
COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITESS

COM(79) 386 final Brussels, 10th July 1979

PROPOSAL FOR A COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) FIXING, FOR THE 1979/80 MARKETING YEAR, THE PRODUCTION AID FOR TINNED PINEAPPLE AND THE MINIMUM PRICE TO BE PAID TO PINEAPPLE PRODUCERS

(presented by the Commission to the Council)



EXPLANATORY MOMERANDUM

By Articles 1 an 3 of Regulation (EEC) N° $525/77^{(1)}$ a system of production aid for tinned pineapple has been established.

Under Article 4 of the said Regulation the aid is fixed in such a manner as to offset the difference between the Community offer price for tinned pineapple and the prices charged by non-member supplier countries. The minimum price to pineapple producers is fixed at the same time.

In the attached proposal, the aid and minimum price for the 1979/80 marketing year have been calculated in the light of the above mentioned dispositions of the basic Regulation.

Comparing this Community prices with import prices results in aid of 46,38 ECU/ 100 kg

(1) 0.J. N° L 73, 21.3.1977, p. 46

Proposal for a COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

fixing, for the 1979/80 marketing year, the production aid for tinned pincapple and the minimum price to be paid to pincapple producers

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES.

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 525/77 of 14 March 1977 establishing a system of production aid for tinned pineapple (1), and in particular Article 4 (2) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Whereas Article 1 of Regulation (EEC) No 525/77 establishes a system of production aid for tinned pineapple processed from pineapples harvested in the Community; whereas the object of such aid is to offset the difference between the Community offer price and the prices charged by third countries for tinned pineapple;

Whereas, under Article 3 of the said Regulation, the granting of such aid is subject to the payment to producers of fresh pineapples of at least a minimum price; whereas that price must be fixed so as to ensure a fair remuneration to the producers concerned,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION :

Article 1

For the 1979/80 marketing year, the production aid for tinned pineapple referred to in Article 1 of Regulation (EEG) No 525/77 shall be 46,38 ECUper 100 kilograms, including immediate packaging.

Article 2

For the said marketing year, the minimum price referred to in Article 3 of Regulation (EEC) No 525/77 shall be 20,67 ECU — per 100 kilograms.

Article 3

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Communities.

It shall apply with effect from 1 June 1979.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

For the Council The President J. ERTL

as amended by Regulation (EEC) No $2990/78^{(2)}$,

(') OJ No L 73, 21. 3. 1977, p. 46. (2) OJ No L 357, 21.12.1978, p. 1

	STATEMENT	Date :	3 July 1979	
1. BUDGET MEADING : Art. 682 (ir	ntervention for	transformed APPROBIAN		D-121Mar - Lands - Yangar Sa
products of	fruits and veget	tables	ana ang kanalang kana	
2. TITLE : Proposal for a Regu year, the amount of price to be paid to	f production aid	for tinned pineap	the 1979780 m ple and the mi	arketı nimum
3. LEGAL BASIS : Art. 4 (2) of	Reg. 525/77 of	the Council		t •
4. AIMS OF PROJECT : Fixing of t	he amount of pro	duction aid for ti	nned pineapple	S.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		• • • • • • • •	
		•		
S. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS S.O EXPENDITURE	PERIOD OF 12 MONTH	S CURRENT FINANCIAL YEAR	FOLLOWING FINANCI	ALYEAR
- CHARGED TO THE EC BUDGET (NET WAS MINTERVENTIONS)	4,9	4,4	0,5	1.
- NATIONAL ADMINISTRATION - OTHER				
S.1 RECEIPTS - OWN RESOURCES OF THE EC			<u>:</u>	
(LEVIES/CUSTOMS DUTIES) - NATIONAL				4.3 4.7
5.0.1 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5.1.1 ESTIMATED RECEIPTS				
5.2 METHOD OF CALCULATION				
10.500 T à 463,8 ECU/t =	= 4,9MECU, of wh	ich 10 % is paid i	n 1980.	11
	· · ·			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 1
				÷;
		``````````````````````````````````````		
6.0 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM	APPROBIATIONS ENTERED	IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER	OF THE CURRENT BUDGE	Τ?
6.0 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM 6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY T				T ? YES/
	RANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTER			T ? YES/ <del>X</del> XXES/X
6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY T 6.2 IS A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NEC	RANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTEF Essary ?			T ? YES/ <del>X</del> XXES/X
6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY T	RANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTEF Essary ?			T ? VES/ <del>()</del> XXX 3X/A XXX 3X/A
6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY T 6.2 IS A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NEC	RANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTEF Essary ?			T ? VES/ <del>()</del> XXX 3X/A XXX 3X/A
6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY T 6.2 IS A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NEC 6.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROBIATIONS	RANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTEF Essary ?			T ? VES/ <u>*</u> XXX \$X/A XXX \$X/A
6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY T 6.2 IS A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NEC 6.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROBIATIONS	RANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTEF Essary ?			T ? YES/ <del>X</del>

.