.50	1.17	. !	4180!
!Pinus sp.		59.48	27.90	11.22	1.37	0.02	5311 !
!Other conif	ers	55.88	25.40	16.58	2.14	. !	374!
TOTAL CONIF	ERS	53.76	29.71	! 15.02	1.46	0.05	10842
!TOTAL		! 58.67	26.57	! 13.56	1.07	0.12	19651!
TABL 18 : DEFOLIATION BY SPECIES GROUP 1988 CST

			 C	EFOLIATION		 !	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1988	NONE !	SLIGHT !	MODERATE !	SEVERE !	DEAD !	TOTAL
1	CST's	!+ ! % !	* !	% !	+ % !	× !	. NO.
SPECIES		 ! !			+ !	! !	 !
!Castanea sa	tiva	!	14.95	4.98!	1.50!	! 0.83!	602
Eucalyptus	sp.	94.16!	4.74	0.73!	••	0.36!	274
!Fagus sp.	*****	58.541	29.25	11.50!	0.631	0.09!	2226
Quercus (de	ciduous) sp.	. 66.60!	20.10	11.98!	0.89!	0.42!	2129
Quercus ile	×	59.13!	33.49	6.89!	0.40!	0.08	1248
Quercus sub	er	43.48!	45.41	9.66!	1.45!	! .!	207
!Other broad	leaves	. 72.87	20.87	4.95!	0.71!	0.61	2123
TOTAL BROAD	LEAVES	! 66.09!	24.26	8.56!	0.74	0.35	8809
!Abies sp.		47.69!	21.85	27.10!	2.10	1.26	476
!Larix sp.		! 61.48!	28.54	9.58!	.!	0.40	501
!Picea sp.		43.85!	36.99	17.87!	1.24	0.05	4180
!Pinus sp.		55.85!	32.59	9.40!	1.68	0.49	5311
40ther conif	 ers	! 50.00!	32.09	16.31!	1.34	0.27	! 374
TOTAL CONIF	ERS		33.61	13.69!	1.44	0.34	10842
!TOTAL		· 57.72	29.42	11.39!	1.12	0.35	19651

.

(CONTINUED)

TAB. 19 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES GROUP TOTAL SAMPLE 1988

.

!EUROPEAN		DI	SCOLOURATI	(ON		**********
1988	NONE	SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE !	DEAD	TOTAL
TOTAL SAMPLE	*	×	*	* !	*	NO.
SPECIES				!		·
!Castanea sativa !	83.98	11.14	3.68	0.60!	0.60	1005
Eucalyptus sp.	99.28	0.51	0.10	.!	0.10	979
!Fagus sp.	87.08	10.21	2.37	0.28	0.06	3546
! !Quercus (deciduous) sp.!	85.73	9.90	. 3.61	0.48!	0.27	4766
Quercus ilex	91.60	8.22	. 0.05	0.09!	0.05	2202
Quercus suber	86.47	13.33	0.20	.!	•	1478
!Other broadleaves !	90.15	7.35	1.87	0.32	0.32	4709
TOTAL BROADLEAVES	88.47	8.96	2.07	0.30!	0.20	18685
Abies sp.	74.33!	19.61	5.05!	0.25!	0.76	1188
!Larix sp. !	90.92	7.84	0.96	.!	0.28	727
Picea sp.	88.66	8.11	2.84	0.33!	0.05	5522
Pinus sp.	83.68	14.54	1.36	0.15	0.27	10727
!Other conifers !	92.61	7.26		•	0.13	758
TOTAL CONIFERS	85.18	12.44	1.96!	0.20!	0.23	18922
!	86.81	10.71	2.01	0.25!	0.22	37607

.

- 68 -

TAB. 20 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES GROUP 1987 CST

		 ! !	DI	SCOLOURAT	[ON	!	! !
	1987	NONE	SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE !	DEAD !	TOTAL
: ! !	LST'S	× 1	*	! %		× !	NO. !
SPECIES				!		 !	! !
!Castanea :	sativa !	75.25	18.60	5.81	0.17!	! 0.17!	602!
!Eucalyptu	s sp.	65.69	32.48	! 1.82			274!
!Fagus sp.	************	93.08	5.44	! 1.30	0.18		2226!
Quercus (deciduous) sp.	92.63	3.33	! 3.43	0.38	0.23	2129!
!Quercus i	lex	63.94	26.52	! 8.81	0.72		1248!
!Quercus s	uber	51.69	41.06	! 7.25	! .!	•	207 !
!Other bro	adleaves	! 87.47	8.05	! 3.49	! 0.38	0.61	2123!
TOTAL BRO	ADLEAVES	. 84.45	11.12	! 3.87	. 0.34	0.22	8809 !
!Abies sp.	!	. 89.92	8.61	! 0.84	!	0.63	476 !
!Larix sp.	!	87.03	10.98	! 1.40	.40	0.20	501!
!Picea sp.		91.44	6.84	! 1.36	0.36	.!	4180!
!Pinus sp.		. 81.87	13.29	! 4.22	. 0.60	0.02	5311!
!Other con	ifers	93.32	6.15	! 0.53	! .!	. !	374!
TOTAL CON	IFERS	86.54	10.25	2.71	0.45!	0.05	10842!
! TOTAL		! 85.60	10.64	! 3.23	. 0.40!	0.12	19651!

FOR 1987 FROM TREES COMMON TO 1987 AND 1988

.

- 69 -

EUROPEAN		DI	SCOLOURATI	ON		
1988	NONE !	SLIGHT	! MODERATE !	SEVERE !	DEAD !	TOTAL
CST!s	* !	X	! % !	* !	* !	NO.
SPECIES		•••••••	++			
Castanea sativa	80.07	16.78	! 1.66!	0.66!	0.83!	602
Eucalyptus sp.	97.81!	1.46	! 0.36!	. !	0.36!	274
Fagus sp.	91.28	6.20	! 2.20!	0.22	0.09!	2226
Quercus (deciduous) sp.	89.43	7.05	! 2.91!	0.19	0.42	2129
Quercus ilex	88.22	11.62	++ ! .!	0.08	0.08	1248
Quercus suber	73.43	25.60	! 0.97!	. !		207
Other broadleaves	87.24!	9.75	! 2.17!	0.24	0.61	2123
TOTAL BROADLEAVES	88.44!	9.06	! 1.93!	0.22	0.35	8809
Abies sp.	78.78!	17.86	! 2.10!		1.26	476
Larix sp.	88.42!	9.98	! 1.20!	. !	0.40	501
Picea sp.	91.05	5.81	! 2.70!	0.38	0.05	4180
Pinus sp.	83.32!	14.27	! 1.68!	0.24	0.49	5311
Other conifers	87.17	12.57	++ ! .!	•	0.27	374
TOTAL CONIFERS	86.47	10.91	! 2.01!	0.27	0.34	10842
TOTAL	 ! 87.35!	10.08	++ ! 1.97!	0.24	0.35	19651

TAB. 21 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES GROUP 1988 CST

FOR 1988 FROM TREES COMMON TO 1987 AND 1988

!EUROPEAN	 ! !			D	ISCOLO	JRATIC	N				 ! !	!
1987	! NOI	NE !	SLI	GHT	MODE	RATE	SEVI	ERE !	DE	AD	TOTA	: ۱
ITOTAL SAMPLE	! NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO.	! % !	NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO. !	× !
ICLIMATIC	+ ! !	!!!		+ ! !		! ! !		!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		! ! !	! !	
ATLANTIC	: ! 4345	: !85.5!	550	: !10.8	! 165	! 3.2 5	20	!0.39!	4	: !0.08	5084!	100
SUB-ATLANTIC	9121	! 95!	365	!3.80	! 81	10.84	9	10.09!	22	10.23	9598	100
MOUNTAINOUS	! 1283	182.1	207	!1 3. 2	! 66	!4.22	5	!0.32!	2	10.13	! 1563!	100
! MEDITERRANE- ! AN	! ! 4951	! !75.9!	1132	! ! !17.3	! ! . 375	! ! !5.75	! ! 50	•• ! ! !0.77!		! !0.29	6527	100
! TOTAL	! 19700	186.5	2254	19.90	! 687	13.02	! 84	•• !0.37!	47	!0.21	22772	100

TAB. 22 : DISCOLOURATION BY CLIMATIC ZONE 1987 TOTAL SAMPLE

TAB. 23 : DISCOLOURATION BY CLIMATIC ZONE 1988 TOTAL SAMPLE

EUROPEAN	!			D	ISCOLOU	JRATIO	N				!	
1988	! NO	NE !	SLI	GHT	MODE	RATE	SEV	ERE !	DE	AD	: ! TOT/	AL.
TOTAL SAMPLE	! NO.	! % !	NC.	! %	NO.	! # !	NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO.	. *
CLIMATIC ZONE	! !	!!!		!		!		!!!		!	!	 ! !
ATLANTIC	! ! 4997	! !85.4!	675	!11.5	138	! !2.36	15	! ! !0.26!	26	! !0.44	! ! 5851	100
SUB-ATLANTIC	11419	++ !90.3!	927	!7.33	244	!1.93	39	!0.31!	17	10.13	12646	100
MOUNTAINOUS	! 1673	!81.6!	314	!15.3	53	2.59	5	!0.24!	4	!0.20	! 2049	100
MEDITERRANE-	! ! 14559	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	2112	!	321	!	34	! !0.20!	35	! !0.21	! !17061	100
TOTAL	! 32648	!86.8!	4028	!10.7	756	2.01	93	10.25!	82	!0.22	137607	100

 !	 !			D	ISCOLO	JRATIC	DN				 !	 !
1987 !	! NOI	NE	! SLI	GHT	MODEI	RATE	SEVI	ERE !	DE	AD	: ! TOT#	AL I
!	! NO.	! %	! NO.	! %	! NO.		NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO. !	*
CLIMATIC	! ! !				- - ! !					! ! !		_ _
ATLANTIC	: ! 3517	: !83.4	: ! 518	! !12.3	! ! 160	3.79	18	10.43	4	! !0.09	4217	100
SUB-ATLANTIC	! 7407	!95 . 2	! 300	!3.85	! 64	10.82	. 7	0.09	5	10.06	! 7783	100
MOUNTAINOUS	! 1225	+ !81.6	! 204	+ !13.6	! 66	!4.40!	! 5	!0.33!	1	!0.07	! 1501	100
! MEDITERRANE- ! AN	! ! 4673	! ! 76	! ! 1069	! !17.4	! 345	!	49	!0.80	14	! !0.23	! ! 6150	100
! TOTAL	16822	185.6	! 2091	!10.6	! 635	!3.23!	. 79	!0.40!	24	10.12	19651	100

TAB. 24 : DISCOLOURATION BY CLIMATIC ZONE 1987 CST

FOR 1987 FROM TREES COMMON TO 1987 AND 1988

.

TAB. 25 : DISCOLOURATION BY CLIMATIC ZONE 1988 CST

!												 ! !	
1988	! NOI	NE	!	SLI	SHT	MODEF	RATE	SEVI	ERE !	DE	AD		NL
CST !	NO.	! %	+ !	NO. 1	. %	NO.		NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO. !	×
ICLIMATIC	 ! !	! ! !	!		 ! !			 ! !		 	! ! !		
ATLANTIC	: ! 3620	: !85.	8!	430	: !10.2	126	2.99	! ! 15	10.36	26	: !0.62	4217	100
SUB-ATLANTIC		193.	8!	371		! 97	1.25	! 5	10.06	12	!0.15	. 7783	100
MOUNTAINOUS	! 1165	+ !77.	6!	279	!18.6	! 48	13.20	! 5	10.33	4	!0.27	1501	100
!MEDITERRANE- !AN	! ! 5083	! ! !82.	.7!	901	! ! !14.7	! ! 117	! !1.90	! 23	! !0.37	26	! !0.42	! ! 6150 !	100
! TOTAL	17166	!87.	4!	1981	!10.1	! 388	!1.97	! 48	!0.24	. 68	10.35	! 19651 !	100

FOR 1988 FROM TREES COMMON TO 1987 AND 1988

IEUROPEAN	!			[DEFOLI	TION						!
	NOI	NONE ! SLIGHT ! MODERATE ! SEVERE ! DEA							AD	ΤΟΤΑ	L!	
	NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	NO.	!	NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	NO. !	× !
SPECIES				+~ ! 1			 			⊧ ! !	- + 	! !
Acer !campestre	66	85.7	9	! ! 11.7	! ! 1	1.30	1	1.30	•	!	77!	100!
!Acer !monspessula- !num	9	90	1	10	! !		•	! ! !	•	! ! !	10!	! ! ! 100
Acer opalus	14	! 100!	•	!	!	! .!	! .	!.!	•	!.	14!	100!
!Acer !platanoides	4	! 100	•	!	!			!		!	!!!	100!
!Acer !pseudoplata- !nus	215	! ! !87.4	23	! ! !9.35	! ! ! 6	2.44	2	! ! !0.81		! ! !	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	! ! 100 !
!Alnus !cordata	66	! !89.2	6	! !8.11	! ! 2	2.70		!!		!	! ! 74!	! 100 !
!Alnus !glutinosa	155	! !72.8!	48	! !22.5	! ! 8	3.76	2	! !0.94		!	213!	100!
!Alnus incana!	6	! 40!	7	46.7	! 2	13.3		!		!	! 15!	100!
!Alnus !viridis	2	! 50	2	! ! 50	! .		•	!!!	•	!	. 4!	! 100 !
Betula !pendula	233	! !73.7!	67	! !21.2	! 11	3.48	3	! !0.95	2	! !0.63	316!	100!
!Betula !pubescens	38	! 44.2!	43	! 50	! 5	5.81		!!!		!	86!	! 100 !
!Buxus !sempervirens	3	! 100!	h	!	!		- -	!!!	•	!	!!!	100 !

(CONTINUED)

EUROPEAN !				[DEFOLI	ATION				!	!	
	NOI	NE	SLIC	GHT !	MODE	RATE	SEVI	ERE !	DE	AD !	TÓTA	۱ L
 	NO.	! %	NO.		NO.	! % !	NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	NO.!	*
SPECIES !						▶ ! !		•				
Carpinus ! betulus !	261	182.3	44	13.9	12	3.79	•				317!	100
Carpinus ! orientalis !	2	! ! 100	•			! ! ! .			•	!	2!	100
Castanea ! sativa !	772	! !76.8	! 135	13.4	52	15.17	40	13.98	6	! !0.60	1005	100
Corylus ! avellana !	23	! !88.5	! 3	11.5		!	! !	!!!		!	26	100
Eucalyptus ! sp. !	937	! ! !95.7	! 37	3.78	4	10.41	 ! !	• ! ! ! .!	1	! !0.10	979	100
Fagus ! moesiaca !	39	! !34.2	59	51.8	16	! 14		!!!	•	!	114	100
Fagus ! orientalis !	•	!			1	100		!!!		!	1	100
Fagus ! sylvatica !	2077	! !60.5	942	27.5	393	11.5	17	10.50	2	! !0.06	3431	100
Fraxinus ! angustifolia!	2	100				!	! !	!		!	2	100
Fraxinus ! excelsior !	246	! !68.5	! 85	23.7	25	! !6.96	. 3	! !0.84		!	359	100
Fraxinus ! ornus	47	! !95.9	!	! !4.08	 ! !	!	! !	• ! !	 ! !	!	! 49!	100
!llex ! !aquifolium !	12	! !92.3	¶ ! ! 1	! !7.69	 ! ! .	• ! !	 ! ! .	!	 ! !	• ! !	! 13!	100

(CONTINUED)

EUROPEAN	!			[DEFOLI	TION					 !	
	NOI	NE	SLI	GHT	MODE	RATE	SEVI	ERE !	DEA	\D	! ! TOTA	L
	NO.	! %	. NO.	! %	NO. 1	! % !	NO.	! % !	NO. !	. X	! NO. !	×
SPECIES		 	• ! !	+ ! •				+4 ! !			⊧+ !	
Juglans regia				!	1	100						100
lolea leuropaea	75	! !74.3	25	! ! !24.8	1	0.99	•	! ! ! .!			101!	100
Ostrya Carpinifolia	192	! !90.1	2	! !0.94	16	7.51	1	! 47!	2	0.94	! ! ! 213!	100
Platanus Iorientalis	22	! !28.9	! 34	! !44.7	20	26.3	!	!!!			!!!	100
Populus alba	. 26	96.3	. 1	!3.70	! .	!	! .	!.!		! .	! 27!	100
Populus hybrides	256	! !77.8	35	! !10.6	! ! 36	10.9	!	10.30	1	0.30	! ! ! 329!	100
Populus nigra	! 47	! !52.8	! ! 39	! !43.8	! ! 3	13.37	!	!!			! ! ! 89!	100
Populus tremula	! ! 81	! ! 92	! ! 7	! !7.95	! !	!	!	!!			!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	100
Prunus avium	! 105	!76.6	! 20	!14.6	! 11	18.03	! 1	10.73		! .	! 137!	100
!Prunus !dulcis	! 7	! ! 100	! !	!	! !	!	! !	!!			!!	100
Pyrus Communis	! 13	! ! !92.9	! 1	! !7.14	!	!		!!!		!	!!!	100
Quercus cerris	! ! 501	! !92.3	! ! 29	!	! 10	! !1.84	! ! !	!!	3	0.55	! !	100
!Quercus !coccifera	! ! 4	! ! 80	! ! 1	! ! 20	! ! ! .	! !	! !	!	!	! !	!!!	100
(CONTINUED)			-+		_~~~~							

(CONTINUED)

!EUROPEAN !					DEFOLI	ATION						!
	NOM	NE !	SLI	GHT	MODER	RATE	SEVI	ERE !	DE/	AD !	TOTA	L!
! ! ! !	NO. 1	·	NO.	! %	NO.		NO.	! % !	NO.	! % !	NO. !	! % !
SPECIES !				►				+		▶		! !
!Quercus ! !Quercus ! !faginea !	221	70.4	74	23.6	19	6.05	•	! ! !	•		314!	! ! 100 !
!Quercus ! !frainetto !	60	19.9	154	151.2	83	27.6	3	! !!	1	0.33	! 301!	100!
!Quercus ! !fruticosa !	12	66.7!	5	27.8	1	5.56		!			!	100!
Quercus ilex!	1365	62!	689	131.3	135	6.13	12	!0.54!	1	0.05	2202!	100!
!Quercus ! !macrolepsis !	3	14.3!	14	66.7	4	19	•	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!			21!	! 100!
!Quercus ! !petraea !	573	! 58.1!	272	27.6	135	13.7	5	! !0.51!	2	0.20	! 987!	! 100!
Quercus !	713	75.5!	140	14.8	83	8.79	6	! 64 !	2	0.21	! 944!	! ! 100
!Quercus ! !pyrenaica !	404	77.2!	60	11.5	32	6.12	26	! !4.97!	1	0.19	523!	100 !
!Quercus ! !robur !	760	!	427	30.1	204	14.4	23	! 1.62	4	0.28	! 1418!	100!
!Quercus ! !rotundifolia!	635	94.5!	37	5.51				!		!	672!	100 !
!Quercus ! !rubra !	43	86!	5	! 10	2	4.00		!		!	50!	! ! 100
!Quercus ! !suber !	1294	87.6	153	! !10.4	28	1.89	3	! !0.20		!	1478!	100!

.

TAB. 26 : DEFOLIATION BY SPECIES 1988

(CONTINUED)

!EUROPEAN !	! DEFOLIATION										 !	!
	NON	NE !	SLI	GHT	MODE	RATE	SEVE	RE !	DE	AD	TOTA	! [!
!!!	NO.	+ ! % !	NO.	1 D/ . /0	NO.	10 /0	! NO. !	+ % !	NO.		! NO. !	! % !
SPECIES	⊧	⊦ - ∔ ! !		⊧+ !	⊧		•	+		⊧+ !	⊦∔ ! !	! !
!Quercus !trojana	9	29	22	71							!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	! ! 100
!Robinia ! !pseudacacia !	157		11	! ! ! 6.29	4	2.29	! !	+ ! ! ! .!	3	!	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	100!
!Salix alba !	6	100	•	!	! .	!	!	!.!	•	! .	! 6!	100!
!Salix caprea!	23	92	2	18.00	!	!	!	!		! .	! 25!	100!
!Salix !eleagnos	5	100	-	! !	<u>!</u> ! .	! !	!	! !		!	!!! !5!	100 !
!Salix sp.	13	! 65!	7	! 35	! .	!	!	! .!		!	! 20!	100
!Sorbus aria	21	! 75!	6	21.4	! 1	!3.57	! .	!	•	! .	! 28!	100!
!Sorbus !aucuparia	29	! !82.9!	4	! !11.4	! 2	! !5.71	!	!		!	!!! !35!	100 !
!Sorbus !domestica	10	83.3	1	! !8.33	! 1	! !8.33	!	! . !		! ! .	!!!	100 !
!Sorbus !torminalis	5	!	3	! !33.3	! ! .	! ! .	! ! 1	! !11.1!	•	! ! .	! ! ! 9!	! ! 100
!Tilia !cordata	106	86.2	15	! !12.2	! ! 2	! !1.63	! ! _	!!		! ! .	! ! ! 123!	! ! 100 !
!Tilia !platyphyllos	! 10	! !58.8!	7	! !41.2	! ! !	!	!	! ! ! !	•	! !	!!! !!!	! ! 100
!Ulmus glabra	! 13	92.9		··	!	! .	! 1	!7.14!		!	! 14!	100
!Ulmus minor	! 12	!52.2	1	!4.35	! 3	! 13	!.	!.!	7		! 23!	100 !

.

(CONTINUED)

!EUROPEAN ! ! DEFOLIATION !COMMUNITY !------------------! NONE ! SLIGHT ! MODERATE ! SEVERE ! DEAD ! TOTAL **+---**! NO. ! % ! 1_____ SPECIES ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! 1 I. ! I ! 1 1 ! ! ! ! ! 1 1____1 1 ! 1 1 1 !Other ! I 1 1 broadleaves ! 150!61.5! 63!25.8! 24!9.84! 7!2.87! .! .! 244! 100! **!Abies alba ! 372!52.8! 136!19.3! 178!25.2! 13!1.84! 6!0.85! 705! 100!** Abies ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !borisii- ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !! 1 1 ! ! !regis ! 114!60.6! 65!34.6! 9!4.79! .! .! .! .! 188! 100! !-----!Abies ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! lcephalonica ! 120!44.6! 105! 39! 36!13.4! 5!1.86! 3!1.12! 269! 100!

!Abies
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!

!

<th !</t !Abies ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !nordmanniana! 15!78.9! 3!15.8! 1!5.26! 1 1 1 1 1 !!! .1 .1 .1 .1 19! 100! !Cedrus ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !! !!! !! !deodara ! 1! 100! .! .! .! .! .! .! .! .! 1! 100! !_____ --+---+----+----+----+------+---1 __+___ -+---+--!Cupressus ! ! ! 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 !sempervirens! 31! 100! .! .! .! .! .! .! 31! 100! .! .! --+----! !Juniperus !!!!!! 1 1 1 1 ! Ţ 1 ! communis ! 16!94.1! 1!5.88! .! .! .! .! .! .! 17! 100! !------+---+---+---+--___+ --+----+----+----+-----+---+---
 !Juniperus
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 !
 .! .! ---+----! !Juniperus ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! I I !phoenica ! 18! 100! .! .! .! .! .! .! 18! 100! .! .! !-----!Juniperus ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! <u>!</u> sabina ! 3!42.9! 3!42.9! 1!14.3! .! .! .! .! 7! 100!

TAB. 26 : DEFOLIATION BY SPECIES 1988

(CONTINUED)

- 78 -

TAD. ZO . VERULIATION DI SPEUIES 19	TAB.	3. 26 :	DEFOLIATION	BY SPECIES	1988
-------------------------------------	------	---------	-------------	------------	------

!EUROPEAN		•			DEFOLI	ATION						!
	NOI	NE !	SL I (GHT	! MODE	RATE	SEVI	ERE !	DEA	\D	TOTA	! .L !
!!!	NO.	+ ! % !	NO.		! NO.	! % !	NO.	! % !	NO. !	ру /19	! NO. !	! % !
SPECIES		++ ! !		+ ! 1	+ ! ,	⊧	⊧ !	+4 ! !			⊦+ ! !	!
!Juniperus !thurifera	140	! ! ! !65.7!	51	! ! !23.9	! ! 16	7.51	6	! ! 2.82!		•	! ! ! 213!	100 !
!Larix !decidua	392	! !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	135	! !23.8	! 39	6.88	 ! !	+	1	0.18	! <u>567</u> !	100
!Larix !kaempferi	84	! !	60	! !37.5	! 15	9.38	!	!	1	0.62	! 160!	100
!Picea abies	2318	!49.6!	1624	!34.7	! 710	15.2	23	10.49	2	0.04	! 4677!	100 !
!Picea !omorika	1	! !	1	! ! 50	!		! !	!		•	!!!	100
!Picea !sitchensis	401	! !	261	! ! 31	! ! 142	16.8	! ! 38	! !4.51	1	0.12	! ! ! 843!	100
!Pinus brutia!	27	! 26!	69	!66.3	• ! 7	16.73	! 1	10.96			! 104!	100
!Pinus cembra!	36	!85.7!	6	14.3	!	•	! .	!!		•	42!	100
!Pinus !contorta	129	++ ! ! !44.5!	106	! ! !36.6	+ ! ! 44	! ! !15.2	! 11	! !3.79!		 !	! 290!	100
!Pinus !halepensis	1017	! !	460	! !28.9	! ! 95	15.97	! 17	!	2	0.13	! ! ! 1591!	100!
Pinus !leucodermis	_	!!	10	! !90.9	!	9.09		!	_	-	!!!!	100
!Pinus mugo		!	•	 ! .	! 11	!45.8	! 13	154.2		!	! 24!	100 !
!Pinus nigra	978	!71.7!	317	23.2	! 61	14.47	! 8	!0.59	· · · ·		! 1364!	100
!Pinus !pinaster	2429	!!! !85!	285	! !9 . 98	! ! 114	! ! 3.99	! ! 20	! !0.70	9	0.32	! ! ! 2857!	100
(CONTINUED)												

!EUROPEAN	! DEFOLIATION .											 !
	! NOI	NE !	SLI	GHT	MODEI	RATE	SEV	ERE	! DE/	AD	I TOTA	! .L !
! !	! NO.	+ ! % !	NO.	! %	! NO.	· ~ · · ·	! NO.	! %	! NO.	! %	! NO. !	**************************************
SPECIES	+ !	++!		+ !	+ !	+	⊧ . !	+ !		+ !	++ ! !	! !
!Pinus pinea	! ! 225	! !66.4!	65	! !19.2	. 44	! 13!	4	! !1.18	1	0.29	339!	! 100!
! !Pinus !radiata '	! ! 100	++ ! ! !64.5!	30	! ! !19.4	14	9.03	 ! ! 4	! ! ! 2.58	7	4.52	155!	! ! 100!
!Pinus !strobus	! ! 34	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	1	! 2.86	!	!	 ! !	!			35!	! 100!
!Pinus !sylvestris	! 2143	! !	1222	! 32.2	377	9,95	38	1.00	10	0.26	3790!	100!
!Pinus !uncinata !	! 75	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	42	!	8	6.40		·			125!	100!
!Pseudotsuga !menziesii	! 239		93	24.2	50	13	3	0.78			385!	100!
!Thuya sp.	! 2!	166.7!	1	133.3	•	·	•	•		•	3!	100!
!Tsuga sp.	! 1!	33.3!	2	!66.7!			•				3!	100!
!Other !conifers	! 15!	! <u>75</u> !	3	! 15!	1	5.00!	•		1	5.00	 ! 20!	! ! 100!
! TOTAL	24748	65.8!	9040	! 24!	3375	8.97!	362	0.96	82	0.22	37607!	100!

•

•

- 80 -

TAB. 27 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES 1988

!EUROPEAN	DISCOLOURATION											 !
	 NOI	NE !	SLI	GHT	! MODE	RATE	SEVI	ERE	DE/	AD	! ! TOTA	! .L !
• •	NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO.		! NO.	! %	NO.	! %	! NO. !	* !
!SPECIES				+ ! 1	!		+	+ !		+ ! !	F+ ! !	! !
!Acer !campestre	70	90.9	6	: ! !7.79		1.30	! !	: ! ! .'		!	771	100!
!Acer !monspessula- !num	6	60	4	! ! ! 40			 ! ! !	+ ! ! !	 ! !	+	10 !	100 !
!Acer opalus !	14	! 100!	•	+ ! .	! .!		+ !	+ ! .		+ ! .'	! 14!	100!
!Acer !platanoides	4	100	•	! !	• ! !		 ! ! .	! !	 ! !	!	4!	100!
!Acer !pseudoplata- !nus	221	89.8	24	! ! !9.76	! ! ! 1	0.41	 ! !	! ! !	 ! !	! ! !	! ! ! ! ! 246 !	! ! 100 !
!Alnus !cordata	66	89.2	7	! !9.46	! 1	1.35	+ ! ! .	!	 ! ! .	! !	. 74!	100!
!Alnus !glutinosa	205	96.2	6	2.82	2	0.94	!	!		!	213!	! 100 !
!Alnus incana!	13	86.7!	2	13.3	· · · ·	.!	! .	!		!	15!	100!
!Alnus !viridis	4	100	•	! !		 		!	•	! !	4!	! 100!
!Betula !pendula	285	90.2	28	8.86	1	0.32		!	2	! !0.63	316!	! 100 !
!Betula !pubescens	70	81.4	15	!17.4	1	1.16		!		1 1 1	86!	100!
!Buxus !sempervirens!	3	100	•	 ! !	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		 ! !	!	 ! !	! !	! ! ! 3!	! 100!
!Carpinus !betulus	272	85.8!	41	! ! 12.9	! 4!	1.26	+ ! ! .	!		! !	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	100!

(CONTINUED)

	ļ			D	ISCOLO	JRATI	אכ				!	!
	! NOI	NE	SLI	CHT	MODE	RATE	SEV	ERE !	DE	AD	: ! TOTA	L !
! !	! NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO.	! %	! NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO. !	*
SPECIES	⊧ ! !	+4 ! !		+ ! ,	+ ! !	+ ! !	• ! •	+		+ ! !	F+ ! !	
!carpinus !crientalis	! ! ! 2	! ! ! ! 100!	•	! ! ! .	! ! ! .			! ! ! ! .!	•	! ! !	2!	100
Castanea !Sativa	844	++ ! !! ! 84!	112	+ ! ! 11.1	! ! 37	! ! !3.68	6	0.60	6	! !0.60	1005!	100
Corylus !avellana	25	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	1	! !3.85	! ! !	! . !		!!!	•	!	26!	100
Eucalyptus	972	!! !99.3!	5	! !0.51	! ! 1	0.10	· · ·	!!	1	! !0.10	979!	100
!Fagus !moesiaca	60	! !	47	! !41.2	! ! 7	6.14		!!!		!	114!	100
!Fagus !orientalis	! 1	! !!	•	!	! !		•	! ! ! . !	•	! !	! !	100
!Fagus !sylvatica	3027	! ! !	315	! !9.18	! ! 77	2.24	10	!0.29!	2	! !0.06	! 3431!	100
!Fraxinus !angustifolia	2	! !!	•	! ! !	!			!		!	! 2!	100
Fraxinus !excelsior	344	! !95.8!	7	! !1.95	! 4	1.11	4	! !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		! !	359!	100
!Fraxinus !ornus	47	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	2	! !4.08	! ! !		!	!!!	•	!	! 49!	100
!Ilex !aquifolium	! ! 13	! !!		+ ! ! .	+ ! ! -		 ! !	+	 ! !	+ ! ! .	13!	100
: !Juglans !regia	! 1	! !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		+ ! ! .	+ ! ! .	+	 ! !	+4 ! ! ! .!		+ ! ! .	++ ! ! ! 1!	100
!Olea !europaea	! ! ! 98	! ! 97!	3	+ ! !2.97	+ ! ! .	+ ! !	+ ! !	+		+ ! ! .	! 101!	100

•

.

TAB. 27 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES 1988

-

	 ! !	DISCOLOURATION											
	N01	NE !	SLI	GHT	MODE	RATE	SEVE	RE	DE	AD	! TOTA	L	
1 1	NO.	! % !	NO.	 !%	! NO.	. %	! NO.	 ! % !	N0.	! %	! NO. !	*	
SPECIES		+4 ! !		+ ! !			F			+ ! !	F+ ! !		
!Ostrya !carpinifolia!	191	189.71	7	! ! !3.29	! ! 13	6.10	-		2	! !0.94	213!	100	
!Platanus !orientalis	53	! ! 69.7!	16	! ! 21.1	! 7	9.21			•	• ! !	! ! ! 76!	100	
Populus alba	27	! 100!	•	+ ! . '					•	+ !	27!	100	
Populus hybrides	285	86.6!	6	!	! 31	9.42	6	1.82	1	! !0.30	! <u>329</u> !	100	
!Populus !nigra	65	73!	24	! 27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					!	89!	100	
!Populus !tremula	87	98.9	1	! !1.14	!	!	!	! . !		!	! 88!	100	
!Prunus avium!	124	90.5!	6	!4.38	! 7	15.11	! .	!		!.	! 137!	100	
!Prunus !dulcis	7	100!		!	!	!	! ! !	!	•	! ! !	!!	100	
Pyrus !communis	14	100!	•	! !	!	!	!	! ! ! .!	•	! !	!!!	100	
Quercus	514	94.7	14	! !2.58	! 11	2.03	! 1	0.18	3	! !0.55	! ! ! 543!	100	
!Quercus !coccifera	5	100!	•	!					•	!	! 5!	100	
!Quercus !faginea	256	81.5	52	! !16.6	! 6	1.91	!	!	•	! !	! !	100	
!Quercus ! !frainetto !	176	58.5	99	! ! 32.9	! 24	7.97	! 1	0.33	1	! !0.33	! ! ! 301!	100	

TAB. 27 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES 1988

(CONTINUED)

EUROPEAN	 ! ,			D	ISCOLOU	JRATI)N			!		 !
	NO	NE !	SLI	 GHT	MODE	RATE	I SEVI	ERE !	DEA	D	TOTA	L !
	NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO.	! %	! NO.		NO. !	*	NO. !	* !
SPECIES	▶ -	+4 ! !		+ ! !	►	+ ! !		+			!	!
! Quercus !fruticosa	-	: : ! ! ! .!	18	! ! ! 100	! ! ! -	! !	! ! ! -	!!!		-	! 18!	100
Quercus ilex!	2017	!91.6!	181	!8.22	! 1	10.05	! 2	10.09	1!	0.05	2202!	100
! Quer cus ! ma crolepsis	20	! ! !95.2!	1	! ! !4.76	⊧ ! ! .	+ ! !	! !	! !	. !		! 21!	100
!Quercus !petraea	883	! !	73	! !7.40	! ! 19	! !1.93	! 10	!	2 !	0.20	987!	100
!Quercus !pubescens	797	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	86	! ! !9.11	! ! 57	! !6.04	! 2	10.21	2!	0.21	944 !	100
!Quercus !pyrenaica	485	! !92.7!	37	! !7.07	!	!	 ! ! .	!	!	0.19	523!	100
!Quercus !robur	1181	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	163	! ! 11.5	! ! 61	! !4.30	! ! 9	10.63	4!	0.28	1418!	100
Quercus	658	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	14	! ! 2.08	! !	+ ! !	 [!	!!!			672!	100
!Quercus !rubra	50	! !!	•	!	! !	+ ! ! .	! ! !	!			50!	100
!Quercus !suber	1278	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	197	! !13.3	! ! 3	! !0.20	!	!			1478!	100
!Quercus !trojana	10	! !32.3!	21	! ! !67.7	! !	• ! !	 ! ! .	+ ! !		•	31!	100
!Robinia !pseudacacia	166	+	5	! ! !2.86	! ! ! 1	! ! !0.57	! ! !	+	+ ! ! ! 3!	1.71	175!	100
!Salix alba	! 6	++ ! 100!		+ ! .	+ ! .	+ ! .	⊦ ! .	++ ! .!	·+ ! .!	••	6!	100
!Salix caprea	! 25	! 100!	•	+ ! .	+ ! .	+ ! .	+ ! .	++ ! .!	+ ! .!		25!	100
(CONTINUED)												

•

.

TAB. 27 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES 1988

TABEL 27 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES 1988

!EUROPEAN	DISCOLOURATION											!
	!NON	NE !	SLI	GHT	! MODE	RATE	SEV	ERE	DE/	AD	! ! TOTA	! .L!
!	NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	NO.	! %	! NO. !	* !
SPECIES		⊧∔ ! !		 ! !	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	►~~~	⊧	+ ! !		+ ! !	++	! ! !
!Salix !eleagnos	5	100!	•		! .			!		: ! ! _	 5!	: ! 100
!Salix sp.	20	! 100!		+ !	! .	 ! .!		!		+ ! .	20!	100!
Sorbus aria	19	!67.9!	8	28.6	! 1	13.57		!		!.	28!	100!
!Sorbus !aucuparia	33	94.3!	1	2.86	! ! !]	2.86		!	 ! 	+ ! ! .	! 35!	100 !
!Sorbus !domestica	11	91.7	1	! !8.33	!	!		!		!	12!	! 100 !
!Sorbus !torminalis	6	66.7!	1	! ! 11.1	! 2	22.2		!		!	91	! 100 !
!Tilia !cordata	118	95.91	2	! !1.63	! 3	2.44	!	!	! !	!	! 123!	100 !
!Tilia !platyphyllos	10	! ! 58.8!	7	! !41.2	!	! . !	! !	!		!	!!!	100!
!Ulmus glabra	. 14	! 100!	•	!	!	!!	! .	!	! .	!.	! 14!	100 !
!Ulmus minor	. 15	65.2!	1	!4.35	! .	!.!	!	!	7	!30.4	! 23!	100!
!Other !broadleaves	230	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	8	! !3.28	1	0.41	5	! !2.05		!	244!	100!
!Abies alba	572	81.1!	114	16.2	13	1.84!		!	6	10.85	705!	100 !
!Abies !borisii- !regis	125		50	26.6	12	6.38	1	! ! !0.53	•	! ! !	! ! ! 188!	! ! 100 !
!Abies !cephalonica	160	! ! ! 59.5!	69	25.7	35	13!	2	! !0.74	3	! ! !1.12	269!	100 !

.

(CONTINUED)

EUROPEAN	DISCOLOURATION										 ! !	 ! 1
	NON	IE I	SL I (GHT	MODEF	RATE	SEVI	ERE !	DE /	AD	τοτα	.L !
!	NO. 1	+ % !	NO.	! %	NO.	×	NO.	! % !	NO.		NO. !	× !
SPECIES		++		•								: !
!Abies !grandis	7	! 100!	•	! ! !			!		•		! ! ! 7!	! 100 !
!Abies !nordmanniana	19	100!	-	! !			! ! !	! ! ! !			19!	100!
!Cedrus !deodara	1	100!		!				!		! ! .	! 1!	! 100 !
!Cupressus !sempervirens	30	96.8!	1	!			! ! .	!	-	!	31	! 100 !
!Juniperus !communis	15	88.2!	2	! ! 11.8				!	-	! !	17	! 100 !
!Juniperus !oxycedrus	59	98.3!	1	! !1.67			! ! .	!	-	!	60	! 100 !
!Juniperus !phoenica	18	! 100!	•	!			!	!		!	18	100!
!Juniperus !sabina	4	! 57.1!	3	! !42.9	!		!	!		! !	. 7	! 100!
!Juniperus !thurifera	195	91.5!	18	! !8.45	!		r ! !	!		! !	213	100 !
!Larix !decidua	! 511	90.1	48	! !8.47	! 7	1.23	! !	!	1	! !0.18	! <u>567</u> !	100!
!Larix !kaempferi	! 150	93.8	9	! !5.62	!	!	! ! !	!	1	! !0.62	! 160!	100!
!Picea abies	4254	91!	312	• !6.67	102	2.18	! 7	!0.15	2	!0.04	4677	100!
!Picea !omorika	! 2	100		!	!	!	!	!		• ! ! .	! !	! ! 100

.

TAB. 27 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES 1988

(CONTINUED)

TAB. 27 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES 1988

! EUROPEAN	DISCOLOURATION											
	. NOI	NE !	SLI	GHT	MODE	RATE	SEVE	ERE !	DE	AD	TOTA	: L !
!	NO.	! % !	NO.		! NO. !	 %	NO.	+ !% !	N0.		NO. !	! % !
SPECIES		+4 ! !		+ ! !	!	►		++ ! !			+	! !
! !Picea !sitchensis	640	75.9!	136	! ! !16.1	55	6.52	11	1.30	1	0.12	843!	100!
!Pinus brutía!	104	! 100!		+ !	! .	•		++ ! .!			104!	100 !
Pinus cembra	42	100!	•	!	! .!			!.!	•		42!	100 !
!Pinus !contorta	125	43.1!	143	! ! 49.3	21	7.24	1	! !0.34!	•		290!	! ! 100 !
!Pinus !halepensis	1123	70.6!	440	27.7	18	1.13	8	! !0.50!	2	0.13	1591!	! 100!
Pinus !leucodermis	11	! 100!	•					! ! ! .!	•	<u> </u>		! 100 !
!Pinus mugo	17	70.8!	4	16.7	! 3	12.5		!.!	•	!	24!	100!
!Pinus nigra !	1108	81.2!	235	17.2	19	1.39	2	10.15		·	1364!	100!
!Pinus !pinaster	2573	90.1!	246	18.61	27	0.95	2	! !0.07!	9	0.32	2857!	100!
!Pinus pinea	273	80.5!	62	18.3	3	0.88		!.!	1	0.29	339!	100 !
!Pinus !radiata	136	! ! ! 87.7!	9		3	1.94	!	!!!	7	4.52	155!	! 100
!Pinus !strobus	34	97.1!	•		1	2.86	•	· · ·		_	35!	100!
!Pinus !sylvestris	3391	89.5!	341	9.00	45	1.19	3	10.08	10	0.26	! 3790 !	100!
!Pinus !uncinata	39	31.2!	80	64	. 61	4.80		!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	•	!	125!	100!

.

(CONTINUED)

.

EUROPEAN	!			D	ISCOLO	JRATI	NC				!	!
	! NO	NE !	SLI	GHT	! MODEI	RATE	! SEV	ERE	DE.	AD	: ! TOT A	NL I
! !	! NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	! NO.	! %	! NO.	! %	NO.	! %	! NO. !	× !
! SPECIES	+ ! !	+ ! ! ! !		+ ! 1		+ ! !	+ ! !	+ ! ! 1 !	+ ! !	+ ! 1	+4 ! ! ! !	! !
!Pseudotsuga !menziesii	! ! 355	! !92.2!	30	! !7.79			! ! .	!		! !	! ! ! 385!	100
!Thuya sp.	! 3	! 100!		!		! .	! .	!		! .	! 3!	100
!Tsuga sp.	! 3	! 100!	•	!	! .	! .	!	!!	! .	!.	! 3!	100
!Other !Other !conifers	! ! 19	!!!	•	+ ! !	!	 ! ! .	! ! !	!	1	! !5.00	! !	100
! TOTAL	!32648	!86.8!	4028	10.7	756	2.01	93	!0.25	82	10.22	! 37607 !	100

.

TAB. 27 : DISCOLOURATION BY SPECIES 1988

TAB. 28 : PRESENCE OF IDENTIFIABLE DAMAGE CAUSES 1988

,

EUROPEAN COMMUNITY		TYPE OF OB	SERVATION !
: ! !		TREES !	PLOTS !
!TOTAL	IND. OF OBSERVATIONS	37607!	1526!
GAME AND GRAZING	INUMBER	273!	30!
: ! !	PERCENT	0.73!	1.97!
INSECTS	!NUMBER	7314!	612!
! !	PERCENT	19.45!	40.10!
!FUNGI	!NUMBER	1746!	238!
· ! !	PERCENT	4.64!	15.60!
ABIOTIC AGENTS	!NUMBER	1352!	220!
: ! !	PERCENT	3.60!	14.42!
ACTION OF MAN	!NUMBER	1863!	179!
! !	PERCENT	4.95!	
!FIRE	!NUMBER	406 !	32!
· ! !	PERCENT	1.08!	2.10!
KNOWN POLLUTION	!NUMBER	122!	10!
: ! !	PERCENT	0.32!	0.66!
!OTHER	!NUMBER	3121!	313!
: ! !	PERCENT	8.30!	20.51!
INUMBER OF	!NUMBER	12558!	949!
SOME DAMAGE	PERCENT	33.39!	62.19!

TAB. 29 : DEFOLIATION BY IDENTIFIABLE DAMAGE CAUSES, TREES 1988

EUROPEAN COMMUNITY	 ! [DEFOLIATIO	JN OF SAMP	LE TREES		
! !	! NONE	DEAD	ALL			
: !	! %	! % !		* !	* !	NO.
GAME AND GRAZING	45.42	41.39	10.62!	2.56!		273
INSECTS	45.97	37.26	14.64!	2.09!	0.04	7314
! !FUNGI	. 61.57	23.25	13.12!	1.83!	0.23	1746!
ABIOTIC AGENTS	41.35	32.32	21.23!	4.73!	0.37	1352 !
ACTION OF MAN	! 66.56	24.26	8.16!	0.97!	0.05	1863 !
!FIRE	! 63.05	23.40	9.61!	3.94!	. !	406!
KNOWN POLLUTION	! 43.44	43.44	12.30!	0.82!	. !	122!
!OTHER	! 52.71	33.45!	11.73!	1.83!	0.29	3121!
ANY IDENT. DAMAGE	! 52.63	32.23	12.93!	2.04!	0.18	12558!
NO IDENT. DAMAGE	. 72.41	19.93	6.99!	0.42!	0.24!	25049!
MULTIPLE DAMAGE	46.23	35.79!	15.52!	2.46!	 - !	2881 !

TAB. 30 : DEFOLIATION BY IDENTIFIABLE DAMAGE CAUSES, PLOTS 1988

EUROPEAN COMMUNITY	!AVERAGE [DEFOLIATIO	ON OF SAMP	LE PLOTS!	 ! !
! !	NONE	SLIGHT	MODERATE!	SEVERE !	ALL !
: !	! % !		! % !	× !	NO. !
GAME AND GRAZING	! 43.33!	46.67	! 10.00!	.!	30!
! INSECTS	48.60	41.05	10.02!	0.33!	609!
!FUNGI	! 51.95	37.66	! 10.39!	·	231!
ABIOTIC AGENTS	! 50.46	35.65	! 13.89!	.!	216!
ACTION OF MAN	! 61.58	31.07	! 7.34!	.!	177!
!FIRE	! 59.38	! 31.25	! 6.25!	3.13!	32!
KNOWN POLLUTION	! 20.00	! 70.00	! 10.00!	. !	10!
!OTHER	! 54.19	! 38.06	! 7.74!	. !	310!
ANY IDENT. DAMAGE	! 53.25		9.80	0.32!	939!
INO IDENT. DAMAGE	! 63.99	27.63	! 8.20	0.18!	561!
MULTIPLE DAMAGE	! 49.40	40.77	! 9.82!	.!	336!

•

- 90 -

!EUROPEAN	!						DE	FOLI	ATI	 DN					 !	
	!	NOI	NE	!	SLI	GHT	!	MODE	RATI	 E !	SEVI	ERE	! DE	AD	! ! TOT	! AL !
! !	! ! N(! %	+	N0.	! %	+- !	NO.	! %	++ !	NO.	! %	! NO.	! %	+ ! NO.	! !%!
WATER !AVAILABILITY ! !INSUFFICIENT	! ! ! ! 24	477	! ! ! !55	! ! .2!	1350	! ! !30.1	! ! !	607	! ! ! !13	! ! ! .5!	40	0.89	! ! ! 10	! ! !0.22	! ! ! ! 4484	! ! ! ! ! 100!
SUFFICIENT	1218	306	!67	.5!	7486	123.2	!	2663	18.2	24!	302	10.93	! 70	10.22	132327	! 100!
!EXCESSIVE	! :	338	! 50	.8!	201	! 30.2	!	105	!15	. 8 !	20	!3.00	! 2	!0.30	! 666	! 100!
!TOTAL	1246	521	!65	.7!	9037	!24.1	!	3375	19.0	01!	362	10.97	! 82	!0.22	! 37477	! 100!

TAB. 31 : DEFOLIATION BY WATER AVAILABILITY 1988

TAB. 32 : DISCOLOURATION BY WATER AVAILABILITY 1988

EUROPEAN	ļ.	DISCOLOURATION											
	! NON	NE !	! SLIGHT !		MODERATE !		SEVERE		DEAD		: !TOTAL		
! !	! NO. !		NO. !	+ % !	NO.		NO. !	*	! NO. !	×	+ ! NO.		
! !WATER !AVAILABILITY	+		 ! ! !	+ ! !		+ ! ! ! !	+		⊧+ ! ! ! !		+ ! !		
INSUFFICIENT	3682	82.1	545!	12.2!	231	5.2!	16!	0.4	! 10!	0.2	! ! 4484		
SUFFICIENT	128325	87.6	3392!	10.5!	470	1.5!	70!	0.2	! 70!	0.2	+ !32327		
EXCESSIVE	! 514!	77.2	88!	13.2!	55	8.3!	7!	1.1	·+ ! 2!	0.3	+ ! 666		
!TOTAL	+ !32521!	86.8	4025!	10.7!	756	2.0!	93!	0.2	! 82!	0.2	+ ! 37477		

EUROPEAN	!			[DEFOLI	ATION					!	
	! NO!	NE !	SLI	GHT	MODE	RATE !	SEV	ERE !	DE	AD	! TOTAL	
	! NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	NO.	! % !	NO.	+ ! % !	NO.	! %	! NO. !	×
HUMUS TYPE	·+	++ ! !		+		+		++ !!!		⊧ ! '	++	
MULL	!10679	! !75.6!	2545	! ! 18!	796	! !5.64!	84	! !0.59!	19	0.13	! !14123!	100
MODER	! 8979	++ !60.8!	4225	!28.6!	1421	!9.62!	111	++ !0.75!	32	0.22	++ !14768!	100
MOR	! 3040	!62.9!	1150	!23.8	528	!10.9!	90	!1.86!	25	0.52	·+ ! 4833!	100
! ! ANMOR	! 131	++ ! 84!	22	+ !14.1!	3	!1.92!	•	++ ! .!	•	•• ! •	! 156!	100
 PEAT	! 296	++	135	++ !25.4!	72	++ !13.6!	28	++ !5.27!	. !	! .	++ ! 531!	100
! ! OTHER !	! 1536	++ !49.5!	960	+ !30.9	555	!17.9!	49	++ !1.58!	6	0.19	! 3106!	100
TOTAL	!24661	!65.7!	9037	!24.1!	3375	9.00!	362	++ !0.96!	82	0.22	+ 137517!	100

.

TAB. 33 : DEFOLIATION BY HUMUS TYPE 1988

TAB. 34 : DISCOLOURATION BY HUMUS TYPE 1988

.

	!	DIS	SCOLOURATIC	N	!	
	NONE	SLIGHT	MODERATE !	SEVERE !	DEAD	TOTAL
! !	! %	×	++ ! % !	× !	* !	*
HUMUS TYPE	-+	⊧ ! ,	++ ! !			
!MULL	90.17	8.24	! !.20!	0.25!	0.13	100.00
! MODER	! 84.05	13.22	! 2.31!	0.20	0.22	100.00
! MOR	! 85.47	11.09	! 2.65!	0.27!	0.52	100.00
! ANMOR	! 97.44	2.56	!	. !	•	100.00
! PEAT	! 62.15	! 30.32	1 5.65	1.88!		100.00
!OTHER	90.18	! 6.66	! 2.80!	0.16!	0.19	100.00
!TOTAL	! 86.79	! 10.73	! 2.02!	0.25!	0.22	100.00

	!			C	DEFOLIA	ATION					!	! !
	! NOI	NE !	SLI	GHT !	MODER	RATE !	SEVI	ERE	DE	AD	TOTA	L I
: ! ,	! NO.	+ ! % !	N0.	! % !	NO.	! % !	NO.	! %	N0.	! %	! NO. !	* !
ASPECT	+ ! 1	++ ! !		++ ! !		++ ! !		ŧ		+		! !
! ! N	: ! 3260	: !68.6!	1019	!21.4!	432	9.09!	36	10.76	7	!0.15	4754!	100!
! ! NE !	2816	!65.9!	1030	24.1	363	8.50!	52	1.22	12	10.28	4273	100!
! ========= ! E	2043	!66.7!	674	! 22!	309	10.1!	28	10.91	. 7	10.23	3061!	100!
! SE	2162	!68.5!	742	23.5	201	6.37!	37	!1.17	14	10.44	3156!	100!
!!S	2411	!62.4!	1088	28.2	337	8.73!	18	10.47	. 7	10.18	3861	100!
!! ! SW	2242	!71.2!	650	20.6	226	17.17!	25	!0.79	. 7	!0.22	3150	100!
! ! W ?	2347	!68.5!	679	! 19.8!	350	10.2!	35	!1.02	14	10.41	3425	100!
!!NW	2750	!63.7!	1113	25.8	401	9.29!	47	!1.09	. 7	!0.16	. 4318	100!
! ! FLAT	4668	!61.8!	2039	! 27!	756	! 10!	84	!1.11	. 7	!0.09	. 7554	100!
! TOTAL	!24699		9034	24.1	3375	!8.99! 	362	10.96	82	!0.22	37552	100 !

TAB. 35 : DEFOLIATION BY ASPECT 1988

TAB. 36 : DISCOLOURATION BY ASPECT 1988

!EUROPEAN COMMUNITY		DI	SCOLOURATI	0N		!
1	NONE	SLIGHT	! MODERATE !	SEVERE	DEAD	TOTAL !
1	*		! % !	× !	7	× !
!ASPECT		 ! !	!!!	!	1	!
!N	88.35	10.14	1.20!	0.17	0.15	100.00
!NE	85.77	1,2 - 03	! 1.68!	0.23	0.28	100.00!
!E	81.02	13.26	! 4.93!	0.56!	0.23	100.00!
!SE	85.01	12.23	! 1.68!	0.63!	0.44	100.00!
!S	83.58	14.09	! 1.99!	0.16!	0.18	100.00!
! SW	86.79	10.92	! 1.87!	0.19!	0.22	100.00!
!W	87.80	R.50	! 3.09!	0.20!	0.41	100.00!
! ! NW	86.94	11.07	! 1.76!	0.07!	0.16!	100.00!
IFLAT	90.67	7.64	! 1.39!	0.21!	0.09!	100.00
!TOTAL	86.81	10.71	! 2.01!	0.25!	0.22	100.00!

- 93 -

!EUROPEAN COMMUNITY	 ! ,	DEFOL I	ATION		!
: [NOT OR SLIGHTLY	! ! MODERATELY!	SEVERELY	DEAD	TOTAL !
1 1 1	! %	. % !	₩ ₩	*	× !
ALTITUDE	+ !	F======= !			!
! 0- 2 50 m	! ! 89.73	8.71!	1.34	0.23	100.00!
251- 500 m	90.02	9.12!	0.67	0.19	100.00!
!=====================================	! 88.12	10.96!	0.62	0.29	100.00!
! !751-1000 m	! 89.94	8.59!	1.27	0.20	100.00!
!1001-1250 m	88.97	9.25!	1.56	0.21	100.00!
!1251-1500 m	93.58	5.57!	0.57	0.28	100.00!
!>1500 m	95.24	4.53!	0.23	. !	100.00!
! TOTAL	. 89.84	8.98!	0.96	0.22	100.00!

.

TAB. 37 : DEFOLIATION BY ALTITUDE GROUP 1988

	! NONE	! SLIGHT	!MODERATE!	SEVERE !	DEAD	TOTAL
	! ! %	+ ! %	++ ! % !		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	*
ALTITUDE	-+ !	+ !	++ ! !	+ !		
0- 250 m	-! ! 88.20	! ! 9.38	! 1.89!	0.31	0.23	100.00
251- 500 m	! 89.99	! 8.20	! 1.34!	0.29	0.19	100.00
501- 750 m	! 84.90	! 11.69	! 3.04!	0.09	0.29	100.00
751-1000 m	! 83.93	! 13.41	! 2.10!	0.36	0.20	100.00
1001-1250 m	! 85.42	! 11.83	! 2.39!	0.15	0.21	100.00
1251-1500 m	! 83.99	! 13.40	! 2.04!	0.28	0.28	100.00
>1500 m	! 78.84	! 19.52	! 1.48!	0.16		100.00
SUB-TOTAL	! 86.81	! 10.71	! 2.01!	0.25	0.22	100.00

•

•

•

TAB. 38 : DISCOLOURATION BY ALTITUDE GROUP 1988

and the state of the

PART 2

 p^4

and the second second

NATIONAL FOREST HEALTH REPORTS

1987 AND 1988

Accomplishment, Results, Conclusions

A. Legislative background, procedures

As provided for under Article 3 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 3528/86 and in accordance with Article 3 of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 1696/87, each Member State draws up, annually, a forest health report. This report is based in particular, on the data from the Community network of observation points and from any other network that is representative at national or regional level and in respect of which the common methodology is applied.

Each Member State forwards to the Commission its periodic health report containing information as to how the forest damage inventory has been carried out, the forest damage results, the possible causes of observed damage, the measures taken to restore damaged forests and the socio-economical impact of forest damage.

The results are sent on a set of tables provided by the Commission so as to ensure that the data may be presented in comparable terms for each Member State. These tables are designed to present the percentage of sample trees falling in each defoliation, discolouration and combined defoliation and discolouration (optional) class in terms of the total sample, by conifers and broadleaves, by species and by age groups (60 years, ! 60 years). Where possible, results are also submitted by administrative regions providing they are sufficiently representative.

B. Accomplishment of the national surveys

Coverage

Results were obtained from 10 Member States in 1987 and from 11 in 1988. For most of the results presented the coverage of the national forested area is complete although for some countries these results relate to only part of the total area. Detailed information on coverage etc. for each Member State can be found in table 1.

For 1987 national reports were not received from Portugal and Greece. For France results were obtained for one fifth of the forested area, notably covering the eastern part of the country. For Belgium a report was received for the Flemish Region. For Italy the regions Sardegna, Sicilia and Friuli Venezia Giulia were not covered. In Spain 71% of the forest was covered; the non-sampled part was situated in the north. In the Netherlands

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL FOREST DAMAGE INVENTORIES 1987 AND 1988

Information applies to both 1987 and 1988 unless space is subdivided; then upper half gives 1987 value and lower half gives 1988 value

	BELGIE BELGIQUE	DANMARK	EDEUTSCH : Land :	ELLAS	: ESPANA :	FRANCE	IRELAND :	: ITALIA :	: LUXEM– : BOURG :	: NEDER – : LAND :	: PORTU- : Gal :	: UNITED : Kingdom :
Total wooded area (1000 hectares)	517	460	. 7388 :	2 5 1 2	: 11 921	: 13 845	: 335 : : ;	8 675	: 84	: 330	: : 3 0 6 0 :	: : 2 103 : 2 112
Approximate proportion of national forest area	19% (Flanders)	100%	: 100% :	0% •)	: 71%	20%	: 100%; ;	83%	: : : 10 0%	: 85%	: : : •)	: : 100%
covered by the network	: •) :	:	:	100% c) :	: 100% e) : :	: 47%; f)	: : :	: 85% f) :	: :	: :	: 100% :	:
Grid density	: 8x8km : 8x8km + :	:16x16km :	: av. e) : :5.6x5.6km:	16x16km :	: 16x16km :	: 16×1 km	: 16x16km :	: 16x16km :	: 2 x 2 km :	: 1x1km :	: 16 x 16 km :	: 16x16km :
	fadditio- : inal plots:		: av. •) : 4.2x4.2km:		:	: 16×16km : :	: :	:	: :	:	:	:
Total number of observation plots	20 a) (41)	2 1	2 3 1 6	0 •)	: 316 :	: 1 531 :	: 22 -	: 178	: : : 210	: 1 400 : 2 800e)	: e) :	: 75
	41+5 b)	: :	• 4 117 •	84	: 387	: 228	: d) :	: 218 f) :	: :	:(3400):	: 155 :	:
Total number of trees assessed	480 984	466	: 57311 :	0	: 5725	: 25 712	: 535 :	: 5004	: 4885 :	: 33 475 :	: : e)	: : 1 800
	1104	456	<u>132 492</u>	1980	: 9 218	: <u>4 468</u>	: <u>462</u>	: : <u>5</u> 009	: : <u>4976</u>	: : 69 575	: 4 650	:

a) In 1987 21 of the 41 plots were assessed too late in the season. The results for 1987 given in the tables above relate only to 20 plots (480 trees).

b) In Flanders 5 extra non-grid observation points were set out in 1988 to get a better representation of some important species.

c) No plots set out in maquis vegetation. d) The 22 observation points in 1988 are all different from those of 1987.

e) See text below. f) Figure not supplied by Member State, but estimated by the Commission.

.

TABLE 1

85% of the forested area was covered by a dense grid. The remaining Member States (Luxemburg, Germany, Denmark, United Kingdom and Ireland) submitted national reports for the entire forest area.

<u>in 1988</u> the coverage was enlarged. Greece and Portugal are now covered. In Italy results are only lacking for Sardegna and Sicilia. The whole of Spain is covered by the 16 x 16 km grid and included in the national report, but due to cartographical problems a few plots, distributed over the whole country, could not be assessed. These plots are expected to be assessed in 1989 thus leading to a 10% increase in the number of Spanish plots.

Grid density and sampling procedures

Half of the Member States submitted national reports based on a 16 x 16 km grid, thus basing their reports on the same data as they supplied to the Community survey.

Particularly dense grids have been used in the Netherlands, Luxemburg and in most parts of Germany. See table 1 for details on sample intensity.

Ireland established new observation points in 1988 as compared to 1987; the results from the two years are therefore not fully comparable.

In the Federal Republic of Germany the grid density varies greatly from Land to Land. The number of observation plots was almost doubled from 1987 to 1988, leading to an increase in average grid density from 5.6 x 5.6 km to 4.2 x 4.2 km in 1988. In the Netherlands a 1 x 1 km grid is applied, and 1400 grid intersection

points were observed in 1987. In 1988 the number of sample points were increased to 3400 of which 2800 could be assessed. The increased number of observations in 1988 is part of the Dutch survey plan which implies more intensive sampling every 4 years; thus the increase in observation points does not represent a better coverage.

For drawing conclusions on national levels some Member States have denser grids than the Community grid (Italy, France in 1988) or have other additional sampling systems (Denmark) for which results are not available for inclusion in the tables and diagrams below. The French additional net just mentioned (réseau bleu), also includes dominated tress, as opposed to the Community net (see description in Part 1), and can thus not be compared exactly with the results of the Community net. The results sampled in the "Réseau Bleu" are slightly more pessimistic than those from the Community grid.

C. <u>Results of the National Surveys</u>

1. All species by Member State

For all species together (table 2) the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 range from 0% (Ireland) to 23% (Denmark) in 1987, and from 1% (Portugal) to 25% (United Kingdom) in 1988. The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase of percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 5% (Ireland, where the sample plots were not identical for the two years) and 3% (United Kingdom) while the largest recovery was of 5% (Spain, Denmark).

The average for the 12 Member States shown on the extreme right in this and later tables gives the average for the Community grid sample and not the average of the figures in the particular table.

Diagrams 1 and 2 show the same data as discussed above.

Diagram 1 shows the clearly damaged trees split up into defoliation classes 2, 3 and 4. The countries in the northern part of the Community often have higher percentages of trees in damage classes 2 + 3 + 4 jointly than than is the case elsewhere in the Community; Ireland and Greece are the most outstanding exceptions to this tendency. Most of the defoliation is of the moderate type (class 2). There is generally some proportionality between the percentages of trees in classes 2 and 3 inside a Member State; note though that in Germany and Greece the defoliation is largely of the moderate type.

Diagram 2 shows the largely undamaged trees split up into defoliation classes 0 and 1. The percentage of largely undamaged trees (classes 0 and 1 jointly) is often smaller in the northern part of the Community than elsewhere in the Community; Ireland and Greece are the most outstanding exceptions to this picture.

As to the distribution of trees in defoliation classes 0 (not defoliated) and 1 (slightly defoliated) inside the group of largely undamaged trees included in this diagram, it may be noted that some of the southern Member States have a relatively large proportion of trees in class 0 (not defoliated) especially Greece and Ireland do not fit into this picture though.

Table 3 shows the <u>discoloration</u> for <u>all species</u> in each Member State. The proportion of trees showing signs of discoloration is for several Member States quite different from that of defoliation. Denmark and Germany have no noticable discoloration in 1987 and 1988, while they had appreciable defoliation. For Ireland the situation is the opposite, hardly any defoliation is coupled with considerable discoloration in 1988.

TABLE 2

PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL DEFOLIATION FOR ALL SPECIES BY MEMBER STATE

: : : DEF	MEMBER ST	ATE : :	ELLAS	::	ITALIA	: : E :	SPAÑA	: : PC :	RTUGAL	FRANCE	: : :	BELGIË/ BELGIQUE	::	LUXE M- Bourg	::	BUNDESREP. DEUTSCHLAND	:	NEDERLAND	::	DANMARK	:	UNITED KINGDOM	: : If :	RELAND	: : (:	EEC-12 : COMMUNITY : SURVEY :
: : 0+1 :	NOT OR SLIGHTLY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	83,0	:	95,8 94,3	::	87, 4 92,9	:	_ 98,7	90,3 93,1	::	87,5 89,6	::	92,1 89,7	::	83,0 85,1	::	81,8 81,7	::	77,0 82,0	::	78,0 75,0	: '	100,0 95,2	::	: 85,2 : 87,1 :
: : 2 :	MODERATELY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	_ 15,9	: : :	3,7 4,8	:	11,9 6,0		- 0,7	8,5 6,0		11,9 8,7	• • • •	6,8 9,3	: : :	16,1 14,0	::	15,7 15,0	- 	18,0 13,0	: : : :	18,0 21,0	: : :	0,0 4,5	: : : :	
: : 3 :	SEVERELY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 :	_ 0,8	::	0,3 0,4	:	0,7 1,1	:	- 0,6	0,9 0,8	:	0,6 1,7	::	0,7 0,9	::	0,8 0,7	:	? 2,6	::	5,0 5,0	:	4,0 4,0	:	0,0 0,3	:	1,1 : 1,1 :
: 4 : :	DEAD	: 87 : 88 :	0,3	:	0,2 0,5	:	0,0 0,0	:	- 0,0	0,3 0,1	:	0,0 0,0	::	0,4 0,1	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	0,1 0,2	:	? 0,7	::	0,0 0,0	:	0,0 0,0	:	0,0 0,0	:	0,1 : 0,4 :



DIAGRAM 1

- 102 -


Total defoliation for all species by Member State (%)

PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL DISCOLOURATION FOR ALL SPECIES BY MEMBER STATE

: : : DI	MEMBER STA	TE : :	ELLAS	: : ITALIA :	: \ :	ESPAÑA	: : PORTUGAL :	: : FRANC :	: E: :	BELGIE/ BELGIQUE	: LUXEM : BOURG :	: BUNDESREP. : DEUTSCHLAND :	: : NED :	ERLAND	: : Danmark :	: UNITED : KINGDOM :	: : IRELAND :	: EEC-12 : : COMMUNITY : : SURVEY :
: : 0 :	NOT DISCOLOURED	: 37 : 38 : :	_ 64,2	: : 88,8 : 90,0 :	:	72,3 83,7	: - : 95,9 :	: : 85,2 : 88,4 :	: :	88,8 88,3	: 88,4 : 78,2 :	: : 95,7 : 94,8 :	::	69,2 54,9	: 96,0 : 97,0	: : 80,0 : 78,0 :	: 97,8 : 68,6	: 86,2 : : 87,0 :
: : 1 : :	SLIGHTLY 8 DISCOLOURED 8	: 37 : 38 : :	_ 28,1	: : 6,9 : 6,6 :	:	20,8 15,4	: : - : 3,5 :	: : ? : 8,9 :	::	4,6 9,4	: : 11,2 : 18,3 :	: : 3,3 : 4,1 :	:	24,3 38,9	: : 3,0 : 2,0 :	: 15,0 : 16,0	: : 1,7 : 30,5 :	: 10,3 : : 10,8 :
: : 2 :	MODERATELY & DISCOLOURED &	: 37 : 38 : ;	_ 7,5	: : 4,1 : 3,0 :	:	6,0 0,8	: – : 0,6 :	: : ? : 2,1	::	5,6 1,9	: 0,3 : 3,5 :	: : 0,8 : 0,9 :	:	2,9 2,7	: : 1,0 : 1,0 :	: 5,0 : 5,0	: : 0,5 : 0,9 :	: 3,1 : : 2,0 :
: : 3 :	SEVERELY & DISCOLOURED &	37 : 38 : :	0,2	: : 0,2 : 0,4	:	0,9 0,1	: – : 0,0 :	: : ? : 0,6	:	1,0 0,4	: : 0,1 : 0,0 :	: : 0,1 : 0,1 :	:	3,6 2,8	: : 0,0 : 0,0 :	: : 0,0 : 1,0 :	: : 0,0 : 0,0 :	: 0,4 : : 0,2 :

2. All broadleaved species by Member State

For all broadleaved species together (table 4) the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 4% (Italy) to 23% (the Netherlands) in 1987 and from 1% (Portugal) to 29% (Greece) in 1988. The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 3% (the Netherlands) and the largest recovery was of 7% (Denmark, Spain).

Table 5 shows the <u>discoloration for all broadleaved</u> species together in each Member State. The proportion of trees showing signs of discoloration is for some Member States quite different from that of defoliation. Denmark had hardly any discoloration in both of the two years, while the defoliation was appreciable.

3. All coniferous species by Member State

For <u>all coniferous species</u> together (table 6) the percentage of trees in <u>defoliation</u> classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 0% (Ireland) to 24% (Denmark) in 1987 and from 2% (Portugal) to 27% (United Kindgom) in 1988.

The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 7% (Luxembourg) and the largest recovery was of 3% (Spain, Denmark).

Table 7 shows the discoloration for all coniferous species together in each Member State.

The proportion of trees showing signs of discoloration is for some Member States quite different from that of defoliation. German and Denmark have little discoloration, but appreciable defoliation, and Ireland and Greece have on the contrary, appreciable discoloration with little defoliation.

4. Broadleaved species over and under 60 years of age

For <u>broadleaved</u> <u>species</u> <u>under</u> <u>60</u> years (table 8) the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 5% (Luxembourg) to 24% (Denmark) in 1987 and from 0% (Portugal) to 28% (Greece) in 1988. The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase in percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 4% (the Netherlands) and the largest recovery was of 12% (Denmark).

DEFOLIATION FOR ALL BROADLEAVED SPECIES BY MEMBER STATE (%)

: : : DEF	MEMBER ST	ATE :	ELLAS	:	ITALIA	: : 1 :	ESPAÑA	: : PORTUGAL :	: : F :	RANCE	: BE : BE :	LGIË/ LGIQUE	: LU : B0 :	xe m Urg	: BUNDESREP. : DEUTSCHLAND :	: : NEDERL :	AND	: : DANMARK :	: L : K :	INITED : (INGDOM :	IRELAND	: EEC-12 : : COMMUNITY : : SURVEY :
: : 0+1 :	NOT OR SLIGHTLY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	_ 71,5	::	96,0 94,4	::	86,3 93,1	: : - : 99,2 :	::	_ 94,7	::	84,0 89,9	: : 9 : 9 :	0,3 0,2	: : 80,8 : 83,5 :	: : 77, : 74,	2 6	: : 79,0 : 86,0 :	::	80,0 80,0		: 87,4 : 90,3 :
: : 2 : :	MODERATELY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	27,1	:	3,4 4,5	::	13,1 5,8	: : – : 0,0 :	:	- 4,3	::	15,1 8,4	: : :	8,5 9,0	: : 18,4 : 15,7 :	: 19, : 20, :	1 3	: : 20,0 : 13,0 :	:	19,0 18,0		: 11,8 : 8,6 :
: : 3 :	SEVERELY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	_ 1,1	: : : :	0,3 0,5	::	0,6 1,1	: : – : 0,8	::	- 1,0	::	0,9 1,6	::	0,8 0,6	: : 0,7 : 0,7	: : ? : 4,	4	: : 1,0 : 1,0 :	::	1,0 2,0		: 0,6 : 0,7 :
: 4 : :	DEAD	: 87 : 88 :	_ 0,3	::	0,3 0,6	::	0,0 0,0	: _ : _0,0 :	::	- 0,0	::	0,0 0,0	:	0,4 0,2	: : 0,1 : 0,1 :	: : ? : 0,	7	: : 0,0 : 0,0 :	:	0,0 0,0		: : 0,2 : 0,4 :

DISCOLOURATION FOR ALL BROADLEAVED SPECIES BY MEMBER STATE (%)

:	MEMBER ST	ATE :		:	:	~	:	:	: 1	BELGIE/	:	LUXEM-	:	BUNDESREP.	:		:		:	UNITED	:		:	EEC-12 :
:		:	ELLAS	: ITALIA	: E	ESPANA	: PORTUGAL	: FRANCE	: 1	BELGIQUE	:	BOURG	:	DEUTSCHLAND	:	NEDERLAND	:	DANMARK	:	KINGDOM	:	IRELAND	: (COMMUNITY :
<u>: D</u>]	SCOLORATIION CLAS	<u>s</u> :		:	:			:	:		:		:		:		:		:		:		:	SURVEY :
:		:		:	:		:	:	:		:		:		:		:		:		:		:	:
: 0	NOT DISCOLOURED	87 :	-	: 90,8	:	65,3	: -	: -	:	83,7	:	83,1	:	96,3	:	63,2	:	100,0	:	87,0	:	-	:	85,1 :
:		88 :	55,5	: 91,2	:	88,5	: 96,2	: 91,7	:	84,1	:	72,3	:	94,1	:	57,0	:	99,0	:	81,0	:	-	:	88,6 :
:		:		:	:		:	:	:		:		:		:		:		:		:		:	:
:		:		:	:		:	:	:		:		:		:		:		:		:		:	:
: 1	SLIGHTLY	87 :	-	: 5,2	:	26,9	: -	: -	:	6,6	:	16,3	:	2,8	:	29,0	:	0,0	:	11,0	:	-	:	11,1 :
:	DISCOLOURED	88 :	33,5	: 5,5	:	10,8	: 2,9	: 5,5	:	11,5	:	23,4	:	4,8	:	33,4	:	1,0	:	16,0	:	-	:	9,0 :
:		:		:	:		:	:	:		:		:		:		:		:		:		:	:
:		:		:	:		:	:	:		:		:		:		:		:		:		:	:
: 2	MODERATELY	87 :	-	: 3,8	:	7,0	: -	: -	:	8,2	:	0,6	:	0,8	:	4,3	:	0,0	:	2,0	:	-	:	3,5 :
:	DISCOLOURED	88 :	10,8	: 2,9	:	0,6	: 0,9	: 2,1	:	3,7	:	4,3	:	1,0	:	5,3	:	0,0	:	3,0	:	-	:	2,1 :
:		:		:	:		•	:	:		:		:		:		:		:		:		:	:
:		:		:	:		:	:	:	-	:		:		:		:		:		:		:	:
: 3	SEVERELY	87 :	-	: 0,2	:	0,8	: -	: -	:	1,5	:	0,0	:	0,1	:	3,5	:	0,0	:	0,0	:	-	:	0,3 :
:	DISCOLOURED	88 :	0,2	: 0,4	:	0,1	: 0,0	: 0,7	:	0,7	:	0,0	:	0,1	:	4,3	:	0,0	:	0,0	:	-	:	0,3 :
:		:		:	:		:	:	:		:		:		:		:		:		:		:	:

101

DEFOLIATION FOR ALL CONIFEROUS SPECIES BY MEMBER STATE (%)

: : : DEF	MEMBER ST	ATE : :	ELLAS	: : ITA :	LIA	: : ESPAÑA :	PORTUGAL	: : FRANCE :	: BELGIE/ : BELGIQUE :	: LUXEM- : BOURG :	: BUNDESREP. : DEUTSCHLAND :	: : NEDERLAND :	: : Danmark :	: UNITED : KINGDOM :	: IRELAND	: EEC-12 : : COMMUNITY : : SURVEY :
: 0+ :	NOT OR SLIGHTLY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 : :	_ 92,3	: : 95 : 93 :	,1 ,8	: : 89,3 : 92,7 :	- 98,3	: : - : 90,9 :	: 95,3 : 89,2 :	: 96,2 : 89,1 :	: : 84,1 : 86,0 :	: : 84,0 : 85,5 :	: : 76,0 : 79,0 :	: : 77,0 : 73,0 :	: 100,0 : 95,2 :	: 83,4 : : 84,5 :
: : 2 :	MODERATELY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 : :	_ 6,8	: : 4 : 5	,6 ,7	: 9,9 : 6,2	- 1,5	: : - : 8,0 :	: : 4,7 : 9,0 :	: : 3,1 : 9,6 :	: : 14,9 : 13,2 :	: : 14,0 : 12,1	: : 16,0 : 14,0 :	: : 18,0 : 22,0	: : 0,0 : 4,5	: 15,0 : : 13,7 :
: 3	SEVERELY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 :	_ 0,6	: : 0 : 0	,3 ,4	: : 0,8 : 1,1	- 0,2	: : – : 0,8	: : 0,0 : 1,8 :	: : 0,5 : 1,1 :	: 0,8 0,6	: : ? : 1,7	: : 8,0 : 7,0	: : 5,0 : 5,0	: : 0,0 : 0,3	: 1,5 : : 1,5 :
: : 4 : :	DEAD	87 : 88 : 88 :	_ 0,3	: : 0 : 0	,0 ,1	: 0,0 : 0,0	- 0,0	: - : 0,3 :	: : 0,0 : 0,0 :	: 0,2 : 0,2 :	: : 0,2 : 0,2 :	: : ? : 0,7	: : 0,0 : 0,0	: : 0,0 : 0,0	: : 0,0 : 0,0 :	: 0,1 : : 0,3 :

DISCOLOURATION FOR ALL CONIFEROUS SPECIES BY MEMBER STATE (%)

: : : DISCOLORAT	MEMBER STA	ATE : : 5 :	ELLAS	: : ITALIA :	: : ESPA :	ÑA :	PORTUGAL	: : FRANCE	:	BELGIË/ BELGIQUE	::	LUXEM- Bourg	::	BUNDESREP. DEUTSCHLAND	:	NEDERLAND	::	DANMARK	::	UNITED : KINGDOM :	IRELAND	: : CC	EEC-12 : XMMUNITY : SURVEY :
: :0 NOT DIS : :	SCOLOURED	: 87 : 88 : :	_ 71,2	: 81,7 : 86,2	: : 79, : 79, :	0 : 1 :	_ 96,4	: - : 84,7 :	::	100,0 89,2	:	96,8 88,7	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	95,5 95,4	::	72,2 53,8	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	93,0 96,0	::	76,0 : 76,0 :	97,8 68,6	:	: 87,1 : 85,4 :
: : 1 SLIGHTI : DISCOLO	LY OURED	: 87 : 88 : :	_ 23,7	: : 13,1 : 10,4 :	: : 14, : 19,	8 : 7 :	- 3,4	: - : 13,2	::	0,0 9,0	:	3,2 9,4	:	3,5 3,7	::	21,9 41,8	:	5,0 3,0	:	: 17,0 : 16,0 :	1,7 30,5	:	9,7 : 12,5 :
: 2 MODERA : DISCOLO	TELY OURED	: 87 : 88 : :	- 4,8	: : 5,1 : 3,1	: : 5, : 1,	2 : 0 :	- 0,2	: : - : 1,8	:	0,0 1,8	:	0,0 1,9	:	0,8 0,8	:	2,2 1,3	::	2,0 1,0	:	6,0 : 5,0 :	0,5 0,9	· : : :	2,8 : 1,9 :
: 3 SEVEREI : DISCOLO	LY OURED	87 : 88 :	- 0,3	: 20,1 20,3 3	: : 1, : 0,	0 : 2 :	- 0,0	: : _ : 0,3 :	::	0,0 0,0	: : : :	0,0 0,0	:	0,1 0,1		3,7 3,1	: : :	0,0 0,0	: : : :	1,0 : 1,0 :	0,0 0,0	: : :	0,4 : 0,2 :

DEFOLIATION FOR ALL BROADLEAVED SPECIES LESS THAN 60 YEARS BY MEMBER STATE (%)

: : : DEF	MEMBER ST	ATE : :	ELLAS	::	ITALIA	: : E :	SPAÑA	: : Portugal :	: : FRA	NCE	: BELGIE/ : BELGIQU :	: E : :	LUXEM- BOURG	: : :	BUNDESREP. DEUTSCHLAND	: : N :	NEDERLAND	: : C :	DANMARK	: UNITED : KINGDOW :	:	IRELAND	: EEC-12 : COMMUNIT : SURVEY	: : [Y : (:
: : 0+1 :	NOT OR SLIGHTLY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 :	_ 72,0	::	- -	::	89,9 93,3	: – : 99,9 :	: : - : 94	,9	: 90,6 96,1	::	95,1 92,0	::	89,2 92,3	::	82,2 78,0	:	76,0 88,0	: : 83,0 : 88,0 :	:	-	: 90,6 : 93,3	:
: : 2 :	MODERATELY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 : :	_ 26,9	:	-	:	9,3 5,5	: - : 0,0 :	: : - : 3	- 5,9	: : 8,1 : 3,5 :	:	3,3 5,9	:	10,1 7,1	:	15,4 17,7		22,0 10,0	: : 15,0 : 10,0 :	:	-	: 8,7 : 5,7	
: : 3 :	SEVERELY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 : :	_ 0,9	: : :	-	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	0,7 1,2	: : – : 0,1 :	: : - : 1 :	,2	: : 1,3 : 0,4	::	0,8 1,6	:	0,6 0,5	::	? 3,7	::	2,0 2,0	: : 2,0 : 2,0 :	:		. 0,4 . 0,7	::
: : 4 :	DEAD	: 87 : 88 : :	0,2	::	-	::	0,1 0,0	: – : 0,0 :	: : - : e	- 9,0	: : 0,0 : 0,0	::	0,6 0,5	::	0,1 0,1	::	? 0,6	::	0,0 0,0	: : 0,0 : 0,0 :	:	- -	: : 0,3 : 0,3 :	:

For <u>broadleaved</u> species of 60 years or more (table 9) the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 11% (Luxembourg) to 45% (United Kingdom) in 1987 and from 2% (Portugal) to 38% (the Netherlands) in 1988. The largest decline (increase in percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 3% (the Netherlands) and the largest recovery was of 10% (Spain).

<u>A comparison of the two age classes</u> (broadleaved species under 60 years and of 60 years or more) mentioned above shows that with one exception (Denmark in 1987), the proportion of defoliated, broadleaved species is higher for trees of 60 years or more than for those under 60. In 1987 this better performance of younger trees (smaller percentage of trees under 60 years as compared to trees of 60 years or more in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) ranged from 28% (United Kingdom) to 7% (Luxembourg, Spain) with Denmark as the only exception. In 1988 the corresponding better performance of younger trees ranged from 25% (United Kingdom) to less than 1% (France).

The over-performance of younger, broadleaved trees dropped between 3 and 5% from 1987 to 1988 in Spain, Luxembourg and the United Kingdom; it was largely constant in Belgium, Germany and the Netherlands; Denmark was an exception, switching from under-performance to over-performance of younger, broadleaved species.

5. Coniferous species over and under 60 years of age

For <u>coniferous species under</u> 60 years (table 10) the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 0% (Ireland) to 23% (United Kingdom) in 1987 and from 2% (Portugal) to 28% (United Kingdom) in 1988. The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase in percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 5% (Belgium, Denmark, Ireland) and the largest recovery was of 3% (Spain).

For <u>coniferous species of 60 years or more</u> (table 11) in 1987 the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 range from 5% (Spain) to 30% (Germany) and 55% (Denmark), but the latter figure is based on only 31 trees. In 1988 the corresponding range was from 2% (Portugal) to 30% (Luxembourg) and 34% (Denmark), but the latter figure is based on only 24 trees.

The very small sample in Denmark and the absence of figures from the United Kingdom and Ireland for coniferous species of 60 years or more is due to the relative short rotation age often applied to coniferous species.

DEFOLIATION FOR ALL BROADLEAVED SPECIES OF 60 YEARS OR MORE BY MEMBER STATE (%)

: : : DEE	MEMBER ST	ATE :	ELLAS	:	ITALIA	: : E	SPAÑA	: PORTUGAL	: : FRANCE	:	BELGIE/ BELGIQUE	:	LUXEM- BOURG	:	BUNDESREP. DEUTSCHLAND	:	NEDERLAND	: : C	DANMARK	:	UNITED : KINGDOM :	IR	RELAND	EEC-12 COMMUNIT	2 : TY :
: 0+1 : :	NOT OR SLIGHTLY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	_ 70,6	:	-	:	83,2 92,9	: - : 98,2	: _ : 94,5	: : : :	77,8 83,3	:	88,6 89,6		74,3 76,5	: :	65,5 62,1	: : :	84,0 83,0	:	55,0 : 63,0 :		-	80,9 87,3	:
: 2 : 2 :	MODERATELY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	_ 27,2	:	-	:	16,4 6,3	: – : 0,0	: - : 4,9	: : : :	21,6 13,7	:	10,3 10,2	:	24,8 22,6	: : : :	27,8 29,8	: : : :	16,0 17,0	:	39,0 : 32,0 :			17,6 11,5	:
: : 3 :	SEVERELY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	_ 1,6	:		::	0,4 0,8	: – : 1,8 :	: _ : _0,6	:	0,6 3,0	:	0,8 0,2	:	0,8 0,8	::	? 6,9	::	0,0 0,0	:	6,0 : 5,0 :		-	1,2 1,1	:
: 4 : 4 :	DEAD	87 : 88 :	0,6	:	-	::	0,0 0,0	: _ : 0,0 :	: : - : 0,0 :	:	0,0 0,0	:	0,3 0,0	::	0,1 0,1	:	? 1,2	:	0,0 0,0	:	0,0 : 0,0 : 0,0 :		-	0,3 0,1	:

DEFOLIATION FOR ALL CONIFEROUS SPECIES LESS THAN 60 YEARS BY MEMBER STATE

: : : DEF	MEMBER ST.	ATE : :	ELLAS	: : 1 :	TALIA	:	ESPAÑA	::	PORTUGAL	::	FRANCE	::	BELGIË/ BELGIQUE	:	Luxe m- Bourg	::	BUNDESREP. DEUTSCHLAND	::	NEDERLAND	:	DANMARK	::	UNITED : KINGDOM :	IRELAND	: : C :	EEC-12 : COMMUNITY : SURVEY :
: : 0+1 : :	NOT OR SLIGHTLY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 : :	_ 92,7	::	-	::	87,7 92,6	: : : :	_ 98,2	::	_ 95,6	::	95,3 90,1	:	98,4 95,5	::	93,7 93,9	::	83,6 84,7	::	80,0 80,0	::	; 77,0 ; 72,0 ;	100,0 95,2	:	: 89,5 : 91,6 :
: : 2 :	MODERATELY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 :	_ 6,5	:	-	::	11,4 6,4	::	- 1,6	: : : :	- 4,0	:	4,7 8,5	:	0,8 3,5	::	5,8 5,7	:	14,3 12,8	::	14,0 13,0	::	: 18,0 : 23,0 :	0,0 4,5		9,3 : 7,1 :
: : 3 :	SEVERELY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 :	_ 0,8	::	-	::	0,9 1,0	:	- 0,2	::	- 0,4	::	0,0 1,4	:	0,4 0,8	:	0,3 0,3	:	? 2,0	:	6,0 7,0	:	5,0 : 5,0 :	0,0 0,3	:	1,2 : 1,1 :
: : 4 :	DEAD	: 87 : 88 : :	0,0	:	-	:	0,0 0,0	:	_ 0,0	:	- 0,0	:	0,0 0,0	:	0,4 0,2	:	0,2 0,1	: : : :	? 0,5	: : : :	0,0 0,0		0,0 : 0,0 : 0,0 :	0,0 0,0	• • • • •	0,0 : 0,2 :

DEFOLIATION FOR ALL CONIFEROUS SPECIES OF 60 YEARS OR MORE BY MEMBER STATE (%)

:	MEMBER ST	ATE :		:		:	~~	:		:		: BELGIE/	:	LUXEM-	:	BUNDESREP.	:		:		:	UNITED	:		: E	EC-12 :
:		:	ELLA	S :	ITALIA	:	ESPANA	: P(ORTUGAL	: FRAN	CE	: BELGIQUE	:	BOURG	:	DEUTSCHLAND	:	NEDERLAND	:	DANMARK	:	KINGDOM	: 1	RELAND	: CON	MUNITY :
: DEF	OLIATION CLASS	:		:		:		:		:		:	:		:		:		:	(a)	:		:		: 9	SURVEY :
: : 0+1 :	NOT OR SLIGHTLY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	_ 92,1	:	- -	::	95,1 93,2	::	_ 98,3	: — : 84,	4	: – : 81,5	::	89,2 70,4	::	69,8 74,1	::	85,3 88,0	::	45,0 66,0	::	-	: : :	-	:	: 72,9 : 80,4 :
: : 2 :	MODERATELY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	_ 7,1	:	-	: : :	4,4 5,4	:	- 1,5	: – : 13, :	6	: : – : 13,0 :	- 	10,1 27,5	::	28,6 24,3	:	13,1 9,9	::	32,0 17,0	:	-		-	: : : :	25,1 : 18,2 :
: : 3 : :	SEVERELY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 :	_ 0,3	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	-	: : : :	0,5 1,4	: :	- 0,2	: : - : 1, :	3	: : - : 5,6 :	::	0,7 1,9	::	1,5 1,2	::	? 1,0	::	23,0 17,0	::	-	:	-	:	1,7 : 1,0 :
: : 4 :	DEAD	87 : 88 :	_ 0,5	::	-	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	0,0 0,0	::	- 0,0	: — : 0, :	6	: : - : 0,0 :	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	0,0 0,2	::	0,1 0,4	::	? 1,1	::	0,0 0,0	::	-	:	-	:	0,3 : 0,4 :

,

(a) small sample

<u>A comparison of the two age classes</u> (coniferous species under 60 years and of 60 years or more) shows that with four exceptions (Spain and the Netherlands in both years), the proportion of defoliated coniferous species is higher for trees of 60 years or more than for those under 60 years. For Portugal there is no difference between the 2 age classes.

In 1987 this better performance of younger trees (smaller percentage of trees under 60 years as compared to trees of 60 years or more in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 35% (Denmark), 24% (Germany), 8% (Luxembourg), with the Netherlands (- 2%) and Spain (- 7%) having the reverse tendency. In 1988 this comparison is possible for more Member States and the over-performance of younger trees ranges from 25% (Luxembourg), 20% (Germany) down to 1% (Greece) and 0% (Portugal), with the Netherlands and Spain again having the opposite trend (- 3% and - 1% respectively.

The over-performance of younger coniferous trees dropped by 21% (Denmark), 4% (Germany) between the two years while it increased by 17% in Luxembourg. The under-performance of younger trees in Spain in 1987 was largely irradicated in 1988 (a drop of 7%) while it was emphasised in the Netherlands (+ 2%).

6. <u>Defoliation</u> by genus and <u>Member</u> <u>State</u> (Picea, Pinus, Larix, Abies, Fagus and Quercus)

For <u>Picea</u> (spruce) (table 12) the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 0% (Ireland) to 23% (the Netherlands) in 1987 and from 3% (Ireland, Italy) to 31% (United Kingdom) in 1988. The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase of percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 11% (United Kingdom) and the largest recovery was of 6% (Denmark).

For <u>Pinus</u> (pine) (table 13) the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 4% (Belgium) to 41% (United Kingdom) in 1987 and from 2% (Portugal) to 16% (France) in 1988. The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase of percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 7% (Belgium) and the largest recovery was of 9% (United Kingdom).

For <u>Larix</u> (larch) (table 14) where results are only available from a few Member States, the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4ranges from 2% (France) to 8% (United Kingdom) in 1987 and from 2% (France) to 18% (United Kingdom) in 1988. The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase of percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 10% (United Kingdom) and the largest recovery was less than 1% (France).

DEFOLIATION FOR PICEA BY MEMBER STATE (%)

:	MEMBER ST	ATE	: : El	LAS	: : IT	ALIA	:	ESPAÑA	:	PORTUGAL	:	FRANCE	:	BELGIE/ BELGIQUE	:	LUXEM BOURG	:	BUNDESREP. DEUTSCHLAND	:	NEDERLAND	: 1	DANMARK	:	UNITED : KINGDOM :	IRELAND	EEC-12 COMMUNITY
: DEF	ULIATION CLASS		:		<u>.</u>				÷		:		-				<u> </u>		÷		-		-	(B) :	(c)	SURVET
: : 0+1 :	NOT OR SLIGHTLY	'87 88	:	-	: 9 : 9)6,7)6,7	:	-	:	-	:	91,9 96,3	:	-	:	95,9 88,4	:	83,0 85,5	:	77,1 82,5	:	82,0 88,0	:	80,0 : 69.0 :	100,0 96,6	: : 79,3 : 80.8
:			:		:		:		:		:		:		:		:	•	:	·	:	•	:	:		:
: 2 : 2 :	MODERATELY DEFOLIATED	87 88	:	-	:	3,3 3,3	::	-	:	-	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	7,0 3,3	:	-	:	3,4 10,2	:	16,4 13,9	::	18,9 14,6	::	13,0 7,0	::	: 16,0 : 24,0 : :	0,0 3,4	: : 19,5 : 17,9 :
: : 3 : :	SEVERELY DEFOLIATED	87 88	:	-	::	0,0 0,0	::	-	::	_	::	0,7 0,4	::	- -	::	0,5 1,1	: : :	0,5 0,5	::	? 2,5	:	5,0 5,0	::	4,0 : 7,0 : :	0,0 0,0	: : 1,2 : 1,2 :
: : 4 : :	DEAD	87 88	:		:	0,0 0,0	::	-	::		::	0,3 0,0	::	-	: : :	0,2 0,3	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	0,1 0,1	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	? 0,4	::	0,0 0,0	::	: 0,0 : 0,0 : :	0,0 0,0	: 0,0 : 0,1 :

,

(a) Picea abies (b) Picea sitchensis (c) Picea abies + Picea sitchensis

DEFOLIATION FOR PINUS BY MEMBER STATE (%)

: : : DEF	MEMBER ST	ATE :	ELL	AS :	ITALIA	:	ESPAÑA	:	PORTUGAL	:	FRANCE	:	BELGIE/ BELGIQUE	: LU : BO	XEM- URG	: BUNDESREP. : DEUTSCHLAND	:	NEDERLAND	: : D	ANMARK	: UNITED : KINGDOM	:	IRELAND	: (EEC-12 : COMMUNITY :
: 0+1 :	NOT OR SLIGHTLY DEFOLIATED	87 88	95	,3 :	93,6 92,3	:	88,3 92,7	::	- 98,3	: : : :	85,7 84,5	: : : :	95,8 89,1	• • • •		: : 88,1 : 88,4	: : : :	86,9 89,4	<u>·</u> : : : :	– –	: 58,8 : 58,8	: : :	_ 92,7		87,4 : 88,4 :
: 2 : 2 :	MODERATELY DEFOLIATED	87 88	- 4	;5 ;5;	5,7 6,4	::	11,0 6,3	::	- 1,5	::	12,3 13,5	: : :	4,2 9,1	: : : ·	-	: : 10,8 : 10,6 :	:	11,7 9,0	:	-	: : 31,3 :	::	- 6,7	::	: 11,2 : 9,4 :
: : 3 :	SEVERELY DEFOLIATED	87 88	- 0	,2	0,7 1,3	: :	0,7 1,0	::	- 0,2	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	1,3 1,6	::	0,0 1,8	: ·	-	: : 0,8 : 0,6 :	:	? 0,8	::	-	: 9,9 :	::	- 0,6	::	: 1,4 : 1,7 :
: 4 : :	DEAD	87 88	- 0	,0	0,0 0,0	::	0,0 0,0	::	- 0,0	::	0,7 0,4	::	0,0 0,0	•	-	: : 0,3 : 0,4 :	:	? 0,8	:	-	0,0	:	- 0,0	:	0,0 : 0,5 :

(a) Pinus pinaster + P. halepensis + P. sylvestris + P. nigra + P. pinea

(b) Pinus nigra + P. sylvestris

(c) Pinus sylvestris + P. contorta

(d) Pinus sylvestris + P. pinaster + P. pinea

DEFOLIATION FOR LARIX BY MEMBER STATE (%)

:	MEMBER ST	ATE :		:	:	:	:		: BELGIE/	: LUXEM-	: BUNDESREP.	:		:	: (UNITED :		: EEC-12 :
:		:	ELLAS	: ITALIA	: ESPAÑA	: PORTUGAL	: FRA	NCE	: BELGIQUE	: BOURG	: DEUTSCHLAND	:	NEDERLAND	: DANMARK	: 1	KINGDOM :	IRELAND	: COMMUNITY :
: DEF	OLIATION CLASS	:		:	:	•	:		•	:	:	:		:	:	(a) :		SURVEY :
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		: :
: 0+1	NOT OR SLIGHTLY	87 :		: 95,8	:	:	: 97	,6	:	:	:	:	-	:	:	92,0 :		: 94,6 :
:	DEFOLIATED	88 :		: 91,2	:	:	: 98	,3	:	:	:	:	94,5	:	:	82,0 :		: 90,0 :
<u>:</u>		:		<u>.</u>	:	:	:		:	: ,	•	:		:	:			: <u> </u>
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		: :
: 2	MODERATELY	87 :		: 4,2	:	:	: 2	.,4	:	:	:	:	-	:	:	7,0 :		: 5,2 :
:	DEFOLIATED	88 :		: 8,5	:	:	: 1	,7	:	:	:	:	4,6	:	:	17,0 :		: 9,6 :
<u>:</u>		:			•	•	:		:		:	:		:	:			
:		:			:	:	:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		: :
: 3	SEVERELY	87 :		: 0,0	:	:	: 0	,0	:	:	:	:	-	:	:	1,0 :		: 0,0 :
:	DEFOLIATED	88 :		: 0,0	:	:	: 0	,0	:	:	:	:	1,0	:	:	1,0 :		: 0,0 :
<u>:</u>		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		: :
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		: :
: 4	DEAD	87 :		: 0,0	:	:	: 0	,0	:	:	:	:	-	:	:	0,0 :		: 0,2 :
:		88 :		: 0,3	:	:	: 0	,0	:	:	:	:	0,0	:	:	0,0 :		: 0,4 :
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		: :

. ...

- 118 -

(a) Larix kaempferi (leptolepis)

For <u>Abies</u> (fir) (table 15) the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 7% (Italy) to 48% (Germany) in 1987 and from 6% (Italy) to 45% (Germany) in 1988. The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase of percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was less than 1% (France) and the largest recovery was of 4% (Germany). Also for this species results were only available from a few Member States.

For <u>Fagus</u> (beech) (table 16) the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 0% (Spain, Italy) to 46% (Belgium) in 1987 and from 2% (Spain) to 24% (Greece) in 1988. The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase of percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 4% (Italy) and the largest recovery was of 41% (Belgium).

For <u>Deciduous Quercus</u> (oak) (table 17) the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 1% (Spain) to 42% (United Kingdom) in 1987 and from 0% (Portugal) to 44% (United Kingdom) in 1988. The largest decline between 1987 and 1988 (increase of percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4) was of 12% (Spain) and the largest recovery was of 5% (Belgium).

The situation for <u>Evergreen Quercus</u> (oak) (table 18) is relatively good in the three Member States from which results are available; the percentage of trees in defoliation classes 2 + 3 + 4 ranges from 0% to 18% and from 0% to 8% in the two years respectively.

D. Summary information regarding possible causes of observed damage

A chapter on possible causes of observed damage is included in the National Report of each Member State.

In their reports most Member States point to a variety of causes, most common of which are insects, fungi, climatic stress and nutrient deficiency.

Air pollutants and related leaching and soil toxicity are mentioned as a possible cause by some Member States, but most of them avoid any definite conclusion in this respect.

Among other causes mentioned by a few Member States are storms, fires, grazing, management and tree-provenance.

The effects on the trees of climate, insects, fungi, etc. are not questioned by any Members States in their National Reports, while the effectof air pollution and its interaction with other stress factors is debated. Some selected and abbreviated quotations from the National Reports may serve to illustrate the variety of opinions put forward concerning air pollution.

DEFOLIATION FOR ABIES BY MEMBER STATE (%)

:	MEMBER ST	ATE :	ELLAS	: : ITALIA	: : ESPAÑA	: : PORTUGAL	: : Ff	RANCE	: BELGIE/ : BELGIQUE	: LUXEM- : BOURG	: BU : DE	UNDESREP.	: : NEDERLAND	: : DANMARK	: UNITED : : KINGDOM :	IRELAND	EEC-12 : COMMUNITY :
: DEF	OLIATION CLASS	:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		:	:	: ;		: SURVEY :
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		:	:	: :	:	: :
: 0+1	NOT OR SLIGHTLY	87 :	-	: 93,5	:	:	: 8	83,7	:	:	:	51,6	:	:	: :	:	: 66,2 :
:	DEFOLIATED	88 :	88,4	: 94,0	:	:	: 8	82,9	:	:	:	55,5	:	:	: :	:	: 69,5 :
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		:	:	: :	<u> </u>	: :
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		:	:	: :		: :
: 2	MODERATELY	87 :	-	: 6,5	:	:	:	15,8	:	:	:	42,9	:	:	: :		: 27,3 :
:	DEFOLIATED	88 :	9,9	: 6,0	:	:	:	15,5	:	:	:	39,8	:	:	: :	:	: 27,1 :
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		:	:	: :		: ;
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		:	:	:		: :
: 3	SEVERELY	87 :	-	: 0,0	:	:	:	2,1	:	:	:	4,7	:	:	: :	:	: 5,9 :
:	DEFOLIATED	88 :	1,1	: 0,0	:	:	:	1,0	:	:	:	4,3	:	:	: :	:	: 2,1 :
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		:	:	: :		: :
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		:	:	:		:
: 4	DEAD	87 :	-	: 0,0	:	:	:	0,4	:	:	:	0,8	:	:	: :	:	: 0,6 :
:		88 :	0,6	: 0,0	:	:	:	0,5	:	:	:	0,4	:	:	: :	:	: 1,3 :
:		:		:	:	:	:		:	:	:		:	:	: :	:	: :

DEFOLIATION OF FAGUS BY MEMBER STATE (%)

	MEMBER ST	ATE :		:	:	~.	:		:	:	BELGIE/	:	LUXEM-	: E	BUNDESREP.	:		:		:	UNITED :	;	_	:	EEC-12
		:	ELLAS	: ITALIA	: E	SPANA	: PORT	UGAL	: FRANCE	::	BELGIQUE	:	BOURG	: [DEUTSCHLAND	:	NEDERLAND	:	DANMARK	: 1	KINGDOM :	: IF	RELAND	: CC	MMUNITY :
: DEF	OLIATION CLASS	:	<u>(a)</u>	:	:		:		:	:		:		:		:		:		:	:	:		:	SURVEY
:		:		:	:		:		:	:		:		:		:		:		:	:	;		:	
: 0+1	NOT OR SLIGHTLY	87 :	-	: 99,8	: 1	00,0	: -		: 91,8	:	53,8	:	87,4	:	78,7	:	69,1	:	77,0	:	88,2 :		-	:	84,9
:	DEFOLIATED	88 :	75,8	: 96,2	:	98,4	: -		: 97,0	:	94,5	:	91,7	:	83,5	:	78,1	:	84,0	:	88,0 :		-	:	87,8
		:		:	:		:		:	:		:		:		:		:		:	:	<u> </u>		:	
:		:		:	:		:		:	:		:		:		:		:		:	:	j.		:	:
: 2	MODERATELY	87 :	-	: 0,0	:	0,0	: -		: 7,5	:	38,5	:	11,5	:	20,7	:	26,7	:	23,0	:	17,0 :		-	:	14,3 :
:	DEFOL IATED	88 :	23,7	: 3,3	:	1,1	: -		: 2,9	:	4,8	:	8,3	:	16,0	:	19,1	:	16,0	:	11,0 :		-	:	11,5
:				:	:		:		:			:		:		:		: :		÷				<u>.</u>	
: 3	SEVERELY	87 :	-	: 0.2	:	0.0	: -		: 0.5	:	7.7		0.8	:	0.6	:	?	•	0.0	•	1.0		_		0.8
	DEFOLIATED	88 :	0,5	: 0,3	:	0,5	: -		: 0,2	:	0,7	:	0,0	:	0,5	:	2,4	:	0,0	:	1,0 :		-	:	0,6
				•	<u>.</u>					<u> </u>		:		<u>.</u>		:		<u>:</u>		÷	:			:	
: 4	DEAD	87 :	-	: 0,0	:	0,0	: -		: 0.2	:	0.0	:	0.3	:	0.0	:	?	:	0.0	:	0.0 :		_	:	0.0
:		88 :	0,0	: 0,2	:	0,0	: -		: 0,0	:	0,0	:	0,0	:	0,0	:	0,4	:	0,0	:	0,0 :		-	:	0,1
		:		:	:		:		:	:		:		:		:		:		:	:	,		:	:

- 121 -

(a) Fagus moesiaca + F. sylvatica

DEFOLIATION FOR DECIDUOUS QUERCUS BY MEMBER STATE (%)

:	MEMBER ST	ATE :	ELLAS	:	ITALIA	:	ESPAÑA	: : POR	TUGAL	: : FR	ANCE	:	BELGIE/ BELGIQUE	:	LUXEM BOURG	:	BUNDESREP. DEUTSCHLAND	: : N	EDERLAND	: DANMARK	: l : k	JNITED	:	IRELAND	: : C	EEC-12 COMMUNITY	
: DE	FOLIATION CLASS	:	(d)	:		:	(b)	: (•	•)	:		:	(c)	:		:		:	(0)	:	:	(a)	:		:	SURVEY	:
: : 0+ :	1 NOT OR SLIGHTLY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 :	_ 68,1	::	94,9 94,3	::	99,0 87,0	: : 100	- 9,0	: : 9 : 9 :	94,8 96,9	: : :	81,2 86,0	::	92,2 88,6	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	77,9 76,0	: : :	69,4 63,0	: : :	::	58,0 56,0	::	-	:	88,0 86,7	::
: : 2 :	MODERATELY DEFOLIATED	87 : 88 :	_ 29,5	:	4,9 5,2	:	1,0 7,2	: -	- 9,0	:	4,6 2,9	::	18,8 11,5	:	6,6 9,8	::	21,4 22,9	:	25,5 29,0	: :	::	38,0 39,0	:	_ _	: : :	11,3 12,0	
: : 3 :	SEVERELY DEFOLIATED	: 87 : 88 :	_ 1,8	:	0,2 0,2	:	0,0 5,8	: -	- 9,0	:	0,5 0,2	:	0,0 2,5	:	0,8 1,2	::	0,5 1,0	:	? 6,8	:	:	4,0 5,0	:	- -	::	0,5 0,9	· · · ·
: 4	DEAD	: 87 : 88 :	_ 0,6	:	0,1 0,3	:	0,0 0,0	: -	- 9,0	:	0,1 0,0	:	0,0 0,0	:	0,4 0,4	:	0,1 0,1	:	? 1,2	:	:	0,0 0,0	:	-	:	0,2 0,4	::

(a) Quercus robur

(b) Quercus pyrenaica

(c) Quercus robur + Quercus subra (borealis)
(d) Quercus frainetto + Q. robur + Q. petrea
(e) Quercus pyrenaica

DEFOLIATION FOR EVERGREEN QUERCUS BY MEMBER STATE (%)

:	MEMBER ST	ATE :		:	:		:		:	: BELGIE/	: LUXEM-	: BUNDESREP.	:	:	: UNITED	:	: EEC-12
:		:	ELLAS	: ITALIA	:	ESPAÑA	: P	PORTUGAL	: FRANCE	E : BELGIQUE	: BOURG	: DEUTSCHLAND	: NEDERLAND	: DANMARK	: KINGDOM	: IRELAND	: COMMUNITY
: DEF	OLIATION CLASS	:			:	(a)	:	(b)	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	: SURVEY
:		:		:	:		:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	
: 0+1	NOT OR SLIGHTLY	87 :		: 100,0	:	81,8	:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
:	DEFOLIATED	88 :		: 100,0	:	92,4	:	100,0	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	: :
		:		:	:		:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
:		:		:	:		:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
: 2	MODERATELY	87 :		: 0,0	:	17,5	:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	DEFOLIATED	88 :		: 0,0	:	7,0	:	0,0	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
:		:		:	:		:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
:		:		:	:		:		:	:	•	•	:	:	:	:	:
3	SEVERELY	87 :		: 0,0	:	0,7	:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
:	DEFOLIATED	88 :		: 0,0	:	0,6	:	0,0	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
				:	:		:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
:		:		:	:		:		:	:	:	•	:	:	:	:	
: 4	DEAD	87 :		: 0,0	:	0,0	:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
:		88 :		: 0,0	:	0,0	:	0,0	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	:
				:	:		:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:		:

- 120 -

(a) Quercus ilex + Q. suber

(b) Quercus suber + Q. rotundifolia

<u>Germany</u>: "... adverse substances in the air and their reaction products are involved in the new type of forest decline ... a complex of biotic and abiotic factors are responsible and air pollutants play an important role there although the importance of the single factors can vary considerably with time and site ... all attempts to explain forest decline neglecting air pollutants have after appropriate scrutiny been turned down or rest unproven. ... the most important adverse substances are sulphur oxide and nitrogen compounds as well as the acids and photoxidants they form in the air. The combined effect of more adverse substances, additive or even synergistic effects, deserves special attention ...

The noxious effect of air pollution works in two ways: directly and by means of acid and nitrogen in the soll . . . Forest ecosystems will be exposed to a higher load of air pollutants than will the surrounding open land. This is due to the height of the trees, their filter effect, etc. . . evidence has been collected as to the harmful effect of photooxidants (e.g. ozone) and acidification . . . a reduction of the soil content of interchangeable bases and an increase in the content of interchangeable acids and acid formers can be verified . . . Acidification can lead to aluminium and heavy metals in the ground and in water sources."

<u>United Kingdom</u>: "Detailed analysis of the results of the 1987 forest damage surveys undertaken in the United Kingdom suggests that the main factors affecting the crown densities of trees are climatic. While air pollution may be having some effect on the trees, it is not easy to distinguish any such effect from those caused by other factors."

<u>Ireland</u>: "Difficulties arise in attributing defoliation and disscolouration specifically to the effects of atmospheric pollution. In most plots of the current survey, needle loss and yellowing were generally attributed to insect or fungal activity, nutrient deficiency or climatic stress.

As in the defoliation, the discolouration was generally attributed to factors other than atmospheric pollution. The yellowing in the spruces was mostly caused by green spruce aphid attack with some slight nutrient deficiency symptoms."

<u>Greece</u>: "So far we have not observed damages resembling those attributed to air pollution."

<u>The Netherlands</u>: "Concerning air pollution it should be noted that this may emphasise or weaken the effect of traditional factors. Preliminary results from ongoing research show that the effect of air pollution is serious. It can be established that the vitality of forest in the Netherlands is influenced by air pollution inter alia."

<u>Denmark</u>: "... the improvement between 1987 and 1988 may probably be attributed mainly to abundant rain in the growing season and the absence of storms for some years ... Research has shown that air pollution can directly harm the trees by way of deposition on the foilage ... this requires however concentrations of pollutants not normally seen in Denmark.

Besides, air pollution has an indirect action because the gases dissolved in precipitation render it acidic so that the soil and later the trees will be influenced by way of leaching. This will first be seen on oligotroph soils. It is uncertain whether the indirect effect of air pollution has already manifested itself in the northwestern part of Jutland (oligotroph soils), as a number of other known damaging agents occur at the same time. It is difficult to distinguish between the reasons behind defoliation, as the damaging agents act in combination - it is the total stress on a tree that decides its state of health."

<u>Italy</u>: "Among the possible causes behind forest damage in Italy are, of course, all factors for which a toxic effect on vegetation has been demonstrated (S02, NOx, cations of heavy metals, etc.) or factors where such an effect is only yet a hypothesis (electro-magnetism, radioactivity, C02, etc.)."

<u>France</u>: "One should not exclude the hypothesis that atmospheric pollution may have contributed to those effects (repercussions of earlier dryness) thus preventing restoration of trees that otherwisse had overcome the consequences of the drought: it has in fact been demonstrated experimentally that most pollutants act synergetically with climatic problems, coldness or water deficiency."

E. EPILOGUE

A compilation of the data from the National Reports of the Member States allows analysis of the development from 1987 to 1988 and a comparison between Member States. Analysis of other trends, as carried out for the Community net, is not possible for the data from the National Reports.

As to a possible evolution in time for the national results, it is difficult to draw any definite conclusions yet. Firstly, because one year is too short a period and secondly because the survey nets inside some Member States were modified between the two years. So even though the present National Reports are based on more data than is the Community network (and especially the common sample trees thereof: CST) they are likely to be less suited to indicate Community-wide damage evolution.

Exmaination of the data, however, does not suggest that the situation has deteriorated from 1987 to 1988; a conclusion that is in line with the results of the Community survey.

A comparison between Member States shows that neighbouring countries often have similar damage pictures; pronounced exceptions to this tendency however exist. This tendency to similarity between neighbouring countries is indeed to be expected as climate, soil conditions, some biotic damaging agents, and long range air pollution are to a large extent transboundary. The similarity between neighbouring countries may indeed be taken as a confirmation of the feasibility of the applied survey method, including eye-estimation of the damage.

First analysis of the data from the Community Network however suggests that the state of health of forests varies with a multitude of factors such as climate and other site characteristics, abiotic or biotic damaging agents, and the use of observers operating nationally/regionally may have contributed to some bias between Member States too. For these reasons as well as because of the very short time period available, it is premature to draw conclusions as to differences between individual Member States. The present compilation however is an important complement to the results of the Community network, particularly at national and regional level.

ANNEX 1

The research into effects of air pollution on forests in the framework of the Community's Research and Development Programmes

Since 1984 research into causes of the deteriorating health of forests has been the subject of European projects financed and coordinated by the Commission in the framework of its Research and Development Programmes on environmental protection. The scientific work relates to (a) an objective, precise description of the symptoms observed, which takes account of their development over time and their spatial variations, (b) a thorough knowledge of the environmental, natural and human factors likely to influence the health of forests, (c) the search for early indicators, which might indicate the specific simple (a single determining factor) or complex (several determining factors) cause-and-effect relationships, thus taking account of synchronic or asynchronic interactions between the factors, and (d) a thorough investigation and verification of all the main hypotheses put forward to explain the deterioration of the health of forests, namely:

- the multiple stress hypothesis,
- acidification of the soil,
- the direct ozone effect, in combination with acid deposition,
- mineral deficiencies,
- excessive deposition of nitrogen compounds,
- the influence of climatic and weather factors,

and the variants and combinations of those hypotheses.

Substantial scientific progress has been made in respect of the investigation and verification of all the hypotheses, both as regards the theoretical study (laboratory work) of the physiological and ecological mechanisms to which those hypotheses relate and as regards the occurrence of such mechanisms in forests.

Alongside investigation of the hypotheses, major scientific progress has also been made as regards knowledge of the environmental factors likely to play a part in the deterioration process (soil, climate, meteorology, pollution climate, forestry cultivation methods). In many cases, differences by region were established. This factor proved to be of the highest importance as regards in particular the choice of explanatory hypotheses. On the other hand, a precise and objective comparative description of the symptoms and of their development was made possible thanks to the coordinated research programme.

Progress made is described in detail in Commission Scientific documents published in the framework of the Research and Development Programmes on environmental protection between 1985 and 1988 (list appended); A summary of that work is currently being prepared.

PUBL ICATIONS

Indirect effects of air pollution on forest trees Root-rhizoshere interaction	XII/ENV/24/86
Direct effects of dry and wet deposition on forest ecosystems — canopy interactions Air pollution research report n° 4	EUR 11264
Air pollution and Ecosystems Air pollution research report n° 7	EUR 11244
Microclimate and plant growth in Open-Top Chambers Air pollution research report n° 5	EUR 11257
Pollution climates in Europe and their perception by terrestrial ecosystems Air pollution research report n° 6	EUR 11432
Relationships between above and below ground influences of air pollution on forest trees Air pollution research report n° 16	EUR 11738
Scientific basis of forest decline symptomatology Air pollution research report n° 15	EUR 11737

•.

.

ANNEX 2

INITIATIVES AT COMMUNITY LEVEL IN RELATION TO REDUCTION OF ATMOSPHERIC POLLUTION

Regarding the reduction of atmospheric pollution, the activities of the Community have been orientated following three main lines:

1. Reduction of the emissions from non-moving sources;

2. Reduction of the emissions from moving sources;

3. Normalisation of products.

Non - moving pollution sources

The directive 88/609/EEC concerning the limitation of the emissions of pollutants into the air from large combustion installations is the first step towards a reduction of pollution coming from non moving sources.

This directive foresees for existing combustion installations a reduction of total annual emissions compared to 1980 quantities in three steps for SO2 (40% in 1993, 60% in 1998 and 70 % in 2003) and in two steps for the NOX (20% in 1993 and 40 % in 1998).

Derogations to these dispositions are foreseen for certain Member States considering their specific situations.

New installations (authorized after July 1987) will have to conform to limited emission values for the same pollutants and for solid particles.

Furthermore the Council achieved a political consensus on a proposal for a directive concerning the reduction of pollution by new installations for burning urban waste which foresees satisfactory norms of emissions of HCI; SO2 and solid particles.

A proposal for a directive concerning old installations has also been submitted to the Council.

2.Moving sources

Different directives have been adopted by the Council concerning the reduction of pollutant emissions by motor vehicles.

They mainly concern the emissions of CO, NOx, and combined emissions of NOx and hydrocarbons by particular vehicles equipped with a gas or diesel engine and by heavy vehicles equipped with diesel engines as well as the emissions of solid particles by vehicles equipped with diesel engines.

3. Product norms

The limitation of the content of certain products of polluting substances is foreseen by two directives fixing limit contents for Pb in gas and S in gasoils. The Commission will continue to attach particular importance to the reduction of atmospheric pollution coming from specific sources such as small combustion units (less tha 50 MW) and combustion units for toxic waste, and will continue its actions in the field of moving sources.

The Commission is studying the possibilities to combat photochemical pollution (03 mainly) by reducing chemical precursors such as NOX and VOCs (volatile organic compounds).

Finally the reduction of so called green house gases belongs to the priorities of the Commission's working programme for reducing atmospheric pollution.

Information on the state of the environment in the European Community:

The CORINE programme

The Corine programme for gathering, coordinating and ensuring the consistency of information on the state of the environment and natural resources in the European Community was adopted by the Council by the decision n° 85/338/CEE of 27 June 1985 for a duration of 4 years.

The objectives of this programme follow three main axes:

- gathering of information on the state of the environment;
- coordination of initiatives whith the aim of improving the quality of the environment;
- ensuring the consistency and the comparability of data.

As far as atmospheric pollution is concerned, Corine, together with other institutions concerned such as OCDE has :

- contributed to the achievement of the cartographic OCDE and EC inventory of the emissions of SO2, NOx, VOC (reference year 1980);
- together with OCDE developed a common method and vocabulary and on this basis realised the inventory of emissions for the year 1985;
- contributed to the Benelux pilot project for mapping the atmospheric concentrations of different substances (NO2, SO2, Pb).

The Corine project completes the environmental policy of the Community and allows better observation, monitoring and verification of the evolution of the state of the environment in general. •

FORM 1

Common forest damage inventory data to be forwarded to the Commission

Country (1)											Date of observation (6)									
Obser	vati	on p	oint r	numb	er (2)						Actual latitude coordinate (7)									
Availa princi	abilii pal :	ty of speci	wate	er to							Actua	l longit	ude coo	ordinate (7)						
Humu	is ty	pes ((*)				*******				Aspec	r (*)		k						
Altitu	de (5)			- <u>-</u> · ,						Mean	age of								
Sample tree number (10)		Species (11)		Defoliation (12)	Discolouration (¹³)	T 1	T 2	Easil of d T 3	y identi amage T 4	fiable c. Fype: T T 5	auses (14) T 6	Т7	Т 8	Identification of damage type if possible (13)	Other observations (16):					
1		;																		
2		1							<u> </u>											
3						ļ														
4						ļ	 					<u> </u>								
5						ļ	<u> </u>													
										· ·			[
9							1.													
10													i	<u> </u>	-					
11					· · · · · · · ·															
12						1			· · ·											
13														, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
14																				
15																				
16						L									-					
17													 		4					
18						ļ														
					. <u></u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				• •	1							
20	$\left - \right $					<u> </u>			 	<u> </u>										
- 21	$\left \right $		_				1		<u> </u>		L		<u> </u>		-					
22			_				+						1		-					
2.4											ļ									
25		-+				†									-					
26									1			<u> </u>			1					
27						1		-		[-	<u> </u>	·····						
28						1	1		1	1	 				1					
29						1	1		1						1 .					
30						1	1]					
										-	•	• • • • •								

For the replacing of trees of the sample see the form in Annex.

•

FORM 1 — Annex

Replaced trees (17)

mple tree number (¹⁰)	ecies (11)	foliation (12)	scolouration (¹³)		1	Easil of d	y identi amage	fiable c Type: T	auses (11)	Identification of damage type if possible (15)		
Sai	Sp	ă	ä	T 1	T 2	T 3	T 4	T 5	T 6	Τ7	T 8	
32				ļ				 			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
-33								ļ				
34			ļ									
35					ļ						 	
36												
37				ļ	<u> </u>							
38												
39					1							
40				ł								
41												
42												
43		1		1	1				i			
44				1	1			1				
45		1						1			· · · · · · · ·	
46				+					†			
47	<u> - </u>	<u></u> †	+	1	1							
48		+					<u></u>					
49						<u> </u>		+		l	:	
		÷						<u>+</u>	+			
		+						<u> </u>			1	
			+	+	!		1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
52	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			┼			+					
		ļ			1				1		1	
<u> </u>				+							ł	
	+ $+$ $+$ $-$				1	; ;						
			<u> </u>		1 		1		-	1	 ;	·
57									1	ļ	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
58	<u> </u>	ļ		-		-			ļ		1 +	
59				<u> </u>		ļ				ļ	<u> </u>	
60					-		l.			1	ł	

•

.

European Communities - Commission

European Community: Forest health report, 1987-1988

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities

1989 — IV, 132 pp., 19 illus. — 21.0 \times 29.7 cm

ES, DA, DE, GR, EN, FR, IT, NL, PT

ISBN 92-826-0949-9

Catalogue number: CH-56-89-877-EN-C

This report gives the results of national forest health reports and the Community forest damage survey in 1987 and 1988. The aim of the report is to give an overview of the state of forest health in the European Community.

Venta y suscripciones • Salg og abonnement • Verkauf und Abonnement • Πωλήσεις και συνδρομές Sales and subscriptions • Vente et abonnements • Vendita e abbonamenti Verkoop en abonnementen • Venda e assinaturas

BELGIQUE / BELGIË

Moniteur belge / Belgisch Staatsblad Rue de Louvain 42 / Leuvenseweg 42 1000 Bruxelles / 1000 Brussel Tél. (02) 512 00 26 Téléfax 511 01 84 CCP / Postrekening 000-2005502-27

Sous-dépôts / Agentschappen:

Librairie européenne / Europese Boekhandel Avenue Albert Jonnart 50 / Albert Jonnartiaan 50 1200 Bruxelies / 1200 Brussel Tél. (02) 734 02 81 Téléfax 735 08 60

Téléfax 735 08 60 Jean De Lannoy Avenue du Roi 202 /Koningslaan 202 1060 Bruxelles / 1060 Brussel Tél. (02) 538 51 69 Télex 63220 UNBOOK B

CREDOC Rue de la Montagne 34 / Bergstraat 34 Bte 11 / Bus 11 1000 Bruxelles / 1000 Brussel

DANMARK

J. H. Schultz Information A/S EF-Publikationer Ottiliavej 18 2500 Valby Tlf. 36 44 22 66 Telefax 36 44 01 41 Girokonto 6 00 08 86

BR DEUTSCHLAND

Bundesanzeiger Verlag

Breite Straße Postfach 10 80 06 5000 Köln 1 Tel. (0221) 20 29-0 Fernschreiber: ANZEIGER BONN 8 882 595 Telefax 20 29 276

GREECE

G.C. Eleftheroudakis SA

International Bookstore Nikis Street 4 10563 Athens Tel. (01) 322 63 23 Telex 219410 ELEF Telefax 323 98 21

Sub-agent for Northern Greece:

Molho's Bookstore The Business Bookshop Tsimiski Street 10 Thessaloniki Tel. (031) 27 52 71 Telex 412885 LIMO

ESPAÑA

Boletín Oficial del Estado Trafalgar, 27 28010 Madrid Tel. (91) 446 60 00 Mundi-Prensa Líbros, S.A. Castelló, 37 28001 Madrid Tel. (91) 431 33 99 (Libros) 431 32 22 (Suscripciones) 435 36 37 (Dirección) Télex 49370-MPLI-E Telefax (91) 275 39 98 Sucursal:

Liberata Internacional AEDOS Consejo de Ciento, 391 08009-Barcelona Tel. (93) 301 86 15 Telefax (93) 317 01 41

FRANCE

Journal officiel Service des publications des Communautés européennes 26, rue Desaix 75727 Paris Cedex 15 Tél. (1) 40 58 75 00 Téléfax (1) 40 58 75 74

IRELAND

Government Publications Sales Office Sun Alliance House Molesworth Street Dublin 2 Tel. 71 03 09

or by post Government Stationery Office EEC Section 6th floor Bishop Street Dublin 8 Tel. 78 16 66 Fax 78 06 45

ITALIA

Licosa Spa Via Benedetto Fortini, 120/10 Casella postale 552 50125 Firenze Tel. (055) 64 54 15 Telefax 64 12 57 Telex 570466 LICOSA I CCP 343 509

Subagenti:

Libreria scientifica Lucio de Biasio - AEIOU Via Meravigli, 16 20123 Milano Tel. (02) 80 76 79

Herder Editrice e Libreria Piazza Montecitorio, 117-120 00186 Roma Tel. (06) 679 46 28/679 53 04

Libreria giuridica Via 12 Ottobre, 172/R 16121 Genova Tel. (010) 59 56 93

GRAND-DUCHÉ DE LUXEMBOURG

Abonnements seulement Subscriptions only Nur für Abonnements

Messageries Paul Kraus 11, rue Christophe Plantin 2339 Luxembourg Tél. 48 21 31 Télex 25 15 CCP 49242-63

NEDERLAND

Christoffel Plantijnstraat 2 Postbus 20014 2500 EA 's-Gravenhage Tel. (070) 78 98 80 (bestellingen) Telefax (070) 47 63 51

PORTUGAL

Imprensa Nacional Casa da Moeda, EP Rua D. Francisco Manuel de Meio, 5 1092 Lisboa Codex Tel. (01) 69 34 14

Distribuídora de Livros Bertrand, Ld.* Grupo Bertrand, SARL Rua das Terras dos Vales, 4-A Apartado 37 2700 Amadora Codex Tel. (01) 493 90 50 - 494 87 88 Telex 15798 BERDIS Telefax 491 02 55

UNITED KINGDOM

HMSO Books (PC 16) HMSO Publications Centre 51 Nine Eims Lane London SW8 5DR Tel. (01) 873 90 90 Fax GP3 873 84 63 Sub-agent: Alan Armstrong Ltd 2 Arkwright Road Reading, Berks RG2 OSQ Tel. (0734) 75 18 55 Telex 849837 AAALTD G Fax (0734) 75 51 64

SUISSE

OSEC Stampfenbachstraße 85 8035 Zürich Tél. (01) 365 51 51 Fax (01) 365 52 21

ÖSTERREICH

Manz'sche Verlagsund Universitättsbuchhandlung Kohlmarkt 16 1014 Wien Tel. (0222) 531 61-0 Telex 11 25 00 BOX A Telefax (0222) 531 61-81

TÜRKIYE

Dünya süper veb ofset A.Ş. Narlibahçe Sokak No. 15 Cağaloğlu İstanbul Tel. 512 01 90 Telex 23822 DSVO-TR

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNIPUB 4661-F Assembly Drive Lanham, MD 20708-4391 Tel. Toll Free (800) 274 48 88 Fax (301) 459 00 58 Telex 7108260418

CANADA

Renouf Publishing Co., Ltd 61 Sparks Street Ottawa Ontario K1P 5R1 Tel. Toll Free 1 (800) 267 41 64 Ottawa Region (613) 238 89 85-6 Telex 053-4938

JAPAN

Kinokuniya Company Ltd 17-7 Shinjuku 3-Chome Shinjuku-ku Tokyo 160-91 Tel. (03) 354 01 31

Journal Department PO Box 55 Chitose Tokyo 156 Tel. (03) 439 01 24

AUTRES PAYS OTHER COUNTRIES ANDERE LÄNDER

Office des publications officielles des Communautés européennes 2, rue Mercier L-2985 Luxembourg Tél. 49 92 81 Télex PUBOF LU 1324 b Téléfax 48 85 73 CC bancaire BiL 8-109/6003/700

ω 5 Catalogue number: CH-56-89-877-EN-C



OFFICE FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

L-2985 Luxembourg

