COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

____COM(81) 467 final

Brussel BRARIES ULY 1981

Proposal for a

COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

amending Regulation (EEC) nº 1144/81 laying down general rules on the distillation of table wines as provided for in Article 15 of Regulation (EEC) nº 337/79

(submitted to the Council by the Commission)

COM(81) 467 final

•

· .

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

.om 467

The all-time record wine harvest of 1979 and the very substantial 1980 harvest which was again in excess of requirements have, for more than 18 months now, resulted in serious and lasting difficulties on the table wine market. All the intervention measures have been implemented and the Council was obliged, in early 1980 and in April 1981, to take decisions on exceptional distillation (Article 15 of the basic Regulation, No 337/79). The quantities available were in substantially greater surplus in Italy than in France and quotations for Italian wines were substantially below those for French wines. The crisis on the Italian market resulted in massive consignments of Italian production to the French market at prices which the producers in Languedoc-Roussillon felt were insufficient to maintain their incomes and were responsible for the difficulties in disposing of their own wines. The exceptional distillation decided upon in Council Regulation (EEC) No 1144/81 (1) was not enough to absorb this phenomen completely. It was to cover a volume of 6 million hl, but the result was not reached; in France, the volume of . wines covered by distillation contracts was only 600,000 hl since the buying-i for wine was lower than average quotations in that country; in price Italy, contracts covered 4 to 4.5 million hl as the volume was restricted by the 15% limit on individual production laid down in the Regulation. The quantities available in Italy, still eeding the normal quantities for the time of year, and the coming harvest, which appears likely to be early, -· have prevented Italian rates from rising significantly. . The pressure on . the French market has therefore not diminished and the reaction by French producers has become firmer.

Further steps are required so that the results anticipated by the exceptional distillation decision (6 million hl) may be reached. Better balance on the Community table wine market can be attained if the Italian market were to improve. That market could be relieved by sending a further 2 million hl for distillation. For this purposé, the Commission proposes increasing the percentage of production which may be made

(1) OJ No L 120, 1.5.1981, p. 1

subject to exceptional distillation measures, increasing it from 15 to 20%. This increase would make it possible to raise the quantity distilled in Italy, where buying in prices of the wine subject to distillation is attractive compared with market rates, while it would have practically no effect in France where the rates exceed that price. The higher rates likely to result from the measure should not only relieve the present very tense situation but also have a beneficial influence on the outset of the next wine year by making better rates possible from the start.

Proposal for COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) NO

amending Regulation (EEC) No 1144/81 laying down general rules on the distillation of table wines as provided for in Article 15 of Regulation (EEC) No 337/79

of

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

- · Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,
 - Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 337/79 of 5 February 1979 on the common organization of the market in wine (1) as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No 3456/80 (2) and in particular Article 15(1) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Whereas Council Regulation (EEC) No 1144/81 (3) made provision for exceptional distillation of table wine with the object of alleviating the serious crisis on the markét; whereas the volume which could be distilled was limited to 15% of table wine production by each producer during the 1980/81 wine year; whereas this restriction has prevented complete attainment of the object in question, quantities subject to distillation contracts having remained below the anticipated level; whereas, in order to relieve the market to an extent which will enable rates to recover, particularly in Community regions in the largest quantities of table wine available, the percentage of production which may be distilled should be increased from 15 to 20%; whereas it is therefore necessary to reopen the time limit for submission of applications for approval of delivery contracts and to adapt certain other dates fixed in Regulation (EEC) No 1144/81;

(1) ÓJ No L 54, 5. 3.1979, p. 1. (2) OJ No L 360, 31.12.1980, p. 18 (3) OJ No L 120, 1. 5.1981, p. 1

<u>Article 1</u>

Regulation (EEC) 1144/81 is hereby amended as follows:

- 1. The date 25 June 1981 in Article.1(1) is replaced by 15 October 1981.
- 2. The figure 15% in Article 1(2) is replaced by 20%.
 - 3. The date 25 July 1981 in Article 2(1) is replaced by 15 November 1981.
 - 4. The date 25 June 1981 in Article 3(1) is replaced by 15 October 1981.
- . 5. The date 25 July 1981 in Article 3(3) is replaced by 15 November 1981.
- . 6. The date 15 November 1981 in Article 8 is replaced by 15 January / 1982.

Article 2

. This Regulation shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Communities.

. This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable : in all Member States.

Done at Brussels

For the Commission

22 ULLY 1981 1. BUDGET HEADING 1 1981 : 6912 2. TITLE : Proposal for a Council Regulation amending Reputation (EEC) No 1144/3 Laying down general rules on the distillation of table wines as provided for Article 15 of R 337/79. 3. LEGAL BASIS : Article 15 of Regulation No 337/79 4. AIMS OF PROJECT: Relieving the serious crisis on the wine market by stepping up the exception distillation measures provided for in Regulation 1144/81 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS 5.0 EXPOSITY INTERVENTIONS 5.0 EXPOSITY INTERVENTIONS 5.0.1 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE 5.0.1 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE 5.0.1 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE 5.2 METHOD OF CALCULATION 5.2 METHOD OF CALCULATION 5.4 ULL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? 5.3 WILL MUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? 5.4 WILL AUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? 5.5 WILL MUTURE SUBJECT APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ?	FINANCIAL S	TATEMENT		
287.14 m ECI 287.1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date :	28 July 1981
Laying down general rules on the distillation of table wines as provided for Article 15 of R 337/79. 3. LEGAL BASIS : Article 15 of Regulation No 337/79 4. AINS OF PROJECT: Relieving the serious crisis on the wine market by stepping up the exception distillation measures provided for in Regulation 1144/81 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS 5. O EXPENDITURE 5. O EXPENDITURE 5. O EXPENDITURE 5. O EXPENDITURE 5. O EXPENDITURE 5. O EXPENDITURE 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE GURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE GURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE GURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE GURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE GURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5. O WILL YOUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE RECESSARY ? 5. O THE DECESSARY APPROPRIATIONS DE RECESSARY ? 5. O THE DECESSARY APPROPRIATIONS DE RECESSARY ? 5. O THE DECESSARY APPROPRIATIONS DE RECESSARY ? 5.	1. BUDGET HEADING : 1981 : 6912	ta i	· APPROPRIAT	10NS: 1981, 287-14 m ECU
4. AIMS OF PROJECT; Reliaving the serious crisis on the wine market by stepping up the exception distillation measures provided for in Regulation 1144/81 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS S.O EXPENDITURE THE EXEMULATIONS S.O EXPENDITURE THE EXEMULATIONS S.O EXPENDITURE THE EXEMULATIONS S.O EXPENDITURE THE EXEMULATIONS S.O. EXPENDITURE THE EXEMULATIONS S.O. I ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE S.O. I ESTIMATED ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE S.O. I ESTIMATED ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE S.O. I ESTIMATED ESTIMATED ESTIMATED ESTIMATED S.O. I ESTIMATED ESTIMATED ESTIMA	Laying down general rules a	il Regulation amen on the distillatio	ding Regulation n.of table wine	(EEC) No 1144/81 s as provided for
Relieving the serious crisis on the wine market by stepping up the exception distillation measures provided for in Regulation 1144/81 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS S. D EXPENSIVE O EXPENSIVE CREETENS THERE WINE & COURSET (REFUNCTIONS) XXXWXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	3. LEGAL BASIS : Article 15 of	Regulation No 337/	79	•
distillation measures provided for in Regulation 1144/81 5. FIMAMCIAL IMPLICATIONS 5. O EXPENDITURE CHARGED TO THE EC BUDGET (REFUNDS) XXMMXXMXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	4. AINS OF PROJECT :		······································	-
S.D. EPHANIUME CHARGED TO THE EC BUDGET (REFUNDS/INTERVENTIONS) XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Relieving the serious cris distillation measures prov	is on the wine mar ided for in Regula	ket by stepping tion 1144/81	up the exception
- CHARGED TO THE EC BUDGET (REFUNDS/INTERVENTIONS) XXXWXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	<i>a a b b b b b b b b b b</i>	PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS CU	RRENT FINANCIAL YEAR	FOLLOWING FINANCIAL YEA
XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	CHARGED TO THE EC BUDGET (REFUNDS/INTERVENTIONS)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
- NATIONAL 5.0.1 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE 5.1.1 ESTIMATED RECEIPTS Only covers one marketing year 5.2 METHOD OF CALCULATION SEE annex S.0 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? XE S.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? XE S.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? XE S.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? XE S.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? XE MILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? XE SERVATIONS : (1) initial appropriations. The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter	XXXXXX		• • ·	
S.1.1 ESTIMATED RECEIPTS Only Covers one marketing year S.2 METHOD OF CALCULATION See annex See annex See annex 6.0 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? X% S.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? X% S.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? (2) S.3 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? X% S.3 WILL *FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? X% See anney X% S.3 WILL *FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? X% See anney X% See anney X% See anney (2) See anney X% See anney	(LEVIES/CUSTOMS DUTIES)		· · · · ·	
S.1.1 ESTIMATED RECEIPTS Only Covers one marketing year S.2 METHOD OF CALCULATION See annex See annex See annex S.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? X50 S.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? X50 S.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? (2) S.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? X50 S.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? X50 S.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? X50 S.3 WILL 'FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? X50 VESERVATIONS : The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter		 		
See annex 6.0 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? (2) YES 5.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? 5.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? WESERVATIONS : (1) initial appropriations. The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter		only cove	ers one marketir	ng year
6.0 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? 5.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter	5.2 METHOD OF CALCULATION			
6.0 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? 5.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? 5.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter			\$ 	•
<pre>XX</pre> 6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? (2) YES 6.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? 5.3 WILL 'FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? XXX DefSERVATIONS : (1) initial appropriations. The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter		see annex	· , · · ·	
<pre>XX</pre> 6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? (2) YES 6.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? 5.3 WILL 'FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? XXX DefSERVATIONS : (1) initial appropriations. The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter			- · · · ·	
5.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? (2) YES 5.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? 5.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? XES DESERVATIONS : (1) initial appropriations. The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter		1	• <u>-</u>	:
<pre>XX</pre> 6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? (2) YES 6.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? 5.3 WILL 'FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? XXX DefSERVATIONS : (1) initial appropriations. The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter		•	, , ,	
 (2) YES (2) YES (3) WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? (4) SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? (5) WILL 'FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? (1) initial appropriations. The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter 	5.0 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPR	OPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE	RELEVANT CHAPTER OF	THE CURRENT BUDGET ?
 (2) YES 6.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? 5.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? XES <li< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>×5°</td></li<>			•	×5°
 5.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? 5.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS IN THE APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY APPROPRIATIONS APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY APPROPRIATIONS APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY APPROPRIATIONS APPRO	S.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANST	FER BEIWEEN CHAPTERS OF II	HE CURRENT BUDGET ?	(2) YES/
X ^{ESERVATIONS :} (1) initial appropriations. The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter	5.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESS	SARY ?	na hydraeth a chwara a chana a Tara a chana a c	* 55X
 Deservations : (1) initial appropriations. The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter 	· · · ·	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 (1) initial appropriations. The appropriations in the draft amending budget no amount to 348 million ECU. (2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter 	.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE	NECESSARY ?		UPn/
(2) The necessary appropriations would be made available from those in chapter	5.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE	NECESSARY ?	- <u></u>	
	odservations : (1) initial appropriations.	The appropriations	in the draft a	
	Deservations : (1) initial appropriations. amount to 348 million ECU (2) The necessary appropriatio	The appropriations • ons would be made	• • •	9

Annex: Method of calculation

. W -

 The exceptional distillation measure decided upon by the Counil on
 24 April 1981 (Regulation No 1144/81) was to cover 6 million hl at 15 ECU/hl, or 90 million ECU.

2.) The quantities actually covered by distillation contracts amounted to between 4.9 and 5.4 million hl, or a maximum of 81 million ECU.

• 3.) Increasing the percentage of production which could be distilled from 15 to 20% should result in distillation of a further 1.8 million hl, or a maximum of 7.2 million hl representing 108 million ECU.

4.) Additional expenditure caused by this proposal should therefore amount to 108-90 = 18 million ECU compared with the financial statement of 6 April 1981.