

**607<sup>th</sup> Council meeting**

**Brussels, 19 November 1979**

**Economic and Financial Affairs**

**President: Mr. Collie  
Irish Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Finance**

The press release for this meeting is unavailable. A summary is reproduced from the Bulletin of the European Communities, No. 11-1979, pp. 91-92. Related documents cited in that issue of the Bulletin are included

**607th meeting — Economic and  
financial affairs**  
(Brussels, 19 November)

**2.3.20. President:** Mr Colley, Irish Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Finance

**Commission:** Mr Ortoli, Vice-President; Mr Tugendhat, Member

**Convergence:** The Council continued its examination of the problems arising with respect to improved convergence of the economic performances of the Member States.<sup>10</sup>

**Reorganization of working time:** On the basis of a report from the Economic Policy Committee, the Council held an exchange of views on the economic aspects of the reorganization of working time.

<sup>10</sup> Points 2.3.2 and 3.4.1.

### **Convergence and budgetary questions**

2.3.2. This was once again one of the main concerns of the Community institutions this month. It was considered by the Council meeting of 19 November, by the Commission (which discussed it several times and on 2 November sent the European Council a paper on it, having concluded that its earlier paper had been overtaken by events), and by the European Council itself which, as can be seen from the conclusions of the presidency<sup>3</sup> and various statements<sup>4</sup> made after the meeting, devoted much of its attention to this subject.

The European Council agreed 'that the Commission's proposals concerning the adap-

tion of the financial mechanism could constitute a useful basis for a solution which would respect Community achievements and solidarity'.

# 1. Convergence and budgetary questions

## Convergence and budgetary questions

### Commission communication to the European Council

3.4.1. Full text of the Commission Communication of 21 November for the Dublin European Council on 29 and 30 November:

#### *I. Introduction*

1. The Commission has made two communications to the Council... analysing certain problems connected with economic convergence and budgetary matters within the Community. On the basis of these communications there has been extensive discussion within the Institutions of the Community, including the European Parliament, in Member States and by public opinion generally. The Commission believes that the moment is now right to propose to the Council the approach and decisions which will be necessary if present difficulties are to be resolved.

2. These difficulties cover a number of interrelated questions, including some concerned with the common agricultural policy. These need to be dealt with on their merits, and are the subject of a separate paper by the Commission for the European Council. The present communication deals with the Community budget, both as concerns convergence and the particular problems which have arisen for the United Kingdom.

#### *II. The structure of the Community budget*

3. The Commission believes that a larger proportion of budgetary spending should be devoted to the improvement of structures and to general investment purposes within the Community. Such expenditure was envisaged in the Commission's latest three-year forecast to rise from 14% in 1980 to 22% in 1982 on the assumption that market support expenditure for agriculture would rise over the period at around 6% a year.

4. On expenditure within the agricultural sector, the Commission pointed out in its communication of 31 October that an increasing number of measures had been adopted in recent years to strengthen market support arrangements for Mediterranean products and to improve the incomes of the

producers concerned. The Commission will do all it can to secure the rapid execution of these and other measures and the rapid adoption by the Council of further measures in other agricultural sectors of particular interest to Italy and Ireland. This should lead to a better balance in the pattern of agricultural production as a whole.

5. In the view of the Commission the approach suggested by the Italian Government of fixing objectives for a rising proportion of Community expenditure devoted to structures and general investment purposes over a period is useful. The achievement of such objectives will depend on the ability of the Community to bring agricultural expenditure under control. Moreover the significance of the effects will be relatively small so long as present limitations on the size of the budget remain.

6. In the light of these considerations the Commission invites the European Council to endorse the principle that to achieve a better balance between Community policies, the rate of increase in expenditure on structural and general investment policies should from 1980 onwards be significantly greater than the rate of increase in the size of the Community budget. If during the budgetary process this principle is not respected, the Commission undertakes to draw the attention of the Institutions to the situation without delay.

#### *III. Budgetary difficulties*

7. The Commission believes that the achievement of a better balance within the budget will, together with other factors mentioned in its communication of 31 October, eventually solve most of the present difficulties of the United Kingdom in respect of the Community budget. But it recognizes that for the immediate future there is a serious problem.

8. The transitional period for the United Kingdom, Ireland and Denmark was designed to permit the gradual integration of these Member States into the system of Community financing. The Commission believes that this approach was and remains right. In consequence such further measures as may be agreed should be temporary in nature. The necessary resources should be found from within the budget.

9. The Commission believes that any solutions adopted should not only be Community solutions but designed to strengthen the cohesion and solidarity of the Community. They should conform to two basic principles. First they should respect the integrity of the own-resources system. Second they should not have as their objective to put a Member State in a position of *juste retour* in respect of the Community budget.

10. In its reference document of 12 September the Commission forecast that the United Kingdom's financing share would rise sharply over her forecast share of Community GNP between 1979 and 1980. The main reason is that payments under the transitional arrangements set out in Article 131 of the Accession Treaty will come to an end.

11. One simple way of approaching the problem thus created would be to create a new *ad hoc* mechanism to compensate for any British contribution of full own resources going beyond a predetermined percentage increase in a given year. In its communication to the Council of 31 October, the Commission indicated that if no percentage increase over 1979 were allowed, the forecast share of the United Kingdom in financing the 1980 budget would be reduced by some 500 million EUA gross (390 million EUA net). But unless the British contribution were to be frozen at a given level, the arrangement would have diminishing impact.

12. A more promising approach would be to adapt the existing Financial Mechanism. The Commission recalls that when the Heads of State and Government agreed in principle to create the Mechanism in 1974, they had expressly in mind the Community declaration during the accession negotiations that 'if unacceptable situations were to arise the very life of the Community would make it imperative for the Institutions to find equitable solutions'. At its meeting in Strasbourg of June 1979, the European Council requested the Commission to examine the extent to which the Mechanism could play its part in 1980 and fulfil the objectives assigned to it.

13. For the reasons set out in the Commission's reference document of 12 September, payments made under the Mechanism as at present constituted could scarcely solve the problem. The Commission believes that the qualifying criteria for the

operation of the Mechanism remain a valid measure of the relative prosperity of Member States within the Community and should remain unchanged. But to enable the Mechanism to fulfil more closely the role assigned to it, the Commission recommends removal of the limitation that if there were a balance-of-payments surplus the calculation of the excess contribution must be related solely to VAT. This would produce a payment of 300 million EUA gross (250 million EUA net) in respect of 1980 whether or not there was a balance-of-payments surplus. But as the United Kingdom will anyway find itself in payments deficit in 1979 and almost certainly in 1980, the Commission further recommends that the European Council in Dublin should define the conditions under which the two further restrictions on the operation of the Mechanism could be lifted. These are the *tranche* system which provides that only a part of the excess contribution is reimbursed; and the ceiling of 3% of the budget. If these restrictions were also removed, payments under the Mechanism in respect of 1980 would rise from 300 million EUA gross to some 630 million EUA gross (520 million EUA net).<sup>1</sup>

14. There would be difficulty in any approach designed to combine a system of limiting increases in the British share of financing the budget with improvements in the operation of the Financial Mechanism. This is because the reduced share of financing which would result from any such limitation would logically have to be used in applying the Financial Mechanism. Payment under the Financial Mechanism would therefore be reduced by the amount resulting from the limitation.

15. This difficulty would not exist for arrangements affecting the expenditure side of the budget. Such arrangements would have to flow from the strengthening of Community policies which are necessary to improve the cohesion of the Community and are therefore central to the interest of the Community as a whole. It would be possible to envisage special, temporary and *ad hoc* measures which would ensure a greater participation by the United Kingdom in a number of Community policies and which would increase the present low level of Community expenditure in the United

<sup>1</sup> These figures were based on exchange rates of mid-August 1979.

## **Convergence and budgetary questions**

---

Kingdom. Such arrangements which would need to be in full conformity with the principles set out in paragraphs 8 and 9, could, for example, take the form of immediate assistance for exploitation of coal resources, measures to promote transport infrastructure, and some agricultural improvement schemes. If the United Kingdom were to join the European Monetary System an interest rebate system in respect of Community loans could comprise one vehicle for such payments.

16. If this approach were to be pursued, the Commission would stress that any contribution should be made on the basis of the Community budget and should be limited in time (perhaps three or four years). The volume of resources to be found must necessarily be settled by discussion within the Council.

17. So far only short and medium-term solutions to the problems of convergence and the budget have been discussed. But as the European Parliament has pointed out, the existing policies of the Community are insufficient to bring about the degree of convergence between the economies of the Member States which is necessary for the progress and cohesion of the Community. The Commission believes that the European Council should bear this longer term consideration in mind when examining the proposals in this paper.

\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE BIO (79) 377 AUX BUREAUX NATIONAUX  
CC AUX MEMBRES DU GROUPE, A M BURGHARDT DG I ET M LECOMPTÉ DG 8

\*\*\*\*\*

PREPARATION DU CONSEIL ECO/FIN DU 19 NOVEMBRE 1979 (C. BOON)

-----

LA SESSION DU CONSEIL DU 19 NOVEMBRE 1979 COMMENCE A BRUXELLES  
A 10 H ET SE POURSUIVRA SI NECESSAIRE APRES LE DEJEUNER HABITUEL.

DEUX POINTS SONT A L ORDRE DU JOUR :

1. CONVERGENCE DES REALISATIONS ECONOMIQUE DES ETATS MEMBRES

-----

LA DISCUSSION AURALIEU SUR BASE DE LA COMMUNICATION DE LA  
COMMISSION DU 31 OCTOBRE 1979 (DOC COM (79) 620 FINAL) QUI A  
ETE DISTRIBUEE EN SALLE DE PRESSE ET SERA INTRODUITE PAR UN  
EXPOSE DE LA PRESIDENCE RESUMANT LES DIFFERENTES OPINIONS EMISES  
PAR LES DELEGATIONS AU SEIN DU COREPER SUR LE DOCUMENT DE LA  
COMMISSION SUS-CITE.

IL S AGIRA LORS DES DEBATS DE FINALISER EN QUELQUE SORTE LES  
PRISES DE POSITION DE CHACUNE DES DELEGATIONS SUR LE PROBLEME  
BUDGETAIRE ET LA CONVERGENCE DE FACON GENERALE.

2. AMENAGEMENT DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL

-----

SOUS CE TITRE AURA LIEU UNE DISCUSSION SUR LES CONSEQUENCES  
ECONOMIQUES DE L AMENAGEMENT DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL.

-----

JE VOUS RAPPELLE QUE LE CONSEIL LORS DE LA SESSION DU 14 MAI  
DERNIER AVAIT DONNE MANDAT AU COMITE DE POLITIQUE ECONOMIQUE  
AFIN QU IL ETUDIE SUR BASE D UNE COMMUNICATION DE LA COMMISSION  
(DOC COM 188 DU 7.5.79) LES INCIDENCES ECONOMIQUES DES DIFFERENTES  
MESURES DE REDUCTION DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL.

LE PRESIDENT DU COMITE RENDRA COMPTE LUNDI DE CETTE ETUDE.

LES TENDANCES QUI SE DEGAGENT DES TRAVAUX DU COMITE SONT GLOBALEMENT DE 2 ORDRES :

1- L APPRECIATION DE TOUTE MESURE DE DIMINUTION DE LA DUREE DU  
TRAVAIL DOIT TENIR COMPTE DE DIFFERENTS FACTEURS DONT PRINCIPALEMENT :  
L INCIDENCE SUR LES CAPACITES DE PRODUCTION DES ENTREPRISES,  
LES VARIATIONS DE PRODUCTIVITE ET LA COMPENSATION SALARIALE.

///

NNNN

GPP C. BOON B 1/14 7596 16.11.79 x x

446946 M. SANTARELLI

~~446946~~

LA RECHERCHE DES MESURES LES PLUS ADAPTEES DOIT ETRE GUIDEES PAR TROIS PRINCIPES : DECENTRALISATION (SANS EXCLURE UNE CERTAINE CONCERTATION), PROGRESSIVITE ET REVERSIBILITE (SOIT LAISSER UNE LARGE PLACE AU CARACTERE VOLONTAIRE D UNE MESURE).

LE SENTIMENT GENERAL EXPRIME EST QUE SI DE TELLES MESURES PEUVENT ETRE UTILES POUR LUTTER CONTRE LE CHOMAGE, ELLE NE PEUVENT QU'ACCOMPAGNER D AUTRES MESURES PRISES DANS LE CADRE ECONOMIQUE GENERAL ET ELLES DOIVENT ETRE MANIEES ~~DEMANDEUSES~~ PRUDEMMENT.

LES DEBATS A CE SUJET AURONT LIEU DANS LA PERSPECTIVE DU PROJET DE RESOLUTION DU CONSEIL (DQQ COM(79) 581 DU 12.11.79) SUR L AMENAGEMENT DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL QUI SERA SUR LA TABLE DU CONSEIL AFFAIRES SOCIALES LE 22 NOVEMBRE PROCHAIN.

AMITIES, M. SANTARELLI  
NNNN

NNNN

442363

\*\*\*

NOTE BIO (79) 377, SUITE 1 80000, AUX BUREAUX NATIONAUX  
CC AUX MEMBRES DU GROUPE, A MM LES ASSISTANTS DG I ET VIII

\*\*\*

CONSEIL ECO/FIN DU 19 NOVEMBRE 1979 (C. BOON)

433

LES DEBATS DE CETTE SESSION DU CONSEIL PORTANT D'UNE PART SUR LA CONVERGENCE DES REALISATIONS ECONOMIQUES DES ETATS MEMBRES ET D'AUTRE PART SUR L AMENAGEMENT DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL ONT ETE CLOS A LA FIN DE LA MATINEE.

1. CONVERGENCE DES REALISATIONS ECONOMIQUES DES ETATS MEMBRES

LA DISCUSSION A ETE OUVERTE PAR UN EXPOSE DE MONSIEUR L AMBASSADEUR DILLON RESUMANT LES POSITIONS TAKEN PAR LES DIFFERENTES DELEGATIONS LORS DES REUNIONS DU COREPER. M. COOLEY A ENSUITE DONNE LES LIGNES DIRECTRICES SUIVANT LESQUELLES, SELON LUI, DEVAIT INTERVENIR CHACUN DES ORATEURS POUR QUE LES CONCLUSIONS LES PLUS CLAIRES POSSIBLE SOIENT DEGAGEES DES DEBATS. AINSI QUE L A SOULIGNE LA PRESIDENCE A L ISSUE DU CONSEIL, APRES UN TOUR DE TABLE OU CHACUNE DES DELEGATIONS S EST ASSEZ LONGUEMENT EXPRIMEE, SE SONT MANIFESTEES DES PREFERENCES SUR BASE DE LA COMMUNICATION DE LA COMMISSION DU 31.10.79 POUR TELLE OU TELLE SOLUTION AU PROBLEME BUDGETAIRE BRITANNIQUE, ET IL N Y A PAS EU DE CONSENSUS FINAL. LA QUESTION PLUS LARGE DE LA CONVERGENCE A EGALEMENT ETE ABORDEE PAR CHACUN DES MINISTRES PARTICIPANTS. CEUX-CI DOIVENT FAIRE RAPPORT DES DEBATS D AUJOURD HUI A LEURS COLLEGUES RESPONSABLES DES AFFAIRES ETRANGERES EN VUE DU CONSEIL AFFAIRES ETRANGERES QUI COMMENCE DEMAIN A BRUXELLES ET A L ORDRE DU JOUR DUQUEL FIGURE EGALEMENT LA CONVERGENCE. LA PROCHAINE "ETAPE" DEVRAIT ETRE LA PROPOSITION DE LA COMMISSION.

A SUIVRE.

AMITIES, MANUEL SANTARELLI  
NNNN

NNNN

GPP C. BOON B. 1/13 7596 19.11.79

X X

M. SANTARELLI



11

"NOTE BIO (79) 377, SUITE 2, AUX BUREAUX NATIONAUX  
CC AUX MEMBRES DU GROUPE ET A MM LES ASSISTANTS DG I ET VIII

3 ★★

CONSEIL ECO/FIN DU 19.11.79 ■ (C. BOON)

## **POINT 2 : AMENAGEMENT DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL**

**1** ——————  
1

SE TROUVAIT SUR LA TABLE DU CONSEIL LE RAPPORT ETABLIS PAR LE  
COMITE DE POLITIQUE ECONOMIQUE SUR LES INCIDENCES ECONOMIQUES  
DE L AMENAGEMENT DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL LEQUEL ETAIT ACCOMPAGNE DE  
LEADERSHIP. LE PRESIDENT DU COMITE A DEVELOPPE ORALEMENT  
LES CONCLUSIONS QUE L ON POUVAIT TIRER DE CES TRAVAUX :  
\*\* VEUILLEZ LIRE : ACCOMPAGNE D UN AVIS

1- DE FACON LIMINAIRE, IL A SOULIGNE QUE LE RAPPORT NE \*EDITE PAS D ANALYSES QUANTITATIVES OU DE COMPARAISONS INTERNATIONALES TRES POUSSÉES ETANT DONNÉ LE PANQUE DE STATISTIQUES DANS LE DOMAINE. \* VÉUILLEZ LIRE : NE CONTENAIT "

■- IL A ENSUITE PRECISE QUE DE FACON GENERALE LA PRUDENCE DEVAIT COMMANDER DANS LE MANIEMENT DES MESURES DE REDUCTION DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL CAR NON SEULEMENT IL N Y AVAIT PAS DE RELATION ARITHMETIQUE ENTRE LEUR ADOPTION ET LES POSSIBILITES D EMPLOI, MAIS ELLES POUVAIENT MEME, SI L ON N Y PRENAIT PAS GARDE, AVOIR DES ■ EFFETS NEGATIFS SUR L EMPLOI.

■ POUR DETERMINER SI ET COMMENT DE TELLES MESURES POUVAIENT AVOIR DES EFFETS POSITIFS SUR L EMPLOI, IL FALLAIT TENIR COMPTE DES EONNEES STRUCTURELLES, CONJONCTURELLES ET DE POLITIQUE SALARIALE.

- SEULE UNE CROISSANCE FORTE POUVANT ASSURER UN NIVEAU ELEVE D EMPLOI, LA REDUCTION DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL NE DEVRAIT INTERVENIR, SANS AVOIR D EFFET INFLATIONISTE, QUE COMME MESURE D ACCOMPAGNE- MENT OU DE SOUTIEN ET DANS LA MESURE OU IL SERAIT TENU COMPTE DE DIFFERENTS FACTEURS DONT NOTAMMENT : LA SITUATION D UN SECTEUR OU D UNE ENTREPRISE A L AUTRE, LA NATURE DE LA MESURE ENVISAGEE (DUREE DE LA VIE DU TRAVAIL, CONGES ANNUELS OU HEBDOMADAIRES ...), COMPENSATION SALARIALE, ETC.

- TOUTE MESURE EVENTUELLE DEVRAIT ETRE PRISE DANS LE CADRE DE LA POLITIQUE SALARIALE GLOBALE SUR LE PLAN NATIONAL, D UNE PART, ET SUR LE PLAN COMMUNAUTAIRE, D AUTRE PART, POUR EVITER TOUTE DISTORSION DE LA CAPACITE COMPETITIVE DES ETATS MEMBRES. S IL NE FALLAIT PAS ENVISAGER DE REGLEMENTATION BRUSQUE, UNIQUE ET UNIFORME PAR CONTRE UNE APPROCHE CONCERTEE AU //

NNNN

GPP

MME BOON

B. 1/13

7596

20.11.79

8

X

~~M. SANTARELLI~~

NIVEAU COMMUNAUTAIRE NE POURRAIT QU'ETRE PROFITABLE.

MONSIEUR ORTOLI LORS DE SON INTERVENTION A NOTAMMENT INSISTE SUR CE DERNIER POINT EN FAISANT RAPPORT AU CONSEIL DE LA CONSULTATION ENTRE LA COMMISSION ET LES PARTENAIRES SOCIAUX (UNICE-CES) QUI A ETE EUEILLE LE 8 NOVEMBRE ET LORS DE LAQUELLE A ETE DISCUTEE LE PROJET DE RESOLUTION AU CONSEIL SUR L AMENAGEMENT DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL (COM(79) 581 DU 12.11.79). IL S EST JOINT AUX DIFFERENTES DELEGATIONS POUR ESTIMER LES TRAVAUX DU CPE INTERESSANTS ET REALISTES. LA CONCLUSION GENERALE A ETE QUE EFFECTIVEMENT LA PRUDENCE S IMPOSAIT DANS LE DOMAINE DE L AMENAGEMENT DU TEMPS DE TRAVAIL ET QUE PREALABLEMENT A L ADOPTION DE LA RESOLUTION SUSCITEE LE CONSEIL (MINISTRES DES AFFAIRES SOCIALES) DEVRAIT PORTER SA REFLEXION SUR LES ARGUMENTS ECONOMIQUES, A ASSAVOIR QU'AUCUNE MESURE NE POUVAIT ETRE PRISE QUI SERAIT A L ENCONTRE D UNE POLITIQUE DE L EMPLOI PAR UNE CROISSANCE SANS INFLATION. MONSIEUR COOKEY A CLOS LES DEBATS EN DISANT QUE LES MINISTRES DES AFFAIRES SOCIALES DEVRAIENT LE 22 NOVEMBRE PROCHAIN DANS LEUR DISCUSSIONS SUR LA RESOLUTION QUI LEUR ETAIT SOUMISE PRENDRE EN CONSIDERATION ET LE RAPPORT DU CPE ET LES VUES EXPIMEES DORS DU CONSEIL ECO/FIN.

AMITIES, M. SANTARELLI  
NNNN



NNNN