### COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

COM(76) 567 final
Brussels, 25 October 1976

## Proposal for a COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

amending Regulation (EEC) No 1162/76 on measures designed to adjust wine-growing potential to market requirements

# Proposal for a COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

amending Regulation (EEC) No 1163/76 on the granting of a conversion premium in the wine sector

(submitted to the Council by the Commission)

COM(76) 567 final

### EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

When Council Regulation (EEC) No 1162/76 of 17 May 1976 on measures designed to adjust wine-growing potential to market requirements was applied, it became apparent that in certain Member States the temporary prohibition on new plantings was at variance with plantings effected under official reparcelling measures aimed at making wine-growing more profitable. Allowance should in particular be made for reparcelling measures commenced before the entry into force of the said Regulation. The proposed Regulation is intended to exempt from the prohibition on new plantings those plantings which are effected under official reparcelling measures. The adoption of the proposed Regulation does not in any way affect the Community budget.

## PROPOSAL FOR COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) No

of

amending Regulation (EEC) No 1162/76 on measures designed to adjust wine-growing potential to market requirements

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES.

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community, and in particular Article 43 thereof,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 816/70 of 28 April 1970 laying down additional provisions for the common organization of the market in wine, as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1167/76<sup>2</sup>, and in particular Article 17 (5) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Having regard to the Opinion of the European Parliament,

Having regard to the Opinion of the Economic and Social Committee,

Whereas Regulation (EEC) No 1162/76 on measures designed to adjust wine-growing potential to market requirements provided for a temporary prohibition on new plantings; whereas plantings effected under official reparcelling measures should be exempted from this prohibition,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION :

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OJ No L 99, 5.5.1970, p. 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> OJ No L 135, 24.5.1976, p. 42

<sup>3</sup> OJ No L 135, 24.5.1976, p. 32

#### Article 1

There is hereby added to Article 2 (2) of Regulation (EEC) No 1162/76:
"(d) new plantings effected under official reparcelling measures."

#### Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the third day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Communities.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

For the Council The President

### EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

With a view to a more effective contribution to the restoration of equilibrium between production and market requirements, the conversion premium provided for by Regulation (EEC) No 1163/76 should be extended to vines under glass and provision made to extend the period for lodging applications for the grant of the premium. Further, it has proved necessary to lay down a rule making it possible not to refuse to grant a premium if the area for which the premium is requested consists of several vine varieties one or more of which do not qualify for the premium.

This proposal for a Regulation takes these considerations into appoint. The financial repercussions on the Community budget are contained in a note, annexed.

## PROPOSAL FOR A COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) No

of

amending Regulation (EEC) No 1163/76 on the granting of a conversion premium in the wine sector

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community, and in particular Article 43 thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission.

Having regard to the Opinion of the European Parliament,

Having regard to the Opinion of the Economic and Social Committee,

Whereas Council Regulation (EEC) No 1163/76 of 17 May 1976 (1), as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 2428/76 (2), provides for the granting of a conversion premium in the wine sector; whereas Article 2 (2) thereof provides that premiums may not be granted for areas of less than 25 ares, thereby excluding most vines under glass; whereas, since the conversion of table grape varieties grown under glass should be encouraged, provision should be made for the conversion of such varieties to qualify for the premium; whereas, however, in such cases it is necessary to ensure that the greenhouse is destroyed to prevent the continual cultivation of non-profitable varieties;

Whereas in the case of areas for conversion containing several vine varieties, dertain of which do not qualify for the premium, the conditions under which the premium may be granted for the area as a whole should be laid down;

Whereas to enable, where necessary, 'the period for lodging applications for the premium to be extended, provision should be made so that the time-limit for lodging such applications can be changed,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION :

•••/•••

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ No L 135, 24.5.1976, p. 34 (2) OJ No L 276, 7.10.1976, p. 4

#### Artiole 1

- 1. The following subparagraph is added to Article 2 (2) of Regulation (EEC) No 1163/76:
  - "However, in the case of 'vines under glass, the lower limit shall be reduced to 1.5 ares provided that the conversion is accompanied by demolition of the relevant greenhouses"
- 2. The following subparagraph is added to Article 4 (3) of the said Regulation;
  - "Where the area to be converted for which the premium is requested is plented with several varieties of vine, some of which do not qualify for the premium, the premium shall be granted for the entire area provided that the varieties referred to in Article 1 (1) cover more than 70 % of the relevant area."
- 3. Article 4 (5) of the said Regulation is hereby amended to read as follows :
  - \*\*5. The Council, acting by a qualified majority on a proposal from the Commission, may decide to alter:
    - the amount of the premium
    - the dates set out in Article 3(1)."

#### Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the third day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Communities.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

For the Council
The President

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENT

DATE: 15.10.1976

- 1. SUDGET LINE CONCERNED : 8350
- 2. ACTION: Draft for amending Regulation (EEC) No 1163/76 on the granting of a conversion premium in the wine sector
- 3. LEGAL BASIS: Article 6 of Regulation (EEC) No 729/70
- 4. OBJECTIVES: To render the application of Regulation 1163/76 effective within the framework of table grape production under glass

5. FINANCIAL CONSEQUENCE 5.0 EXPENDITURE	FOR THE MARKETING YEAR	CURRENT PINANCIAL YEAR	FOLLOWING PINANCIAL YEAR (77)
-CHARGED TO THE EC BUDGET (REPUBLE/INTERVENTIONS),			-
-CHARGED TO NATIONAL ADMINISTR.			85.500 UA
-CHARGED TO OTHER NATIONAL GROUPS			_
5.1 receiptsCan resources of the ec (Levies/Custofs duties)			-
-NATIONAL			

YEAR ....19.78 ... YEAR ....19

YEAR .....19.79.....

YEAR .....

. .

5.0.1 PLURIAMNUAL PATTERN OF EXPENDITURE

42.750 UA

36.000 UA

5.1.1 PLURIANNUAL PATTERN OF RECEIPTS

5.2 KETHOD OF CALCULATION

- Area to recouvert : 70 ha in Belgium and 15 ha in the Netherlands
- Amount of premium : Wine growing year 1977/78 : 1900 UA/ha

Year	Area	Premium	Total aid paid	Repayment by
1977	45	1900 UA	by Member States 85.500 UA	FEOGA
1978	40	1800 UA	72.000 UA	42.750 UA
1979	<del>40</del>	•	•	36.000 UA
TOTAL	85			78.750 UA

6.0 FINANCING POSSIBLE WITH CREDITS INSCRIBED IN RELEVANT CHAPTER OF CURRENT BUDGET ?

XXXXX

6.1 FINANCING POSSIBLE BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF CURRENT BUDGET ?

XXXXX

6.2 NECESSITY FOR A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET ?

XXXXX

- 6.3 CREDITS TO BE WRITTEN INTO FUTURE BUDGETS? Financing possible by credits written XXXXX into the chapter concerned of future budgets
- COMENTS :

Since total cost (78.750 UA) to the FEOGA budget represents only 0,1 % of the total cost of the action, it is unnecessary to alter the budgetary estimates.

