



European Network of Economic Policy
Research Institutes

HEALTH EXPENDITURE SCENARIOS IN THE NEW MEMBER STATES COUNTRY REPORT ON BULGARIA

**ROSSITSA RANGELOVA
GRIGOR SARIISKI**

**ENEPRI RESEARCH REPORT NO. 44
AHEAD WP9**

DECEMBER 2007



ENEPRI Research Reports are designed to make the results of research projects undertaken within the framework of the European Network of Economic Policy Research Institutes (ENEPRI) publicly available. This paper was prepared as part of Work Package 9 of the **AHEAD project** – *Ageing, Health Status and the Determinants of Health Expenditure* – which has received financing from the European Commission under the 6th Research Framework Programme (contract no. SP21-CT-2003-502641). Its findings and conclusions are attributable only to the author/s and not to ENEPRI or any of its member institutions.

A brief description of the AHEAD project and a list of its partner institutes can be found at the end of this report.

ISBN 978-92-9079-761-6

AVAILABLE FOR FREE DOWNLOADING FROM THE ENEPRI WEBSITE ([HTTP://WWW.ENEPRI.ORG](http://www.enepri.org))
AND THE CEPS WEBSITE ([WWW.CEPS.EU](http://www.ceps.eu))

© COPYRIGHT 2007, **ROSSITSA RANGELOVA & GRIGOR SARIISKI**

Contents

Introduction	1
1. Current performance of the health expenditure	2
1.1 The health care reform	2
1.2 Health care system financing	3
1.3 Health care expenditure.....	4
2. Basic features of the ILO model.....	7
3. Data sources for the ILO health budget model	8
4. Assumptions on variables' development	10
4.1 Development of the demographic variables.....	10
4.2 Development of the labour market variables	17
4.3 Development of the macroeconomic variables	21
5. Projections and sensitivity analysis to given variables.....	23
5.1 Sensitivity test concerning the life expectancy at birth.....	27
5.2 Sensitivity test concerning employment	30
5.3 Sensitivity test concerning wage rate	30
6. Conclusion	32
7. Policy recommendations for Bulgaria	33
Bibliography.....	35

List of Tables

Table 1. Main sources of financing health care in Bulgaria, 1989-2000 (%)	3
Table 2. Health Care Financing in Bulgaria (bln BGN).....	4
Table 3 Dynamics of health care expenditure in Bulgaria, 1991-2000	5
Table 4. Basic Health Care Indicators for Selected European Countries in 2004.....	6
Table 5. Sources of data used in the projections for Bulgaria.....	9
Table 6. Bulgaria: Bio-demographic variables, 2005-2050	13
Table 7. Bulgaria: Projected variants of Life Expectancy in Bulgaria, 2005-2050.....	17
Table 8. Bulgaria: Labour market variables, 2005-2050.....	18
Table 9. Bulgaria: Economic variables, 2005-2050	22
Table 10. Projections for the total health revenue and health system balance by three scenarios, base year 2003 and 2004-2050 (bln. BGN).....	26

Table 11. Sensitivity test: Projections for the total health expenditure by three variants of life expectancy improvement each one including the three scenarios of a health care expenditure (HE) increase, 2003-2050	28
Table 12. Sensitivity test: Projections for the total health expenditure at middle improvement of life expectancy by the three scenarios each one including the changed trends in unemployment rate, 2003-2050	30
Table 13. Sensitivity test: Projections for the total health expenditure at middle improvement of life expectancy by the three scenarios each one including two variant in the wage rate changes – optimistic and pessimistic, 2003-2050	31

List of Figures

Figure 1. Institutional composition of health care expenditure, 1997-2001.....	3
Figure 2. Projections of the population number in Bulgaria, total, male and female, 2003-2050.....	13
Figure 3. Projection of the population number by age in Bulgaria, young (0-14 years of age) and old people (65 and over), 2003-2050	14
Figure 4. Projection of population number in Bulgaria by age group, 2003, 2025 and 2050	14
Figure 5. Projection of the female population at fertility age and assumed total fertility rate, 2003-2050	15
Figure 6. Age pyramids of Bulgaria's population, 2003, 2025 and 2050	15
Figure 7. Age structure of population – projections by the three life expectancy improvement variants (slow, middle and fast), 2050	17
Figure 8. Projections of number of labour force, insured and non-insured people, 2003-2050..	18
Figure 9. Projection of total number employed and gender ratio male/female, 2003-2050.....	19
Figure 10. Projections for the public sector employees and other formal sector employees, 2003-2050.....	20
Figure 11. Structure of the revenue from insurance contributions, 2003	21
Figure 12. Projections of labour productivity and real wage growth rates, 2003-2050	23
Figure 13. Structure of Government expenditures, 2003	23
Figure 14. Structure of expenditure of social security funds, 2003.....	24
Figure 15. Utilisation of health care expenditure by age groups (J-curve), 2003	25
Figure 16. Dynamics of the real GDP and proportion of the total health expenditure (HE) in GDP by three scenarios, 2003-2050.....	26
Figure 17. Dynamics of the projected share of total expenditure in GDP and total public expenditure in GDP	27
Figure 18. Deficit of total health expenditure by three scenarios, 2003-2050	27

Health Expenditure Scenarios in the New Member States

Country Report on Bulgaria

ENEPRI Research Report No. 44/December 2007

Rossitsa Rangelova & Grigor Sariiski*

Introduction

The expenditures on health care in the countries from Central and Eastern Europe (CEE), new members of the EU has never been based yet on the model of inter-dependence of socio-economic factors of the health state and the changes in the structure of population. The development of long-term scenarios here is based on the analysis of previous carried out study within WPII "Health and Morbidity in the Accession Countries" and thus the health care expenditures are interrelated with the status of health of the nation.¹

Like in the case of the other CEE countries included in the WPIX (Estonia, Hungary, Poland and Slovakia) for the purpose of the scenarios calculations the model of the International Labour Organisation (ILO) Financial and Actuarial Service was used.²

The main objective of this report is to describe the interrelations between these three groups of indicators related to the health care expenditure in Bulgaria for 2003 taken as a base year and further to produce a long-term projections up to 2050, using an intermediary control (target) year 2025. The outlined projections can be useful for the future health care and social policy in Bulgaria.

The report is organized as follows. Firstly, the actual situation of the health sector (health insurance contribution, expenditures, etc.) are described. Secondly, the data sources are specified and the involved assumptions about the future behaviour of the different variables are presented. Further the basic results obtained in the three main groups (demographic, economic and health care finance) are shown. At the last stage of analysis sensitivity tests are applied to check the reliability of model results. For this purpose the value of a given parameter is changed for each test. The obtained results are compared with the status quo projection. The basic findings of the carried out sensitivity analysis concerning life expectancy at birth, (where a test built on 3 variants of life expectancy indicator, assuming fast, middle and slow improvement is used), employment and wage rate are presented. Finally concluding remarks and policy recommendations are given.

* Dr. Rossitsa Rangelova is a Senior Research Fellow at the Institute of Economics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, e-mail: rangelova@iki.bas.bg. Dr. Grigor Sariiski is Researcher at the Institute of Economics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, e-mail: grigor@iki.bas.bg.

¹ See Rangelova, R.(2006), Health and Morbidity in the Accession Countries. Report Bulgaria, ENEPRI Research Report № 20, Brussels <www.ceps.be>.

² This model is discussed in the article: Rangelova R. and G. Sariiski, Long-term Projections of Health Care Expenditure in Bulgaria. Economic Studies. Series of the Institute of Economics, BAS and the Economic Academy "D. Tsenov" – Svishtov, Year XVI, № 2, 2007.

1. Current performance of the health expenditure³

1.1 The health care reform

The awareness that the health care reform has been the most significant change in the social sphere in the transition period was rather stopping than mobilizing the authorities. In the early 1990s the health care reform began by returning to some earlier traditions through:

1. Laws were passed allowing private health care services
2. Medical associations were re-established
3. Responsibility for many health care services was developed to the municipalities, which actually meant decentralisation of the health care services

Finally in the late 1990s the basic laws on health care system was voted by the Parliament. The implementation of a new health care system, which is an insurance-based financing system was undertaken in Bulgaria. The first stage of the reform concerned out-patient health care and it started on 1 July 2000. It was based on three laws adopted by the Bulgarian Parliament: The Health Insurance Law (1998); The Law on the Professional Organizations of Physicians and Dentists (1998); The Law on Health Care Establishments (1999). The reform in in-patient health care started about one year later.

In accordance with the first cited law National Health Insurance Fund (NHIF) was established as an autonomous institution for compulsory health insurance. The health insurance payments are deducted from personal income, as the Parliament decides the size of health insurance payments and each year determines the budget of NHIF. The latter is the biggest purchaser of health care services, signing contracts with providers.

The health insurance contribution was set at 6% of income as employer and employee initially shared the contribution in the proportion 5:1. The participation of the employer has to decrease in subsequent years by 2007 and the proportion will be 1:1. Self-employed persons pay the entire contribution. Contributions for the unemployed and poor, pensioners, students, soldiers, civil servants and some other vulnerable categories are covered by central and local budgets.

The system of health insurance is compulsory for the entire population.⁴ Only some marginal social groups (Roma, permanently unemployed, etc.) are excluded from the system.⁵

³ This section is developed according to the instructions of the coordinator of the WPIX “Describe shortly the actual situation of the health sector: revenues (health insurance contribution), expenditures - is the health care sector in financial debt or not? What are economic results of this situation? How is this debt covered in the whole health care sector in health insurance? “

⁴ However the number of people who for one or another reason have not paid their insurance contributions in 2004 is impressive – 1,929 thousand, i.e. about 2 million. Taking into account the total number of the Bulgarian population, this means that if for about four million people the state has this obligation, one million Bulgarians are abroad (emigrants) and two million people have not contributed to the NHIF, only about half million Bulgarians have paid their contributions, including unregistered unemployed, who have to pay for the part-time working at a state job.

⁵ The number of people who have not paid their insurance contributions for one or another reason in 2004 is impressive – 1,929 thousand, i.e. about 2 million. Taking into account the total number of the Bulgarian population, this means that if for about four million people the state has this obligation, one million Bulgarians are abroad (emigrants) and two million people have not contributed to the NHIF, only about half million Bulgarians have paid their contributions, including unregistered unemployed, who have to pay for the part-time working at a state job.

1.2 Health care system financing

The relative contributions of main sources of financing during the 1990s are shown in Table 1. As it is expected the national and municipal budgets provide the bulk of financing, with social health insurance providing 13% in 2000 (the first year in the condition of the health reform). Information is not available on all sources of health care revenue which limits the analytical scope. For instance, foreign assistance is considerable during the period under much review. Private out-of-pocket payments are also substantial, estimating by different sources nearly 20% of health care revenue.⁶

Table 1. Main sources of financing health care in Bulgaria, 1989-2000 (%)


Sources of financing	1989	1994	1996	1998	1999	2000
Public, of which	-	98.0	-	-	-	-
National budget	100.0	33.0	40.0	45.0	38.5	42.0
Local government budget	-	65.0	60.0	55.0	51.4	42.3
Statutory insurance	-	-	-	-	9.9	13.0
Private, of which						
Out-of-pocket	-	0.5	-	-	-	-
Private insurance	-	-	-	-	0.1	-
Other charges*	-	1.5	-	-	-	2.7
External, of which						
Foreign assistance	-	-	-	-	-	-

* Other charges refer to non-budgetary financial resources of health establishments.

Source: Ministry of Health and Ministry of Finance.

At macrolevel the health care system funding throughout the second half of the 1990s, i.e. just before the time of introduction of the health care reform is composed mainly by the state budget and municipalities, which share gradually decreased (as well as that of the other funds) in favour of health insurance contribution (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Institutional composition of health care expenditure, 1997-2001



⁶ See Bukarev, I. – Director of the NHIF (2004), Health Care Financing and the Health Care. In: “Health Care Reform in Bulgaria: Problems, Prospects, Decisions”, Friedrich Ebert Stiftung and Union of the Bulgarian Scientists, Sofia, 46-58.

At present the main sources of the health care financing are as follows:

- Taxation through the state budget
- Health insurance contribution – obligatory and voluntary
- Direct payment of the citizens
- Combination of the above-written sources

According to a survey in Sofia 54% of the respondents had made informal payments for health services in 1999.⁷ Unofficial payments (under-the-table payments) are widespread among Bulgarians in order to gain access to better quality services in hospitals and for a wide variety of outpatient services.⁸

The reasons for declining public health care expenditures reflect both the economic crisis in the 1990s and the relatively low priority attached to spending on health care by central and municipal government. Health insurance, introduced in 1999, was associated with an initial increase in total health expenditures as percentage of GDP, but this appears to have been accompanied by correspondingly greater drops in budgetary spending in later years.

According to projections of Bulgarian experts a clear tendency of decreasing the state budget financing is outlined and increasing of the health insurance contribution (Table 2).

Table 2. Health Care Financing in Bulgaria (bln BGN)

Source	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Total	6.0	6.0	6.4	7.0	7.6	9.2
including						
State budget	2.3	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.3
National Health Insurance Fund	1.9	2.3	2.6	3.5	3.9	4.5
Municipalities	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.2
Voluntary Health Insurance Funds	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
Households expenditure*	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2

* It is very difficult to envisage the tendency of the individual households' expenditure.

Source: Ministry of Health Care, National Health Insurance Fund; Bukarev, I. – Director of the NHIF (2004), Health Care Financing and the Health Care. In: "Health Care Reform in Bulgaria: Problems, Prospects, Decisions", Friedrich Ebert Stiftung and Union of the Bulgarian Scientists, Sofia, 46-58.

1.3 Health care expenditure

Health government expenditure in Bulgaria began decreasing from the beginning of the 1990s. As a percentage of GDP it dropped from 5.4% in 1991 to 3.2% in 1996, rising to 4.2% in 1999 to drop again to 3.6% in 2000, rising again at the beginning of the new century to about 4.3% (Table 3). However, one should take into account two facts: *firstly*, these figures include only

⁷ See Delcheva, E. (1999), What Do Consumers Pay for Bulgarian Health Care? Journal of Health Economics, № 4.

⁸ In many cases hospitalised patients have to pay medicines themselves. Luxury services in hospital (for example single rooms and TV sets) have always incurred charges. People (excluding children and some categories of patients) always were charged for outpatient drugs. Patients also pay for balneo-therapy, many dentist services, cosmetic surgery, abortions, infertility treatment and eyeglasses. Nearly two thirds of respondents in a public opinion survey carried out in 2001 are in favour of the introduction of a range of official user fees.

public health expenditures, and *secondly*, the volume of GDP significantly dropped in this period compared with 1989, which implies that the real decline of the public health expenditure is bigger. The proportion of the health sector in the total government expenditure fluctuated substantially during the 1990s, i.e. until the introduction of the new health reform but the tendency was to increase (Table 3).

Table 3 Dynamics of health care expenditure in Bulgaria, 1991-2000

Total on health care	1991	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Real government health budget as % 1990 budget*	71	47	35	26	41	-	-
Share of GDP, %	5.4	3.7	3.2	3.5	3.8	4.2***	3.6***
Share of total government expenditure**	7.6	9.4	7.1	10.0**	11.0**	9.8	-

Legend:

* Delcheva, E. (1999)

** WHO Regional Office for Europe "Health for All" database

*** National Health Insurance Fund

Source: WHO, Ministry of Finance, National Health Insurance Fund.

If we add estimates of private spending, the total health care expenditure as a share of GDP at present is roughly 4.4-5.1%.⁹ Taking into account the fact that Bulgaria is the country with the lowest income per capita (together with Romania) among the EU member countries, it is clear that absolutely the health care expenditure is lower in times compared to the advanced EU and other CEE countries.

The best way to discover the real situation about a given process is to present it in a comparative aspect. In Table 4 data on health care expenditure in 2004 are shown for selected countries in Europe – the five CEE participating in AHEAD project (Bulgaria, Estonia, Hungary, Poland and Slovakia) and two other EU countries – Austria and the UK. As far as these data are calculated by the WHO methodology, any differences between them and data of EUROSTAT and other sources are explainable. Comparing the data what is curious for Bulgaria, the country with the lowest income per capita:

- Although the total expenditure on health as percentage of GDP in Bulgaria is amongst the highest between the compared countries and closest to this one for the UK, the indicators per capita health expenditure (both total and government) are the lowest, and the government expenditure per capita is 671 international dollars, which is nearly 4 times lower than that in the UK – 2,560 (taken as percentage of GDP based on PPPs).
- General *government* expenditure on health as percentage of total expenditure on health in the five CEE countries (coming from the total government system in the past), including Bulgaria is rather slower than that in the two developed countries – Austria and the UK.
- Private expenditure on health as percentage of total expenditure on health is the highest in Bulgaria (nearly three times higher than in the UK). Almost all of the private expenditure (98%) is coming from out-pocket expenditure as percentage on health.
- Although the share of the external resources for health in total government expenditure is highest for Bulgaria (1.0%), it is too low to be taken into consideration in this analysis.

⁹According to the WHO Report 2000 Bulgaria's private share in total health care expenditure is 18.1% in 1997.

Table 4. Basic Health Care Indicators for Selected European Countries in 2004

Indicator	Austria	Bulgaria	Estonia	Hungary	Poland	Slovakia	UK
Total expenditure on health as % of GDP	10.3	8.0	5.3	7.9	6.2	7.2	8.1
General government expenditure on health as % of total expenditure on health	75.6	57.6	76.0	71.6	68.6	73.8	86.3
Private expenditure on health as % of total expenditure on health	24.4	42.4	24.0	28.4	31.4	26.2	13.7
General government expenditure on health as % of total government expenditure	15.4	11.6	11.5	11.6	10.0	13.7	15.9
External resources for health as % of total expenditure on health	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0
Social security expenditure on health as % of general government expenditure on health	61.0	49.6	86.5	85.3	82.4	86.3	0.0
Out-of-pocket expenditure as % of private expenditure on health	67.90	98.00	88.80	88.00	89.60	73.10	91.80
Private prepaid plans as % of private expenditure on health	33.6	0.2	0.3	3.2	1.9	0.0	8.2
Per capita total expenditure on health at average exchange rate (US\$)	3,683	251	463	800	411	565	2,900
Per capita total expenditure on health at international dollar rate (based on PPPs)	3,418	671	752	1308	814	1,061	2,560
Per capita government expenditure on health at average exchange rate (US\$)	2,783	144	352	573	282	417	2,502
Per capita government expenditure on health at international dollar rate (based on PPPs)	2,582	386	571	937	559	782	2,209

Source: www.who.org

In general, we can point out the following current problems with the health care financing:

- Chronically under-funded system, as the practice is to cover it partly by the new budget in the following year leading in this way to deeper deficit
- Ineffectively organized health care system and therefore ineffective spending of the health care budget
- Large discrepancy in compensation between out-patient and in-patient specialists, which led to significant under-the-table payments
- Heavy gaming of the system by providers and pharmacists, which results in unjustified high prices of the medicines

They will be discussed further, at the end of the study.

2. Basic features of the ILO model

The ILO model includes the most important national variables like indicators for population development, employment, insurance system, government budget, etc. which are related to the health care expenditure in their combined influence on it. This approach makes the model very valuable as a theoretical decision and a useful tool for the practice. At the same time like in every one model created there are some restrictions involved in which regarding the results one should take into consideration (Box 1).

<i>Box 1. The capabilities and limitations of the ILO model</i>	
Capabilities:	Limitations:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The model is based on a good set of basic national demographic, labour market and economic variables; they have a combined influence on the projections ➤ Projections cover both revenues and expenditures side of the health care budget ➤ The model allows to obtain the best fit for insurance estimates revenues ➤ Results are policy oriented, concentrated on insurance system balance and sustainability; thus advantages and disadvantages of a given policy towards health care expenditure could be analyzed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Projections of variables depend only on assumptions about the country's (internal) reality. The model does not include the rest of the world, for example the process of migration, external sources of revenues, etc. ➤ The model does not cover in much details the budgetary revenues (for example, from general taxes) ➤ Although the model allows to obtain the best fit for insurance revenues, at present the development of health insurance system in the CEE countries, including Bulgaria is still underdeveloped

The lack of some disaggregated data and the questionable reliability of other data are a major challenge from the point of view of the model realization in the case of Bulgaria. At first, expenditure data are far from being available, especially regarding private spending. Data on the health service activities and public expenditure information are not fully available as well.

In general, the national specificity of the Bulgaria's real economic, demographic, social and health budget situation does not impose any essential adjustments of the ILO model. One can however speculate to what extent a model based on one year reflects the main socio-economic trends going on in a transition country like Bulgaria in the last over 15 years, in particular concerning the demographic and economic performance. A fast process of depopulation and

ageing population is a typical phenomenon for this country as well as a high migration in the period under review.¹⁰ Taking one or two years as a base predetermines the future tendencies and trends, which however in the case of Bulgaria (2003-2005) are not too bad because the transition period has already passed and the situation has been more or less stabilized.

3. Data sources for the ILO health budget model

Data sources for the study are presented in Table 5.

¹⁰ According to the official statistical data nearly 9% of the Bulgaria's population have emigrated since 1989. See Rangelova, R. (2006), *New Bulgaria's Emigration: Scale, Socio-demographic Profile, Economic Consequences*. In: "Facing Challenges: Selected Key Issues of Economic Transformation and European Cooperation". Proceedings of the Hungarian-Bulgarian Bilateral Workshop, 16 September 2005. Edited by G. Foti and T. Novak, Institute for World Economics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, 50-73.

Table 5. Sources of data used in the projections for Bulgaria

National statistical offices	Social insurance	Health insurance	Governmental agencies	Independent organisations	National Bank
<p>NSI (Nazionalen statisticheski institut - National Statistical Office): <i>demographic data</i> – number of population, including population by gender, population age structure, total fertility rate, mortality rate, birth rate, rate of natural increase, life expectancy at birth, etc.; <i>macroeconomic data</i> – GDP volume and growth, CPI (inflation), GDP deflator, income of population; labour statistics taken from periodically organized National Survey on Labour Force.</p>	<p>NOI (Nazionalen osiguritelni institut - National Insurance Institute): data on the socio-insurance system, number and structure of insured, insurance payments.</p>	<p>NZOK (Nazionalna zdravno-osiguritelna kasa - National Health Insurance Fund (NHIF): health revenues and health expenditure, health finance system balance.</p>	<p>MZ (Ministerstvo na zdaveopazvaneto - Ministry of Health Care):</p>	<p>II na BAN Ikonomicanski institut na Bulgarska academia na naukite (Institute of Economics at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – IE-BAS): GDP, labour productivity projections</p>	<p>BNB (Bulgarska nazionalna banka - Bulgarian National Bank): macroeconomic data – interest rates.</p>
			<p>NCZI (Nazionalen centur po zdravna informacia pri Ministerstvoto na zdaveopazvaneto- National Center for Health Information at the Ministry of Health): papers on health care policy and strategy.</p>		
			<p>MF (Ministerstvo na finansite – Ministry of Finance): the state budget data</p>		
			<p>AZ (Agenzia po zаетostta pri Ministerstvoto na truda I sozialnata politika - Employment Agency to the Ministry of Labour and Social Policy): economically active population, employed persons both total number and by gender, employment rate, unemployment rate.</p>	<p>CIN (Centur za izsledvane na naselenieto - Centre for the Populations Studies at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences): ageing population projections.</p>	

Problems and limitations:

- Because of the ongoing transition period in Bulgaria during the last over 15 years and respectively the fast change of the economic indicators is difficult to accept the current data as pre-determining a too long-term perspective of nearly a half of century.
- The health insurance system in Bulgaria was introduced several years ago, and the past years were a period of mastering the process of nominating the insured people and gathering the insurance funds. This process in Bulgaria was combined with (and hampered by) the high percentage of unemployment rate (18-19% in 2001), shadow economy, including hidden employment (nearly 33-36% of GDP), as well as frequently changed regulations on the insurance system.
- Due to the late-started health reform in Bulgaria (1 July 2000) going along with a widespread people's disapproval and the very questionable implementation data on the health statistics (health care utilization, revenue and expenditure, etc.) , the latter are not a reliable base for a too long-term perspective projection.
- As far as there are not available social budget models for long-term social security projections performed by the Government, different organizations or experts in Bulgaria, in some cases the authors of this study has given their own visions (expert estimates). Taking into account the available data as well as their own considerations, the authors of the study have made some adjustments which consist mainly in the following:
- There are two sources of data on labour market activity using different methodology: *the first one* is the annually organized National Survey on Labour Force at the National Statistical Institute, and *the other* is the monthly and annually more detailed surveys of the Employment Agency to the Ministry of Labour and Social Policy. The data from the two sources were analysed as the final choice was put mainly on the first one.
- Mainly because of the difference between the classifications used in the Bulgaria's national system of accounting and those used in the ILO model we have made some adjustments in order to lead the input data closer to the model's requirements. For example according to the Bulgaria's national accounting system the employed persons by branch are grouped by form of ownership (engaged in the public sector and in private sector but not in other formal sectors); insurance revenue by contributors are grouped by basic contributors like workers, employees, employers, etc., but not by the branches as it has given in the ILO model, in particular formal sector, other formal sectors, self-employed in agriculture, self-employed out of agriculture and so on.

4. Assumptions on variables' development

In principle, analysing the expenditure side of one economic activity any given model (like this of the ILO health care expenditure model) includes variables on the two sides of money transfer: revenues and expenditure. As a result of the ILO model realization the development of the three basic groups of variables have been calculated: demographic, labour market, and macroeconomic. Further they are consecutively discussed.

4.1 Development of the demographic variables

It is explainable that the first and most important variable in the ILO is the number of population and its basic characteristics and their development through the projection period.

The periodically performed population projections of the UNs are may be the most used by the analysts. It is because the well developed methodology for calculations of these projections, and

on the other hand, because of the wide number of included countries (nearly 250). The data presented in these reports cover the estimated sex and age distribution from 1950 until the current days and projections by high-, medium-, and low-variant for the years up to 2050. Most of the estimates presented there are derived from available national data that have been evaluated and adjusted (if necessary) for deficiencies and inconsistencies. According to the 1996 revision if the population in Bulgaria in 2005 by high variant would be 8,185 thousand in 2005 and should change to 7,788 thousand in 2050, by the medium variant these figures are respectively 8,110 thousand in 2005 and to 6,690 thousand in 2050, and by the low variant – the number of the population should drop from 8,082 thousand in 2005 to 5,773 thousand in 2050.¹¹ The number of the Bulgaria's population however still in 2005 is nearly 7,719 thousand, i.e. under even the low variant, which implies that it is very likely for the projections to overestimate the future number. Obviously the authors of the UNs methodology consider this tendency and try to correct their further projections. Thus the number of the population in Bulgaria in 2050 in the latest issue is estimated by the medium variant as 6,068 thousand but not 6,690 thousand as it is in the 1996 issue.

There are other population projections produced by international organisations (for example Eurostat), national institutions or individual authors in Bulgaria. At present the NSI together with the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences work on National Strategy for Population Development in Bulgaria, which includes new population projections for the period up to 2050.

All known projections show the process of population ageing in Bulgaria will deepen. May be the most pessimistic are the projections of the demographer Donkov (1999).¹² He has developed 10 scenarios for the dynamics of the Bulgarian population up to 2050. In each of these scenarios different hypotheses for the future dynamics of the three basic variables (fertility, mortality and migration) are combined. The first three scenarios are basic. They present the demographic changes in combination with unidirectional by intensity changes in the used variables (for example slight decline in mortality and slight increase in fertility). The first scenario presents the so-called stationary model of demographic development (when the total fertility rate is 2.1 children), which is regarded desirable for the country in long-term prospects. The second and third scenarios show models of declining population. The last 7 scenarios include different combination of the individual variables from the first three scenarios, which is done mainly in view of extending the analytical quality of the projections. Given Bulgaria's number of population in 1997 8,282 thousand, according to the first Donkov scenario by 2050 it will be 5,820 thousand, and by the second and third scenarios – respectively 5,243 thousand and 4,710 thousand. The pace of ageing is followed together with changes in the population number, in particular the changes in the relationships between different age and sex groups. The past nearly 10 years since the beginning of these projections (1997) however show the predicted smaller number of the Bulgaria's population is quite possible.

Considering the demographic changes one has to take account the actively developed processes of migration all over the world. Since 1989 onwards a massive external migration from Bulgaria has begun, which gradually decreased in the following years, but it has still been significant. The emigration wave of primary young and educated people was result of the lifting the administrative barriers and restrictions, the very big difference of the living standards between Bulgaria and the developed countries, the reticence of the regime of the period 1945-1989 etc.

¹¹ The Sex and Age Distribution of the World Population. UN World Population Prospects. The 1996 Revision. Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Population Division, New York, 237-240.

¹² Donkov, K. (1999), Projections for Bulgaria's Population in the Period 1997-2050. Statistics. Journal of the National Statistical Institute, Sofia, № 2, 18-42.

By official data from 1989 to 2000 nearly 700 thousand people emigrated and up to the present days over 770 thousand, i.e. nearly 9% of the total population in 1989.¹³

It is very difficult and risky to make any predictions concerning the future migration trends. Anyway, our speculations are the following. We do not know any projections on emigration not only for Bulgaria but also for other countries. The only estimates which we know are those of Boeri T. and H. Brucker¹⁴ who suggest that the net emigration potential of workers from the new member states (including Bulgaria and Romania) is equivalent to about 3% of their respective populations, with most of them expected to migrate within the first ten years after accession, i.e. until around 2017. On the other hand if we take into account the going on active process of immigration in Bulgaria of people not only from Asian and neighboured countries but also from the UK, Ireland and other countries; the expected economic progress of the country as full member of the EU attracting people from abroad, we could expect that within the following decade we could expect zero balance of mechanical movement of population, i.e. the two opposite process to get almost equal. One should take also into consideration that the process of EU integration will deeper and free movement of labour force will be developed pressing on harmonising of the labour and social legislation, including health insurance among the European countries. This is why in our study is far more reliable to avoid accounting migration process than to include any figures.

According to the latest NSI forecast migration from Bulgaria will gradually decrease, and after 2010 the number of migrated people is expected to be 6-8 thousand annually. They will continue to be mainly young people. Since 2020 it is expected the number of immigrants in the country to exceed that of emigrants.

Projections for the Bulgaria's population for the purpose of the ILO model realisation are taken from the described UNs source, the latest version, and the medium variant. This is because: firstly, we highly evaluate the fact that the medium variant does give moderate changes in the population number, secondly, we use the same source as do the other partners in the AHEAD project from CEE countries, and thirdly, there are available data for population number by single age but not only by age group. These projections also predict continuing depopulation of Bulgaria (see Table 6).

¹³ See Rangelova, R. (2006), *New Bulgaria's Emigration: Scale, Socio-demographic Profile, Economic Consequences*. In: "Facing Challenges: Selected Key Issues of Economic Transformation and European Cooperation". Proceedings of the Hungarian-Bulgarian Bilateral Workshop, 16 September 2005. Edited by G. Fóti and T. Novak, Institute for World Economics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, 50-73.


¹⁴ See Boeri T. and H. Brucker (2005), *Migration, Co-ordination Failures and EU Enlargement*, IZA Discussion Paper 1600.

Table 6. Bulgaria: Bio-demographic variables, 2005-2050

	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Total number of population, thousand	7,848	7,707	7,539	7,348	7,138	6,919	6,704	6,493	6,279	6,058
Including										
Male	3,800	3,730	3,656	3,571	3,472	3,366	3,261	3,158	3,054	2,945
Female	4,048	3,977	3,882	3,777	3,666	3,553	3,444	3,335	3,225	3,113
Total Fertility Rate (TFR)	1.27	1.38	1.49	1.59	1.67	1.75	1.81	1.85	1.88	1.89
Estimated life expectancy, at birth, years										
Total	72.5	73.6	74.7	75.6	76.5	77.3	78.0	78.6	79.1	79.6
Male	69.2	70.4	71.5	72.5	73.4	74.2	75.0	75.7	76.2	76.7
Female	75.7	76.7	77.7	78.6	79.4	80.2	80.9	81.4	81.9	82.4
Birth Rate, per 1000	8.9	9.4	9.3	8.7	8.2	8.3	8.9	9.4	9.5	9.4
Death Rate, per 1000	11.9	13.4	13.9	14.0	14.2	14.6	15.2	15.9	16.4	16.7
Rate of Natural Increase., Per 1000	-5.7	-4.0	-4.6	-5.3	-5.9	-6.3	-6.3	-6.5	-6.8	-7.4
Population growth, %	-0.30	-0.39	-0.47	-0.54	-0.60	-0.63	-0.63	-0.65	-0.68	-0.74
Population age structure, including (%)										
Population aged 0-14	14.4	12.9	13.4	13.9	13.6	13.1	12.9	13.4	14.2	14.7
Population aged 15-65	70.3	70.7	68.9	66.8	65.8	65.0	64.0	61.9	59.0	56.8
Population aged 65 and over	15.3	16.5	17.7	19.2	20.6	21.9	23.1	24.7	26.8	28.5

According to the projections the number of Bulgaria's population will decrease by roughly 2 million – from nearly 8 million in 2003 to nearly 6 million to 2050. The proportion male/female will keep in favour of female population (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Projections of the population number in Bulgaria, total, male and female, 2003-2050



The depopulation process will be accompanied by continuing ageing population. Still in 2003 the proportion of the group of the young population (0-14 years of age) in total population is lower than that of the old population (65 years and over).¹⁵ This ratio will deepen and until the end of the projected period it is expected the proportion of the old population will be over twice higher than that of the young people (Figure 3). The second unfavourable change in the age structure in Bulgaria is the considerably reduction of the working-age population (Figure 4).

Figure 3. Projection of the population number by age in Bulgaria, young (0-14 years of age) and old people (65 and over), 2003-2050






Figure 4. Projection of population number in Bulgaria by age group, 2003, 2025 and 2050



¹⁵ See Rangelova, R. and S. Zlatanov (2005), Ageing and Health Status of Bulgaria's Population. South-East Europe Review for Labour and Social Affairs. Vol. 8, № 2, 71-94; Rangelova, R. (2002), Bio-Demographic Change and Socio-economic Trends in Bulgaria. Economics and Human Biology (journal), Elsevier Science, Vol. 31, Issue 3, 413-428.


An interesting detail of the population development projection is the expected increasing total fertility rate in the context of the decreasing number of women in fertility age. This implies that an increase of the intensity of the people’s reproduction is outlined: from average 1.23 children of an woman in fertility age in 2003 to 1.89 in 2050, which however will stay still under the magnitude required for the so-called stationary reproduction 2.1 (Table 6 and Figure 5).



Figure 5. Projection of the female population at fertility age and assumed total fertility rate, 2003-2050



The so-called age pyramids present the most illustrative picture of the ageing population. The age pyramids of Bulgaria’s population in the base 2003 year, the middle target 2025 year and the main target 2050 year of projections are shown on Figure 6. Still in 2003 the age pyramid is narrowed at the bottom, which means decreasing of the young population. It is expected that in the future this tendency will deepen gradually and will strongly change (and even lose) the shape of an age pyramid, concerning not only the changed ratio between the young and the old people’s group but also the reduced proportion of the working-age population.

Figure 6. Age pyramids of Bulgaria’s population, 2003, 2025 and 2050






Around 2003 the average life expectancy at birth in Bulgaria is 72 years for both sexes as the life expectancy of females is higher by nearly 7 years in comparison with that of males. The ILO model allows calculating three variants assuming different extent of improvement of life expectancy – fast, middle and slow (Table 7). According to the first variant the life expectancy (fast improvement) for both sexes will increase by nearly 9 year, and according to the third variant (slow improvement) – by less than 6 years. The three variants indicate that the female life expectancy will overpass 80 years, and that of male will approach 80 years only by the first variant, and will reach the current level of female life expectancy by the third variant.

Table 7. Bulgaria: Projected variants of Life Expectancy in Bulgaria, 2005-2050

	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
I variant - fast improvement of life expectancy										
Both sexes combined	72.6	73.9	75.1	76.1	77.1	78.1	79.0	79.8	80.5	81.1
Male	69.3	70.7	71.9	73.0	74.0	75.0	75.9	76.7	77.5	78.2
Female	75.8	77.0	78.1	79.1	80.1	81.0	81.8	82.6	83.3	83.8
II variant - middle improvement of life expectancy										
Both sexes combined	72.5	73.6	74.7	75.6	76.5	77.3	78.0	78.6	79.1	79.6
Male	69.2	70.4	71.5	72.5	73.4	74.2	75.0	75.7	76.2	76.7
Female	75.7	76.7	77.7	78.6	79.4	80.2	80.9	81.4	81.9	82.4
III variant – slow improvement of life expectancy										
Both sexes combined	72.5	73.4	74.2	74.9	75.6	76.2	76.7	77.2	77.6	78.0
Male	69.1	70.1	71.0	71.8	72.6	73.3	73.8	74.3	74.8	75.2
Female	75.6	76.4	77.2	77.9	78.4	78.9	79.4	79.9	80.3	80.6

In Figure 7 the age structure of the population in Bulgaria is shown according to the three variants of life expectancy improvement – slow, middle and fast. It is quite evident the increasing proportion of the old people (age 60 and over) depending on the degree of the assumed life expectancy improvement.

Figure 7. Age structure of population – projections by the three life expectancy improvement variants (slow, middle and fast), 2050



4.2 Development of the labour market variables


The second important group of variables presents the development of the labour market in Bulgaria (Table 8). We consider the labour market activity in order to outline the people's resource that will ensure economic performance in the country and respectively the revenues which have to meet the required the health expenditure.

Table 8. Bulgaria: Labour market variables, 2005-2050

	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Labour force, number	3,662	3,601	3,492	3,328	3,126	2,907	2,677	2,455	2,258	2,107
Labour force, growth,%	-0.15	-0.44	-0.75	-1.10	-1.32	-1.51	-1.69	-1.71	-1.60	-2.43
Employment growth – total, %	0.18	1.11	-0.66	-1.02	-1.24	-1.47	-1.65	-1.67	-1.56	-1.12
Male	0.27	1.20	-0.58	-0.93	-1.15	-1.46	-1.63	-1.65	-1.54	-1.10
Female	0.08	1.01	-0.76	-1.12	-1.34	-1.49	-1.67	-1.69	-1.58	-1.14
Unemployment rate – total, %	13.15	8.39	6.04	5.64	5.24	4.92	4.72	4.52	4.32	4.12
Male	13.36	8.91	6.49	5.77	5.12	5.24	5.84	5.95	5.70	4.84
Female	12.91	7.78	5.51	5.48	5.38	4.53	3.33	2.73	2.60	3.23
Insured, number	5,598	5,681	5,681	5,599	5,444	5,230	4,966	4,738	4,569	4,421
Non insured (% of total population)	28.7	26.3	24.6	23.8	23.7	24.4	25.9	27.0	27.2	27.0

The declining number of the total population in Bulgaria is accompanied by a decreasing number of the labour force (Figure 8). The other two lines in the same figure present the development of the total number of insured people in Bulgaria (the upper line) and that of the total number of non-insured people (the lowest disposed line). In general they reflect the process of gradually including of more people in the insurance system.

Figure 8. Projections of number of labour force, insured and non-insured people, 2003-2050




Concerning the employment growth, it is expected to be positive somewhere to 2010-2015 and this is connected with the effort to diminish the high unemployment rate at the beginning of the new century (Table 8). After that it however marks comparatively high and constant decrease until 2050, as the highest decrease is expected during the third and the fourth decade of the current century. This tendency could be connected mainly with the decreasing number of the total population and in particular the number of working-age population.

The official unemployment rate in Bulgaria for the base 2003 year was high (13.5%). In fact however, regarding the unemployment we should take account also the so-called discouraged unemployed, who have considerably high number (434.5 thousands in 2003). Due to an anticipated implementation of more flexible labour market policy and development of adequate labour market regulations as well as proposed faster economic development connected with the full-membership in the EU, we could expect still in the near future to reduce sharply the registered unemployment as well as the hidden employment. In 2006 the unemployment rate is already under 9% (which is on a par with the average for the EU countries) and continues declining. According to the projections, the unemployment rate is likely to decrease significantly and could reach a little over 4% by the end of the projected period. This could be connected implicitly with the assumed by us comparatively high GDP growth rates for the following decades. Considering the unemployment rate by gender, it turns out that the female unemployment will decrease more rapidly than the male unemployment, in particular after 2030.


In the context of the decreasing number of employed and the prevailing number of female population over male it is a little strange the obtained trend of slightly increasing gender ratio of employed in favour of male: from 1.15 in 2003 to 1.22 in 2050 (Figure 9).

Figure 9. Projection of total number employed and gender ratio male/female, 2003-2050



Development of the employees by the two basic in the model sectors – public sector and other formal sector – is outlined as comparatively similar during the whole projected period (Figure 10). We could explain this tendency mainly by the influence of the taken by us a proportion between the two sectors in the base year.


Figure 10. Projections for the public sector employees and other formal sector employees, 2003-2050



The number of the insured people in Bulgaria is expected to decrease in accordance with the depopulation process. While however the number of total population is expected to decrease from 2003 to 2050 by 23%, and the number of labour force by nearly 42%, the number of insured is expected to decline only by 21%, which means other things being equal we could expect relatively higher percentage of insured people than in the present. In addition the estimates for non-insured people help these speculations. According to the model projections, the percentage of non-insured will decrease from nearly 28,7% in 2005 to 27% in 2050, which actually is not a significant figure taking account, on the one hand, the long-term period until 2050 and on the other hand, the active policy carried out at the present years to involve more and more people in the country's insurance system. Obviously these estimates are influenced by the ageing population process as well as specific labour market regulations, including the policy of raising age of retirement going on in Bulgaria in order to harmonize the national labour legislation with that of the EU member countries and to carry out the common for the Union labour policy.

According to data of the National Insurance Institute the revenue for 2003 is gathered by the following contributors (Figure 11): the biggest share is coming from contributions of employers for workers and employees (73%), followed by personal contribution of workers and employees (19%), contribution of self-employed insured (5%) and the smallest share is coming from principals by auditing (3%). This structure shows the dominating role of the employers for the time being for the amount of the insurance revenue, which in its own turn suggests the importance of the macroeconomic and business development in Bulgaria for the future increase in the insurance revenue.

Figure 11. Structure of the revenue from insurance contributions, 2003



Source: National Insurance Institute, 2003.

4.3 Development of the macroeconomic variables

The third and a very important group of variables are connected with the macroeconomic performance in Bulgaria during the projected period. The variables included in this group like GDP, labour productivity, real wage, etc. are the most aggregate indicators for the national economy pre-determining the revenue side of the studied issue – how can we expect to develop the health expenditure in a long-term in Bulgaria. The dynamics of the GDP growth in the future foreseen by us is of utmost importance because of its capacity of an independent variable in the model. We step on the estimates of I. Angelov and associates until 2030¹⁶ and try to extrapolate them using our own expert speculations (Table 9). The estimates suggested by I. Angelov are higher in comparison with other similar projections known both in Bulgaria and abroad. They reflect, on the one hand, the low level of the base year GDP, which means that in the following years the dynamics should be shown as faster, and on the other hand, the potential which is expected to perform the country continuing the current stable economic progress, including the realized full membership in the EU (since 1 January 2007) and utilization of the structural and other funds, as well as a more intensive inflow of FDI in the country, etc. To the end of the projected period however we foresee lower GDP growth rates because for such a long period they seem more reasonable trying to achieve a steady state balanced growth.

The given assumptions are reflected on the dynamics of the GDP growth and GDP per capita growth rates (see Table 9). The process of depopulation in the country causes higher rates of GDP per capita growth in comparison with the total value growth.

¹⁶ Angelov, I. (2005), The Competitiveness – The Greatest Challenge for Bulgaria in the EU (Macroeconomic View). Key paper at the scientific and applied conference “Integration of Bulgaria into the European Union: Challenges of the Competitiveness”, Institute of Economics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, 16 March 2005.

Table 9. Bulgaria: Economic variables, 2005-2050


	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Real GDP growth, %	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.2
Real GDP growth per capita, %	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9
Labour productivity growth, %	4.3	3.2	2.5	2.5	2.5	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.1
GDP deflator, %	2.2	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.9
CPI (inflation), average yearly, %	5.4	4.8	4.3	3.7	3.1	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.2
Real wage growth, %*	6	5	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
Average gross monthly wage (BGN)	354	591	933	1,392	1,962	2,625	3,453	4,490	5,770	7,329
Labour cost (BGN)	479	776	1,189	1,720	2,378	3,182	4,186	5,442	6,993	8,882
Labour cost (share of GDP), %**	45	55	63	66	67	65	62	58	53	49

* Concerning the growth of real wage we suggest 3% to be kept for this variable in the period 2030-2050. This is so because we wouldn't like to speculate with over-precised figures for a too long time horizon of projection.

** It is calculated as the average labour cost is multiplied by the number of employed in the country and the result is divided on GDP at current prices.

One of the most discussed macroeconomic relationships is that between the dynamics of the labour productivity and the real wage. Theoretically these two curves should change more or less in parallel as labour productivity should predetermine the real wage increase. It turns out that if we extrapolate the two variables in the case of Bulgaria, they are developing more or less in parallel, as labour productivity is typical over the whole projected period by slower growth rate, which theoretically is a negative tendency. In principle, the higher dynamics of the labour productivity in comparison with that of the real wage growth dynamics is the right economic position ensuring a later increase in the wage level. On the other hand, however, Bulgaria is in the last position between the EU country-members by income level. In the context of our projections that means that the low real average wage observed in the country could not ensure higher level of insurance contribution or could limit spending other health expenditures. Given the current structural changes in the country mainly in favour of labour-consuming branches with low valued added it is likely that the productivity growth will keep its declining tendency. This is why within the following several years we could not expect a change in the dynamics both of the labour productivity and the real wage (Figure 12). Regarding a farther perspective and taking account the modern technological progress we foresee a more significant increase in the productivity growth rates, which will contribute to reverse the considered relationship.


Figure 12. Projections of labour productivity and real wage growth rates, 2003-2050



5. Projections and sensitivity analysis to given variables

Some idea about the structure of the Government (public) expenditures in the base 2003 year one can receive from Figure 13. The biggest share of the expenditure is connected with the administration costs (40%), followed by expenditure in hospitals (37%), outpatient health care (14%), and 7% of the total expenditure are capital expenditure. The latter means that the problems of renewal and modernization of the health care system are postponed for the future.


Figure 13. Structure of Government expenditures, 2003



Source: National Centre for Health Information, Sofia, 2003.

Hospital expenditure takes the biggest share in the structure of expenditure of the social security fund (50%), followed by outpatient expenditure (27%), technical support (16%) and only 7% are administrative and other costs (Figure 14).


Figure 14. Structure of expenditure of social security funds, 2003



Source: National Centre for Health Information, Sofia, 2003.

The basic trend revealing the older population the higher health expenditure could be confirmed by the estimates on utilization by age groups obtained by the ILO model and shown in Figure 15. For the children age group 0-4 years a higher utilization of health care services is typical in comparison with the following two children age groups – 5-9 and 10-14 years, i.e. for the children the tendency is decreasing by age. After that the tendency in the utilization of health care services is increasing in a sense the older people the higher health care utilization both in terms of outpatient visits and inpatient admissions. But in this changed direction of the curves we observe distinct four sub-groups – for the young people aged 15-29, followed by people aged 30-44, people aged from 45 to about 79 and those aged 80 and over. It turns out the healthiest are people between 30 and 44 years of age, where the curves are less steep; after that for people aged from 45 to about 79 the curves are steepest, and for people 80 years and over the two considered indicators are almost unchanged. As it is expected the number of outpatient visits per capita is higher than the number of inpatient (hospital) admissions per capita. It is useful to take into account these trends and the outlined ageing population in Bulgaria following our main goal which is to estimate the level and the dynamics of the total health expenditure in the country up to 2050.

Figure 15. Utilisation of health care expenditure by age groups (J-curve), 2003



Source: National Centre for Health Information, Sofia, 2004.

We have already considered the variables divided into two groups – variables on the revenues and on the expenditure side. As a result of the ILO model realization we have obtained data for the volume of the total health expenditure by three scenarios. The basic assumptions for the health care expenditure development in the three scenarios are the following:

Scenario I	Health care expenditures increase separately for wage and non-wage shares
Scenario II	Health care expenditures increase with the same rate as GDP/Capita (EU I)
Scenario III	Health care expenditures increase with the same rate as GDP/Employment (EU II)


The specificity of the three scenarios in the case of Bulgaria implies highest values for the first one (when the health expenditure increase is separated for wage and non-wage shares) and lowest for the second one (when the health expenditure increase is connected with the same rate of per capita GDP). The third scenario (when the health expenditure increase is connected with the same rate as the level of labour productivity) could be considered as intermediary (in a middle position) between the other two. The results from the three scenarios stress once again the role of the very low level of income per capita in this country. The calculated volumes of the total health expenditure influence on the calculated proportion between them and GDP. The total health expenditure as share of GDP by the three scenarios is shown in Figure 16.

The projected variables for the total health revenues and the health finance system balance are presented in Table 10. It turns out that by the three scenarios the total health expenditure is far higher than the total health revenue, which determines health deficit by all of them. The magnitude of the deficit in 2003 base year is 0,265 BLN and it changes to 17,306 BLN in 2050 by Scenario I, to 4,370 BGN by Scenario II and 8,455 BGN by Scenario III. The first scenario, which was described as requiring the highest health expenditure, is definitely that is leading to the highest health finance deficit. To the end of the projected period the health finance deficit by Scenario I would be the highest.

Table 10. Projections for the total health revenue and health system balance by three scenarios, base year 2003 and 2004-2050 (bln. BGN)


	2003	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Total Revenue	1,416	1,808	3,004	4,473	6,234	8,790	11,210	14,038	17,408	21,432	26,326
<i>Life expectancy SCENARIO:Middle //Component Scenario I</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	2,072	3,363	5,211	7,722	10,941	14,852	19,868	26,236	34,118	43,632
Deficit bln. BGN	-0,265	-0,264	-0,360	-0,738	-1,488	-2,150	-3,642	-5,829	-8,828	-12,686	-17,306
Deficit as share of real GDP [%]	-0,8	-0,7	-0,7	-1,0	-1,5	-1,7	-2,3	-2,9	-3,4	-3,8	-4,0
<i>Life expectancy SCENARIO:Middle //Component Scenario II</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	1,988	2,938	4,213	5,881	7,997	10,601	13,959	18,275	23,766	30,697
Deficit bln. BGN	-0,265	-0,180	0,065	0,259	0,353	0,793	0,609	0,080	-0,867	-2,334	-4,370
Deficit as share of real GDP [%]	-0,8	-0,5	0,1	0,4	0,4	0,6	0,4	0,0	-0,3	-0,7	-1,0
<i>Life expectancy SCENARIO:Middle //Component Scenario III</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	1,977	2,815	4,000	5,657	7,839	10,644	14,460	19,596	26,333	34,781
Deficit bln. BGN	-0,265	-0,169	0,188	0,473	0,577	0,952	0,566	-0,421	-2,189	-4,901	-8,455
Deficit as share of real GDP [%]	-0,8	-0,4	0,3	0,7	0,6	0,8	0,4	-0,2	-0,8	-1,5	-2,0

Figure 16. Dynamics of the real GDP and proportion of the total health expenditure (HE) in GDP by three scenarios, 2003-2050




The projected behaviour of the total health expenditure and total public expenditure within the period 2003-2050 in Figure 17 shows almost parallel changes in the two lines. In general this means that no essential changes in the role of the public sector, in particular public health expenditure are envisaged in the model. The difference between the two indicators is about 1% of GDP, which is expected to be found from other sources.

Figure 17. Dynamics of the projected share of total expenditure in GDP and total public expenditure in GDP



Comparison of the level of total health expenditure and total revenues could be followed in Figure 18. What is disturbing here is not only the clear deficit but its widening gap over time shown by the three scenarios.

Figure 18. Deficit of total health expenditure by three scenarios, 2003-2050



5.1 Sensitivity test concerning the life expectancy at birth

We conduct sensitivity tests using the three already described variants of life expectancy at birth (assuming respectively fast, middle and slow improvement) and then calculating the result variables for each of the scenarios presented above. The obtained results are shown in Table 11.

Table 11. Sensitivity test: Projections for the total health expenditure by three variants of life expectancy improvement each one including the three scenarios of a health care expenditure (HE) increase, 2003-2050

	2003	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Variant I - Fast Life Expectancy Improvement											
Scenario I											
Total health expenditure, bln BGN	1.682	2.072	3.365	5.220	7.752	11.010	14.986	20.099	26.627	34.798	44.747
Total proportion of HE in GDP, %	4.9	5.3	6.2	7.2	8.1	8.8	9.4	9.9	10.2	10.4	10.3
Total revenues in SIF*	1.416	1.808	3.004	4.475	6.241	8.807	11.241	14.088	17.483	21.557	26.527
Proportion of the revenues in GDP, %	4.1	4.6	5.6	6.2	6.5	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.4	6.1
Percent of deficit in GDP (%)	-0.8	-0.7	-0.7	-1.0	-1.6	-1.8	-2.3	-2.9	-3.5	-3.9	-4.2
Scenario II											
Total health expenditure, bln BGN	1.682	1.989	2.939	4.216	5.891	8.018	10.639	14.022	18.380	23.949	30.999
Total proportion of HE in GDP, %	4.9	5.0	5.4	5.8	6.1	6.4	6.7	6.9	7.0	7.1	7.2
Total revenues in SIF*	1.416	1.808	3.004	4.475	6.241	8.807	11.241	14.088	17.483	21.557	26.527
Proportion of the revenues in GDP, %	4.1	4.6	5.6	6.2	6.5	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.4	6.1
Percent of deficit in GDP (%)	-0.8	-0.5	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.0	-0.3	-0.7	-1.0
Scenario III											
Total health expenditure, bln BGN	1.682	1.977	2.817	4.004	5.673	7.875	10.715	14.589	19.834	26.770	35.523
Total proportion of HE in GDP, %	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.5	5.9	6.3	6.7	7.2	7.6	8.0	8.2
Total revenues in SIF*	1.416	1.808	3.004	4.475	6.241	8.807	11.241	14.088	17.483	21.557	26.527
Proportion of the revenues in GDP, %	4.1	4.6	5.6	6.2	6.5	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.4	6.1
Percent of deficit in GDP (%)	-0.8	-0.4	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.3	-0.2	-0.9	-1.6	-2.1
Variant II - Middle Life Expectancy Improvement											
Scenario I											
Total health expenditure, bln BGN	1.682	2.072	3.363	5.211	7.722	10.941	14.852	19.868	26.236	34.118	43.632
Total proportion of HE in GDP, %	4.9	5.3	6.2	7.2	8.0	8.8	9.3	9.7	10.0	10.2	10.1
Total revenues in SIF*	1.416	1.808	3.004	4.473	6.234	8.790	11.210	14.038	17.408	21.432	26.326
Proportion of the revenues in GDP, %	4.1	4.6	5.6	6.2	6.5	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.4	6.1
Percent of deficit in GDP (%)	-0.8	-0.7	-0.7	-1.0	-1.5	-1.7	-2.3	-2.9	-3.4	-3.8	-4.0
Scenario II											
Total health expenditure, bln BGN	1.682	1.988	2.938	4.213	5.881	7.997	10.601	13.959	18.275	23.766	30.697

Total proportion of HE in GDP, %	4.9	5.0	5.4	5.8	6.1	6.4	6.6	6.8	7.0	7.1	7.1
Total revenues in SIF*	1.416	1.808	3.004	4.473	6.234	8.790	11.210	14.038	17.408	21.432	26.326
Proportion of the revenues in GDP, %	4.1	4.6	5.6	6.2	6.5	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.4	6.1
Percent of deficit in GDP (%)	-0.8	-0.5	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.0	-0.3	-0.7	-1.0
Scenario III											
Total health expenditure, bln BGN	1.682	1.977	2.815	4.000	5.657	7.839	10.644	14.460	19.596	26.333	34.781
Total proportion of HE in GDP, %	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.5	5.9	6.3	6.7	7.1	7.5	7.8	8.0
Total revenues in SIF*	1.416	1.808	3.004	4.473	6.234	8.790	11.210	14.038	17.408	21.432	26.326
Proportion of the revenues in GDP, %	4.1	4.6	5.6	6.2	6.5	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.4	6.1
Percent of deficit in GDP (%)	-0.8	-0.4	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.4	-0.2	-0.8	-1.5	-2.0
Variant III - Slow Life Expectancy Improvement											
Scenario I											
Total health expenditure, bln BGN	1.682	2.072	3.362	5.205	7.690	10.850	14.663	19.521	25.673	33.288	42.422
Total proportion of HE in GDP, %	4.9	5.3	6.2	7.2	8.0	8.7	9.2	9.6	9.8	9.9	9.8
Total revenues in SIF*	1.416	1.808	3.004	4.471	6.226	8.768	11.165	13.961	17.289	21.272	26.111
Proportion of the revenues in GDP, %	4.1	4.6	5.6	6.2	6.5	7.0	7.0	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.0
Percent of deficit in GDP (%)	-0.8	-0.7	-0.7	-1.0	-1.5	-1.7	-2.2	-2.7	-3.2	-3.6	-3.8
Scenario II											
Total health expenditure, bln BGN	1.682	1.988	2.938	4.212	5.871	7.970	10.546	13.861	18.121	23.542	30.365
Total proportion of HE in GDP, %	4.9	5.0	5.4	5.8	6.1	6.4	6.6	6.8	6.9	7.0	7.0
Total revenues in SIF*	1.416	1.808	3.004	4.471	6.226	8.768	11.165	13.961	17.289	21.272	26.111
Proportion of the revenues in GDP, %	4.1	4.6	5.6	6.2	6.5	7.0	7.0	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.0
Percent of deficit in GDP (%)	-0.8	-0.5	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.0	-0.3	-0.7	-1.0
Scenario III											
Total health expenditure, bln BGN	1.682	1.977	2.815	3.997	5.640	7.791	10.544	14.270	19.271	25.814	33.965
Total proportion of HE in GDP, %	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.5	5.9	6.2	6.6	7.0	7.4	7.7	7.9
Total revenues in SIF*	1.416	1.808	3.004	4.471	6.226	8.768	11.165	13.961	17.289	21.272	26.111
Proportion of the revenues in GDP, %	4.1	4.6	5.6	6.2	6.5	7.0	7.0	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.0
Percent of deficit in GDP (%)	-0.8	-0.4	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.4	-0.2	-0.8	-1.4	-1.8

* Social Insurance Fund.

Judging by the results of the sensitivity test on the expenditure side in the case of the three variants of life expectancy improvement, the general conclusion is: the faster increase in life expectancy at birth would lead to higher health care expenditure and respectively to a higher deficit.

5.2 Sensitivity test concerning employment

We apply the sensitivity tests concerning employment rate by using the variant of middle improvement of life expectancy at birth assuming another variant (except the already described) concerning the changes in the minimum unemployment rate: 14% (for the base year instead of 7% in the baseline scenario); 7% (in 2025 instead of 5% in the baseline scenario); 4% (in 2050 is the same value as in the baseline scenario). The obtained results are shown in Table 12.

Table 12. Sensitivity test: Projections for the total health expenditure at middle improvement of life expectancy by the three scenarios each one including the changed trends in unemployment rate, 2003-2050

VAR - Unemployment¹⁷	2003	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Total Revenue	1,416	1,807	2,976	4,422	6,181	8,739	11,166	13,998	17,372	21,406	26,315
<i>Component Scenario I</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	2,072	3,363	5,211	7,722	10,941	14,852	19,869	26,237	34,120	43,635
Deficit bln.	-0,265	-0,265	-0,388	-0,790	-1,541	-2,202	-3,686	-5,871	-8,865	-12,714	-17,320
Deficit as share of real GDP	-0,8	-0,7	-0,7	-1,1	-1,6	-1,8	-2,3	-2,9	-3,4	-3,8	-4,0
<i>Component Scenario II</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	1,989	2,938	4,213	5,882	7,997	10,601	13,959	18,276	23,768	30,699
Deficit bln.	-0,265	-0,181	0,037	0,208	0,299	0,742	0,565	0,038	-0,903	-2,361	-4,384
Deficit as share of real GDP	-0,8	-0,5	0,1	0,3	0,3	0,6	0,4	0,0	-0,3	-0,7	-1,0
<i>Component Scenario III</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	1,982	2,894	4,133	5,799	7,978	10,778	14,604	19,740	26,455	34,844
Deficit bln.	-0,265	-0,175	0,082	0,289	0,383	0,761	0,389	-0,606	-2,368	-5,048	-8,528
Deficit as share of real GDP	-0,8	-0,4	0,2	0,4	0,4	0,6	0,2	-0,3	-0,9	-1,5	-2,0

Under this condition, in the case of Bulgaria it turns out that the health care variables are relatively low sensitive to the changes in unemployment rate. A little more significant changes can be observed in the first half of the reviewed period.

5.3 Sensitivity test concerning wage rate

To check the sensitivity of the result variables to changes in the wage rate we use again the variant of middle improvement of life expectancy at birth together with assumptions for changes in the wage rate in both directions – optimistic and pessimistic. The obtained results are shown in Table 13.

¹⁷ Minimum unemployment rate: 14% (for the base year); 7% (2025); 4% (2050) in compare with the baseline scenario, where the minimum unemployment rate is expected to be as it follows: 7% (for the base year); 5% (2025); 4% (2050)

Table 13. Sensitivity test: Projections for the total health expenditure at middle improvement of life expectancy by the three scenarios each one including two variant in the wage rate changes – optimistic and pessimistic, 2003-2050

<i>Wages - Optimistic</i> ¹⁸	2003	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Total Revenue	1,416	1,829	3,124	4,782	6,844	9,896	12,856	16,377	20,632	25,765	32,050
<i>Component Scenario I</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	2,104	3,551	5,721	8,796	12,880	17,996	24,755	33,596	44,871	58,890
Deficit bln.	-0,265	-0,274	-0,427	-0,940	-1,952	-2,984	-5,140	-8,378	-12,965	-19,106	-26,840
Deficit as share of real GDP	-0,8%	-0,7%	-0,8%	-1,3%	-2,0%	-2,4%	-3,2%	-4,1%	-5,0%	-5,7%	-6,2%
<i>Component Scenario II</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	1,999	3,001	4,377	6,215	8,579	11,513	15,330	20,279	26,624	34,681
Deficit bln.	-0,265	-0,170	0,124	0,405	0,630	1,317	1,342	1,047	0,352	-0,860	-2,631
Deficit as share of real GDP	-0,8%	-0,4%	0,2%	0,6%	0,7%	1,1%	0,8%	0,5%	0,1%	-0,3%	-0,6%
<i>Component Scenario III</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	1,988	2,878	4,163	5,990	8,421	11,556	15,831	21,601	29,192	38,766
Deficit bln.	-0,265	-0,159	0,247	0,619	0,854	1,475	1,299	0,546	-0,969	-3,427	-6,716
Deficit as share of real GDP	-0,8%	-0,4%	0,5%	0,9%	0,9%	1,2%	0,8%	0,3%	-0,4%	-1,0%	-1,6%

<i>Wages - Pessimistic</i> ¹⁹	2003	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Total Revenue	1,416	1,788	2,891	4,196	5,709	7,872	9,882	12,205	14,949	18,214	22,183
<i>Component Scenario I</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	2,041	3,187	4,753	6,797	9,328	12,313	16,032	20,615	26,120	32,568
Deficit bln.	-0,265	-0,253	-0,296	-0,558	-1,088	-1,456	-2,431	-3,827	-5,666	-7,905	-10,385
Deficit as share of real GDP	-0,8%	-0,6%	-0,5%	-0,8%	-1,1%	-1,2%	-1,5%	-1,9%	-2,2%	-2,4%	-2,4%
<i>Component Scenario II</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	1,978	2,880	4,067	5,595	7,514	9,866	12,884	16,747	21,646	27,816
Deficit bln.	-0,265	-0,190	0,011	0,129	0,114	0,358	0,016	-0,679	-1,799	-3,432	-5,632
Deficit as share of real GDP	-0,8%	-0,5%	0,0%	0,2%	0,1%	0,3%	0,0%	-0,3%	-0,7%	-1,0%	-1,3%
<i>Component Scenario III</i>											
TOTAL Health Expenditure	1,682	1,967	2,757	3,853	5,370	7,355	9,909	13,386	18,069	24,213	31,900
Deficit bln.	-0,265	-0,179	0,134	0,342	0,339	0,517	-0,027	-1,180	-3,121	-5,999	-9,717
Deficit as share of real GDP	-0,8%	-0,5%	0,2%	0,5%	0,4%	0,4%	0,0%	-0,6%	-1,2%	-1,8%	-2,2%

It turns out that assuming changes in the wage rate is far more sensitive for the results in comparison with assuming changes in the employment rate. In addition we can state that increasing the wages, i.e. leads to considerable higher budget deficit by the first scenario.²⁰ By the three scenarios the deficit is respectively 6%, 0.6% and 1.6% of GDP in comparison with the baseline (respectively 4%, 1% and 2%). This could mean that a significant increase in the wages (in case of separated increase of health care expenditures for wage and non-wage shares

¹⁸ Real wage growth: 7.3% (for the base year); 3.6% (2025); 3.0% (2050).

¹⁹ Real wage growth: 4.9% (for the base year); 2.4% (2025); 2.0% (2050).

²⁰ The first scenario is "Health care expenditures increase separately for wage and non-wage shares".

– component scenario I) would reflect to increase of the costs for labour force. The outlined deficit by the second and the third scenarios²¹ are lower than the baseline, which means that an increase in wage rates leads to lower budget deficit.

6. Conclusion

- The ILO model realization allows to produce different scenarios depending on the authors' assumptions for the future change in the main economic and demographic indicators (in their capacity of independent variables) and thus to count their combined influence on the health care expenditure. However, due to two main restrictions, namely: firstly, the inevitable limitations of every one given model construction and secondly, the rather long period of nearly half a century projection when a wide spectre of changes in the economic, social and demographic life could happen, *the obtained results should be interpreted with caution. More important are the tendencies outlined than the figures calculated.* These tendencies give useful implications for the policy makers in the field of health care finance.

In such a long future period different both positive or/and negative phenomena in the Bulgaria's economic development could observe. For example, keeping sustainable economic growth, improving the effectiveness of the health care system, finding the external sources of health care financing and other similar factors would favour the national health care system budget. On the contrary, eventual hardships could influence detrimentally on the country's economic progress. They could happen in the financial sector as a result of a wrong bank policy (for example, a generous credit policy directed to not too important for the economic revival activities or something like that), giving up from the currency board in Bulgaria without being in a very stable financial position, new fiscal and monetary challenges which the country could face trying to enter the EMU, coming up unfavourable global or regional economic events and so on.

- Bulgaria marked strongly deteriorating demographic and health status indicators in the past 4-5 decades and particularly from the beginning of the 1990s. The most negative consequences are depopulation and a high rate of ageing population. This phase of aging is characterized by low fertility rate, increasing life expectancy, decreasing young dependency ratio and increasing old age dependency ratio. The demographic processes are specific with the so-called lag-effect which predetermines their negative impact for the next several decades. According to the known by us population projections for Bulgaria, even the most optimistic, the depopulation and the ageing population will deepen in the next 4-5 decades of the 21st century.
- As a final result of the ILO model application *an increasing gap between the total health revenue and the total expenditure in Bulgaria is outlined by the three used scenarios.* The increasing deficit could be discussed from two sides: total health revenues and total health expenditure. Considering the first side, if we take into account the lower living standard of the Bulgaria's population compared with that of the other EU member countries facing similar bio-demographic problems, even on the base of the assumed by us rather high long-term economic growth rates it is impossible to cumulate the necessary funds to cover the expected expenditure. Considering the expenditure side, it is evident that the process of ageing population demands much higher funds than could be met by the total revenue.

²¹ The second scenario is "Health care expenditures increase with the same rate as GDP/Per Capita", and the third – "Health care expenditures increase with the same rate as GDP/Employment", i.e. labour productivity growth.

- Speculating on the ILO model specificity related to the obtained results, we should mark that important components of the social and economic activity are not included. Firstly, migration was ignored, but in the four compared CEE countries, in particular Bulgaria it is considerable by scale. Most of these people regularly transfer money to their family and relatives, which even by approximate estimates are a significant amount. People in Bulgaria use this kind of transfer mostly as social assistance, including for health care. Because of the relatively (to the living standard) low health care prices in Bulgaria many migrants prefer to use medical services in the country. We observe also development of the so-called medical tourism in Bulgaria, because many foreigners prefer to use the lower priced but qualified medical services. On the other hand, it is very likely that Bulgaria will need additional work force still in the near future, which is expected to come from immigration in the country. According to projections of the NSI this could happen around 2012. Secondly, public and private health care activity is not clearly distinct in the model (mainly due to the lack of information about the emerging private sector) and thus the real and increasing contribution of the private sector is underestimated.
- Given the present situation in the health care sector in Bulgaria, we have to take into account that the decision to make projections based on 2003 leads to some bias. First of all this was an year with still a very limited funds for health care, secondly, the ongoing reform was (and at present, 2007 is) not yet accepted by the people and not yet implemented into practice because of the great and numerous problems around its nature. One of the aims of the current health care reform is to change the proportion between inpatient and outpatient health care through decreasing the former one. In addition, Bulgaria needs and will need more and more funds, including for investment in order to renovate the buildings, techniques and change the obsolete equipment, qualify the personnel, etc. This implies that the necessary health expenditure could be even much higher that it is projected by the model. Thus the revenues and expenditure gap could hardly get narrow.

7. Policy recommendations for Bulgaria

- High dynamics of ageing population will require for the Bulgaria's society higher health expenditure. In the future health status will be determined by illnesses and disabilities related in most cases to old age; also civilization diseases will be more frequent among elderly. One of the ageing effects on health care system will be increased demand for health care, rehabilitation and nursing services for those, who face different mobility or other health problems. Given the fact that the costs for health care are strongly increasing not only the current but also the future health care budget deficit will get wider. This fact makes of utmost importance the necessity to create an effectively functioning health care system.
- Within the last over 15 years the health care system in Bulgaria was a subject of mainly political considerations. The problems of health care finance should be considered from professional point of view, giving a real priority of this sector.
- From the revenue side it is important to conduct policy of dynamic growth; to develop employment strategy, and as a result to increase the taxable income (especially wages), including increase in the health insurance premium; giving a priority to health expenditures in public income distribution policy; etc.
- Concerning the wide-scale discussed health premium, there is not much space to increase it in Bulgaria given the low income level of the population. In our view such a burden would be unbearable for the most population within the next several years and will bring to worsen of the people's health care, which in its turn would lead to further increase in health expenditure. Other sources of increasing health revenues are: to encourage and to diverse

voluntary health insurance; to look for other finance sources both on national and international level, etc.

- The health care system in Bulgaria is not effectively organised which leads to an ineffective spending of the available total health expenditure. The implemented nowadays health care system is widely disapproved by the population. Higher effectiveness in the health care systems requires: capacity building, administrative efficiency, professional management of the health care establishments, cost control, effective drug policy, etc.
- Discussing the effective health care system first of all a real priority of the health care sector should be given by the Government. It should develop potential to use the funds more effectively, to prevent corruption in the health care services (which is provoked mainly by the poor mechanisms in the system) in order not only to cover the primary needs of population but to develop activities with far-reaching effects like the following:
 - elaboration of strategies to improve health status of the population;
 - implementation of health information systems that are capable of providing, analysing, evaluating and distributing information necessary for disease surveillance, clinical practice, public health management, public education and policy making in health and health care system finance;
 - to develop approaches preventing disease intervention still at an early age and conditions leading to significantly better health in later life;
 - the development in science, technology and clinical practice should result in less costly but equally effective ambulatory treatment of a growing number of conditions that were formerly treated on an inpatient basis. The effectiveness of health services will improve by closing the distance between the medical workers and the patients, including the ability to provide primary care through home-based or non-hospital based care.
- The most important precondition to overcome the outline health budget deficit in Bulgaria is to achieve a rapid and stable economic growth. Such a growth will reflect on the income level of the people, allowing to higher their living standard, respectively to increase insurance premium and voluntary health contribution.

In combination with the social and economic policy of the EU Bulgaria could achieve further progress in commitments to reduce poverty and spend much more funds for health care.

Bibliography

- Angelov, I. (2005), The Competitiveness – The Greatest Challenge for Bulgaria in the EU (Macroeconomic View). Key paper at the scientific and applied conference “Integration of Bulgaria into the European Union: Challenges of the Competitiveness”, Institute of Economics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, 16 March 2005.
- Boeri T. and H. Brucker (2005), Migration, Co-ordination Failures and EU Enlargement, IZA Discussion Paper № 1600.
- Bukarev, I. – Director of the NHIF (2004), Health Care Financing and the Health Care. In: “Health Care Reform in Bulgaria: Problems, Prospects, Decisions”, Friedrich Ebert Stiftung and Union of the Bulgarian Scientists, Sofia, 46-58.
- Delcheva, E. (1999), What Do Consumers Pay for Bulgarian Health Care? Journal of Health Economics, № 4.
- Donkov, K. (1999), Projections for Bulgaria’s Population in the Period 1997-2050. Statistics. Journal of the National Statistical Institute, Sofia, № 2, 18-42.
- Ministry of Health Care <www.government.bg>
- National Centre for Health Information, Sofia, 2004.
- National Health Insurance Fund <www.wmnhif.bg>
- National Statistical Institute <www.nsi.bg>
- Rangelova, R. (2006), New Bulgaria’s Emigration: Scale, Socio-demographic Profile, Economic Consequences. In; “Facing Challenges: Selected Key Issues of Economic Transformation and European Cooperation”. Proceedings of the Hungarian-Bulgarian Bilateral Workshop, 16 September 2005. Edited by G. Foti and T. Novak, Institute for World Economics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, 50-73.
- Rangelova, R.(2006), Health and Morbidity in the Accession Countries. Report Bulgaria, ENEPRI Research Report № 20, Brussels <www.ceps.be>.
- Rangelova R. and G. Sariiski, Long-term Projections of Health Care Expenditure in Bulgaria. Economic Studies. Series of the Institute of Economics, BAS and the Economic Academy "D. Tsenov" – Svishtov, Year XVI, № 2, 2007.
- The Sex and Age Distribution of the World Population. UN World Population Prospects. The 1996 Revision. Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Population Division, New York, 237-240.
- World Health Organisation <www.who.org>

About AHEAD

In February 2004, a CEPS-led consortium of research institutes launched the implementation of a three-year project called AHEAD (Ageing, Health Status and the determinants of Health Expenditure). Most of the consortium's 18 partner institutes are members of the European Network of Economic Policy Research Institutes (ENEPRI – see <http://www.enepri.org> for details). As specified in the call for proposals, the main task of the project is to carry out an “Investigation into different key factors driving health care expenditures and in particular their interaction with particular reference to ageing” in the (enlarged) European Union.

The strategic objectives of AHEAD are to:

- assess pressures on health spending in the existing EU and in selected candidate countries, looking both at those arising directly from ageing and at those affected by changing incomes, social change and methods of expenditure control;
- develop models for projecting future health spending and
- estimate confidence limits for these projections.

Expenditure on medical treatment has tended to rise as a proportion of national income throughout the European Union. A particular concern is that an ageing population and therefore the presence of more old people will create further pressures for expenditure on health care. This issue is of concern both in its own terms and because of its fiscal implications. Rising health expenditures put pressure on the targets of the Stability and Growth Pact. They also raise the question whether budgetary targets should be tightened ahead of projected growth in public expenditures, so as to ‘save up’ for future spending and keep expected future tax rates reasonably constant.

This project has aimed to refine existing estimates of the links between reported states of health and use of medical services. As well as looking at the effects of ageing on health care, the research has taken account of the link between health expenditure and fertility rates and the demands on health services made by non-native populations. Particular attention is paid to the costs of care near death. One study examined factors other than demand (such as methods of financial control) that may influence health spending. An important aspect of this research is that the work is carried out so as to be able to provide not only the familiar projections and scenarios but also standard deviations and confidence limits for predictions of key variables, such as healthy life expectancy and demand-driven expenditure levels. These will allow policy-makers to judge not only possible outcomes but also the risks surrounding them and to assess their implications.

Participating Research Institutes

Centre for European Policy Studies, CEPS, Belgium
National Institute for Economic and Social Research, NIESR, UK
Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Research, CPB, The Netherlands
Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung, DIW, Germany
Economic and Social Research Institute, ESRI, Ireland
Research Institute of the Finnish Economy, ETLA, Finland
Federal Planning Bureau, FPB, Belgium
Istituto di Studi e Analisi Economica, ISAE, Italy
Institute for Advanced Studies, HIS, Austria
Institute for Public Health, IPH, Denmark
Laboratoire d’Economie et de Gestion des Organisations de Santé, LEGOS, France
Personal Social Services Research Unit, PSSRU, UK
Fundación de Estudios de Economía Aplicada, FEDEA, Spain
Centre for Social and Economic Research, CASE, Poland
Institute of Slovak and World Economy, ISWE, Slovak Republic
Institute of Economics at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, IE-BAS, BG
Social Research Centre, TARKI, Hungary
Department of Public Health, University of Tartu, Estonia

About ENEPRI

The European Network of Economic Policy Research Institutes (**ENEPRI**) is composed of leading socio-economic research institutes in practically all EU member states and candidate countries that are committed to working together to develop and consolidate a European agenda of research. **ENEPRI** was launched in 2000 by the Brussels-based Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS), which provides overall coordination for the initiative.

While the European construction has made gigantic steps forward in the recent past, the European dimension of research seems to have been overlooked. The provision of economic analysis at the European level, however, is a fundamental prerequisite to the successful understanding of the achievements and challenges that lie ahead. **ENEPRI** aims to fill this gap by pooling the research efforts of its different member institutes in their respective areas of specialisation and to encourage an explicit European-wide approach.

ENEPRI is composed of the following member institutes:

CASE	Center for Social and Economic Research, Warsaw, Poland
CEE	Center for Economics and Econometrics, Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey
CEPII	Centre d'Études Prospectives et d'Informations Internationales, Paris, France
CEPS	Centre for European Policy Studies, Brussels, Belgium
CERGE-EI	Centre for Economic Research and Graduated Education, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
CPB	Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis, The Hague, The Netherlands
DIW	Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung, Berlin, Germany
ESRI	Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin, Ireland
ETLA	Research Institute for the Finnish Economy, Helsinki, Finland
FEDEA	Fundación de Estudios de Economía Aplicada, Madrid, Spain
FPB	Federal Planning Bureau, Brussels, Belgium
IE-BAS	Institute of Economics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria
IER	Institute for Economic Research, Bratislava, Slovakia
IER	Institute for Economic Research, Ljubljana, Slovenia
IHS	Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna, Austria
ISAE	Istituto di Studi e Analisi Economica, Rome, Italy
NIER	National Institute of Economic Research, Stockholm, Sweden
NIESR	National Institute of Economic and Social Research, London, UK
NOBE	Niezalezny Osrodek Bana Ekonomicznych, Lodz, Poland
PRAXIS	Center for Policy Studies, Tallinn, Estonia
RCEP	Romanian Centre for Economic Policies, Bucharest, Romania
SSB	Research Department, Statistics Norway, Oslo, Norway
SFI	Danish National Institute of Social Research, Copenhagen, Denmark
TÁRKI	Social Research Centre Inc., Budapest, Hungary

ENEPRI publications include three series: Research Reports, which consist of papers presenting the findings and conclusions of research undertaken in the context of ENEPRI research projects; Working Papers, which constitute dissemination to a wider public of research undertaken and already published by ENEPRI partner institutes on their own account; and thirdly, Occasional Papers (closed series) containing a synthesis of the research presented at workshops organised during the first years of the network's existence.



European Network of Economic Policy Research Institutes

c/o Centre for European Policy Studies

Place du Congrès 1 • 1000 Brussels • Tel: 32(0) 229.39.11 • Fax: 32(0) 219.41.51

Website: <http://www.enepri.org> • E-mail: info@enepri.org